Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem. 2010 June 7; 49(11): 4814–4819. doi:10.1021/ic9014509.

A New Hexanuclear Iron-Selenium Nitrosyl Cluster: Primary Exploration of the Preparation Methods, Structure, Spectroscopic and Electrochemical Properties

Rongming Wang^{*,†,‡}, Wei Xu[†], Jian Zhang[†], and Lijuan Li^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry California State University, Long Beach 1250 Bellflower Blvd. Long Beach, CA, 90840, USA

[‡]State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering China University of Petroleum (East China) Qingdao 266555, China

Abstract

A new hexanuclear iron-selenium nitrosyl cluster, [(n-Bu)₄N]₂[Fe₆Se₆(NO)₆] (**1**) and a hexanuclear iron-sulfur nitrosyl cluster, [(n-Bu)₄N]₂[Fe₆S₆(NO)₆] (**2**) were synthesized by the solvent-thermal reactions of [(n-Bu)₄N][Fe(CO)₃NO] with selenium or sulfur in methanol, while a tetranuclear iron-sulfur nitrosyl cluster, (Me₄N)[Fe₄S₃(NO)₇] (**3**) was also prepared by the solvent-thermal reaction of FeCl₂·4H₂O with thiourea in the presence of (CH₃)₄NCl, NaNO₂ and methanol. Complexes **1-3** were characterized by IR, UV-vis, ¹H-NMR, electrochemistry, and single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. IR spectra of complexes **1** and **2** show the characteristic NO stretching frequencies at 1694 and 1698 cm⁻¹, respectively, while the absorptions of complex **3** appear at 1799, 1744 and 1710 cm⁻¹. The UV-vis spectra of complexes **1-3** show different bands in the range of 259-562 nm, which are assigned to the transitions between orbitals delocalized over the Fe-S cluster, the ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), π*_{NO}-d_{Fe}, and the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT), d_{Fe}-π*_{NO}. Single-crystal X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex **1** crystallizes in monoclinic *P*2(1)/*n* space group with two molecules per unit cell. Two parallel “chair-shape” structures, consisting of three iron and three selenium atoms, are connected by Fe-Se bonds with an average distance of 2.341 Å; each iron center is bonded to three selenium atoms and a nitrogen atom from nitrosyl ligand with pseudotetrahedral center geometry. Cyclic voltammograms of complexes **1** and **2** display two cathodic and three anodic current peaks with an unusually strong cathodic peak. Further electrochemical investigations demonstrated that the intensity of the unusually strong peak is a result of at least three processes. One is the quasi-reversible reduction and the other two are from an irreversible electrochemical process, in which the compound goes through a typical electron transfer and chemical reaction (ECE) mechanism. Compound **3** shows three quasi-reversible reductions.

Several decades ago, scientists demonstrated that some iron-sulfur clusters were present at the active sites of a large number of enzymes and electron-transfer proteins; for instance, the cubane-like [Fe₄S₄] cluster was found in ferredoxins and high potential iron proteins (HiPIPs),¹ and the sulfur-voided [Fe₄S₃] cuboidal subunit was found in the FeMo cofactor of nitrogenase.² Therefore, various iron-sulfur clusters including [Fe₄S₄X₄]²⁻ (X = S-Me, S-Et, S-CH₂Ph, S-CH₂C₆H₁₁, Cl, Br)³, [Fe₆S₉((SR))]⁴⁻ (R = tBu, Ph)^{4a}, [Fe₈S₆I₆]³⁻,^{4b},

*lli@csulb.edu qwrn@yahoo.com.cn.

Supporting Information Available IR and ¹H-NMR spectra of complexes **1-3** as well as the cyclic voltammogram and structural diagram of complex **3**, and the X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format for complexes **1** and **3** are provided. These materials are available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

$[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6]^{2-}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$) $4\text{c} \cdot 3\text{b}$, $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}p\text{-CH}_3)_6]^{3-} \cdot 4\text{d}$, $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{PET}_3)\text{Cl}]$ ($\text{M} = \text{V}, \text{Mo}$) 4e and $[\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4(\text{LS}_3)]^{3-}$ ($\text{LS}_3 = 1,3,5\text{-tris}((4,6\text{-dimethyl-3-mercaptophenyl thio})-2,4,6\text{-tris-}(p\text{-tolylthio})\text{benzene}(3-))4\text{f} \cdot 3\text{c}$ were synthesized to simulate these active sites. As the only structurally authenticated example of $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3]$ was foremost found in the Roussin's black salt anion $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^-$, several iron-sulfur nitrosyl clusters, such as $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{-, 2-, 3-}$, $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{NO})_4]^{0, 1-}$, $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$, $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$, $[\text{Fe}_8\text{S}_8(\text{NO})_8]^{2-}$ were also obtained. Recently, because the important functions of nitric oxide (NO) in diverse physiological processes have been gradually recognized by researchers,^{8,9} some of which include controlling blood pressure, regulating gene transcription, inhibiting tumor growth, modulating vasodilation, smoothing muscle proliferation, and acting as biological messengers, these iron-sulfur nitrosyl clusters caught people's attention once more as a potential physiological NO donor.¹⁰ However, some clusters such as $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ were obtained only by complicated multistep procedures.^{7a} Subsequently, a new $[\text{Fe}_8\text{S}_8(\text{NO})_8]^{2-}$ cluster was synthesized with improved one-step synthetic method, in which $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^-$ was used as one of the starting materials.¹¹ Yet, despite many known examples of iron-sulfur nitrosyl clusters, iron-selenium nitrosyl clusters are extremely rare. To date, only one iron-selenium nitrosyl cluster, $(\text{Ph}_4\text{As})[\text{Fe}_4\text{Se}_3(\text{NO})_7]$, has been reported, with no characterization other than its structure.¹² Interestingly, Surerus and co-workers¹³ demonstrated through Mössbauer and EPR spectra that the cluster binding site of beef heart aconitase can bind not only to iron-sulfur clusters including $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4]^{2+, 1+}$ (in the active enzyme), the cubane $[\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4]^{1+, 0}$ and the linear $[\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4]^{1+}$ but also to iron-selenium clusters including $[\text{Fe}_4\text{Se}_4]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4]^{1+, 0}$. In addition, the selenium analog $[\text{Fe}_4\text{Se}_4]$ aconitase was found to have higher catalytic activity than the native sulfur-containing enzyme when isocitrate was used as the substrate.

Our work focuses on the synthesis of iron nitrosyl compounds containing a polynuclear framework and we have reported a cyclic tetranuclear cluster, $[\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2(\text{imidazolate})]_4$, and several dinuclear iron compounds, $[\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-RS})_2(\text{NO})_4]$ ($\text{R} = n\text{-Pr}, t\text{-Bu}, 6\text{-methyl-2-pyridyl}, \text{and } 4,6\text{-dimethyl-2-pyrimidyl}$).¹⁴ Here we report the syntheses, characterizations, and structures of a new hexanuclear iron-selenium nitrosyl cluster $[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{Se}_6(\text{NO})_6]$ (**1**), a hexanuclear iron-sulfur nitrosyl cluster, $[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]$ (**2**), and a tetranuclear iron-sulfur nitrosyl cluster, $(\text{Me}_4\text{N})[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]$ (**3**). The syntheses and investigations of these complexes help us gain an insight on the structures of iron nitrosyl clusters and establish the important relationship between structures and functions of these molecules.

Experimental Section

Materials and methods

$[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}][\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{NO}]$ was synthesized according to the reported procedure, but substituting $(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{NCl}$ for $(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{NBr}$.¹⁵ Other chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and were used without further purification. All solvents were purified and/or dried by standard techniques and degassed under vacuum prior to use and all experiments were conducted under the nitrogen atmosphere without special description. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet AVATAR 370 FTIR infrared spectrophotometer. UV-Visible spectra were measured on a Varian Cary 300 Bio UV-visible spectrophotometer. The $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra were obtained on a Bruker 400 MHz NMR spectrometer, using acetonitrile- d_3 as the solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard.

Synthesis of $[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{Se}_6(\text{NO})_6]$ (**1**)

$[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}][\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{NO}]$ (103 mg, 0.25 mmol), selenium (79 mg, 1 mmol) and methanol (4 ml) were mixed in a vial under nitrogen atmosphere. The vessel was then sealed and heated

at 85°C for 48 hours. The autoclave was subsequently allowed to cool to room temperature. After the reaction solution was filtered and washed using methanol, black solids were obtained. The black solid was then dissolved in acetonitrile and diethyl ether was slowly added to the solution. The mixed solution was placed in a glovebox at -35°C overnight to crystallize. The black crystals, suitable for X-ray crystallography, were collected by filtration, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum for several hours. Yield: 52 mg (85%, based on [(n-Bu)₄N][Fe(CO)₃NO]). FT-IR ν_{NO} : 1694 cm⁻¹ (CH₃CN); 1683 cm⁻¹ (KBr). UV-vis spectrum: 259, 297 nm (CH₃CN). ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN, ppm): 3.06 (t, 8H), 1.58 (m, 8H), 1.32 (m, 8H), 0.95 (t, 12H).

Synthesis of [(n-Bu)₄N]₂[Fe₆S₆(NO)₆] (2)

Compound **2** was obtained using sulfur (32 mg, 1 mmol) by the same procedure at 120°C as described above for **1**. Yield: 46 mg (92%, based on [(n-Bu)₄N][Fe(CO)₃NO]). FT-IR ν_{NO} : 1698 cm⁻¹ (CH₃CN); 1678 cm⁻¹ (KBr). UV-vis spectrum: 288 nm (CH₃CN). ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN, ppm): 3.06 (t, 8H), 1.58 (m, 8H), 1.33 (m, 8H), 0.95 (t, 12H).

Synthesis of (Me₄N)[Fe₄S₃(NO)₇] (3)

FeCl₂·4H₂O (97.3 mg), Thiourea (116.2 mg), (CH₃)₄NCl (57.5 mg), NaNO₂ (108.8 mg) and methanol (3 ml) were mixed in a vial under nitrogen atmosphere. The vessel was sealed and heated at 85°C for 48 hours. The autoclave was then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solution was filtered and washed using methanol and the solid mixture was dissolved in the acetonitrile and filtered to remove the undissolved white solid. Subsequently, diethyl ether was slowly added to the solution, and the mixed solution was placed in a glovebox at -35°C overnight to crystallize. The black crystals, suitable for X-ray crystallography, were collected by filtration, washed with methanol, and dried under vacuum for several hours. Yield: 33 mg (88%, based on FeCl₂·4H₂O). FT-IR ν_{NO} : 1799, 1744, 1710 cm⁻¹ (CH₃CN); 1798, 1728, 1712 cm⁻¹ (KBr). UV-vis spectrum: 265, 357, 434, 584 nm (CH₃CN). ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN, ppm): 3.05 (s, 12H).

X-ray Crystallography

Complexes **1-3** were glued to a thin glass fiber with epoxy resin and collected on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with a fine focus, 2.0 kW sealed tube X-ray source (Mo K α radiation, λ = 0.7103 Å) operating at 50 kV and 30 mA at 273 K. The crystallographic collection and refinement parameters for complexes **1** and **3** are listed in Table 1. The structure of complex **2** was omitted because it resembles the reported one.^{7b} The empirical absorption correction was based on equivalent reflections and other possible effects such as absorption by the glass fiber were simultaneously corrected. Each structure was solved by direct methods followed by successive difference Fourier methods. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Computations were performed using SHELXTL and final full-matrix refinements were against F^2 . The SMART software was used for collecting frames of data, indexing reflections, and determining lattice constants; SAINT-PLUS for integration of intensity of reflections and scaling; SADABS for absorption correction; and SHELXTL for space groups and structure determinations, refinements, graphics, and structure reporting.

16⁻¹⁸

Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out with a CH Instruments electrochemical analyzer 730A. A three-electrode system consisting of a platinum working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode and an Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode was used. The reference electrode was separated from the bulk solution by a fritted-glass bridge filled with the solvent/supporting electrolyte mixture. The CV data were recorded with the scan rate ranging from 100 mV/s to

1 V/s. All potential values are reported vs ferrocene/ferrocenium ion; and the $E_{1/2}^{\circ}$ [$\text{Fe}(\text{Cp})_2/\text{Fe}(\text{Cp})_2^+$] under our experimental conditions is 0.08 V for all complexes **1-3**.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of the Complexes

It has been demonstrated that iron-sulfur nitrosyl clusters can be synthesized by the reactions of ferrous compounds, nitrosyl donor compounds and sulfur donor reagents at room temperature or under heating conditions.^{5-7,11-19} In order to prepare and isolate new classes of iron-sulfur (selenium) nitrosyl clusters and to investigate their properties, solvent-thermal reactions at high pressure were carried out under N_2 atmosphere and monitored by FT-IR spectroscopy. Complex **1** was prepared by the reaction of one equivalent of $[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}][\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{NO}]$ ¹⁵ and four equivalents of selenium in methanol. Upon reaction, the characteristic IR absorptions of nitrosyl group (ν_{NO}) were shifted to lower frequencies as the carbonyls were substituted by the selenium. Meanwhile, the orange reaction solution gradually turned to dark black. The observations are consistent with the results obtained from NMR and single crystal X-ray diffraction. Complex **1** was obtained as a black solid with 85% yield. In order to prove the generality of this new synthetic method, a hexanuclear iron-sulfur nitrosyl cluster, $[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]$ (**2**) was synthesized using similar solvent-thermal reactions with sulfur donor reagents in high yield of 92% and a tetranuclear cluster, $(\text{Me}_4\text{N})[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]$ (**3**) was also prepared by the solvent-thermal reaction of $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, Thiourea, $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{NCl}$ and NaNO_2 in methanol with 88% yield. Complexes **1-3** are all fairly stable in the solid state and in solution under air. Single crystals of complexes **1-3** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution at -35°C . Complexes **1-2** are soluble in most polar organic solvents including acetonitrile, dichloromethane and THF, but are insoluble in methanol, ethyl ether and hexane, while complex **3** is more or less soluble in all organic solvents. The results show that the solvent-thermal reaction is a more effective and simpler procedure for the synthesis of polynuclear iron nitrosyl compounds than the reported methods, in which other iron-sulfur nitrosyl clusters, $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{NO})_4]$ for $(\text{Et}_4\text{N})_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6]$ ^{7a} and $(\text{NH}_4)[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]$ for $(\text{PPN})_2[\text{Fe}_8\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_8]$ ¹¹ were used as starting materials. Although $(\text{PPN})[\text{Fe}_4\text{Se}_3(\text{NO})_7]$ could be prepared from $(\text{PPN})[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{NO}]$, it was via an intermediate $(\text{PPN})[\text{Se}_5\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2]$ and in a low yield of 21%.^{12b}

Spectroscopic Characterization

The FT-IR spectrum of **1** in acetonitrile shows a NO stretching frequency at 1694 cm^{-1} , which is located in the range corresponding to NO^+ .²⁰ The value is similar to the corresponding absorptions at 1698 cm^{-1} for **2** and situated in the range of the absorptions at $1748, 1689, 1660\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$,^{5c} but lower than the values $1799, 1744$ and 1710 cm^{-1} for **3**. These observations indicate that there are significantly more back donations from the metal to the π^* -orbital of the nitrosyls for complexes **1, 2** and $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$ than for complex **3** since the latter is monoanion. This is consistent with the results of single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, which revealed that nitrosyl moieties of the four complexes are all near linear, but the bond distances N-O for **1, 2** and $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$ are longer than that of **3**.

The electronic absorption spectra of complexes **1-3** were measured in acetonitrile. As shown in Figure 1, complex **1** shows a medium band at 288 nm and a weak band at 554 nm. The absorptions mainly arise from the transitions between orbitals delocalized over the Fe-Se cluster, the ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), $\pi^*_{\text{NO}}\text{-d}_{\text{Fe}}$, and the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT), $\text{d}_{\text{Fe}}\text{-}\pi^*_{\text{NO}}$. The bands over 400 nm are ascribed to the LMCT, while the bands at higher energy are thought to be from MLCT and the transitions within the Fe-

Se cluster.²¹ Similarly, complex **2** shows two medium bands at 259 and 297 nm, and a weak band at 552 nm, whereas complex **3** displays four bands at 265, 357, 425 and 562 nm.

Structural Studies

The molecular structures of complexes **1–3** were determined by X-ray diffraction analysis and it was found that the structural parameters of complex **2** resembled the reported one.^{7b} The selected bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table 2. The crystal structure of **1** is monoclinic and crystallized in a $P2(1)/n$ space group with two molecules per unit cell. As shown in Figure 2, two parallel “chair-shape” structures, consisting of three iron and three selenium atoms, are connected by Fe-Se bonds with an average distance of 2.341 Å, and each iron center is bonded to three selenium atoms and a nitrogen atom from nitrosyl ligand with pseudotetrahedral center geometry. The $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ cluster has been prepared previously with different counterions but in a more complicated procedure.^{7·11} For the crystal structure of **3**, no differences could be attributed to effects of the counterion besides the packing effects, which is related with the distortions of Fe_4S_3 core.^{5c}

The average Fe-Fe distance of 2.730 Å for **1** suggests that there is fairly strong interaction between the two iron centers. It is longer than the relevant value of 2.644 Å for **2** as the radius of selenium atom is larger than that of sulfur. This is in agreement with the average value of 2.341 Å for the Fe-Se interactions in $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ cluster, and 2.220 Å for the Fe-S interactions in $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ cluster. Interestingly, the average Fe-Fe distance of 2.644 Å for $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$, even 2.730 Å for $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$, or 2.705 Å for **3**, are clearly shorter than the relevant value of 2.764 Å for dianion $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$,^{5c}. This difference can be explained by the following. On the one hand, the $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ and $[\text{Fe}_6\text{Se}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ clusters possess different structural cores from $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$; on the other hand, the HOMO of $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$ contains an unpaired electron, which has an antibonding character involving all pairs of iron atoms of Fe_4S_3 core, leading to the increase of Fe-Fe bond lengths,^{5c} while $[\text{Fe}_6\text{Se}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ and $[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ clusters are similar to **3**, which are EPR-silent and have no unpaired electrons as demonstrated by their perfect ¹H-NMR spectra. The similar phenomenon has also been observed by Dahl and co-workers in the clusters $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{NO})_4]$ and $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{NO})_4]^-$.^{6b}

The Fe-N bond distances for compound **1** range from 1.661 to 1.665 Å with an average of 1.663 Å. It is similar to the value 1.667 Å in compound **2**, in which the Fe-N bond distances range from 1.659 to 1.672 Å. Accordingly, the N-O bond lengths in compound **1** range from 1.172 to 1.186 Å with an average of 1.180 Å, which is also similar to the mean of 1.182 Å (from 1.168 to 1.197 Å) in compound **2**. When comparing $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$,^{5c} and $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^-$ (**3**), the Fe-N interactions are evidently strengthened in the dianion (average value: 1.646 Å vs 1.671 Å in the monoanion) owing to more back-donation from d_{Fe} to π^*_{NO} . On the other hand, the average N-O bond lengths are 1.176 Å for $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$,^{5c} and 1.166 Å for **3**—an opposite trend. These observations are consistent with the results of IR spectra, which display that the absorptions of nitrosyl groups (ν_{NO}) appear at higher frequencies for complex **3**.

The Fe-N-O bond angles range from 174.5° to 178.9° with an average of 176.5°, which is close to linear. This indicates that the nitrosyl moieties exhibit sp hybridized NO^+ character, which means a considerable amount of charge transfer between the NO and the metal took place.²⁰ The average Fe-N-O bond angle of 176.5° in complex **1** is similar to the average values of 174.4° in complex **2**, 176.9° in $[\text{Fe}_8\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_8]^{2-}$,¹¹ 177.6° in $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{NO})_4]^{6b}$, 177.5° in $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{NO})_4]^-$,^{6b} and the Fe-N-O bond angles of 177.6° and 178.3° arising from the apical Fe(NO) in $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$,^{5c} and complex **3**. However, it is clearly longer than the average Fe-N-O bond angles of 167.9° and 166.6° arising from the three sets of $\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2$ of $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}$ and complex **3**, respectively. When comparing complex **3** with other

Roussin's black salt, no differences could be attributed to effects of the counterion besides the packing effects. These results show that the Fe-N-O bond angles of iron-sulfur (selenium) clusters are irrelevant to their dimension and charge, but relevant to the number of nitrosyls attached to the iron atoms and the localized symmetry of the iron atoms.^{6b,22} This also means that the variance^{21b} of NO⁺ (linear, sp hybridized) and NO⁻ (bent, sp² hybridized) may be brought out because of the greater deviations of the Fe-N-O bond angles from 180° in the iron dinitrosyl units for complex **3** and [Fe₄S₃(NO)₇]²⁻.^{5c}

Electrochemical properties

The electrochemistry of complexes **1-3** was studied by cyclic voltammetry and the data are listed in Table 3. As shown in Figure 3, complex **1** shows two cathodic current peaks at E_{pc} = -0.42 and -1.36 V and three anodic peaks at E_{pa} = -0.04, -0.38 and -1.30 V; the peak at E_{pc} = -0.42 V is unusually strong with a full scan range from 0.40 V to -1.80 V. In order to interpret the abnormal phenomenon, the CVs were scanned with different potential ranges. Interestingly, two quasi-reversible reductions with half-wave potentials of -0.41 and -1.33 V with E_{pc}/E_{pa} separations of 60 and 70 mV were found when the range was set between -0.20 and -1.80 V. In addition, the cyclic voltammogram of the first reduction (E_{pc} = -0.42 V) and the two corresponding oxidation peaks (E_{pa} = -0.04 and -0.38 V) kept no change when the scan potential was set from 0.4 to -0.7 V, but the oxidation peak at -0.04 V was not observed when the potential was switched off at -0.10 V, showing that the oxidation peak at -0.04 V is the product of the reduction at -0.42 V. These results indicate that the intensity of the unusually strong peak at E_{pc} = -0.42 V is a result of at least three processes. One is the quasi-reversible reduction at E_{1/2}^o = -0.41 V and the other two are from an irreversible electrochemical process that occurred at E_{pc} = -0.42 V, in which the compound went through a typical electron transfer and chemical reaction (ECE) mechanism of which its product is easier to reduce than the original one, resulting in an overlap of the reduction potentials and subsequently, a very strong peak. The peak at E_{pa} = -0.04 V is the product from such a chemical reaction.

The redox behavior of compound **2** shown in Figure 4 exhibits two cathodic current peaks at E_{pc} = -0.30 and -1.29 V and three anodic peaks at E_{pa} = 0.08, -0.23 and -1.19 V when scanned from 0.40 to -1.80 V with a scan rate of 100 mV/s. It is similar to compound **1** in that the first reduction peak is much stronger than the second one. The cyclic voltammograms were also recorded using various scan rates from 0.1 V/S to 1.0 V/S. As shown in Figure 4, when faster scan rates were applied, the first reduction peak was separated to two reductions. Meanwhile, the faster the scan rate, the clearer the separation observed between the two reduction peaks. However, the peaks which arose from the ECE process did not disappear even at the scan rate of 1 V/s, which indicates that the chemical step is quite fast. These observations are different from the reported cyclic voltammograms with the half-wave potentials of -0.87 and -1.70 V for (PPN)₂[Fe₆S₆(NO)₆]**11** and -0.11, -0.91, -1.08, -1.58, and -1.82 V for (Et₄N)₂[Fe₆S₆(NO)₆]**7a**. For the former, a possible reason is that a wider scan range (0.40 to -1.80 V) was used in our experiments than the reported one (0.0 to -1.80 V) in which one of redox courses could not be observed. For the latter, a reasonable explanation is that some factors, such as the counterion, electrolyte, electrodes, and different starting scan potential, caused two sets of one-electron reductions to overlap under our experimental conditions. Compound **3** bears three quasi-reversible reductions with half-wave potentials of -1.09, -1.71, and -2.21 V, which is similar to reported values -0.86, -1.44 and -1.93 V for (Et₄N)[Fe₄S₃(NO)₇] considering different counterion and test conditions.^{5c}

Conclusions

In summary, iron-selenium and iron-sulfur nitrosyl clusters, [(n-Bu)₄N]₂[Fe₆Se₆(NO)₆] (**1**), [(n-Bu)₄N]₂[Fe₆S₆(NO)₆] (**2**), and (Me₄N)[Fe₄S₃(NO)₇] (**3**) have been synthesized by efficient solvent-thermal reactions and their structures and properties have been studied by IR, UV-vis, ¹H-NMR, electrochemistry, and single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. IR spectra of complexes **1** and **2** all display one strong characteristic NO stretching frequencies (ν_{NO}) in solution with the characteristic of NO⁺, while IR spectrum of complex **3** displays three absorptions. The electronic absorption spectra show different bands in the range of 259–562 nm, which are assigned to the transitions between orbitals delocalized over the Fe-S cluster, the ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), π*_{NO}-d_{Fe}, and the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT), d_{Fe}-π*_{NO}. Single-crystal X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex **1** crystallizes in monoclinic *P*2(1)/*n* space group with two molecules per unit cell. Each iron center is bonded to three selenium atoms and a nitrogen atom from nitrosyl ligand with pseudotetrahedral center geometry. The two parallel “chair-shape” structures, consisting of three iron and three selenium atoms, are connected by Fe-Se bonds. Cyclic voltammetry of compounds **1** and **2** display two cathodic and three anodic current peaks with an unusually strong cathodic peak. The experimental results indicate that the intensity of the unusually strong peak is a result of at least three processes. One is the quasi-reversible reduction and the other two are from an irreversible electrochemical process, in which the compound went through a typical electron transfer and chemical reaction (ECE) mechanism. Compound **3** shows three quasi-reversible reductions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the National Institute of Health (NIH) MBRS SCORE Program (Grant #2 S06 GM 063119) for financial support.

References

- 1(a). Stephan DW, Papaefthymiou GC, Frankel RB, Holm RH. *Inorg. Chem.* 1983; 22:1550–1557. (b) Johnson RE, Papaefthymiou GC, Frankel RB, Holm RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983; 105:7280–7287. (c) Daku LML, Pécaut J, Lenormand-Foucaut A, Vieux-Melchior B, Iveson P, Jordanov J. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003; 42:6824–6850. [PubMed: 14552635]
- 2(a). Christou G, Mascharak PK, Armstrong WH, Papaefthymiou GC, Frankel RB, Holm RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982; 104:2820–2831. (b) Armstrong WH, Mascharak PK, Holm RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982; 104:4373–4383. (c) Zuo JL, Zhou HC, Holm RH. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003; 42:4624–4631. [PubMed: 12870953]
- 3(a). Averill BA, Herskovitz T, Holm RH, Ibers JA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973; 95:3523–3534. [PubMed: 4708377] (b) Coucouvanis D, Kanatzidis MG, Dunham WR, Hagen WR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984; 106:7998–7999. (c) Rao PV, Holm RH. *Chem. Rev.* 2004; 104:527–559. [PubMed: 14871134]
- 4(a). Christou G, Holm RH, Sabat M, Ibers JA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981; 103:6269–6271. (b) Pohl S, Saak W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1984; 23:907–908. (c) Saak W, Henkel G, Pohl S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1984; 23:150–151. (d) Kanatzidis MG, Salifoglou A, Coucouvanis D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985; 107:3358–3360. (e) Cen W, MacDonnell FM, Scott MJ, Holm RH. *Inorg. Chem.* 1994; 33:5809–5818. (f) Zhou J, Holm RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995; 117:11353–11354.
- 5(a). Johansson G, Lipscomb WN. *Acta Cryst.* 1958; 11:594–598. (b) Chu CT-W, Dahl LF. *Inorg. Chem.* 1977; 16:3245–3251. (c) D’Addario S, Demartin F, Grossi L, Iapalucci MC, Laschi F, Longoni G, Zanello P. *Inorg. Chem.* 1993; 32:1153–1160.

- 6(a). Gall R,S, Chu CT-W, Dahl LF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974; 96:4019–4023. (b) Chu CT-W, Lo FY-K, Dahl LF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982; 104:3409–3422.
- 7(a). Scott MJ, Holm RH. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1993; 32:564–566. (b) Geiser U, Williams JM. *Acta Cryst.* 1998; C54:292–293.
- 8(a). Culotta E, Koshland DE. *Science.* 1992; 258:1862–1865. [PubMed: 1361684] (b) Maes EM, Walker FA, Montfort WR, Czernuszewicz RS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001; 123:11664–11672. [PubMed: 11716723] (c) Dall'Agnoli M, Bernstein C, Bernstein H, Garewall H, Payne CM. *Proteomics.* 2006; 6:1654–1662. [PubMed: 16404723]
- 9(a). Brett DS, Hwang PM, Glatt CE, Lowenstein C, Reed RR, Snyder SH. *Nature.* 1991; 351:714–718. [PubMed: 1712077] (b) Abu-Soud H, Stuehr DJ. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1993; 90:10769–10772. [PubMed: 7504282] (c) Griffith OW, Stuehr DJ. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 1995; 57:707–736. [PubMed: 7539994] (d) Heo J, Campbell SL. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:2314–2322. [PubMed: 14979728]
- 10(a). Bourassa J, DeGraff W, Kudo S, Wink DA, Mitchell JB, Ford PC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:2853–2860. (b) Ford PC, Bourassa J, Miranda K, Lee B, Lorkovic I, Boggs S, Kudo S, Laverman L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1998; 117:185–202. (c) De Leo M, Ford PC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:1980–1981. (d) Works CF, Ford PC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:7592–7593. (e) Bourassa JL, Ford PC. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2000; 200-202:887–900. (f) Weckler S, Mikhailovsky A, Ford PC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:13566–13567. [PubMed: 15493884]
11. Kalyvas H, Coucouvanis D. *Inorg. Chem.* 2006; 45:8462–8564. [PubMed: 17029349]
- 12(a). Barnes JC, Glidewell C, Lees A, Howie RA. *Acta Cryst.* 1990; C46:2051–2053. (b) Chen T-N, Lo F-C, Tsai M-L, Shih K-N, Chiang M-H, Lee G-H, Liaw W-F. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2006; 359:2525–2533.
- 13(a). Surerus KK, Kennedy MC, Beinert H, Münck E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1989; 86:9846–9850. [PubMed: 2557631] (b) Beinert H, Kennedy MC. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 1989; 186:5–15. [PubMed: 2598939]
- 14(a). Wang X, Sundberg EB, Li L, Kantardjieff KA, Herron SR, Lim M, Ford PC. *Chem. Comm.* 2005:477–479. [PubMed: 15654375] (b) Wang R, Camacho-Fernandez MA, Xu W, Zhang J, Li L. *Dalton Trans.* 2009:777–786. [PubMed: 19156270]
15. Xu YY, Zhou B. *J. Org. Chem.* 1987; 52:974–977.
16. SMART and SAINT for Windows NT Software Reference Manuals. Version 5.0. Bruker Analytical X-Ray Systems; Madison, WI: 1997.
17. Sheldrick, GM. SADABS – A Software for Empirical Absorption Correction. University of Göttingen; Germany: 1997.
18. SHELXTL Reference Manual. Version 5.1. Bruker Analytical X-Ray System; Madison, WI: 1997.
19. Hsu I-J, Hsieh C-H, Ke S-C, Chiang K-A, Lee J-M, Chen J-M, Jang L-Y, Lee G-H, Wang Y, Liaw W-F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:1151–1159. [PubMed: 17263396]
20. Wang, PG.; Cai, TB.; Taniguchi, N. *Nitric Oxide Donors.* Wiley-VCH; 2005.
- 21(a). Bourassa J, Lee B, Bernard S, Schoonover J, Ford PC. *Inorg. Chem.* 1999; 38:2947–2952. [PubMed: 11671043] (b) Jaworska M, Stasicka Z. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2006; 785:68–75.
- 22(a). Kettle SFA. *Inorg. Chem.* 1965; 4:1661–1663. (b) Enemark JH, Feltham RD. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1974; 13:339–406.

Figure 1.
Electronic absorption spectra of complexes **1** (solid), **2** (dash), and **3** (dot) in acetonitrile.

Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of compound **1** showing thermal ellipsoids with 50% probability, the counterion is omitted for clarity.

Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms of a 1mM solution of compound **1** in 0.1 M (NBu₄)(PF₆) / CH₃CN at scan rate of 0.1 V/S.

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of a 1mM solution of compound **2** in 0.1 M (NBu₄)(PF₆) / CH₃CN at scan rate of 0.10 (red), 0.20 (green), 0.50 (black) and 1.00 (blue) V/S.

Table 1Crystallographic collection and refinement parameters for **1** and **3**.

	1	3
formula	C ₃₂ H ₇₂ N ₈ O ₆ Se ₆ Fe ₆	C ₄ H ₁₂ N ₈ O ₇ S ₃ Fe ₄
Mr	1473.84	603.80
size [mm ³]	0.18 × 0.14 × 0.10	0.14 × 0.12 × 0.08
crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
space group	<i>P</i> 2(1)/n	<i>P</i> -1
a [Å]	12.0207(6)	8.8970(9)
b [Å]	11.8711(6)	9.5905(10)
c [Å]	18.5002(12)	11.7278(12)
α [°]	90	86.1650(10)
β [°]	95.416(4)	74.0230(10)
γ [°]	90	88.3620(10)
V [Å ³]	2628.2(3)	959.83(17)
Z	2	2
<i>F</i> (000)	1456	600
ρ _{calcd} [g cm ⁻³]	1.862	2.089
[mm ⁻¹]	5.811	3.333
range [°]	1.94 to 25.14	2.71 to 24.83
Reflec. collected	4575	3238
Indep. reflec.	3463 (Rint = 0.0317)	2883 (Rint = 0.0388)
parameters	271	235
<i>R</i> 1 [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]	0.0527	0.0419
<i>wR</i> 2 [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]	0.0779	0.0973
goodness of fit	0.995	1.025

Table 2

Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for **1-3** and the reported $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}, 5c$.

	$[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ (1)	$[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ (2)7b	$[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^{2-}, 5c$	$[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]^-$ (3)
Fe1-Fe2	2.7254(8)	Fe1-Fe2 2.6402(11)	Fe1-Fe2 2.781(1)	Fe1-Fe4 2.6968(7)
Fe1-Fe3	2.7391(9)	Fe1-Fe3 2.6507(11)	Fe1-Fe3 2.757(1)	Fe2-Fe4 2.7104(7)
Fe2-Fe3	2.7267(9)	Fe2-Fe3 2.6399(12)	Fe1-Fe4 2.753(1)	Fe3-Fe4 2.7087(7)
Fe1-Se1	2.3364(7)	Fe1-S1 2.2211(15)	Fe2-S1 2.267(2)	Fe1-S1 2.2601(10)
Fe1-Se2	2.3425(8)	Fe1-S2 2.2238(15)	Fe2-S2 2.277(2)	Fe1-S2 2.2625(10)
Fe1-Se3	2.3445(7)	Fe1-S3 2.2154(16)	Fe3-S1 2.269(2)	Fe2-S2 2.2578(10)
Fe2-Se1	2.3473(7)	Fe2-S1 2.2130(15)	Fe3-S3 2.284(2)	Fe2-S3 2.2453(9)
Fe2-Se2	2.3430(7)	Fe2-S2 2.2292(16)	Fe4-S2 2.266(2)	Fe3-S1 2.2579(10)
Fe2-Se3	2.3362(7)	Fe2-S3 2.2174(16)	Fe4-S3 2.271(2)	Fe3-S3 2.2510(9)
Fe3-Se1	2.3431(8)	Fe3-S1 2.2216(16)	Fe1-S1 2.216(2)	Fe4-S1 2.2046(9)
Fe3-Se2	2.3290(7)	Fe3-S2 2.2136(15)	Fe1-S2 2.233(2)	Fe4-S2 2.2073(10)
Fe3-Se3	2.3487(7)	Fe3-S3 2.2282(16)	Fe1-S3 2.230(2)	Fe4-S3 2.2135(9)
Fe1-N1	1.665(4)	Fe1-N1 1.672(5)	Fe1-N1 1.653(7)	Fe1-N1 1.670(3)
Fe2-N2	1.661(4)	Fe2-N2 1.659(4)	Fe2-N21 1.665(7)	Fe1-N2 1.675(3)
Fe3-N3	1.663(4)	Fe3-N3 1.669(4)	Fe2-N22 1.644(7)	Fe2-N3 1.668(3)
N1-O1	1.172(4)	N1-O1 1.182(6)	Fe3-N31 1.618(7)	Fe2-N4 1.671(3)
N2-O2	1.186(4)	N2-O2 1.197(6)	Fe3-N32 1.662(7)	Fe3-N5 1.675(3)
N3-O3	1.183(4)	N3-O3 1.168(6)	Fe4-N41 1.652(7)	Fe3-N6 1.671(3)
Fe1-Se1-Fe3	71.66(2)	Fe1-S1-Fe2 73.08(5)	Fe4-N42 1.629(7)	Fe4-N7 1.670(3)
Fe1-Se1-Fe2	71.17(2)	Fe1-S1-Fe3 110.20(6)	N1-O1 1.172(6)	N1-O1 1.164(4)
Fe2-Se1-Fe3	110.05(3)	Fe2-S1-Fe3 73.07(5)	N21-O21 1.191(7)	N2-O2 1.167(4)
Fe1-Se2-Fe3	71.80(2)	Fe2-S2-Fe3 72.91(5)	N22-O22 1.176(7)	N3-O3 1.169(4)
Se2-Fe3-Se3	108.68(3)	S2-Fe3-S3 106.64(6)	N31-O31 1.181(8)	N4-O4 1.167(4)
Se1-Fe3-Se3	112.16(3)	S1-Fe3-S3 114.03(6)	N32-O32 1.169(7)	N5-O5 1.167(4)
Se2-Fe3-Se1	108.34(3)	S2-Fe3-S1 107.12(6)	N41-O41 1.165(7)	N6-O6 1.165(4)
Se1-Fe1-Se2	108.11(3)	S1-Fe1-S2 113.49(6)	N42-O42 1.181(7)	N7-O7 1.160(4)
Se2-Fe3-Fe1	54.33(2)	S2-Fe3-Fe1 53.50(4)	Fe1-N1-O1 177.6(6)	Fe1-N1-O1 170.4(3)
Se1-Fe3-Fe1	54.06(2)	S1-Fe3-Fe1 125.02(5)	Fe2-N21-O21 165.8(6)	Fe1-N2-O2 165.4(3)

$[\text{Fe}_6\text{Se}_6(\text{NO})_6]_{2-}$ (1)	$[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]^{2-}$ (2/7b)	$[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO}_7)]^{2-}, 5c$	$[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO}_7)]^-$ (3)				
Se3-Fe3-Fe1	124.52(3)	S3-Fe3-Fe1	53.16(4)	Fe2-N22-O22	170.4(6)	Fe2-N3-O3	167.1(3)
Se1-Fe2-Fe1	54.23(2)	S1-Fe2-Fe1	53.60(4)	Fe3-N31-O31	164.8(8)	Fe2-N4-O4	166.1(3)
Fe1-N1-O1	176.2(4)	Fe1-N1-O1	174.4(5)	Fe3-N32-O32	167.5(6)	Fe3-N5-O5	163.6(3)
Fe2-N2-O2	178.9(4)	Fe2-N2-O2	173.0(5)	Fe4-N41-O41	166.3(8)	Fe3-N5-O5	167.3(3)
Fe3-N3-O3	174.5(3)	Fe3-N3-O3	175.9(5)	Fe4-N42-O42	172.8(7)	Fe4-N7-O7	178.3(3)

Table 3

List of redox potentials for complexes **1-3** and the reported analogues.

Compounds	Redox potentials vs. Fc^+/Fc (V) ^a		References			
	E _{pa}	E _{1/2} ^o				
$[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]$	-0.04	-0.41	-1.33	this work		
$[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]$	0.07	-0.33	-1.32	this work		
$(\text{PPN})_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]$		-0.87	-1.70	8		
$(\text{Et}_4\text{N})_2[\text{Fe}_6\text{S}_6(\text{NO})_6]$	-0.11 ^b	-0.91	-1.08	-1.58	-1.82	4b
$(\text{Me}_4\text{N})[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]$		-1.09	-1.71	-2.21	this work	
$(\text{Et}_4\text{N})[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]$		-0.86	-1.44	-1.93	4c	
$(\text{PPN})[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_3(\text{NO})_7]$		-0.54	-1.33	8		

^a In order to compare the literature data with our experimental data expediently, the reported redox potentials (vs SCE) in the references were converted to the values (vs Ferrocene/Ferrocenium⁺) in the table

^b reported E_{1/2}^o value.