Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Neurol. 2008 December ; 21(6): 701–707. doi:10.1097/WCO.0b013e3283168e2d.

Selective functional, regional, and neuronal vulnerability in frontotemporal dementia

William W. Seeley, M.D.

UCSF Memory & Aging Center, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco

Abstract

Purpose of review—The molecular neuroscience revolution has begun to rekindle interest in fundamental neuroanatomy. Blending these disciplines may prove critical to our understanding of neurodegenerative diseases, which target specific anatomical systems. Recent research on frontotemporal dementia highlights the potential value of these approaches.

Recent findings—The behavioral variant of FTD (bvFTD) leads to progressive social-emotional processing deficits accompanied by anterior cingulate and frontal insular degeneration. These sites form a discrete human neural network and feature a class of Layer 5b projection neurons, von Economo neurons (VENs), found only in large-brained, socially complex mammals. VENs have been shown to represent an early target in bvFTD but not in Alzheimer's disease.

Summary—Integrative approaches to selective vulnerability may help clarify neurodegenerative disease pathogenesis.

Keywords

frontotemporal dementia; von Economo neuron; anterior cingulate; insula

Introduction

Selective vulnerability defines neurodegenerative disease. In frontotemporal dementia (FTD) biology, recent discoveries have propelled the field closer to understanding molecular pathogenesis. No clear links have been forged, however, between misprocessed proteins and their associated neuronal, regional, and functional targets. This review highlights an emerging FTD selective vulnerability framework and describes promising approaches for building a more integrated FTD pathogenesis model.

The problem of selective vulnerability: disease model limitations for AD and FTD

The degenerative dementias represent a heterogeneous class of progressive, treatment-refractory diseases, each defined by selectively vulnerable functions, regions, and neurons that fail in response to complex molecular pathophysiological events. No disease model,

Correspondence: (phone) 415-476-2793, wseeley@memory.ucsf.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosure: I have no conflicts of interest or other relevant disclosures.

however, has forged an explanatory link between molecular pathogenesis and selective vulnerability. This deficiency, though often overlooked by neurodegeneration researchers, may impede progress toward dementia treatments, which will need to begin while the diseases remain incipient and focal.

For Alzheimer's disease (AD), a comprehensive disease model seems nearly within reach. This optimism rests upon a substantial "knowledge scaffolding," constructed over 30 years of intensive research. Rigorous investigations that today might be criticized as "descriptive" have shown that AD begins with episodic memory impairment, a specific cognitive deficit that correlates with early synaptic loss [1] and neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) formation in entorhinal cortex Layer II pyramidal projection neurons [2]. These neurons die, and NFT formation proceeds along a local circuit of interconnected medial temporal subregions before spreading throughout a large-scale posterior cingulo-temporal-parietal network [3–5]. These fundamental, widely replicated observations have provided an AD pathological staging scheme; facilitated development of AD clinical and neuroimaging biomarkers; enabled recognition of atypical language, visual, and motor presentations of AD; and provided a reference standard for validating AD animal models and testing interventions. Many aspects of AD pathogenesis, therefore, provide a useful foothold for those exploring less well-characterized dementias.

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) rivals AD in prevalence among dementia patients under 65 years of age [6], but the FTD knowledge scaffolding remains far less sturdy and complete. In particular, mystery surrounds how and where—exactly—FTD begins. Recent anatomical findings suggest, however, that FTD should no longer be viewed as a diffuse "frontotemporal" disorder. This review will highlight progress toward understanding FTD selective vulnerability and will identify several key questions for future investigation.

FTD syndromic, pathologic, and genetic taxonomy

Table 1 highlights the functions, regions/networks, neurons, molecules, and genes now implicated in AD and FTD. Typical AD presents as an amnesic dementia that relates to medial temporal and posterior cortical involvement. FTD, in contrast, encompasses a small group of anterior syndromes that include a behavioral variant (bvFTD) and two language variants, a fluent aphasia known as semantic dementia (SD) that erodes word, object, and emotional meaning, and a progressive non-fluent aphasia (PNFA), which leads to effortful, dysfluent, agrammatic speech [7]. Any of these syndromes, but most often bvFTD, may be accompanied by clinical motor neuron disease (FTD-MND). In addition, clinical corticobasal syndrome (CBS) and progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) are sometimes grouped with FTD, although their anatomic patterns often lack predominant frontotemporal involvement.

FTD results from underlying frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD), a pathological category united by synapse loss, microvacuolation, reactive astroglial proliferation, and ultimately neuron loss, especially in superficial laminae of anterior brain regions [8]. FTLD subtyping reflects the protein composition of neuronal and glial inclusions, which can be grouped as tau-positive (FTLD-T) or tau-negative. FTLD-T includes Pick's disease, FTDP-17, corticobasal degeneration, PSP, and nonspecific tauopathies. Tau-negative FTLD most often features ubiquitin- and TDP43-immunoreactive intraneuronal inclusions (FTLD-U) [9] but can also show ubiquitinated inclusions containing neuronal intermediate filaments [10] or no known additional inclusion protein. These diverse histopathologies form one category based on their overlapping regional predilections (Table 1), yet no FTLD model explains this anatomical convergence.

Recent genetic discoveries have invigorated the search for FTD treatments and may help to elucidate selective vulnerability mechanisms. Inherited FTLT-T results from mutations in the *MAPT* gene for tau, a widely expressed neuronal protein which binds to and stabilizes microtubules and supports axonal transport [11]. Inherited FTLT-U, in contrast, most often relates to null or missense mutations in the gene for progranulin (*PGRN*), a growth factor associated with cell proliferation, motility, and repair [12,13]. Together, these findings suggest that FTD should target neurons sensitive to cytoskeletal dysfunction, trophic insufficiency, neuroinflammation, or a combination of these factors. Uncommon mutations in genes for the valosin-containing protein (*VCP*) and the charged multivesicular body protein 2B (*CHMP2B*) also produce FTD. With subtle variations, these diverse genetic lesions can all produce the same clinical syndromes, suggesting mechanistic convergence toward a specific neural system or systems. The gene underlying familial FTD-MND, once identified, will add yet another vital clue toward solving the FTD selective vulnerability mystery.

The bvFTD syndrome (with or without MND) accounts for half of all FTD [14] and results equally from FTLT-T and FTLT-U [15]. Comorbid MND often truncates the disease course, providing a unique opportunity to explore early bvFTD pathology [16]. Although the functional and regional vulnerability patterns in bvFTD, SD, and PNFA overlap (prompting the clinical group label, FTD), mixing these syndromes can be misleading, especially from a quantitative neuroanatomical perspective. For these reasons, our work, and the remainder of this review, focuses primarily on bvFTD.

BvFTD targets the social brain: functional, evolutionary, and developmental considerations

No longer seen as a vague disorder of behavior or personality, the bvFTD syndrome has now been examined within a modern social neuroscientific framework. Early on, patients lose complex social functions, including self-conscious emotions, theory of mind, empathy, metacognitive judgment, and moral sensibility [17–21]. Some patients even shift long-held core aspects of their personal identity, especially when the right frontal lobe is preferentially affected [22]. These findings suggest specific impairments in the ability to represent the self and others and to use these internal signals to motivate and guide behavior [reviewed in 23]. Comparative neuropsychologists have long suggested that these sophisticated “social brain” capacities may be phylogenetically restricted—among primates—to great apes and humans [24]. Brain size correlates better with social group size and complexity than with other ecological variables [25], and human toddlers outperform adult chimpanzees on language-independent social cognitive tasks, even while their spatial skills remain equivalent [26]. Intriguingly, socially complex mammals from other lineages, such as elephants and cetaceans, have also evolved large brains and self-representational capacities not seen in lesser apes or monkeys [27,28].

During human development, as in phylogeny, complex self- and other-representational capacities emerge late. Infants achieve mirror self-recognition at 15–24 months of age, providing a necessary substrate for self-conscious emotions and other social competencies [29] lost in early bvFTD. Patients with AD, in contrast, score at or near control levels on many of the same social paradigms that have defined the early bvFTD syndrome [18,21]. This comparative-developmental framework has motivated our FTD investigations, which explore rapidly evolving human social brain networks, regions, and neurons.

BvFTD targets the social brain: selective ACC-FI network injury

Pathological and neuroimaging studies have begun to reveal the striking focality of early bvFTD. Brun first observed that FTD affects the pregenual anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), extending back to mid-cingulate cortex, while AD involves posterior cingulate regions and spares ACC [4]. Later, based on a small series of early-stage patients, Broe and coworkers proposed that orbital frontal insula (FI) and medial frontal cortex (including ACC) represent the sites of earliest atrophy, degenerating in Stage 1 of their 4-stage gross anatomical FTD staging system [30]. Around the same time, bvFTD neuroimaging studies revealed severe deficits in ACC-FI volume [31,32], perfusion [33], and serotonin receptor density [34]. These and other studies, combined in a recent meta-analysis [35], revealed rostral and subgenual ACC, FI (especially on the right), and the frontal pole as the most consistent cortical foci of bvFTD-related degeneration (Figure 1). Furthermore, ACC and FI deficits best differentiate bvFTD from AD [33,36] and focally worsen over one year in patients with mild disease [37]. Finally, ACC, FI and the frontal pole stand out within a circumscribed “network” of regions atrophied in very mild bvFTD (Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) = 0.5), with or without MND [38].

What is known about the anatomy, connectivity, and function of ACC and FI? How do these data relate to bvFTD functional-anatomic deficits? Despite their spatial discontinuity, ACC and FI share cytoarchitectonic features that reflect their close kinship. Both regions are agranular paralimbic cortices, with a prominent sublaminated Layer 5, that form transition zones between primitive allocortex and dysgranular neocortex [39]. In monkeys, connectional and cytoarchitectonic methods have been combined to reveal two dissociable orbitomedial prefrontal cortex networks [40]. One, a “medial network” includes the ACC complex (areas 24/25/32), FI (areas 1ai, 12o), and frontal pole (areas 10m, 10o, and, in humans, 10p). Early affected bvFTD regions belong to this medial network. In contrast, an “orbital network” includes areas 11, 13, and most of 12, regions atrophied at more severe bvFTD stages [38]. In monkeys, only the medial network sends robust projections to ventral striatum, hypothalamus, and periaqueductal gray [40], subcortical sites that degenerate in bvFTD [32,38]. Based on this organizational scheme, Price and colleagues have updated previous notions that the medial network orchestrates visceral-autonomic responses used to guide behavior [41–43]. Substantial imaging and lesion evidence also links ACC and FI to visceral-autonomic processing in humans [reviewed in 44], and we have used fMRI to map a healthy human functional intrinsic connectivity network, anchored by right FI, that mirrors primate connectivity and early bvFTD atrophy (Figure 1) [38,45]. In human functional imaging experiments, the ACC and FI co-activate in response to diverse homeostatically salient stimuli and experiences, from pain and thirst to envy and adoration [reviewed in 46]. In bvFTD, right FI atrophy correlates with core clinical symptoms, including loss of empathy and aberrant food intake (Figure 1) [20,47,48], which may reflect failures to integrate visceral guidance cues with behavior.

Microevolution within the ACC-FI network: von Economo neurons

Previous accounts of FTLN neuronal loss have emphasized superficial laminae (Layers 2–3), which exhibit marked synapse loss and reactive gliosis even in early-stage FTD. Most of these studies, however, surveyed the broad bvFTD landscape, before *in vivo* neuroimaging data became available to suggest specific early regional targets. Building on ideas outlined in the previous two sections, we have begun to investigate a unique neuronal population buried in the core of the bvFTD regional injury pattern. These cells, now referred to as von Economo neurons (VENs), were first observed by Betz and then Cajal, who referred to an ACC “layer of spindle cells” [49,50]. Von Economo became fascinated by these neurons, scouring the brain for them while compiling his celebrated human cytoarchitectural atlas

[51]. Finding this “peculiar cell type” (p. 706) only in ACC and FI, he presaged that these ancient “olfactory brain” (p. 712) regions might provide phylogenetically novel maps of the autonomic nervous system, since human “powers of olfaction have wasted away” [42]. Braak later noted a focal VEN abundance in pregenual ACC, as well as conspicuous lipofuscin pigment content in VENs [52]. Hof and colleagues first showed that VENs are projection neurons, backfilling VEN somata with Di-I injections into the post-mortem human cingulum bundle, and reported a lack of VEN calcium-binding protein expression [53]. Recent attempts to find VENs outside ACC and FI have uncovered only rare, scattered VEN-like neurons in Layer 5 of Area 9 [54], a dysgranular region that degenerates in mild bvFTD [38].

To clarify VEN phylogeny, Hof and colleagues examined ACC and FI homologs in a diverse array of mammals [55], including Old and New World monkeys, and identified VENs in only five species: orangutans (few), gorillas (more), common chimpanzees (many), bonobos (frequent, clustered), and humans (abundant, clustered). Selected cetaceans also show VEN-like cells in ACC, FI, and frontal pole [56], and, most recently, VENs were discovered in ACC-FI homologs of the African elephant [57]. These comparative findings suggest parallel evolution within the most encephalized, socially complex members of three separate mammalian lineages. Most likely, an ancestor common to all VEN-containing species possessed an ACC-FI Layer 5 neuronal precursor that can be cued, by specific epigenetic or developmental factors, to differentiate into the VEN morphotype in selected organisms.

VENs are large, bipolar Layer 5b neurons whose size and shape distinguish them from neighboring Layer 5 pyramidal neurons (Figure 2A). VEN silver impregnations reveal elongated, sparsely branching apical and basal dendrites, suggesting that VEN clusters may sample from within narrow ACC-FI minicolumns (Figure 2B) [42,58]. In primates, VENs are 30% more abundant in the right hemisphere, especially in right FI [59], further supporting a role for these cells in social-emotional function. Based on these findings, we and others have extended prevailing ACC-FI network models to suggest that this circuit has undergone recent evolution and right-lateralization in primates to support conscious bodily self-representation [46] and social-emotional salience processing [23,45,46,60,61]. In this model, we revise previous concepts of ACC and FI as primitive or “ancient” regions and suggest that escalating hominoid social selective pressures have driven recent ACC-FI neuronal and functional specialization.

Early VEN loss in bvFTD

We hypothesize that VENs, a neuronal class restricted to the ACC-FI network, may represent an initial target in bvFTD, a syndrome associated with focal ACC-FI injury. To begin to test this hypothesis, we performed a quantitative neuroanatomical study of the left ACC [60]. In bvFTD, we found a 69% reduction in VEN counts after controlling for neighboring Layer 5 neuron loss. This VEN selectivity was seen even in patients with early-stage disease. In contrast to bvFTD, late stage AD (Braak Stage VI) showed no selective ACC VEN loss, and we have yet to observe VEN neurofibrillary tangle formation.

Immunohistochemical studies have begun to reveal an intriguing pattern of FTLN disease protein aggregation in surviving VENs. In Pick’s disease, VENs often show swollen somata and diffuse cytoplasmic hyperphosphorylated tau aggregation (Figure 2C) unlike the spherical Pick bodies seen in small superficial pyramidal neurons. In FTLN-U, initial efforts to identify VEN inclusions were unsuccessful [60], but recent experiments using thicker (40–50 micron) sections and antibodies to TDP-43 have revealed a striking pattern in which VENs show diffuse, speckled cytoplasmic aggregates with similar morphology to those seen

in Pick's disease (Figure 2D). These findings suggest that ACC VENs are susceptible to both major FTLD pathological subtypes but are less vulnerable, or perhaps even resistant, to AD pathology. In a subsequent study [23], we used archival whole-brain coronal sections to compare bilateral ACC and FI in two patients, one with mild bvFTD and another with late-stage AD. Summing cross all VEN-containing regions, we found a 70% VEN reduction in bvFTD vs. AD.

Conclusion

Recent findings have begun to suggest a novel framework for understanding bvFTD selective vulnerability (Figure 3). Further work is needed to confirm early VEN loss in FI, assess laterality and stage effects, and explore the relationship between VEN loss and pathology in superficial layers, where VEN apical dendrites ramify. Quantitative TDP-43 and tau immunohistochemical studies may clarify the stage at which VENs first manifest selective vulnerability and identify symptoms that accompany these incipient pathological changes. Cell-specific gene and protein expression profiling could help to define ACC-FI VEN homologs in species that lack VENs but remain more amenable to basic biological and disease-modeling approaches. Broadly, neurodegenerative disease models will benefit from keen attention to the genetic, molecular, and environmental factors that build neural systems during development and render them vulnerable to neurodegenerative disease.

Acknowledgments

I thank Stephanie Gaus for assistance with TDP-43 immunohistochemistry; Richard Crawford, Marcelo Macedo, and Manu Sidhu for assistance with illustrations; and John Allman, Patrick Hof, A.D. (Bud) Craig, Michael Greicius, Stephen DeArmond, and Bruce Miller for discussion. This work was supported by the National Institute of Aging (NIA grant K08 AG027086-01), the Larry L. Hillblom Foundation, and the James S. McDonnell Foundation.

References

1. Terry RD, Masliah E, Salmon DP, et al. Physical basis of cognitive alterations in Alzheimer's disease: synapse loss is the major correlate of cognitive impairment. *Ann Neurol* 1991;30:572–580. [PubMed: 1789684]
2. Hyman BT, Damasio AR, Van Hoesen GW, et al. Alzheimer's disease: cell-specific pathology isolates the hippocampal formation. *Science* 1984;298:83–95.
3. Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological staging of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathologica* 1991;82:239–259. [PubMed: 1759558]
4. Brun A, Gustafson L. Limbic lobe involvement in presenile dementia. *Arch Psychiatr Nervenkr* 1978;226:79–93. [PubMed: 367312]
5. Buckner RL, Snyder AZ, Shannon BJ, et al. Molecular, structural, and functional characterization of Alzheimer's disease: evidence for a relationship between default activity, amyloid, and memory. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:7709–7717. [PubMed: 16120771]
6. Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Edland SD, et al. The incidence of frontotemporal lobar degeneration in Rochester, Minnesota, 1990 through 1994. *Neurology* 2004;62:506–508. [PubMed: 14872045]
7. Neary D, Snowden JS, Gustafson L, et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 1998;51:1546–1554. [PubMed: 9855500]
8. Brun A, Liu X, Erikson C, et al. Synapse loss and gliosis in the molecular layer of the cerebral cortex in Alzheimer's disease and in frontal lobe degeneration. *Neurodegeneration* 1995;4:171–177. [PubMed: 7583681]
9. Neumann M, Sampathu DM, Kwong LK, et al. Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science* 2006;314:130–133. [PubMed: 17023659]

10. Cairns NJ, Zhukareva V, Uryu K, et al. alpha-internexin is present in the pathological inclusions of neuronal intermediate filament inclusion disease. *Am J Pathol* 2004;164:2153–2161. [PubMed: 15161649]
11. Hutton M, Lendon CL, Rizzu P, et al. Association of missense and 5'-splice-site mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. *Nature* 1998;393:702–705. [PubMed: 9641683]
12. Cruts M, Gijselsinck I, van der Zee J, et al. Null mutations in progranulin cause ubiquitin-positive frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17q21. *Nature*. 2006
13. Baker M, Mackenzie IR, Pickering-Brown SM, et al. Mutations in progranulin cause tau-negative frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17. *Nature*. 2006
14. Johnson JK, Diehl J, Mendez MF, et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: demographic characteristics of 353 patients. *Arch Neurol* 2005;62:925–930. [PubMed: 15956163]
15. Hodges JR, Davies RR, Xuereb JH, et al. Clinicopathological correlates in frontotemporal dementia. *Ann Neurol* 2004;56:399–406. [PubMed: 15349867]
16. Kersaitis C, Halliday GM, Xuereb JH, et al. Ubiquitin-positive inclusions and progression of pathology in frontotemporal dementia and motor neurone disease identifies a group with mainly early pathology. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 2006;32:83–91. [PubMed: 16409556]
17. Sturm VE, Rosen HJ, Allison S, et al. Self-conscious emotion deficits in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Brain* 2006;129:2508–2516. [PubMed: 16844714] This novel laboratory-based study shows that patients with FTD retain core autonomic (startle) reflexes but feature impaired self-referential social emotions, such as embarrassment.
18. Eslinger PJ, Dennis K, Moore P, et al. Metacognitive deficits in frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005;76:1630–1635. [PubMed: 16291884]
19. Lough S, Kipps CM, Treise C, et al. Social reasoning, emotion and empathy in frontotemporal dementia. *Neuropsychologia* 2006;44:950–958. [PubMed: 16198378] This study is laudable for its emphasis on dissecting distinct social-emotional processing mechanisms from executive deficits in bvFTD.
20. Rankin KP, Gorno-Tempini ML, Allison SC, et al. Structural anatomy of empathy in neurodegenerative disease. *Brain*. 2006 This study correlated right anterior temporal, cingulate, and insular structures to loss of emotional empathy.
21. Mendez MF, Anderson E, Shapira JS. An investigation of moral judgement in frontotemporal dementia. *Cogn Behav Neurol* 2005;18:193–197. [PubMed: 16340391]
22. Miller BL, Seeley WW, Mychack P, et al. Neuroanatomy of the self: evidence from patients with frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* 2001;57:817–821. [PubMed: 11552010]
23. Seeley WW, Allman JM, Carlin DA, et al. Divergent Social Functioning in Behavioral Variant Frontotemporal Dementia and Alzheimer Disease: Reciprocal Networks and Neuronal Evolution. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2007;21:S50–S57. [PubMed: 18090425]
24. Gallup GG. Self-awareness and the emergence of mind in primates. *Am J Primatol* 1982;2:237–248.
25. Dunbar RI, Shultz S. Evolution in the social brain. *Science* 2007;317:1344–1347. [PubMed: 17823343]
26. Herrmann E, Call J, Hernandez-Lloreda MV, et al. Humans have evolved specialized skills of social cognition: the cultural intelligence hypothesis. *Science* 2007;317:1360–1366. [PubMed: 17823346]
27. Plotnik JM, de Waal FB, Reiss D. Self-recognition in an Asian elephant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:17053–17057. [PubMed: 17075063]
28. Delfour F, Marten K. Mirror image processing in three marine mammal species: killer whales (*Orcinus orca*), false killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) and California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*). *Behav Processes* 2001;53:181–190. [PubMed: 11334706]
29. Lewis M, Sullivan MW, Stanger C, et al. Self development and self-conscious emotions. *Child Dev* 1989;60:146–156. [PubMed: 2702864]
30. Broe M, Hodges JR, Schofield E, et al. Staging disease severity in pathologically confirmed cases of frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* 2003;60:1005–1011. [PubMed: 12654969]

31. Rosen HJ, Gorno-Tempini ML, Goldman WP, et al. Patterns of brain atrophy in frontotemporal dementia and semantic dementia. *Neurology* 2002;58:198–208. [PubMed: 11805245] An excellent early demonstration that bvFTD and SD converge on the ACC and FI.
32. Boccardi M, Sabatoli F, Laakso MP, et al. Frontotemporal dementia as a neural system disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2005;26:37–44. [PubMed: 15585344] This study used voxel-based morphometry to test the hypothesis that bvFTD reflects a specific rostral anatomical system, including limbic and subcortical sites such as amygdala, ventral striatum, and periaqueductal gray.
33. Varrone A, Pappata S, Caraco C, et al. Voxel-based comparison of rCBF SPET images in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease highlights the involvement of different cortical networks. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2002;29:1447–1454. [PubMed: 12397463]
34. Franceschi M, Anchisi D, Pelati O, et al. Glucose metabolism and serotonin receptors in the frontotemporal lobe degeneration. *Ann Neurol* 2005;57:216–225. [PubMed: 15668960]
35. Schroeter ML, Raczka K, Neumann J, et al. Neural networks in frontotemporal dementia-A meta-analysis. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2006 This outstanding meta-analysis brought together the growing body of FTD neuroimaging research and highlighted VEN-containing regions as the most consistent foci of bvFTD-related neurodegeneration.
36. Rabinovici GD, Seeley WW, Kim EJ, et al. Distinct MRI atrophy patterns in autopsy-proven Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement* 2007;22:474–488. [PubMed: 18166607] This study showed that frontal insular, subgenual cingulate, and striatal atrophy best differentiate pathologically diagnosed FTLD from AD.
37. Brambati SM, Renda NC, Rankin KP, et al. A tensor based morphometry study of longitudinal gray matter contraction in FTD. *Neuroimage* 2007;35:998–1003. [PubMed: 17350290]
38. Seeley WW, Crawford R, Rascofsky K, et al. Frontal paralimbic network atrophy in very mild behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Arch Neurol* 2008;65:249–255. [PubMed: 18268196] This voxel-based morphometry study illustrated the regions affected during very mild, mild, and moderate to severe bvFTD. Very mild disease was found to target the ACC-FI network.
39. Mesulam MM, Mufson EJ. Insula of the old world monkey. I. Architectonics in the insulo-orbitotemporal component of the paralimbic brain. *J Comp Neurol* 1982;212:1–22. [PubMed: 7174905]
40. Ongur D, Price JL. The organization of networks within the orbital and medial prefrontal cortex of rats, monkeys and humans. *Cereb Cortex* 2000;10:206–219. [PubMed: 10731217]
41. Nauta WJ. The problem of the frontal lobe: a reinterpretation. *J Psychiatr Res* 1971;8:167–187. [PubMed: 5000109]
42. von Economo C. Eine neue Art Spezialzellen des Lobus cinguli und Lobus insulae. *Z Ges Neurol Psychiatr* 1926;100:706–712.
43. Price JL. Free will versus survival: brain systems that underlie intrinsic constraints on behavior. *J Comp Neurol* 2005;493:132–139. [PubMed: 16255003]
44. Critchley HD. Neural mechanisms of autonomic, affective, and cognitive integration. *J Comp Neurol* 2005;493:154–166. [PubMed: 16254997]
45. Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, et al. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:2349–2356. [PubMed: 17329432] This fMRI study used intrinsic connectivity mapping to illustrate the ACC-FI network in healthy controls and distinguish it from a more dorsal frontoparietal executive-control network.
46. Craig AD. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3:655–666. [PubMed: 12154366] This important paper synthesizes diverse data streams into the novel hypothesis that the right FI represents interoceptive data from all tissues of the body, providing a neural platform for conscious emotion.
47. Woolley JD, Gorno-Tempini ML, Seeley WW, et al. Binge eating is associated with right orbitofrontal-insular-striatal atrophy in frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* 2007;69:1424–1433. [PubMed: 17909155] This study used a controlled experimental eating paradigm to assess overeating behavior and linked right FI and ventral striatal atrophy with gluttonous overeating in bvFTD.
48. Whitwell JL, Sampson EL, Loy CT, et al. VBM signatures of abnormal eating behaviours in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Neuroimage* 2007;35:207–213. [PubMed: 17240166]

49. Cajal, SR. *Textura del Sistema Nervioso del Hombre y de los Vertebrados*, vol Tomo II. Madrid: Nicolas Moya; 1899.
50. Betz W. Ueber die feinere Structur der Gehirnrinde des Menschen. *Zentralbl Med Wiss* 1881;19:193–195. 209-113, 231-194.
51. von Economo, C.; Kosinkas, GN. *Die Cytoarchitektonik der Hirnrinde des Erwachsenen Menschen*. Berlin: Springer; 1925.
52. Braak H. Pigment architecture of the human telencephalic cortex. V. Regio anterogenualis. *Cell Tissue Res* 1979;204:441–451. [PubMed: 527028]
53. Nimchinsky EA, Vogt BA, Morrison JH, et al. Spindle neurons of the human anterior cingulate cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 1995;355:27–37. [PubMed: 7636011]
54. Fajardo C, Escobar MI, Buritica E, et al. Von Economo neurons are present in the dorsolateral (dysgranular) prefrontal cortex of humans. *Neurosci Lett* 2008;435:215–218. [PubMed: 18355958]
55. Nimchinsky EA, Gilissen E, Allman JM, et al. A neuronal morphologic type unique to humans and great apes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999;96:5268–5273. [PubMed: 10220455] The first definitive demonstration of a restricted VEN phylogeny in primates.
56. Hof PR, Van Der Gucht E. Structure of the cerebral cortex of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae* (Cetacea, Mysticeti, Balaenopteridae). *Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol*. 2006 First demonstration of the VEN morphotype in non-primate species. ACC, FI and frontal pole of odontocete whales were found to contain VENs.
57. Hakeem AY, Sherwood CC, Bonar CJ, et al. Von Economo neurons in the elephant brain. *Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol*. (in press).
58. Watson KK, Jones TK, Allman JM. Dendritic architecture of the von Economo neurons. *Neuroscience* 2006;141:1107–1112. [PubMed: 16797136]
59. Allman JM, Watson KK, Tetreault NA, et al. Intuition and autism: a possible role for Von Economo neurons. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2005 An important overview of emerging aspects of VEN biology.
60. Seeley WW, Carlin DA, Allman JM, et al. Early frontotemporal dementia targets neurons unique to apes and humans. *Ann Neurol* 2006;60:660–667. [PubMed: 17187353] This study showed a selective ACC VEN reduction in bvFTD, but not in AD, and forged the first link between brain evolution and neurological disease.
61. Allman JM, Hakeem A, Erwin JM, et al. The anterior cingulate cortex. The evolution of an interface between emotion and cognition. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2001;935:107–117. [PubMed: 11411161]

- bvFTD neuroimaging meta-analysis, Schroeter et al 2006
- bvFTD longitudinal gray matter loss (TBM), Brambati et al 2007
- Pathological FTLD < AD, gray matter (VBM), Rabinovici et al 2007
- bvFTD CDR 0.5 < controls, gray matter (VBM), Seeley et al 2008
- RFI functional connectivity (fMRI), Seeley et al 2007
- bvFTD overeaters > non-overeaters (VBM), Woolley et al 2007

Figure 1. Converging evidence implicates the ACC and FI in bvFTD

ACC and FI local maxima are shown from imaging six neuroimaging studies, whose major findings are summarized below the images. For illustration purposes, dots are projected onto single coronal and sagittal slices of the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template brain; therefore, actual maxima may have fallen on neighboring sections of the same plane. TBM = tensor-based morphometry, VBM = voxel-based morphometry.

Figure 2. Normal VEN morphology and inclusion pathology in bvFTD

VENs are large bipolar projection neurons (**A**) which often form vertical clusters of 3–6 cells (**B**). Neurologically unaffected control, cresyl violet stain. In patients with bvFTD, (**C**) Pick's disease (CP-13 antibody for hyperphosphorylated tau) and (**D**) FTLD-U (TDP-43 antibody) cause similar diffusely speckled disease protein aggregates in VENs (arrowheads in **C** & **D**). Surrounding Layer 5 pyramidal neurons (arrows in **D**) show normal nuclear TDP-43 immunoreactivity, which is lost in inclusion-bearing VENs. Scale bars indicate 25 μ M (**A**), 50 μ M (**B**), and 20 μ M (**C** & **D**).

Figure 3. Emerging bvFTD selective vulnerability model

Diverse molecular abnormalities may converge on a core set of selectively vulnerable neurons, the VENs of the ACC and FI, which anchor dynamic local microcircuits that participate in a large-scale distributed social-emotional processing network. FTD molecular pathophysiologies may target this system through one or several VEN characteristics that promote their vulnerability. Treatment strategies could be directed toward neuronal or circuit-level survival mechanisms, as well as upstream disease proteins (e.g. TDP-43, tau) and gene products (PGRN, VCP, CHMP2b). Dashed lines represent progressive system dysfunction expected to arise from VEN molecular pathophysiology. FP = frontal pole.

Current understanding of AD and FTD selective vulnerability and molecular-genetic features.

Table 1

Syndrome	Early Deficit	Early Network/ Region	Early Vulnerable Neuron	Pathogenic proteins	Genes*
AD	Episodic memory	MTL-posterior cingulate-lateral temporoparietal	ERC Layer II pyramidal neurons	A42, Tau	<i>APP</i> <i>PS1</i> , <i>PS2</i>
FTD					
BvFTD	Social-emotional function	R > L ACC-FI, frontal pole, amygdala, striatum	VENs?	Tau = TDP-43	<i>MAPT</i> <i>PGRN</i>
SD	Semantic knowledge or emotional meaning	L or R temporal pole, amygdala, sACC, FI	Unknown	TDP-43 > Tau	<i>MAPT</i> <i>PGRN</i>
PNFA	Motor speech and language fluency	L inferior frontal cortex, precentral insula, striatum	Unknown	Tau > TDP-43	<i>MAPT</i> <i>PGRN</i>
FTD- MND	Social-emotional function or motor power	ACC-FI network or bulbar > spinal motor nuclei	VENs? or lower > upper motor neurons	TDP-43	??

* Only the most common genetic associations are listed.

APP = amyloid precursor protein, ERC = entorhinal cortex, L = left, MTL = medial temporal lobe, R= right, sACC = subgenual ACC. All other abbreviations as indicated in the text.