Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2009 February 28; 65(9): 1794–1798. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2008.10.113.

Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydration of Allenes

Zhibin Zhang, Seong Du Lee, Aaron S. Fisher, and Ross A. Widenhoefer*

Department of Chemistry, Duke University, French Family Science Center, Durham, NC 27708-0346, USA

Abstract

A gold(I) *N*-heterocyclic carbene complex catalyzes the intermolecular hydration of allenes to form allylic alcohols in modest yield with selective delivery of water to the terminal carbon atoms of the allenyl moiety.

1. Introduction

There has been considerable interest in the transition metal-catalyzed hydration of alkenes as an alternative to acid-catalyzed or heavy metal-mediated approaches to hydration, particularly as a means to achieve anti-Markovnikov addition.¹ However, effective transition metal-catalyzed hydration of simple alkenes has not been realized. Complexes of a number of transition metals including Pt(II),^{2,3} Hg(II),^{3,4} Au(I),⁵ and Ru(II)⁶ catalyze the hydration of alkynes, but these methods are not effective for alkenes. Palladium(II) salts promote the addition of water to ethylene and unactivated 1-alkenes, but facile β -hydride elimination leads to oxidation and formation of ketones.⁷ Reports of platinum-catalyzed anti-Markovnikov hydration of 1-hexene⁸ have not been validated,⁹ and as such, transition metal-catalyzed alkene hydration has been restricted to electron deficient alkenes such as maleate esters.¹⁰

eq. 1

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-919-660-1533; fax: +1-919-660-1605; e-mail: rwidenho@chem.duke.edu.

We became interested in the transition metal-catalyzed hydration of allenes, which are destabilized by ~10 kcal/mol relative to alkenes,¹¹ as an entry point into the development of catalytic alkene hydration processes. Although a number of O–H nucleophiles undergo efficient transition metal-catalyzed intermolecular addition across the C=C bond of an allene,^{12,13} water is not among them. In a lone example, the Ru(II)-catalyzed reaction of monosubstituted allenes with water led to competitive hydrative dimerization and hydration initiated via attack of water at the internal allenyl carbon atom.¹⁴ Attack of water at the central carbon of the allene is also observed in the Brønsted acid-catalyzed hydration of allenes.¹⁵ Here we report the gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of allenes to form allylic alcohols via selective attack of water at the terminal allenyl carbon atoms.

2. Results and discussion

We have recently reported that a mixture of the gold(I) *N*-heterocyclic carbene complex (1)AuCl [**1** = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene] and AgOTf catalyze the intermolecular hydroalkoxylation of allenes with alcohols (eq 1),¹³ and we considered that this catalyst system might also be effective for the hydration of allenes. However, direct application of the catalyst system employed for the intermolecular hydroalkoxylation of allenes met with modest success. Stirring a mixture of 2,3-pentadienyl benzoate (**2**; 0.4 M), water (2 equiv), and a catalytic 1:1 mixture of (1)AuCl and AgOTf in toluene at 23 °C for 24 h led to complete consumption of **2** to form a 1:1 mixture of secondary allylic alcohols **3a** and **3b** in 33% combined yield (Table 1, entry 1).¹⁶ It appeared reasonable that the inefficient hydration of **2** was due, at least in part, to the limited solubility of water in toluene. Indeed, gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of **2** in water miscible solvents such as acetone, THF, or dioxane led to significant increase in the yield of **3** (Table 1, entries 2–4). In a preparative-scale experiment, reaction of **2** (0.4 M) with water (2 equiv) and a catalytic 1:1 mixture of (1)AuCl and AgOTf in dioxane at 23 °C for 3 h led to isolation of **3a** in 48% yield and **3b** in 25% (Table 2, entry 1).

In comparison to **2**, 1-aryl-2,3-butadienes **4** and **5** underwent gold(I)-catalyzed hydration to form **6** and **7**, respectively, in >60% yield as single regioisomers resulting from attack of water at the methyl-bound allenyl carbon atom (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). Gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of enantiomerically enriched **4** (78% ee) formed racemic **6**, owing to the rapid racemization of **4** under reaction conditions.^{13,17} The 1,3-dialkyl-substituted allene **8** also underwent gold(I)-catalyzed hydration to form **9** in 54% yield (Table 2, entry 4). Gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of the mono substituted allenes **10** and **11** and the 1,1-disubstituted allene **12** led to isolation of primary allylic alcohols **13–15**, respectively, as single regio- and diastereoisomers, in modest yield (Table 2, entries 5–7). In comparison, hydration of the trisubstituted allene **16** led to isolation of a 2.5:1 mixture of tertiary allylic alcohol **17a** and secondary allylic alcohol **17b**, in 73% combined yield (Table 2, entry 8).

In summary, we have developed a gold(I)-catalyzed protocol for the hydration of allenes to form (*E*)-allylic alcohols via selective delivery of water to the terminal allenyl carbon. We continue to work toward the development of more effective allene hydration catalysts and toward the development of alkene hydration catalysts.

3. Experimental

3.1. General methods

Reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere employing standard Schlenk and drybox techniques unless specified otherwise. NMR spectra were obtained on Varian spectrometers operating at 400 MHz for ¹H NMR and 101 MHz for ¹³C NMR in CDCl₃ at 25 °C unless noted otherwise. IR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet Avatar 360-FT IR

spectrometer. Gas chromatography was performed on a Hewlett-Pakard 5890 gas chromatography equipped with a 15 m or 25 m polydimethylsiloxane capillary column and FID detector. Column chromatography was performed employing 230-400 mesh silica gel (Silicycle). Catalytic reactions were performed in sealed glass tubes under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen unless noted otherwise. Elemental analyses were performed by Complete Analysis Laboratories (Parsippany, NJ). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (EMD Chemicals Inc.). Room temperature is 23 °C. All solvents were purchased from Aldrich or Acros in anhydrous form and used as received. All reagents were purchased from major suppliers and used as received. Tridec-7-yn-6-ol,¹⁸ *o*-nitrobenzenesulfonyl hydrazine,¹⁹ ethyl 3-hexyl-3,4-pentadienoate,²⁰ 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-butyn-2-ol,²¹ 2,3-pentadienyl benzoate (**2**),¹³ 1-phenyl-1,2-butadiene (**4**),¹³ dimethyl 2-(2,3-butadienyl)malonate (**10**),¹³ and 1-(benzyloxy)-2-(5-methyl-3,4-hexadienyl)benzene (**16**)¹³ were prepared employing published procedures.

3.2. Preparation of allenes

3.2.1. 1-(1,2-Butadienyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (5)—Diethylazodicarboxylate (1.4 mL, 8.8 mmol) was added to a solution of triphenylphosphine (2.3 g, 8.8 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at −10 °C over 1 min. The resulting solution was stirred at −10 °C for 10 min, treated with a solution of 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-butyn-2-ol (1.45 g, 6.8 mmol) in THF (10 mL), stirred for 10 min, and treated with a solution of *o*-nitrobenzenesulfonyl hydrazine (1.9 g, 8.8 mmol) in THF (15 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred between −10 °C and 0 °C for 2 h, warmed to room temperature, and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, diluted with Et₂O, washed with ice water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The resulting residue was chromatographed (hexane) to give **5** as a colorless oil, 74%. TLC (hexane): *R*_f = 0.69. ¹H NMR: δ 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.14–6.10 (m, 1 H), 5.61 (quintet, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.81 (ddd, *J* = 1.2, 3.2, 7.2 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 207.1, 139.3, 128.8 (q, ²*J*_{C-F} = 32.4 Hz), 126.9, 125.7 (q, ³*J*_{C-F} = 4.0 Hz), 124.5 (q, ¹*J*_{C-F} = 271.9 Hz), 93.2, 90.2, 13.8. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 2930, 1615, 1322, 1162, 1119, 1064, 1016, 875, 843, 754. HRMS calcd (found) for C₁₁H₉F₃ (M⁺): 198.0656 (198.0657).

3.2.2. 6,7-Tridecadiene (8)—6,7-Tridecadiene (**8**)²² was synthesized from tridec-7-yn-6-ol (0.30 g, 1.5 mmol) employing a procedure analogous to that used to synthesize **5**. Colorless oil, 37%. ¹H NMR: δ 5.09–5.04 (m, 2 H), 2.00–1.94 (m, 4 H), 1.45–1.25 (m, 12 H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 204.0, 91.1, 31.6, 29.2, 29.1, 22.7, 14.3.

3.2.3. Henicosa-1,2-diene (11)—1-Icosyne (5.10 g, 50.0 mmol) was added to a suspension of formaldehyde (1.55 g, 50.0 mmol), diisopropylamine (5.10 g, 50.0 mmol), and CuBr (1.44 g, 10.0 mmol) in dioxane (75 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 20 h, cooled, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was diluted with Et₂O (150 mL), filtered through silica gel, eluted with Et₂O (150 mL), and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography of the residue (hexane) gave **11** (3.43 g, 52%) as a white solid. TLC (hexane): *R*_f = 0.81. ¹H NMR: δ 5.06 (quintet, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.61 (td, *J* = 3.2, 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.03–1.94 (m, 2 H), 1.48–1.10 (m, 32 H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 208.7, 90.2, 74.6, 32.2, 30.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 28.5, 22.9, 14.3. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 2956, 2915, 2848, 1956, 1467, 861, 841, 721, 562. HRMS calcd (found) for C₂₁H₄₀ (M⁺): 292.3130 (292.3127).

3.2.4. 3-(2-Benzyloxyethyl)-1,2-nonadiene (12)—Ethyl 3-hexyl-3,4-pentadienoate (2.54 g, 12.9 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.63 g, 16.5 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h and then treated sequentially with water (0.6 mL), NaOH 15% (1.25 mL), and water (2 mL). The resulting

mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and concentrated to give 3-hexyl-3,4-pentadien-1-ol (**18**) as a colorless oil (1.93 g, 97%) that was used in the subsequent step without further purification. Alcohol **18** (1.00 g, 5.94 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of NaH (171 mg, 7.14 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) at 0 °C, stirred for 30 min, and treated with benzyl bromide (1.22 g, 7.14 mmol). The resulting suspension was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 10 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, treated with water (50 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (75 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The resulting residue was chromatographed (hexanes–EtOAc = 30:1) to give **12** as a colorless oil (0.67 g, 43%).

For 18:²³ TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 4:1): R_f = 0.17. ¹H NMR: δ 4.78–4.64 (m, 2 H), 3.73 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.23–2.17 (m, 2 H), 1.99–1.88 (m, 2 H), 1.81 (s, 1H), 1.52–1.20 (m, 8 H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 205.6, 100.4, 76.3, 60.9, 35.4, 32.4, 31.8, 29.1, 27.5, 22.7, 14.2. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3395, 2955, 2926, 2856, 1716, 1457, 1378, 1175, 1029, 845, 724. HRMS: calcd (found) for C₁₁H₂₀O (M⁺): 168.1514 (168.1516).

For 12: TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 4:1): R_f = 0.83. ¹H NMR: δ 7.39–7.28 (m, 5 H), 4.74–4.67 (m, 2 H), 4.55 (s, 2 H), 3.62 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.35–2.25 (m, 2 H), 2.04–1.95 (m, 2 H), 1.51–1.24 (m, 8 H), 0.92 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 205.9, 138.7, 128.4, 127.8, 127.6, 100.3, 75.8, 73.0, 68.9, 32.5, 32.3, 31.8, 29.1, 27.5, 22.8, 14.2. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2924, 2855, 1454, 1362, 1099, 844, 732, 696. HRMS calcd (found) for C₁₈H₂₆O (M⁺): 258.1984 (258.1982).

3.3. Hydration products

3.3.1. (*E*)-4-Hydroxy-2-pentenyl benzoate (3a) and (*E*)-2-hydroxy-3-pentenyl benzoate (3b)—A mixture of (**1**)AuCl (6.2 mg, 0.010 mmol) and AgOTf (2.6 mg, 0.010 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.2 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min, treated with a solution of **2** (37.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) and H₂O (5.4 mg, 0.30 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.3 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Column chromatography of the crude reaction mixture (hexanes–EtOAc = 10:1 → 2:1) gave (*E*)-4-hydroxy-2-pentenyl benzoate (**3a**, 19.8 mg, 48%) and (*E*)-2-hydroxy-3-pentenyl benzoate (**3b**, 10.1 mg, 25%) as colorless oils.

For 3a: TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.18. ¹H NMR: δ 8.04–8.01 (m, 2 H), 7.55–7.51 (m, 1 H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H), 5.83 (dq, J = 1.2, 6.4, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (qdd, J = 1.6, 6.4, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.46–4.41 (m, 1 H), 4.34 (dd, J = 3.8, 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.22 (dd, J = 7.2, 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.36 (br s, 1 H), 1.71–1.69 (m, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 166.9, 133.3, 130.1, 129.8, 129.5, 129.3, 128.6, 71.1, 68.8, 18.0. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3468, 2949, 1712, 1602, 1449, 1376, 1271, 1115, 1068, 967, 710. Anal calcd (found) for C₁₂H₁₄O₃: C, 69.88 (69.76); H, 6.84 (6.79).

For 3b: TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.09. ¹H NMR: δ 8.04–8.02 (m, 2 H), 7.55–7.51 (m, 1 H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H), 5.90 (dd, J = 4.8, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.85 (td, J = 5.2, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (dd, J = 1.0, 4.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.37–4.31 (m, 1 H), 1.89 (s, br, 1 H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 166.5, 138.6, 133.2, 130.3, 129.8, 128.5, 123.8, 68.1, 64.9, 23.3. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3409, 2971, 1713, 1602, 1450, 1375, 1268, 1112, 1066, 967, 710. Anal calcd (found) for C₁₂H₁₄O₃: C, 69.88 (69.76); H, 6.84 (6.94).

The *E*-configuration of allylic alcohols were assigned on the basis of the large vicinal C=C coupling constant of the allylic moiety in the ¹H NMR spectrum (³ J_{HH} $\approx$ 15.6 Hz).

3.3.2. (*E*)-4-Phenyl-3-buten-2-ol (6)²⁴—A mixture of (**1**)AuCl (12.4 mg, 0.020 mmol) and AgOTf (5.1 mg, 0.020 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.2 mL) was stirred at room temperature

for 5 min, treated with a solution of buta-1,2-dienylbenzene (**4**) (52.1 mg, 0.40 mmol) and H₂O (14.4 mg, 0.80 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.3 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Column chromatography of the crude reaction mixture (hexanes/EtOAc = 10:1 → 5:1) gave **6** (31.9 mg, 54%) as a colorless oil. TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.20. ¹H NMR: δ 7.36–7.19 (m, 5 H), 6.53 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.23 (ddd, J = 1.2, 6.4, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.50–4.42 (m, 1 H), 1.80 (s, br, 1 H), 1.34 (dd, J = 1.2, 6.4 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 136.9, 133.8, 129.6, 128.8, 127.8, 126.6, 69.1, 23.6.

All remaining intermolecular hydration reactions were performed employing a procedure analogous to that used to synthesize **6** unless noted otherwise.

3.3.3. (E)-4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-buten-2-ol (7)—Colorless oil, 62%. TLC (CH₂Cl₂): R_f = 0.24. ¹H NMR: δ 7.54 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.59 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.34 (dd, J = 6.0, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (quintet, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.72 (s, br, 1 H), 1.37 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 140.5, 136.4, 129.6 (q, J = 32.4 Hz), 128.1, 126.8, 125.7 (q, J = 4.0 Hz), 124.4 (q, J = 271.9 Hz), 68.8, 23.6. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 3359, 2976, 1616, 1414, 1322, 1163, 1119, 1066, 816. HRMS calcd (found) for C₁₁H₁₁F₃O (M⁺): 216.0762 (216.0762).

3.3.4. (E)-Tridec-7-en-6-ol (9)—Colorless oil, 54%. TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 8:1): R_f = 0.40. ¹H NMR: δ 5.63 (dt, J = 6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (ddt, J = 1.2, 7.2, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.03 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.02 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.60–1.20 (m, 15 H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 133.2, 132.5, 73.5, 37.5, 32.4, 32.0, 31.6, 29.1, 25.4, 22.8, 22.7, 14.3, 14.2. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 2957, 2923, 2853, 1463, 1378, 1062, 969. HRMS calcd (found) for C₁₃H₂₆O (M⁺): 198.1984 (198.1977).

3.3.5. (E)-Dimethyl 2-(4-hydroxy-2-butenyl)malonate (13)—Colorless oil, 41%. TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 1:5): R_f = 0.51. ¹H NMR: δ 5.72 (ttd, J = 0.8, 5.2, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.63 (ttd, J = 0.8, 6.8, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.07–4.04 (m, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 6 H), 3.42 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.62 (dt, J = 0.8, 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.53 (s, br, 1 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 169.4, 132.6, 127.6, 63.4, 52.8, 51.7, 31.6. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 3378, 2955, 1731, 1437, 1343, 1231, 1157, 1096, 974, 851. HRMS calcd (found) for C₉H₁₂O₄ (M⁺–H₂O): 184.0736 (184.0738).

3.3.6. (E)-2-Henicosen-1-ol (14)—White solid, (42 %). TLC (hexane): R_f = 0.25. ¹H NMR: δ 5.69 (td, J = 6.4, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.55 (td, J = 6.4, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.90 (dd, J = 1.2, 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.03 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.50–1.00 (m, 33 H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 135.1, 126.5, 70.9, 32.5, 32.2, 29.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 22.9, 14.3. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 2955, 2917, 2871, 2848, 1712, 1471, 1462, 1375, 1360, 1260, 1166, 1118, 1064, 1019, 965, 803, 719, 599. HRMS calcd (found) for C₂₁H₄₂O (M⁺): 310.3236 (310.3240).

3.3.7. (Z)-3-(2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl)-2-nonen-1-ol (15)—Colorless oil, 36%. TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.30. ¹H NMR: δ 7.32–7.20 (m, 5H), 5.63 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.46 (s, 2 H), 3.97 (dd, J = 5.6, 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.44 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.95 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.39–1.15 (m, 8 H), 0.83 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 141.8, 137.8, 128.7, 128.1, 126.1, 73.4, 67.8, 58.1, 36.7, 31.9, 30.9, 29.3, 28.0, 22.8, 14.3. IR (neat, cm^{−1}): 3370, 2954, 2925, 2855, 1496, 1465, 1454, 1415, 1361, 1097, 1002, 735, 697. HRMS calcd (found) for C₁₈H₂₈O₂ (M⁺–H₂O): 258.1984 (258.1986). The *Z*-configuration of allylic alcohol (*Z*)-**15** was unambiguously assigned through nOe analysis. Irradiation of proton *H*_a led to enhancement of *H*_b but no enhancement of *H*_d, and irradiation of *H*_c led to enhancement of *H*_d but no enhancement of *H*_b.

(Z)-15

3.3.8. Hydration of 1-(benzyloxy)-2-(5-methyl-3,4-hexadienyl)benzene (16**)**—A mixture of (**1**)AuCl (6.2 mg, 0.010 mmol) and AgOTf (2.6 mg, 0.010 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.2 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min, treated with a solution of **16** (55.7 mg, 0.20 mmol) and H₂O (5.4 mg, 0.30 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.3 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 9 h. Column chromatography of the crude reaction mixture (hexanes–EtOAc = 10:1 → 5:1) gave a 2.5:1 mixture of (*E*)-6-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-methyl-3-hexen-2-ol (**17a**) and 1-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-5-methyl-4-hexen-3-ol (**17b**) (43.2 mg, 73%) as a colorless oil. TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): *R_f* = 0.28. ¹H NMR (**17a**): δ 7.46–6.89 (m, 9 H), 5.68 (td, *J* = 6.4, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.58 (dd, *J* = 0.8, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.09 (s, 2 H), 2.77 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (td, *J* = 6.8, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.43 (s, br, 1 H), 1.27 (s, 6 H). ¹H NMR (**17b**): δ 7.46–6.89 (m, 9 H), 5.20 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 4.33 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.84–2.66 (m, 2 H), 1.94–1.67 (m, 2 H), 1.71 (s, 3 H), 1.62 (s, 3 H), 1.44 (s, br, 1 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (both **17a** and **17b**): δ 156.7, 156.6, 138.5, 137.7, 137.5, 135.2, 130.9, 130.8, 130.3, 130.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 127.25, 127.23, 127.20, 127.0, 121.1, 120.8, 111.9, 111.8, 70.7, 70.1, 69.9, 68.4, 38.1, 32.7, 30.6, 29.9, 26.5, 25.9, 18.4. IR

(neat, cm^{-1}): 3350, 2969, 1596, 1494, 1451, 1377, 1236, 1112, 1022, 970, 746, 695. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_2$ (M^+): 296.1776 (296.1774).

3.4. Control experiments

1. Treatment of water (5.4 mg, 0.30 mmol) and **2** (37.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) with (1)AuCl (5 mol %) in dioxane (0.5 mL) at 23 °C for 40 h led to no consumption of **2** as determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture versus decane internal standard.
2. Treatment of water (5.4 mg, 0.30 mmol) and **2** (37.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) with **1** (5 mol %) and AgOTf (5 mol%) in dioxane (0.5 mL) at 23 °C for 40 h led to no consumption of **2** as determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture versus decane internal standard.
3. Treatment of water (5.4 mg, 0.30 mmol) and **2** (37.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) with **1** (5 mol %) and HOTf (5 mol %) in dioxane (0.5 mL) at 23 °C for 40 h led to no consumption of **2** as determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture versus decane internal standard.
4. Treatment of water (5.4 mg, 0.30 mmol) and **2** (37.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) with HOTf (10 mol %) in dioxane (0.5 mL) at 23 °C for 40 h led to no consumption of **2** as determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture versus decane internal standard.

3.5. Identification of byproducts from the reaction of water with **2**

A mixture of (1)AuCl (18.6 mg, 0.030 mmol) and AgOTf (7.7 mg, 0.030 mmol) in dioxane (0.2 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min, treated with a solution of **2** (112.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and water (16.2 mg, 0.9 mmol) in dioxane (0.3 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 4 h. GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture revealed formation of a ~1:1 mixture of **3a** and **3b** (GC retention times = 2.72 and 2.52 min, respectively) that accounted for 33% of the reaction mixture. The remainder of the reaction mixture consisted of a 1.5:1.5:1:1 mixture of four compounds that eluted at 7.24 (**19a**), 7.42 (**19b**), 7.48 (**20a**), and 7.55 (**20b**) min (Scheme 1). Column chromatography of the crude reaction mixture (hexanes–EtOAc = 15:1 → 10:1) gave one fraction that contained a 1:1 mixture of **19a** and **19b** (27 mg, 23% yield) and a second fraction that contained a 1:1 mixture of **20a** and **20b** (18 mg, 15% yield). ^1H NMR analysis of **19** and **20** were consistent with diastereomeric mixtures of regioisomeric diallyl ether derivatives (see below). No parent ion peak was observed for either **19** or **20** via EIMS owing to facile fragmentation about the allylic C–O bonds. Rather, key fragments observed in the EIMS were $m/z = 273.1$ ($\text{M}^+ - \text{OBz}$) and 189.1 [$\text{M}^+ - \text{OCH}(\text{Me})\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{C}(\text{H})\text{CH}_2\text{OBz}$] (see below).

For 19— ^1H NMR: δ 8.07-8.03 (m, 4 H), 7.59-7.53 (m, 2 H), 7.47-7.41 (m, 4 H), 5.90-5.70 (m, 4 H), [4.83 (d, J = 5.2 Hz), 4.80 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 1:1, 4 H], [4.06 (q, J = 6.4 Hz), 4.00 (q, J = 6.4 Hz), 1:1, 2 H], [1.25 (d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.24 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1:1, 6 H]. The EIMS displayed three major peaks at m/z = 273.1 [$\text{M}^+ - \text{OBz}$], 189.1 [$\text{M}^+ - \text{OCH}(\text{Me})\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{C}(\text{H})\text{CH}_2\text{OBz}$], and 105.0 [OBz].

For 20— ^1H NMR: δ 8.07-8.02 (m, 4 H), 7.59-7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.46-7.36 (m, 4 H), 5.90-5.70 (m, 3 H), 5.45 (qdd, J = 2.0, 7.2, 15.6 Hz), 5.40 (qdd, J = 2.0, 7.2, 15.6 Hz), 1:1, 1 H], 4.81 (m, 1 H), 4.72 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), [4.37-4.11 (m), 4.04 (q, J = 6.8 Hz) 4:1, 4 H], [1.74 (dd, J = 1.6, 6.4 Hz), 1.70 (dd, J = 1.6, 6.8 Hz), 1:1, 3 H], [1.26 (dd, J = 1.6, 6.8 Hz), 1.26 (m), 1:1, 3 H]. The EIMS displayed two major peaks at m/z = 189.1 [$\text{M}^+ - \text{OCH}(\text{Me})\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{C}(\text{H})\text{CH}_2\text{OBz}$] and 105.0 [OBz].

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgment is made to the NSF (CHE-0555425), NIH (GM-080422), and Johnson&Johnson for support of this research.

References

1. Roth JF. Chem Eng News 1993 May 31;:27.
2. (a) Francisco LW, Moreno DA, Atwood JD. Organometallics 2001;20:4237–4245. (b) Baidossi W, Lahav M, Blum J. J Org Chem 1997;62:669–672. [PubMed: 11671462] (c) Das A, Liao H-H, Liu R-S. J Org Chem 2007;72:9214–9218. [PubMed: 17958447]
3. Hartman JW, Hiscox WC, Jennings PW. J Org Chem 1993;58:7613–7614.
4. Nishizawa M, Skwarczynski M, Imagawa H, Sugihara T. Chem Lett 2002;31:12–13.
5. (a) Mizushima E, Sato K, Hayashi T, Tanaka M. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:4563–4565. (b) Casado R, Contel M, Laguna M, Romero P, Sanz S. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:11925–11935. [PubMed: 14505414]
6. (a) Suzuki T, Tokunaga M, Wakatsuki Y. Org Lett 2001;3:735–737. [PubMed: 11259049] (b) Grotjahn DB, Lev DA. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:12232–12233. [PubMed: 15453733] (c) Labonne A, Kribber T, Hintermann L. Org Lett 2006;8:5853–5856. [PubMed: 17134289] (d) Alvarez P, Bassetti M, Gimeno J, Mancini G. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:8467–8470. (e) Tokunaga M, Suzuki T, Koga N, Fukushima T, Horiuchi A, Wakatsuki Y. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:11917–11924. [PubMed: 11724598] (f) Tokunaga M, Wakatsuki Y. Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:2867–2869.
7. (a) Ganguly S, Roundhill DM. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1991:639–640. (b) James BR, Louie J. Inorg Chim Acta 1969;3:568–574. (c) Ganguly S, Roundhill DM. Organometallics 1993;12:4825–4832.
8. Jensen CM, Trogler WC. Science 1986;233:1069–1071. [PubMed: 17746578]
9. Ramprasad D, Yue HJ, Marsella JA. Inorg Chem 1988;27:3151–3155.
10. (a) Parshall, GW.; Ittel, SD. Homogeneous Catalysis: The Applications and Chemistry of Catalysis by Soluble Transition Metal Complexes. 2. John Wiley & Sons; New York: 1992. p. 137-141.(b) Hegedus, LS. Transition Metals in the Synthesis of Complex Organic Molecules. 2. University Science Books; Sausalito: 1999. p. 188-192.
11. Padwa A, Filipkowski MA, Meske M, Murphree SS, Watterson SH, Ni Z. J Org Chem 1994;59:588–569.
12. (a) Patil NT, Pahadi NK, Yamamoto Y. Can J Chem 2005;83:569–573. (b) Kim IS, Krische MJ. Org Lett 2008;10:513–515. [PubMed: 18181640] (c) Schulz MJ, Teles H. Chem Abstr 1997;127:121499. (BASF AG), WO-A1 9721648, 1997.
13. Zhang Z, Widenhoefer RA. Org Lett 2008;10:2079–2081. [PubMed: 18412355]

14. Saito S, Dobashi N, Wakatsuki Y. Chem Lett 2005;34:504–505.
15. (a) Cramer P, Tidwell TT. J Org Chem 1981;46:2683–2686. (b) Rafizadeh K, Yates K. J Org Chem 1984;49:1500–1506. (c) Smadja W. Chem Rev 1983;83:263–320.
16. Neither 3-propanonyl benzoate, resulting from attack of water at the central allenyl carbon atom, nor 1-vinyl-1-propenyl benzoate, formed via isomerization of **2**,²⁵ was detected in the crude reaction mixture. Rather, the major byproducts of the gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of **2** were bis(allylic) ethers formed via sequential hydration/hydroalkoxylation.
17. (a) Sherry BD, Toste FD. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:15978–15979. [PubMed: 15584728] (b) Zhang Z, Bender CF, Widenhoefer RA. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:14148–14149. [PubMed: 17967025] (c) Morita N, Krause N. Eur J Org Chem 2006:4634–4641.
18. Feuvrie C, Blanchet J, Bonin M, Micouin L. Org Lett 2004;6:2333–2336. [PubMed: 15228272]
19. Myers AG, Zheng B, Movassaghi M. J Org Chem 1997;62:7507. [PubMed: 11671877]
20. Trost BM, Pinkerton AB, Seidel M. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:12466–12476. [PubMed: 11741409]
21. Tao B, Ruble JC, Hoic DA, Fu GC. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:5091–5092.
22. Nishina N, Yamamoto Y. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:3314–3317.
23. Xu D, Lu Z, Li Z, Ma S. Tetrahedron 2004;60:11879–11887.
24. Liu S, Wolf C. Org Lett 2007;9:2965–2968. [PubMed: 17602638]
25. Buzas AK, Istrate FM, Gagosz F. Org Lett 2007;9:985–988. [PubMed: 17295496]

Scheme 1.

The assignment of **20** was supported through independent synthesis (Scheme 1). A mixture of (1)AuCl (2.2 mg, 3.6×10^{-3} mmol) and AgOTf (0.9 mg, 3.6×10^{-3} mmol) in dioxane (0.2 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min, treated with a solution of **2** (13.5 mg, 0.072 mmol) and **3a** (15 mg, 0.072 mmol) in dioxane (0.3 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Column chromatography of the crude reaction mixture (hexanes–CH₂Cl₂ = 1:1 $\rightarrow$ 1:2) gave **20** (5 mg, 18%) as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers. The GC and ¹H NMR spectra of **20** formed from regioselective hydroalkoxylation of **2** with **3a**¹³ were identical to those of **20** isolated from the gold(I)-catalyzed hydration of **2**.

Table 1Effect of Solvent on the Hydration of **2** Catalyzed by a Mixture of (1)AuCl and AgOTf.

entry	solvent	time (h)	yield (%) ^a	3a:3b
1	toluene	24	33	1:1
2	acetone	4	69	3:1
3	THF	4	75	1:1
4	dioxane	4	77	2:1

^a Combined yield of **3a** and **3b** determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

Table 2

Hydration of Allenes Catalyzed by a Mixture of (1)AuCl (5 mol %) and AgOTf (5 mol %) in Dioxane at 23 °C.

entry	allene
1
2
3

Ar = Ph (4)

Ar = *p*-C₆H₄CF₃ (5)

entry	allene
4	8 (R = <i>n</i> -pentyl)
5	10 (E = CO ₂ Me)

The diagram illustrates the chemical structure of a hydrogen atom (H) and a hydroxyl group (HO) connected by a bond. The hydrogen atom is represented by a small circle with the letter 'H' inside. The hydroxyl group is represented by a larger circle with the letters 'HO' inside. A single line (bond) connects the hydrogen atom to the hydroxyl group.

entry	allene
8	16 ($\text{Ar} = 2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OBn}$)

^aYield refers to isolated material of >95% purity.

^bEmployment of enantiomerically enriched **4** (78% ee) led to formation of racemic **6**.