Published in final edited form as:

Free Radic Biol Med. 2010 July 15; 49(2): 275–281. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.04.010.

Radicals in the Reaction between Peroxynitrite and Uric Acid Identified by Electron Spin Resonance Spectroscopy and Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

Witcha Imaram^{†,*}, Christine Gersch[‡], Kyung Mee Kim[‡], Richard J. Johnson^{‡,¶}, George N. Henderson[‡], and Alexander Angerhofer[†]

[†] Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

[‡] Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

[¶] University of Colorado Health Science Center, Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, 4200 E 9th Ave, Box C-281, Denver, CO 80262

Abstract

Peroxynitrite is a reactive oxidant produced *in vivo* in response to oxidative and other stress by the diffusion-limited reaction of nitric oxide and superoxide. This contribution is focused on the identification of free radical intermediates of uric acid formed during its reaction with peroxynitrite. The experimental approach included the ESR spin trapping of the radical generated from the reaction between uric acid and peroxynitrite at pH 7.4 and mass spectrometry studies of the trapped radicals. Using PBN (*N-tert-butyl- α -phenylnitrone*) as the spin trapping agent, a six-line ESR spectrum was obtained and its hyperfine coupling constants, $a(N) = 15.6$ G; and $a(H) = 4.4$ G, revealed the presence of carbon-based radicals. Further structural identification of the PBN-radical adducts was carried out using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. After comparison with the control reactions, two species were identified corresponding to the protonated molecules ($M+1$) at m/z 352 and 223, respectively. The ions of m/z 352 were characterized as the PBN-triuretcarbonyl radical adduct and the m/z 223 ion was identified as the PBN-aminocarbonyl radical adduct. Their mechanism of formation is discussed.

Keywords

uric acid; peroxynitrite; ESR; electron spin resonance; mass spectrometry; LC-MS; free radicals; spin trap; PBN

Introduction

During primate evolution, about 5–20 million years ago, two parallel but distinct mutations occurred in early humanoids that rendered the uricase gene nonfunctional [1]. As a result, humans and the great apes have higher uric acid (1, Fig. 1) levels (in the range between 3 and 14 mg/dL) compared with most mammals (1 mg/dL) [2]. Thus, uric acid, a metabolic

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Witcha Imaram, Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, PO Box 117200, Gainesville, FL 32611; Tel. (352) 392-0493; Fax. (352) 392-0872. wimaram@chem.ufl.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

product of purine, has been assumed to replace vitamin C as the major antioxidant in humans [3]. Many studies have investigated the role of uric acid and its ability to scavenge reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Among these reactive species, peroxynitrite, formed by the fast reaction ($k = 6.7\text{--}19 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) between nitric oxide and superoxide [4,5], has received great interest in toxicology as a potent oxidant *in vivo*. Moreover, uric acid was found to scavenge peroxynitrite very effectively *in vivo* [6]. Urate (1^- , Fig. 10), the conjugate base of uric acid, has been observed to inhibit tyrosine nitration [7] by scavenging the radical intermediates generated in the decomposition of peroxynitrite [8], which are responsible for tyrosine nitration.

Despite its role as a major antioxidant in plasma, there is growing evidence of uric acid being a true risk factor for the development of obesity, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease, all conditions associated with oxidative stress [2,9]. Uric acid can form free radicals in various reactions [10], including the interaction with peroxynitrite [8,11]. Reacting with peroxynitrite, urate decomposes to form radicals, which are responsible for the amplification of lipid oxidation products found in liposomes and LDL [12]. In fact, one such radical was identified as the aminocarbonyl radical [12]. Thus the reaction between uric acid and oxidants such as peroxynitrite may initiate and propagate a radical chain reaction and damage the cells [13].

The reactivity of peroxynitrite is strongly pH dependent [14]. Once protonated peroxynitrous acid (ONOOH ; $\text{pK}_a = 6.8$) is formed (Equation 1). It can rapidly undergo homolysis to yield nitrogen dioxide ($\cdot\text{NO}_2$) and hydroxyl ($\cdot\text{OH}$) radicals (Equation 2) [14,15]. Furthermore, peroxynitrite can react with carbon dioxide, leading to the formation of the carbonate radical anion ($\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$) and nitrogen dioxide radical ($\cdot\text{NO}_2$) (Equation 3) [14,15]. These peroxynitrite-derived radicals can initiate one-electron oxidation.

Due to the complexity of the peroxynitrite-mediated oxidation of uric acid, its mechanism has not yet been established. During our investigation to unfold the chemistry of uric acid when treated with peroxynitrite at pH 7.4, we discovered a novel urate-derived radical—triuretcarbonyl—which could be an intermediate for the production of the aminocarbonyl radical. The radicals were studied by electron spin resonance spectroscopy using the spin trapping method; *N-tert*-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN) (**2**, Fig. 1) was used as a spin trapping agent, and the structure of the PBN-radical adducts were characterized by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS).

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Uric acid was purchased from Sigma. Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) was from Fluka. *N-tert*-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN) was obtained from Alexis Biochemicals. Peroxynitrite was synthesized following the method reported by Uppu and Pryor [16]. The

peroxynitrite concentration was measured spectrophotometrically at 302 nm ($\epsilon = 1670 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).

ESR Experiments

100 mM stock solutions of urate was prepared in 0.3 M potassium hydroxide. The reactions, typically conducted in 0.3–0.5 M potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7.4, contained a final concentration of 3 mM urate, 30 mM *N-tert*-butyl- α -phenylnitrone (PBN), 0.1 mM DTPA, and 9 mM peroxynitrite. A small aliquot of the reaction mixture was transferred into a quartz capillary of approximately 1×3 mm ID×OD for ESR measurement. After two minutes, the ESR spectrum was recorded at room temperature, using a commercial Bruker Elexsys E580 spectrometer, employing the high-Q cavity (ER 4123SHQE). Instrumental parameters were typically: 100 kHz modulation frequency, 1 G or 0.5 G modulation amplitude, 20 mW microwave power, 9.87 GHz microwave frequency, 82 ms time constant, and 164 ms conversion time/point.

Sample preparation for LC-MS

The reaction mixtures were prepared at room temperature in 0.3 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and contained a final concentration of 10 mM urate, 30 mM PBN, 0.1 mM DTPA, and 30 mM peroxynitrite in a final volume of 10 mL. The reaction mixtures were subsequently extracted by 2×20 ml of CH_2Cl_2 , dried under nitrogen gas, and re-suspended in 1 mL CH_3CN .

LC-MS Analysis

The LC-MS analyses were carried out with an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatography system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and a TSQ 7000 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with an APCI interface, and operated in positive-ion mode detection. In the TSQ 7000 instrument, nitrogen was used as both the sheath and the auxiliary gas. The second quadrupole was used as a collision chamber, with argon as a collision gas, at a pressure in the vicinity of 2.5×10^{-3} Torr. The operation of the LC-MS and data analyses was performed using the ThermoFinnigan Xcalibur 1.4 software.

Full scan LC-MS

Liquid chromatography analyses were performed in a gradient elution mode using a Phenomenex Luna 5 μ C18(2) 100Å (150 mm × 4.6 mm) column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) coupled with a Phenomenex Luna C18 (2), 5 μ m particle size guard column. The mobile phase used included 5 mM ammonium acetate/0.1% acetic acid (A) and methanol (B) as the gradient. The mobile phase flow was 0.6 mL min^{-1} , and the injection volume was 20 μ L. The gradient began at 90% A. Composition was linearly ramped to 95% B over the next 10 min, remained constant for 3 min, then reversed to the original composition of 90% A over 1 min, after which it was kept constant for 1 min to re-equilibrate the column. The extracted reaction products, control samples and standard samples, were analyzed in the full scan mode at a mass range of m/z 90–450.

LC-MS Tandem Mass Spectrometry Analysis

LC analysis was performed as outlined above. The MS/MS analysis was performed for the $M+1$ ions with m/z of 223 and 352 in the positive mode at a collision energy of 20 V, with a mass scan range of m/z of 40–230 for the ion at 223, and a mass scan range of m/z of 45–360 for the 352 ion.

Results

Electron Spin Resonance Spin Trapping

To probe the generation of the PBN-radical adducts at pH 7.4, the reaction between urate with peroxynitrite was monitored by ESR using the spin trapping method. The reaction between urate and peroxynitrite resulted in a six-line ESR spectrum when the modulation amplitude was set to 1 G (Fig. 2A). The trapped radical adducts displayed average hyperfine coupling constants of $a(N) = 15.6$ G, and $a(H) = 4.4$ G. No trapped radicals were observed when the reactions were conducted without urate or peroxynitrite. PBN alone, or mixed with urate or peroxynitrite, did not yield any ESR signal (Fig. 2B).

Furthermore, the ESR intensities increased when the urate concentration was increased (Fig. 3). These experiments confirmed that the observed radicals were derived from urate, not artifacts. Moreover, when the experiment was performed at higher resolution (lower modulation amplitude), we observed additional line splitting (data not shown) indicating that PBN might trap more than one different carbon-based radicals. The radical formation increased with the concentration of peroxynitrite, but yielded a maximum at a four-fold molar excess of peroxynitrite over urate (Fig. 4).

Depending on pH, both uric acid and peroxynitrite will exist in either their neutral or anionic forms. Therefore, the radical formation should be affected by pH as well. Indeed, we observed that the urate-peroxynitrite reaction yielded more trapped radicals when the pH increased (Fig. 5). This indicates that the radical production depended on the availability of peroxynitrite in one of its anionic forms. The simulated titration curve shows an inflection point at pH 8.1. Our value is different from the experiment previously reported [12]; however, it is still in the range of the pK_a of peroxynitrous acid, which varies from 6.5 to 8.6 depending on the composition of the solution, determined previously by Kissner et al. [4]. We suspected that the use of higher concentration in our reaction mixture may cause the shift of the pH profiles as well as the pK_a of peroxynitrous acid. In addition to the pH effect, we have investigated the effect of CO_2 concentration on the production of PBN radical adducts. Increased $[CO_2]$ decreased the observed ESR signal intensity (Fig. 6).

Product Identification of the Radical Adducts by LC-MS Analysis

From the reaction between urate and peroxynitrite in phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, the extracted radical adducts were separated and characterized by liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS). After comparison with the control reaction (Fig. 7B)—the reaction without urate—the full scan LC-MS showed two products, at the retention times of 10:56 and 13:13 minutes. These peaks correspond to the ions of $m/z = 223$ and $m/z = 352$, respectively (Fig. 7A). As displayed in Fig. 7A and 7B, the large area under the total ion current (TIC) chromatography starting at a retention time of 11.12 minutes up to 15.00 minutes arises from ions derived from PBN ($M+1 = 178$), and the small peak at 9 minutes ($m/z = 122$), which was observed in the control reaction as well, could be a degradation product of PBN, which is tentatively identified as benzaldehyde oxime.

In addition to the full scan, the ions at $m/z = 223$ and 352 were selected and analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). At $m/z = 223$, the following fragment ions were identified: m/z (% intensity): 223 (4), 167 (30), 149 (29), 134 (12), 132 (5), 122 (24) and 104 (100), see Fig. 8, and the fragments of $m/z = 352$ exhibited the following m/z ratios (% intensity): 352 (2), 335 (13), 296 (33), 279 (25), 263 (100), 246 (83), 218 (7), 193 (12), 177 (24), 175 (22), 167 (9), 147 (24), 122 (8), 118 (6), 104 (9); and 61 (1), see Fig. 9.

Discussion

Identification of the Free Radicals

Spin trapping allows the trapping of short lived radicals to form a more stable radical adduct, amenable to ESR analysis [17]. However, it is usually not possible to obtain detailed structural information about the trapped radical from ESR alone. The identification of the radical adducts therefore relies on the interpretation of the LC-MS experiments. Their proposed formation mechanism in the peroxynitrite-urate reaction will be discussed in the following.

The first spin-trapping studies on urate-derived radicals were reported by Santos *et al.* on the peroxynitrite-urate system[12]. More than one DMPO-radical adducts were observed from the ESR spectrum. However, only one radical was identified as the aminocarbonyl radical by MS (**13**, Fig. 10). Our experiments also showed that PBN could trap at least two carbon-based radicals. The assignment to carbon-based radicals was made by comparison with the literature [18]. The full scan LC-MS study showed two distinctive products with $m/z = 223$ (M+1) and 352 (M+1), which were absent in the control reaction (Fig. 7).

The analysis of the LC-MS/MS spectra (Fig. 8 and 9) revealed that both ions showed the same initial fragment loss of a neutral compound with a molecular weight (MW) of 56, corresponding to the loss of 2-methylpropene ($[M+H-C_4H_8]^+$). This fragment loss can be explained by the cleavage of the *tert*-butyl group from the PBN moiety. Moreover, the fragmentation pattern of both parent ions contained the same fragment daughter ion at $m/z = 122$. This ion was identified as protonated benzaldehyde oxime (Supplementary Fig. 1 and 2), which was derived from PBN as well. These results indicated that both parent ions contained PBN in their structure. Furthermore, the loss of formamide (MW 45), in both 223 and 352 ions, and isocyanic acid (HNCO, MW 43), in the case of the 352 ion, indicated that at least one amide group was present in both compounds. This assignment is further supported by the loss of ammonia (MW 17) in both 223 and 352 ions, which reflects the fact that the parent compounds have a functional group that contains a primary amine such as an amide. Based on these observations, the MS/MS fragmentation analysis confirmed that the structure of the product corresponding to m/z 223 was consistent with the hydroxylamine form of the PBN-aminocarbonyl radical adduct **14** (Fig. 10), and the structure corresponding to m/z 352 is proposed to be the hydroxylamine form of the PBN-triuretcarbonyl radical adduct **15** (Fig. 10). The existence of the triuret moiety in compound **15** is further confirmed by the fragment ions at $m/z = 147$, 104, and 61 (Supplementary Fig. 2), which is a signature fragmentation pattern of triuret (M+1 = 147) [19].

Mechanism of the Radical Formation

Although the aminocarbonyl radical has been previously characterized, little is known about its formation mechanism. Two possible pathways have been proposed for its generation. Santos *et al.* suggested that the aminocarbonyl radical could be produced from one of the products of the urate-peroxynitrite reaction, such as alloxan, parabanic acid, or allantoin, even though they did not observe any trapped radical when these compounds were incubated with peroxynitrite [12]. Robinson *et al.* later suggested that triuret (**16**, Fig. 10), a novel product from peroxynitrite-induced oxidation of urate, could account for the detection of the aminocarbonyl radical [19]. We have tested the reaction of the proposed intermediates: alloxan, parabanic acid, allantoin, and triuret with peroxynitrite under the same conditions as the oxidation of urate, but did not observe any radical adduct formation. We therefore conclude that the aminocarbonyl radical was not derived from these known urate-derived products. In our study, the trapping of radical adduct **15** led us to postulate that the

triuretcarbonyl radical **12** is an intermediate in the production of the aminocarbonyl radical **13**, as proposed in Fig. 10.

How is the oxidation of urate initiated? It is not clear whether the oxidant is peroxynitrite itself or one of its possible derivatives. Depending on the pH of the reactions, a variety of peroxynitrite-derived compounds can co-exist. At pH 7.4, a mixture of the peroxynitrite anion (ONOO^-) and peroxynitrous acid (ONOOH), or its decomposition products is present [14,15,19]. It is highly unlikely that the negatively charged peroxynitrite anion reacts with the negatively charged urate anion. Rather, at pH 7.4 it is more feasible for urate to be oxidized by neutral uncharged oxidants, for example, peroxynitrous acid (ONOOH) or its decomposition radicals: nitrogen dioxide ($\cdot\text{NO}_2$) and hydroxyl ($\cdot\text{OH}$) radicals [14,15]. These oxidants can initiate a one-electron oxidation [14,15,20,21]. Moreover, the initial step of the oxidation of many purine-based compounds is considered to be a one-electron oxidation as well [10,22,23]. Thus, it is likely that urate is initially oxidized via one electron transfer, forming the urate radical **3** (Equation 4)

When the pH of the reaction is increased, the chemistry of peroxynitrite is changed. At high pH, both peroxynitrite and urate are present in their anion or dianion forms, and their direct reaction is unfavorable because of the charge repulsion. The only oxidant that reacts with urate under basic condition should be nitrogen dioxide ($\cdot\text{NO}_2$) generated from the reaction between peroxynitrite and carbon dioxide [14,15].

In this case the diimine **4**, an oxidative intermediate of uric acid described in many urate oxidation studies [12,24], can be produced by one electron and one proton transfer from the urate radical **3** to another peroxynitrous acid or its derived radicals as described above. The diimine **4** is susceptible to nucleophilic addition and can rapidly react with nucleophiles such as water or ammonia [24] and in our case the peroxynitrite anion. The peroxynitrite anion has been reported to undergo nucleophilic addition in many reactions [25–29]. Moreover, both the observed pH dependence and the dependence on CO_2 concentration suggest one important conclusion, which is that the peroxynitrite anion (ONOO^-) is necessary for the production of radicals. Our observation confirms the results reported by Santos *et al.* where urate-derived radical formation was dependent on peroxynitrite anion [12]. At high pH, peroxynitrite is monoanionic and we observed higher yields of radical production (Fig. 5). In the presence of CO_2 , which can rapidly react with ONOO^- and reduce its concentration [30], the radical formation was decreased (Fig. 6). These observations suggest that peroxynitrite anion can act as a nucleophile and react with the diimine **4** to produce the peroxo adduct **5**.

After undergoing homolysis of the weak peroxo bond (O-O bond) [22,25], the peroxo adduct **5** decomposes to yield nitrogen dioxide ($\cdot\text{NO}_2$) and the radical intermediate **6**, which then rearranges to form a carbonyl radical **7**. The carbonyl radical **7** can be converted to radical **8** by either losing carbon monoxide (CO) or reacting with oxygen (O_2) and releasing carbon dioxide (CO_2). We have performed the reactions under anaerobic conditions with the ESR spin trapping experiments (data not shown). Interestingly, we found that the yield of

the trapped radicals under anaerobic conditions was higher than under aerobic conditions. On the other hand, when reactions were carried out under excess oxygen (O₂), we observed less or no ESR signal from the reaction. But the work by Santos et al. has shown that the urate-peroxynitrite reaction consumed oxygen [12]. We rationalized that oxygen may either quench the radical adducts or quickly react with radical **12** to form triuret, which will diminish the probability of trapping the radicals. From this observation we therefore proposed that it could be either carbon monoxide (when oxygen is absent) or carbon dioxide that could be released from radical **7** and **12**. Indeed, a similar reaction path has been reported recently for the peroxynitrite-mediated oxidation of 8-oxoguanine [22]. Another molecule of peroxynitrite anion may react with intermediate **9**, which is produced by the reduction of compound **8**, to generate the allantoin-peroxo adduct **10**. The multi-molar equivalent consumption of peroxynitrite leading to radical formation was supported by our observation that the yield of radical formation was at a maximum when a four-fold excess of peroxynitrite over urate was used (Fig. 4). By analogy, similar to the degradation of **5**, the triuretcarbonyl radical **12** is obtained. The β -cleavage of triuretcarbonyl radical **12** yields isocyanic acids and the aminocarbonyl radical **13**, which is subsequently trapped by PBN (Fig. 10).

Aside from being the precursor of the aminocarbonyl radical, the triuretcarbonyl radical could also be an intermediate candidate for the generation of triuret **16**, which could be achieved by eliminating carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide by reaction with oxygen (Fig. 10). Given the high concentrations of both urate and peroxynitrite used in this study which was necessitated by the limited sensitivity of the ESR spin trap method one can question the relevance of the proposed mechanism to the intracellular fate of urate. However, we want to point out that triuret is a unique product of the reaction between urate and peroxynitrite that was not only observed in vitro [19] but has also been detected in urine samples of hypertensive patients [31].

Conclusion

The observation of a novel intermediate, triuretcarbonyl radical, sheds light on the formation mechanism of triuret [19] and the aminocarbonyl radical [12] in the reaction between urate and peroxynitrite. In contrast to other known oxidants that can react with uric acid, triuret is only observed in the peroxynitrite-urate reaction [32]. This mechanism rationalizes the uniqueness of peroxynitrite-mediated oxidation of uric acid to produce triuret as a major product.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-0809725), in part by NIH Grant HL-68607 and generous funds from Gatorade. We also acknowledge helpful discussions with Dr. William Dolbier, Jr.

Abbreviation

ESR	electron spin resonance
PBN	<i>N-tert-butyl-α-phenylnitron</i>
LC-MS	liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

DTPA	diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid
MW	molecular weight
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
TIC	total ion current

References

1. Wu XW, Muzny DM, Lee CC, Caskey CT. Two independent mutational events in the loss of urate oxidase during hominoid evolution. *J Mol Evol.* 1992; 34:78–84. [PubMed: 1556746]
2. Johnson RJ, Kang DH, Feig D, Kivlighn S, Kanellis J, Watanabe S, Tuttle KR, Rodriguez-Iturbe B, Herrera-Acosta J, Mazzali M. Is there a pathogenetic role for uric acid in hypertension and cardiovascular and renal disease? *Hypertension.* 2003; 41:1183–1190. [PubMed: 12707287]
3. Ames BN, Cathcart R, Schwiers E, Hochstein P. Uric-Acid Provides An Antioxidant Defense in Humans Against Oxidant-Caused and Radical-Caused Aging and Cancer - A Hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1981; 78:6858–6862. [PubMed: 6947260]
4. Kissner R, Nauser T, Bugnon P, Lye PG, Koppenol WH. Formation and properties of peroxynitrite as studied by laser flash photolysis, high-pressure stopped-flow technique, and pulse radiolysis. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 1997; 10:1285–1292. [PubMed: 9403183]
5. Koppenol WH, Moreno JJ, Pryor WA, Ischiropoulos H, Beckman JS. Peroxynitrite, A Cloaked Oxidant Formed by Nitric-Oxide and Superoxide. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 1992; 5:834–842. [PubMed: 1336991]
6. Kuzkaya N, Weissmann N, Harrison DG, Dikalov S. Interactions of peroxynitrite with uric acid in the presence of ascorbate and thiols: Implications for uncoupling endothelial nitric oxide synthase. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2005; 70:343–354. [PubMed: 15963955]
7. Whiteman M, Halliwell B. Protection against peroxynitrite-dependent tyrosine nitration and alpha 1-antiproteinase inactivation by ascorbic acid. A comparison with other biological antioxidants. *Free Radic Res.* 1996; 25:275–283. [PubMed: 8889493]
8. Squadrito GL, Cueto R, Splenser AE, Valavanidis A, Zhang HW, Uppu RM, Pryor WA. Reaction of uric acid with peroxynitrite and implications for the mechanism of neuroprotection by uric acid. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2000; 376:333–337. [PubMed: 10775420]
9. Mazzali M, Hughes J, Kim YG, Jefferson JA, Kang DH, Gordon KL, Lan HY, Kivlighn S, Johnson RJ. Elevated uric acid increases blood pressure in the rat by a novel crystal-independent mechanism. *Hypertension.* 2001; 38:1101–1106. [PubMed: 11711505]
10. Maples KR, Mason RP. Free-Radical Metabolite of Uric-Acid. *J Biol Chem.* 1988; 263:1709–1712. [PubMed: 2828349]
11. VasquezVivar J, Santos AM, Junqueira VBC, Augusto O. Peroxynitrite-mediated formation of free radicals in human plasma: EPR detection of ascorbyl, albumin-thiyl and uric acid-derived free radicals. *Biochem J.* 1996; 314:869–876. [PubMed: 8615782]
12. Santos CXC, Anjos EI, Augusto O. Uric acid oxidation by peroxynitrite: Multiple reactions, free radical formation, and amplification of lipid oxidation. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 1999; 372:285–294. [PubMed: 10600166]
13. Sautin YY, Johnson RJ. Uric acid: The oxidant-antioxidant paradox. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids.* 2008; 27:608–619. [PubMed: 18600514]
14. Szabo C, Ischiropoulos H, Radi R. Peroxynitrite: biochemistry, pathophysiology and development of therapeutics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2007; 6:662–680. [PubMed: 17667957]
15. Augusto O, Bonini MG, Amanso AM, Linares E, Santos CCX, de Menezes SL. Nitrogen dioxide and carbonate radical anion: Two emerging radicals in biology. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2002; 32:841–859. [PubMed: 11978486]
16. Uppu RM, Pryor WA. Synthesis of peroxynitrite in a two-phase system using isoamyl nitrite and hydrogen peroxide. *Anal Biochem.* 1996; 236:242–249.
17. Janzen EG. Spin Trapping. *Acc Chem Res.* 1971; 4:31.

18. Buettner GR. Spin Trapping - Electron-Spin-Resonance Parameters of Spin Adducts. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 1987; 3:259–303. [PubMed: 2826304]
19. Robinson KM, Morre JT, Beckman JS. Triuret: a novel product of peroxynitrite-mediated oxidation of urate. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2004; 423:213–217. [PubMed: 14871483]
20. Ferrer-Sueta G, Radi R. Chemical Biology of Peroxynitrite: Kinetics, Diffusion, and Radicals. *ACS Chem Biol.* 2009; 4:161–177. [PubMed: 19267456]
21. Pryor WA, Jin X, Squadrito GL. One-Electron and 2-Electron Oxidations of Methionine by Peroxynitrite. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1994; 91:11173–11177. [PubMed: 7972029]
22. Niles JC, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. Peroxynitrite-induced oxidation and nitration products of guanine and 8-oxoguanine: Structures and mechanisms of product formation. *Nitric Oxide.* 2006; 14:109–121. [PubMed: 16352449]
23. Steenken S. Purine-Bases, Nucleosides, and Nucleotides - Aqueous-Solution Redox Chemistry and Transformation Reactions of Their Radical Cations and E- and Oh Adducts. *Chem Rev.* 1989; 89:503–520.
24. Volk KJ, Yost RA, Brajtertoth A. Online Electrochemistry Thermospray Tandem Mass-Spectrometry As A New Approach to the Study of Redox Reactions - the Oxidation of Uric-Acid. *Anal Chem.* 1989; 61:1709–1717. [PubMed: 2774199]
25. Massari J, Fujii DE, Dutra F, Vaz SM, Costa ACO, Micke GA, Tavares MFM, Tokikawa R, Assuncao NA, Bechara EJH. Radical acetylation of 2'-deoxyguanosine and L-histidine coupled to the reaction of diacetyl with peroxynitrite in aerated medium. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2008; 21:879–887. [PubMed: 18361509]
26. Nakao LS, Ouchi D, Augusto O. Oxidation of acetaldehyde by peroxynitrite and hydrogen peroxide/iron(II). Production of acetate, formate, and methyl radicals. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 1999; 12:1010–1018. [PubMed: 10525279]
27. Nonoyama N, Oshima H, Shoda C, Suzuki H. The reaction of peroxynitrite with organic molecules bearing a biologically important functionality. The multiplicity of reaction modes as exemplified by hydroxylation, nitration, nitrosation, dealkylation, oxygenation, and oxidative dimerization and cleavage. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn.* 2001; 74:2385–2395.
28. Sikora A, Zielonka J, Lopez M, Joseph J, Kalyanaraman B. Direct oxidation of boronates by peroxynitrite: Mechanism and implications in fluorescence imaging of peroxynitrite. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2009; 47:1401–1407. [PubMed: 19686842]
29. VasquezVivar J, Denicola A, Radi R, Augusto O. Peroxynitrite-mediated decarboxylation of pyruvate to both carbon dioxide and carbon dioxide radical anion. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 1997; 10:786–794. [PubMed: 9250413]
30. Lymar SV, Hurst JK. Rapid Reaction Between Peroxonitrite Ion and Carbon-Dioxide - Implications for Biological-Activity. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1995; 117:8867–8868.
31. Kim KM, Henderson GN, Frye RF, Galloway CD, Brown NJ, Segal MS, Imaram W, Angerhofer A, Johnson RJ. Simultaneous determination of uric acid metabolites allantoin, 6-aminouracil, and triuret in human urine using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci.* 2009; 877:65–70.
32. Gersch C, Palii SP, Imaram W, Kim KM, Karumanchi SA, Angerhofer A, Johnson RJ, Henderson GN. Reactions of Peroxynitrite with Uric Acid: Formation of Reactive Intermediates, Alkylated Products and Triuret, and In Vivo Production of Triuret Under Conditions of Oxidative Stress. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids.* 2009; 28:118–149. [PubMed: 19219741]

Fig. 1.
Structures of uric acid (**1**) and N-*tert*-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN) (**2**).

Fig. 2.

ESR spectra of PBN radical adducts obtained from the incubation of 3 mM urate, 30 mM N-tert-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN), 0.1 mM DTPA, and 9 mM peroxynitrite in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. At room temperature, the ESR spectrum was recorded 2 minutes after addition of peroxynitrite. (A) The ESR spectrum of the PBN-radical adducts using the instrumental parameters of 100 kHz modulation frequency, 1 G modulation amplitude, 20 mW microwave power, 9.87 GHz microwave frequency, 82 ms time constant, and 164 ms conversion time/point. (B) The control reaction conducted under the same conditions as described in (A) but without urate.

Fig. 3.

Effect of urate concentration on the production yield of the PBN-radical adduct derived from urate obtained from the oxidation of urate by peroxynitrite. The ESR spectra were recorded after 2 min of incubation with various urate concentrations, 19 mM N-tert-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN), 0.1 mM DTPA, and 23 mM peroxynitrite in 0.3 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4. The instrumental parameters were 100 kHz modulation frequency, 0.5 G modulation amplitude, 20 mW microwave power, 9.87 GHz microwave frequency, 82 ms time constant, and 164 ms conversion time/point.

Fig. 4.

Effect of peroxynitrite concentration on the production yield of the PBN-radical adduct derived from urate obtained from the oxidation of urate by peroxynitrite. The ESR spectra were recorded after 2 min of incubation of 3 mM urate, 30 mM N-tert-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN), 0.1 mM DTPA, and various concentrations of peroxynitrite in 0.5 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4. The instrumental parameters were 100 kHz modulation frequency, 1 G modulation amplitude, 20 mW microwave power, 9.87 GHz microwave frequency, 20 ms time constant, and 82 ms conversion time/point.

Fig. 5.

Effect of pH on the yield of the PBN-radical adduct derived from urate obtained from the oxidation of urate by peroxynitrite. The ESR spectra were recorded after 2 min of incubation of 3 mM urate, 30 mM N-tert-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN), 0.1 mM DTPA, and 9 mM peroxynitrite in 0.5 M phosphate buffer at various pHs. The instrumental parameters were 100 kHz modulation frequency, 1 G modulation amplitude, 20 mW microwave power, 9.87 GHz microwave frequency, 20 ms time constant, and 82 ms conversion time/point. The measured ESR intensities corresponded to the low field PBN-radical adduct peak to peak heights.

Fig. 6.

Effect of $[\text{CO}_2]$ on the yield of the PBN-radical adduct derived from urate obtained from the oxidation of urate by peroxynitrite. The ESR spectra were recorded after 2 min of incubation of 3 mM urate, 30 mM N-tert-butyl- α -phenylnitron (PBN), 0.1 mM DTPA, and 9 mM peroxynitrite in 0.5 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 in the presence of various concentrations of bicarbonate (HCO_3^-). The instrumental parameters were 100 kHz modulation frequency, 1 G modulation amplitude, 20 mW microwave power, 9.87 GHz microwave frequency, 82 ms time constant, and 164 ms conversion time/point.

Fig. 7.

LC-MS study of the reaction between urate and peroxynitrite in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Before submitting to LC, the reaction products were extracted by methylene chloride (CH_2Cl_2), dried under nitrogen gas, and resuspended in acetonitrile. (A) LC chromatogram of 10 mM urate treated with 30 mM peroxynitrite in the presence of 30 mM PBN and 0.1 mM DTPA. (B) LC chromatogram of the control reaction where every reagent had the same concentration as described in (A) but without urate.

Fig. 8. MS/MS spectrum of the $m/z = 223$ ($M+1$) ion identified as the PBN-aminocarbonyl radical adduct.

Fig. 9. MS/MS spectrum of the $m/z = 352$ ($M+1$) ion identified as the PBN-triuretercarbonyl radical adduct.

Fig. 10.
Proposed radical formation mechanism of the reaction between urate and peroxynitrite.