Published in final edited form as:

J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010 July ; 126(1): 3–13. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2010.01.055.

Organ-specific eosinophilic disorders of the skin, lung and gastrointestinal tract

Dagmar Simon^a, Andrew Wardlaw^b, and Marc E. Rothenberg^c

^aDepartment of Dermatology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland ^bInstitute for Lung Health, Department of Infection Immunity and Inflammation, University of Leicester, United Kingdom ^cDivision of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio

Abstract

Eosinophils are multifunctional leukocytes that increase in various tissues in a variety of disorders. Locally, they can be involved in the initiation and propagation of diverse inflammatory responses. In this review, the clinical association of eosinophils with diseases of the skin, lung and gastrointestinal tract is summarized. An approach to determining the causal role of eosinophils in these diseases is presented. Recent findings concerning molecular diagnosis, etiology and treatment are discussed.

Keywords

asthma; cutaneous; dermatitis; eosinophilia; esophagitis; intestine; lung; respiratory; skin

Introduction

Eosinophils are multifunctional leukocytes implicated in the pathogenesis of numerous inflammatory processes including infections (parasitic helminths, bacterial and viral), non-specific tissue injury, malignancy, and allergic diseases.¹ In response to a variety of stimuli, eosinophils are recruited from the circulation into the tissue where they modulate immune responses through multiple mechanisms. Triggering of eosinophils by cytokines, immunoglobulins, and complement can lead to the release of an array of proinflammatory cytokines, such as chemokines, interleukins (e.g. IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, IL-16, IL-18), transforming growth factor (TGF)- α/β , lipid mediators such as platelet-activating factor (PAF) and leukotriene (LT)C₄, free radicals, and mitochondrial DNA. These molecules have proinflammatory effects that include upregulation of adhesion systems, modulation of cellular trafficking, regulation of vascular permeability, mucus secretion, and smooth muscle constriction. In addition, eosinophils can initiate adaptive immunity by acting as antigen-presenting cells and secreting T helper cell chemokines. Furthermore, eosinophils can serve

© 2010 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. Published by Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved.

Address correspondence to Dr. Marc E. Rothenberg, Division of Allergy and Immunology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, 3333 Burnet Avenue, MLC 7028, Cincinnati, Ohio 45229. 513 636 7177; Rothenberg@cchmc.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

as major effector cells inducing tissue damage and dysfunction by releasing cytotoxic granule proteins, inflammatory lipid mediators and mitochondrial DNA.¹ In this chapter, we summarize the association of eosinophils with diseases involving three tissues, the skin, respiratory tract, and gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Focusing on clinical data, we discuss differential disease diagnosis, therapy and pathogenesis. A more detailed discussion of disease mechanisms and the detailed results of early eosinophil-targeted novel therapy are provided in another review in this issue.²

Cutaneous Eosinophilia

Eosinophil infiltration is found in a broad spectrum of skin disorders (Table 1).³ It is a characteristic feature of allergic diseases or parasitic infestations, but it is also observed in autoimmune diseases, hematologic diseases, as well as in association with tumors, and bacterial or viral infections. Depending upon the disease, eosinophils can be the predominant cell infiltrate such as in eosinophilic cellulitis or can be part of a mixed inflammatory infiltrate in the dermis such as in eczematous reactions. Eosinophils may infiltrate the epidermis presenting as eosinophilic spongiosis in particular in autoimmune bullous diseases, insect bite reactions or acute contact dermatitis. Eosinophil infiltration of the deep dermis and subcutaneous fat tissue can be observed in eosinophilic cellulitis, parasitic infections, erythema nodosum, vasculitis, or lymphomas. Peripheral blood eosinophilia may be associated with tissue eosinophilia, e.g. in drug reactions with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS), atopic dermatitis, or bullous pemphigoid.

In hematoxylin and eosin stained skin specimens, eosinophils are noticeable as round shaped cells stuffed with coarse eosinophil granules. In subacute and chronic eczematous lesions, disrupted oval shaped eosinophils may also be found. Extracellular deposits of granular proteins can be detected in varying amounts either as separate little granules or as a thin coating on collagen bundles. The latter are called flame figures and can typically be seen in eosinophilic cellulitis. Immunofluorescence staining using antibodies directed against eosinophilic cationic protein (ECP) or major basic protein (MBP) allows a more sensitive detection of eosinophils and extracellular granular protein depositions compared with hematoxylin and eosin staining.

Eosinophils do not enter the skin under physiological states. Mechanistically, cutaneous eosinophilia can be from a primary problem internal to the eosinophil or may be caused by stimuli outside the cell.³ In either case, increased production, recruitment and/or survival of eosinophils is likely. Hematologic disorders affecting multipotent or pluripotent hematopoietic stem cells may involve the eosinophil lineage. In these diseases, mutations that represent intrinsic defects in eosinophils cause eosinophil proliferation and tissue infiltration including the skin. Cutaneous manifestations are described as multiple erythematous papules, plaques and nodules, or generalized erythematous maculopapular eruptions often associated with pruritus. By means of cytogenetic and molecular techniques, a number of diseases formerly defined as idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) can now be classified as separate entities. Clonal eosinophilia is often associated with rearrangements involving the genes of the platelet-derived growth factors A and B (PDGFRA, PDGFRB) resulting in increased tyrosine kinase activity.⁴ Notably, patients with HES due to the fusion of the PDGFRA and FIP1L1 genes respond to imatinib therapy.⁴

More commonly, extrinsic eosinophilic disorders are observed, in which skin eosinophilia is due to cytokine release by either T cells or tumor cells. Cytokines involved in the development of skin eosinophilia include interleukin (IL)-3, IL-5 and granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF). The expression of IL-5 in association with eosinophilic skin disorders has been reported in atopic dermatitis,⁵ 6 exanthematous drug reactions, 7 urticaria, 8 episodic angioedema with eosinophilia,⁹ bullous pemphigoid,¹⁰ eosinophilic fasciitis,¹¹

eosinophilic folliculitis,¹² cutaneous T cell lymphoma,¹³ eosinophilic cellulitis¹⁴ and HES with skin involvement.¹⁵ IL-3 expression has been detected in blister fluids of bullous pemphigoid.¹⁶ In Langerhans cell histiocytosis¹⁷ as well as in atopic dermatitis, atopy patch test reactions and cutaneous late phase reactions, the expression of both IL-3 and GM-CSF has been shown.^{16, 18} Expression of the chemokine eotaxin has been observed in atopic dermatitis,¹⁹ drug reactions,²⁰ autoimmune-blistering diseases like dermatitis herpetiformis and bullous pemphigoid,²¹ parasitic dermatoses,²² eosinophilic folliculitis,¹² but also lymphomas, (e.g. cutaneous T cell lymphoma) and Hodgkin's disease.²³ The functional role of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of skin diseases remains largely unknown. Depending on the skin disease, a role in host defense, immunoregulation and/or remodeling and fibrosis can be assumed. Specific cutaneous eosinophilic disorders are described below.

Eosinophilic cellulitis (Wells syndrome) and HES

As the name implies, Wells syndrome is characterized by an intense infiltration of eosinophils, extracellular granule deposition and flame figures in the dermis. Patients present with recurrent episodes of acute pruritic dermatitis, seldom with blisters, painful edematous swellings or persistent urticarial eruptions.²⁴ An increased expression of IL-5 has been reported in a number of cases. The cause is not known, but some patients developed eosinophilic cellulitis in association with hematological disorders, infections, or anti-TNF-alpha therapy. Corticosteroids are usually helpful in Wells syndrome. Eosinophilic cellulitis may also occur as a cutaneous manifestation of HES. Other skin manifestations of HES are erythematous macules, papules or nodules, blisters, necrosis, ulcerations, purpura, lichenoid eruptions or urticarial lesions, and pruritus. The skin is affected in 37% of HES patients.²⁵ Anti-IL-5 antibody therapy was shown to improve skin symptoms in HES patients.²⁶ In a subgroup of patients with HES, IL-5 producing clonal T cells has been identified. These T cells often exhibit an abnormal phenotype in as far as they have an either higher, lower or absent expression of lineage-associated markers. Those patients usually present with cutaneous symptoms.²⁷

Eosinophilic pustular folliculitis (EPF)

EPF presents as annular clusters of sterile follicular papules and pustules predominantly on the face and trunk that heal with postinflammatory hyperpigmentation but tend to recur periodically.²⁸ The histology shows a dense follicular and perifollicular infiltrate of eosinophils and scattered lymphocytes, and sometimes follicle destruction. The classic type of EPF affects immunocompetent subjects. Meanwhile two other subtypes of EPF have been identified. Infancy-associated EPF often involves the scalp. More commonly, EPF is seen in context with immunosuppression. EPF has been reported in association with infections, in particular AIDS, medications, autoimmune diseases, as well as autologous peripheral blood stem cell and allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. A pathogenic role for eosinophils in response to fungi (*Malassezia*), demodex mites and bacteria has been suggested.

Drug reactions

Despite various cutaneous and histopathological presentations of drug reactions (e.g. maculopapular rashes, erythema multiforme, acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis, pseudolymphomatous and granulomatous drug reactions), the presence of eosinophils in the skin is a quite striking finding.²⁹ DRESS, also named hypersensitivity syndrome, presents with an acute, severe skin eruption that may develop from a maculopapular rash into erythroderma, as well as with fever, lymphadenopathy, hepatitis, blood eosinophilia, and other organ involvement due to hypereosinophilia.³⁰ Eosinophils accompanied by other inflammatory cells are found in the skin and the lymph nodes. Severe hepatitis, in which eosinophilic infiltration or granulomas as well as hepatocyte necrosis and cholestasis are striking features, may result in liver failure accounting for the high mortality rate of 10%. The treatment is based

on high-dose corticosteroids. Drugs known to cause DRESS are anticonvulsants, sulfa drugs, antimicrobial agents, anticancer drugs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and anti-diabetic agents.

Atopic dermatitis (AD)

Tissue eosinophilia is a typical feature of AD. The numbers of eosinophils in the skin are usually modest (2.8 cells/mm² [range 0 to 90.3]) and correlate with disease severity, as well as the degree of spongiosis in acute exacerbations and marked epidermal hyperplasia in chronic stages.³¹ Besides eosinophils, eosinophil-derived products such as ECP, EDN (also abbreviated as EDX), and MBP are present in increased amounts in the blood and the skin of AD patients. The measurement of ECP in serum is frequently used as a tool for monitoring AD activity and response to therapy.³² Immunostaining with antibodies to MBP and ECP has demonstrated that eosinophil granule proteins are not only present inside eosinophils but also in the extracellular spaces, suggesting eosinophil degranulation. In biopsies obtained from chronic AD lesions, intact eosinophils are located predominantly within the perivascular mononuclear cell infiltrate. In contrast, in the upper dermis extensive extracellular MBP deposition are observed in the near absence of intact eosinophils.³³ The presence of mostly disrupted eosinophils has been confirmed by electron-microscopy studies, which revealed various degrees of eosinophil degeneration ranging from intact eosinophils with granule abnormalities, to intact eosinophils with abnormal granules and pseudopod-like extensions, to eosinophils with degenerated cell and/or nuclear membranes to free eosinophil granules.³⁴ Improvement of AD upon both systemic and topical therapy is usually associated with a decrease of eosinophils and other inflammatory cells in the skin. However, the administration of an anti-IL-5 antibody showed only moderate effects on clinical symptoms although blood eosinophils were almost completely depleted.³⁵

Autoimmune bullous diseases

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is due to an autoimmune response to structural components of junctional adhesion complexes leading to damage of the dermal-epidermal junction with subepidermal blister formation.³⁶ Autoreactive B and T cell responses against the hemidesmosomal antigens BP180 and BP230 have been identified. IL-5 as well as eotaxin are abundant in blister fluids and the production of IL-5 is associated with blood eosinophilia and significant eosinophil infiltration in the skin of BP patients.³⁷ Eosinophils are thought to be implicated in blister formation by releasing toxic granule proteins (ECP, MBP) and proteolytic enzymes, however, the molecular mechanisms are not well understood. In *dermatitis herpetiformis*, a specific cutaneous manifestation of gluten-sensitive enteropathy due to anti-tissue transglutaminase antibodies, a neutrophilic infiltrate undermingled with eosinophils is found in the papillary dermis. The expression of eotaxin in lesional skin and increased levels of serum ECP suggest a role for eosinophils in disease pathology.²¹

Neoplasms

In *Langerhans cell histiocytosis*, among the infiltrate of Langerhans cells, scattered or clusters of eosinophils can be found in the papillary and deeper dermis, respectively.³⁸ Langerhans cells produce a broad spectrum of proinflammatory cytokines, e.g. GM-CSF, and chemokines, and are thus likely to recruit and activate eosinophils directly or via stimulation of other cell types. Predominant T helper 2 type cytokine production by *cutaneous T cell lymphoma* results in eosinophilia, extracellular granule protein depositions as well as increased IL-5 levels in the skin and/or peripheral blood.³⁹ Currently, it is not known whether the eosinophils modulate the proliferation of the malignant cells.

Lung Eosinophilia

Eosinophils are relatively rare in the normal lung and so they stand out both in tissue and airway lumen samples when present in increased numbers. A number of lung diseases are associated with blood and tissue eosinophilia (Table 2). The extent to which eosinophils cause tissue damage in these diseases remains controversial, but most evidence points to them as being pro-inflammatory effector cells in non-infectious disorders where they are prominent. The most common association, at least in industrialised countries, is with asthma and related airways diseases. Research into these conditions has resulted in much of our current understanding of the role of eosinophils in lung disease. These findings, as well as a summary of other eosinophil-associated lung conditions, are now discussed in some detail.

Asthma and related airway diseases

There has been active debate about the role of eosinophils in asthma for at least the last four decades. Initially they were regarded as ameliorative cells able to dampen inflammatory responses, but this changed with the work of Gleich and colleagues who demonstrated that eosinophil basic proteins, particularly MBP, were toxic for bronchial epithelial cells and MBP was present in large amounts in the airways of patients who had died from asthma.⁴⁰ At the same time controlled studies of bronchoscopy in mild asthma demonstrated that a BAL eosinophilia tracked with active disease.⁴¹ An assumption was made that the abnormal physiology that defines asthma (airway hyperresponsiveness [AHR]) and variable airflow obstruction, was secondary to the airway eosinophilia. This hypothesis was underpinned by a persuasive paradigm that emerged in the 1990's that asthma was driven by activation in the bronchial mucosa of Th2 lymphocytes which through the generation of IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 are closely associated with a blood and tissue eosinophilia both in humans and animal models.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ However, subsequent studies of induced sputum that allowed a more thorough examination of the relationship between airway inflammation and asthmatic airway dysfunction revealed at best a very weak correlation between the degree of airway eosinophilia and the abnormal physiology. Conditions such as eosinophilic bronchitis (EB), which presents as chronic cough, were identified in which airway eosinophilia occurred in the absence of airway dysfunction and some asthmatics were identified who had airway dysfunction without eosinophilia.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Eosinophils are therefore neither necessary nor sufficient for an asthma like airway dysfunction to be present.

Monoclonal antibodies against IL-5 have provided insight into the potential role of eosinophils in asthma. The drug which has been investigated in greatest detail is mepolizumab.⁴⁸ The first clinical efficacy study of mepolizumab was in a human allergen challenge model. This demonstrated a marked reduction in blood and sputum eosinophils without any effect on either the early or late response or AHR. Although this was a small study the interpretation of which was open to debate,⁴⁹ a larger trial of clinical asthma came to the same conclusion that eosinophils were not responsible for either the symptoms or physiological abnormalities which characterise asthma.⁵⁰ In this double blind placebo controlled study, 362 patients with moderate asthma were given three injections of drug one month apart. There was no difference in symptoms or lung function between the two groups. There was about a 50% reduction in severe exacerbations (SE) in the 750 mg group, but the study was not powered to look at exacerbations and this did not quite reach significance ($p < 0.061$). Of note, evidence of eosinophilic disease was not an entry criteria for the study and sputum analysis was only undertaken in 37 patients. Another caveat to the conclusion that eosinophils are not causal in asthma pathophysiology is that while mepolizumab was very good at reducing blood and sputum eosinophils it only had a modest (50%) reduction in bronchial wall eosinophils.⁵⁰ Interestingly, this is similar to the effect of systemic steroids in refractory asthma which have a marked effect on luminal eosinophils, but a much less marked effect on tissue eosinophils

(51 and personal observation Andrew Wardlaw). The reasons for this are not clear, but suggest that IL-5 is more important in tissue based eosinophil migration whereas migration through the vascular endothelium into tissue is relatively IL-5 independent with chemotactic stimuli perhaps being more important. This would be consistent with the observation that in contrast to two dimensional Boyden chamber like assays, in a 3-dimensional model of tissue based trafficking, migration in response to growth factors was more robust than migration in response to eotaxin.⁵²

Do these clinical trials mean that eosinophils are not acting as effector cells in asthma? Two issues need to be considered. First, in the above studies inclusion was not restricted to patients with eosinophilic disease. In patients with hypereosinophilic disease, many of whom had respiratory pathology, mepolizumab was highly effective in allowing a reduction in the dose of glucocorticoids required to control disease activity.⁵³ Second, it depends what is meant by asthma. Clarifying this question requires a nuanced understanding of the pathophysiology of asthma and related airways diseases. Asthma was originally defined as marked variability in airflow obstruction over short (minutes) periods of time either spontaneously or in response to treatment and this correlates very closely with the presence of AHR. Asthma symptoms of wheeze, chest tightness and shortness of breath are closely related to this phenotype. However, over the last few decades definitions of asthma have become more descriptive and attempt to encompass the complete physiology seen in asthma and other airway diseases.⁵⁴ With the new insights gained by very targeted treatments such as mepolizumab there is a strong case to be made for returning to the original definition.⁵⁵ It is helpful in this regard to deconstruct airway disease into its component pathophysiological abnormalities, a process that is aided by an A to E classification system.⁵⁶ In this scheme **A** stands for the **A**sthma/**A**HR phenotype, **B** for **B**ronchitis, **C** for **C**ough, **D** for **D**amage (fixed airflow obstruction, bronchiectasis, emphysema) and **E** for **E**xtrapulmonary factors such as adherence, co-morbidity and triggers. Eosinophils are found in the bronchitis phenotype and are most closely associated with the clinical phenotype of SE although they are also associated with cough particularly in conditions such as EB. As noted above, the majority of people dying of asthma (the end result of untreated SE), have marked eosinophilic inflammation.^{57, 58} Eosinophils were the best predictor of SE in a steroid reduction study and approaches to management targeting the airway eosinophilia resulted in a marked reduction in SE.^{59, 60} It therefore follows that the patients who are most likely to respond to anti-eosinophilic therapy are those with marked eosinophilic airway inflammation who suffer from SE. This was confirmed in a study of twelve months treatment with mepolizumab in patients with refractory eosinophilic asthma with SE as the primary outcome measure where a significant 40% reduction in SE was observed.⁶¹ Predictably there was no effect on lung function, AHR or day to day asthma symptoms although there was an improvement in quality of life reflecting the impact of SE on morbidity. Interestingly, there was no effect on exhaled nitric oxide, dissociating this biomarker from eosinophilic inflammation and clinical improvement. These findings were echoed in a study published at the same time of patients with marked eosinophilic airway disease (several did not have AHR), using a steroid reduction design in which mepolizumab was found to be highly effective at reducing exacerbations. A marked and significant reduction in steroid dose was possible in the active compared to the placebo group.⁶² These studies represent direct evidence that eosinophils are causal in the pathogenesis of asthma associated SE. An important outcome of these findings is that eosinophilic airway disease (which will respond to anti-eosinophilic therapy), is a distinct albeit overlapping phenotype from asthma as defined by Hargreave and Nair.⁶² Studies of anti-eosinophil agents therefore need to have inclusion criteria and outcome measures relevant to the eosinophilic **B**ronchitis phenotype rather than the **A**sthma/**A**HR phenotype (variable airflow obstruction, AHR and symptoms). These patients are clinically recognisable in an airways disease clinic and can also be identified as an eosinophil inflammation predominant cluster in an objective multi-dimensional analysis of asthma phenotype.⁶³ These studies also give some insight into the pathogenesis of asthma SE.⁶⁴ As

noted above, the major effect of mepolizumab was to reduce the luminal eosinophilia with a modest effect on tissue eosinophils. It suggests therefore that SE are principally a luminal event with obstruction caused by blockage of bronchi with mucus and cell debris rather than smooth muscle mediated bronchoconstriction. This would be consistent with the pathology of asthma mortality where mucus impaction is often the primary pathological cause of death. It would also explain why SE are often relatively bronchodilator resistant. Focusing on luminal events in relation to SE would also be consistent with the well-established importance of viral infections in triggering SE, presumably through an interaction with the epithelium in the context of eosinophilic inflammation.⁶⁵

Fungal Allergy

One of the more common causes of lung eosinophilia is fungal colonization. The most florid expression of this is allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA), the primary diagnostic criteria of which are asthma, proximal bronchiectasis, a positive skin prick test and positive specific IgE and IgG to *Aspergillus fumigatus* (Af) and a high total IgE.⁶⁶ A blood eosinophilia and positive sputum culture for Af are secondary criteria. Classically patients present with exacerbations of their asthma characterised by fleeting lung shadows, but this presentation is now unusual possibly because of the increased use of potent high dose inhaled steroids. A marked significant blood and tissue eosinophilia help guide diagnosis. A number of other molds can cause an ABPA-like picture most of which are other *Aspergillus* or *Penicillium* species and IgE sensitisation to a range of fungi is common in more severe asthma.^{67, 68} Two studies have shown that treatment with anti-fungals is beneficial in ABPA although both were small and the benefits modest.^{69, 70} Relatively few patients with asthma and a positive specific IgE to Af fulfill all the criteria for ABPA although whether Af plays a significant part in their asthma in these circumstances is not clear. Interestingly, patients with severe asthma and fungal sensitisation had a significant improvement in quality of life after treatment with itraconazole although this class of drugs increases endogenous steroid levels which is a confounding factor.⁷¹

Eosinophilic pneumonia

Eosinophilic pneumonia is an uncommon condition characterised by a marked peripheral blood and tissue/BAL eosinophilia and pneumonic lung shadowing. Patients may present acutely with breathlessness, hypoxia, general malaise and sometimes fever and can be very unwell. They respond dramatically to high dose systemic steroids.⁷² Occasionally, presumably because of rapid trafficking of eosinophils into the lung, the peripheral blood can be in the normal range. In cases such as drug allergy or parasitic infection where there is an identifiable cause recurrence can usually be avoided, but many patients with idiopathic disease often develop chronic eosinophilic pneumonia with recurrent relapse requiring long term oral steroids. Relapse of eosinophilic pneumonia is often of slow onset and associated with airflow obstruction in the absence of wheeze or bronchodilator responsiveness suggestive of small airways disease. Relapses invariably respond well to high dose oral steroids.

Churg-Strauss syndrome

Churg-Strauss syndrome (CSS) is a rare condition in which patients have asthma (which is often severe), a marked peripheral blood eosinophilia and evidence of a multi-system vasculitic disorder.^{72, 73} Upper airway symptoms are common and mononeuritis multiplex, which is often slow to respond to treatment, is a characteristic feature. The cause is unknown and the extent to which eosinophils are directly responsible for the tissue damage is unknown (trials of mepolizumab in this condition have not yet been reported). It is interesting however, that neural damage is such a feature considering the neurotoxic properties of EDN and the neurotrophic properties of eosinophils.^{74, 75} Treatment of the acute phase consists of potent

immunosuppressants including high dose systemic steroids, cyclophosphamide and azathioprine although in the longer term low dose oral steroids are often sufficient to maintain remission. There is an association between treatment with leukotriene receptor antagonists and the onset of CSS but this is generally considered to be coincidental although a causal relationship has not been ruled out.⁷⁶

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Tobacco smoking associated COPD is often a disease that is contrasted with asthma with a more neutrophilic and CD8+ T cell-associated pathology. However, just as some asthma is non-eosinophilic quite a lot of COPD is characterised by eosinophilic airway inflammation particularly during exacerbations.⁷⁷ These patients are often more steroid responsive than their non-eosinophilic counterparts.⁷⁸

Parasitic lung disease

A number of helminthic parasites have a larval stage that passes through the lung and causes respiratory symptoms.^{79, 80} The exact pattern of illness varies with the parasite with various acronyms (Table 2). Generally the condition looks like a combination of asthma and eosinophilic pneumonia with low grade fever, dry cough, chest discomfort, shortness of breath, wheeze and occasionally haemoptysis. The chest X-ray classically shows lung shadowing that can be pneumonic in appearance and often lasting from a few days to weeks. Parasites can sometimes be identified in lung tissue samples. Tropical pulmonary eosinophilia caused by infection with filarial parasites can produce a more chronic illness with lethargy and anorexia in association with asthma like symptoms and occasionally mediastinal lymphadenopathy, cavitation and pleural effusion .

Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disorders

Eosinophils are present throughout the healthy GI tract, except for the esophagus, which typically contains no eosinophils.¹ Eosinophil-associated gastrointestinal disorders (EGID) are characterized by a high level of eosinophils within isolated or multiple segments of the GI tract. Over the past decade, there has been a striking increase in the incidence of primary EGID, as well as a robust increase in data linking the development of EGID to atopy. The most common form of EGID is eosinophilic esophagitis (EE), which is characterized by a relatively high level of eosinophils within the esophagus (without an acid-induced etiology).⁸¹ Other forms of EGID include: eosinophilic gastritis, eosinophilic enteritis, eosinophilic colitis, and eosinophilic gastroenteritis. These disorders are less frequent than EE, but their pathogenesis and treatment are somewhat similar. Clinically, patients with EGID often have failure to thrive (especially in pediatrics), dysphagia, vomiting, abdominal pain and/or diarrhea.⁸² Patients with EE often present with symptoms that mimic gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). The number of eosinophils per high power field (HPF) required to diagnose EGID has not been uniformly agreed upon, which can make the diagnosis of EGID challenging. For this reason, an experienced pathologist knowledgeable with the number of eosinophils typically found in the GI tract at their medical center is essential for interpreting biopsy specimens in patients with suspected EGID. Agreed upon criteria for the diagnosis of EGID is currently being pursued. The diagnostic criteria for EE, established at the First International Gastrointestinal Eosinophilic Research Symposium, is >15 eosinophils/HPF noted in at least one of four biopsy specimens when GERD is ruled out.⁸¹ The quantity and distribution of GI eosinophils in pediatric patients without apparent pathologic disease has been reported,⁸³ though the application of this data to the diagnosis of EGID has not been established. In addition to the elevated eosinophil numbers, the usual morphology of the GI tract is typically disrupted in EGID. Depending on the location of the eosinophil accumulation, additional manifestations may include the presence of eosinophilic microabscesses, disruption of the surface epithelium

cryptitis, basilar hypertrophy or lamina propria fibrosis.⁸⁴ Although the presence of elevated eosinophils and disruption of the typical GI tract morphology are crucial for the diagnosis of EGID, the isolated presence of GI eosinophilia is not sufficient. The differential diagnosis for GI eosinophilia is broad and includes EGID, HES, collagen vascular disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and parasitic or fungal infection; disease differentiation based on primary or secondary causes is useful (Table 3). In order to diagnose EGID, the patient must have a biopsy and clinical presentation consistent with EGID; other causes of GI eosinophilia (e.g. parasitic infection, drug hypersensitivity) must be ruled out. This review will focus on primary EGID, disorders not associated with known causes for the eosinophilic inflammation.

Eosinophilic esophagitis

The esophagus normally does not contain eosinophils so the finding of esophageal eosinophils denotes pathology. In addition to EE, many disorders are accompanied by eosinophil infiltration in the esophagus, such as GERD, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, GERD, parasitic and fungal infections, inflammatory bowel disease, HES, esophageal leiomyomatosis, myeloproliferative disorders, carcinomatosis, periarteritis, allergic vasculitis, scleroderma and drug injury.⁸⁵ EE is classified into primary and secondary with the primary subtype including the atopic, nonatopic and familial variants and the secondary subtype including one composed of systemic eosinophilic disorders (e.g. HES) and the other of non-eosinophilic disorders (Table 3). Primary EE has also been called idiopathic EE or allergic esophagitis. The sibling recurrence risk ratio has been estimated to be over 50-fold⁸⁶ and the familial form of EE is noted in about 10% of patients.⁸⁷

The cause of EE is poorly understood, but food allergy has been implicated. In fact, most patients have evidence of food and aeroallergen sensitization as defined by skin prick and/or allergen-specific IgE tests; however, only a minority have a history of food anaphylaxis.⁸⁸ Evidence suggests that esophageal eosinophilic inflammation is mechanistically linked with pulmonary inflammation based on the finding that delivery of specific allergens or the Th2 cytokine IL-13 to the lungs of mice induces experimental EE.^{89, 90} Increased eosinophil levels in the esophagus of patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis with hypersensitivity to grass has been published.⁹¹ Recent studies have found a strong relationship between atopy and EE.^{92–94} Indeed, patients with EE commonly report variable seasonal symptoms. In addition to eosinophils, T cells and mast cells are elevated in esophageal mucosal biopsies, suggesting chronic Th2 associated inflammation.⁹⁵ Exposure to antigen via an epicutaneous route primes for marked eosinophilic inflammation in the esophagus triggered by only a single respiratory antigen exposure.⁹⁶ IL-5 is required for this eosinophilic inflammation, suggesting a Th2-dependent mechanism. Notably, overexpression of IL-5 induces EE and neutralization of IL-5 completely blocks allergen or IL-13-induced EE in mice.^{89, 90, 97}

A landmark advance in EE research is the recent genome wide microarray profile analysis of esophageal tissue.⁹⁸ Investigators compared gene transcript expression in esophageal tissue of patients with EE, chronic esophagitis (typical of GERD), and normal individuals. Notably, dysregulated expression of ~1% of the human genome led to the identification of an EE genetic signature. Interestingly, eotaxin-3 was the most overexpressed gene in EE patients and levels correlated with disease severity; a finding that has now been independently replicated.⁹⁹ Furthermore, a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the eotaxin-3 was overrepresented in EE patients compared with control individuals. Interestingly, mice with a genetic ablation of the eotaxin receptor (CCR3) were protected from the development of experimental EE. Notably, eotaxin-3 has been shown to be produced by esophageal epithelial cells, and induced by the Th2 cytokine IL-13, which is also markedly overexpressed in the esophagus of EE patients.¹⁰⁰ Taken together, these results strongly implicate eotaxin-3 in the pathogenesis of EE and offer a molecular connection between Th2 inflammation and the development of EE.

A specific food allergen avoidance trial is often indicated for patients with atopic EE, and if unsatisfactory or practically difficult (when patients are sensitized to many allergens), a diet consisting of an elemental (amino acid based) formula is often advocated. Interestingly, it has been shown that an elemental diet has potential to reduce the number of eosinophils in the esophageal biopsies and improve symptoms in patients with primary EE (allergic or non-allergic sub-types).¹⁰¹ Patients on elemental diets frequently require a surgically placed gastrostomy tube in order to achieve adequate caloric support. Glucocorticoids (systemic or topical) have also been used with satisfactory results. Systemic glucocorticoids are used for acute exacerbations, while topical glucocorticoids are used to provide chronic control. A study that followed EE patients for 10 years supports the efficacy of continuing corticosteroids and food elimination therapy for EE.¹⁰¹ When using topical steroids we recommend the use of a metered-dose inhaler without a spacer and having the patient swallow the medicine to promote deposition on the esophageal mucosa. The toxicity associated with inhaled glucocorticoids (e.g. adrenal suppression) is unlikely to be seen with swallowed fluticasone due to first-pass hepatic metabolism following gastrointestinal absorption. However, about 10% of patients treated with topical fluticasone develop esophageal candidiasis. While the metered-dose inhaler is recommended with the topical fluticasone, another study has shown success of using an oral suspension of budesonide in EE patients who were unable to use inhalers.¹⁰² In the first placebo controlled double blind trial in EE, swallowed topical fluticasone was demonstrated to be effective in inducing disease remission including reduction in eosinophil, mast cell, and CD8 T cell levels, as well as the degree of epithelial hyperplasia.¹⁰³ Notably, only half of the patients responded to topical glucocorticoids, and 10% responded to placebo. A recent study also showed the promising effect of anti-human-IL-13 antibody in an animal model of IL-13-induced airway and esophageal eosinophilia.¹⁰⁴ In addition, in two open-label trials, humanized anti-IL-5 monoclonal antibody therapy has been shown to be helpful in small numbers of patients.^{105, 106} Larger scale trials are currently underway. Finally, even if GERD is not present, neutralization of gastric acidity with proton pump inhibitors may improve symptoms and the degree of esophageal pathology.

Eosinophilic gastritis and gastroenteritis

In contrast to the esophagus, the stomach and intestine have readily detectable baseline eosinophils under healthy conditions, confounding the diagnosis of EGID involving these tissues. For the purposes of this review, eosinophilic gastritis, enteritis and gastroenteritis are grouped together because they are similar clinically and because there is a lack of information available concerning their pathogenesis; however, it is likely that they are indeed distinct processes in most patients. These diseases are characterized by the selective infiltration of eosinophils in the stomach and/or small intestine with variable involvement of the esophagus and/or large intestine. It is now appreciated that many diseases are accompanied by eosinophilia in the stomach, such as infection (parasitic and bacterial) including *Helicobacter pylori*, periarteritis, inflammatory bowel disease, allergic vasculitis, HES, myeloproliferative disorders, scleroderma, drug injury and drug hypersensitivity.⁸² Similar to EE, these disorders are classified into primary and secondary subtypes. The primary group includes the atopic, nonatopic and familial variants while the secondary subtype contains two groups, one composed of systemic eosinophilic disorders (e.g. HES) and the other of non-eosinophilic disorders (Table 3). Primary eosinophilic enteritis, gastritis, and gastroenteritis have also been called idiopathic or allergic gastroenteropathy. Primary eosinophilic gastroenteritis involves multiple disease entities subcategorized into different types based on the level of histological involvement: mucosal, muscularis and serosal forms.¹⁰⁷ Of note, either layer of the GI tract can be involved; as such, endoscopy and biopsy can be normal in patients with the muscularis and/or serosal subtypes.

While these diseases are idiopathic, an allergic mechanism has been suggested.¹⁰⁸ Indeed, elevated total IgE and food-specific IgE has been detected in most patients. On the other hand, focal erosive gastritis, enteritis and occasionally esophagitis with prominent eosinophilia, such as the dietary (food) protein-induced enterocolitis and dietary protein enteropathy are characterized by negative skin tests and absent specific IgE.¹⁰⁹ Most patients have positive skin prick tests to a variety of food antigens, but do not have typical anaphylactic reactions, consistent with a delayed-food hypersensitivity.

In clinical studies, increased production of Th2 associated cytokines (e.g. IL-4 and IL-5) by peripheral blood T cells has been reported in patients with eosinophilic gastroenteritis.¹⁰⁸ Furthermore, lamina propria T cells derived from the duodenum of patients with EGID preferentially secrete Th2 cytokines (especially IL-13) when stimulated with milk proteins.¹¹⁰ IgA deficiency has also been associated with eosinophilic gastroenteritis; perhaps this could be related to the associated increased rate of atopy or to an occult gastrointestinal infection in these patients. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis and the dietary protein-induced syndromes (enterocolitis, enteropathy and colitis) may represent a continuum of EGID with similar underlying immunopathogenic mechanisms. In addition, eosinophilic gastroenteritis can frequently be associated with protein-losing enteropathy.¹¹¹

Eliminating the dietary intake of the foods implicated by skin tests (or measurement of allergen-specific IgE levels) has variable effects, but complete resolution is generally achieved with elemental diets.¹¹¹ Once disease remission has been obtained by dietary modification, the specific food groups are slowly reintroduced (at ~3 week intervals for each food group) and endoscopy is performed every three months, to identify disease status. Drugs such as sodium cromoglycate, montelukast, mycophenolate mofetil (an inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase inhibitor), ketotifen, suplatast tosilate and “alternative Chinese medicines” have been suggested, but are generally not successful. However, a publication reported a successful long-term remission of eosinophilic gastroenteritis following montelukast treatment.¹¹² In our institution, an appropriate therapeutic approach includes a trial of food elimination if sensitization to food is found by skin tests and/or measurement of specific IgE levels. If no sensitization is found or if specific food avoidance is not feasible, elemental formula feedings are initiated.

The management of eosinophilic gastroenteritis, in addition to an amino acid based diet, includes the following: systemic and topical steroids, non-corticosteroid therapy, and management of other EGID complications (such as iron deficiency and anemia).¹¹³ Anti-inflammatory drugs (systemic or topical steroids) are the main therapy if diet restriction has failed or is not feasible. There are several forms of topical glucocorticoids designed to deliver drugs to specific segments of the GI tract (e.g. budesonide tablets [Entocort™ EC] designed to deliver drug to the ileum and proximal colon). In severe cases refractory or dependent upon glucocorticoid therapy, intravenous alimentation or immunosuppressive antimetabolite therapy with azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine are alternatives. Finally, even if GERD is not present, neutralization of gastric acidity with proton pump inhibitors may improve symptoms and the degree of esophageal and gastric pathology.

Eosinophilic colitis

Eosinophils accumulate in the colon of patients with a variety of disorders, including infection (pin and dog hookworms), eosinophilic gastroenteritis, allergic colitis of infancy, drug reactions, and vasculitis, (e.g. CSS, and inflammatory bowel disease).¹¹⁴ Dietary protein-induced proctocolitis of infancy syndrome (also known as allergic colitis of infancy), is the most common cause of blood in the stools in the first year of life.¹¹⁵ Similar to other EGID, these disorders are classified into primary and secondary (Table 3) with the primary type

including the atopic and nonatopic variants composed of systemic eosinophilic disorders (e.g. HES) and the other by non-eosinophilic disorders.

Eosinophilic colitis is usually a non-IgE-associated disease. In fact, some studies point to a T-lymphocyte-mediated process, but the exact immunologic mechanisms responsible have not been identified.¹¹⁶ It has been reported that allergic colitis of infancy might be an early expression of protein-induced enteropathy or protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome. Cow's milk and soy proteins are the most frequently implicated foods in allergic colitis of infancy, but a variety of food proteins can also provoke the disease. Interestingly, this condition may more commonly occur in infants exclusively breast-fed and can even occur in infants fed with protein hydrolysate formulas.

Treatment of eosinophilic colitis varies primarily depending upon the disease subtype. Eosinophilic colitis of infancy is generally a benign disease. Upon removal of the offending protein in the diet, the gross blood in the stools typically resolves within days, but occult blood loss may persist longer. Treatment of eosinophilic colitis in older individuals usually requires medical management since IgE-associated triggers are usually not identified. Drugs such as montelukast, sodium cromoglycate, and histamine receptor antagonists are typically not successful. Anti-inflammatory drugs including aminosalicylates and systemic or topical glucocorticoids appear to be efficacious, but careful clinical trials have not been conducted. There are several forms of topical glucocorticoids designed to deliver drugs to the distal colon and rectum, but eosinophilic colitis usually involves the proximal colon. In severe cases, alternative therapy includes intravenous alimentation or immunosuppressive therapy with azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine.

Summary

Eosinophilic tissue diseases are a heterogeneous group of diseases which include common conditions such as asthma and atopic dermatitis, less common but regularly diagnosed diseases such as EE, as well as rare diseases such as EP and CSS. The eosinophilia in these diseases may be associated with allergy to common aeroallergens, but include rarer causes of eosinophilia such as drug allergy and (in non-industrialised countries) parasitic infection. However, in many patients with eosinophilic tissue disease the cause remains elusive. Eosinophilic tissue disease is almost invariably highly responsive to glucocorticoids which are the mainstay of treatment. True steroid resistance is rare although in refractory diseases where the inflammation is peripheral, systemic as opposed to topical steroids are often required. Apparent steroid resistance in eosinophilic disease should always raise questions about adherence to treatment. Although usually effective, systemic steroid therapy is limited by toxicity, providing the impetus for better anti-eosinophil drugs. Promisingly anti-IL5 strategies appear to be well tolerated and effective and provide strong clues about the role of eosinophils in various tissue diseases. To optimally use these drugs we need to recognise that eosinophilic tissue diseases have distinct features. For example, in the case of the lung, asthmatic patients that may benefit from anti-eosinophil directed therapy have a selective phenotype including marked blood and/or sputum eosinophilia, nasal polyposis, and patterns of recurrent severe steroid responsive exacerbations. Taken together, emerging concepts have been presented which highlight the seriousness of eosinophil-associated tissue diseases, the potential role of eosinophils in these processes, and appropriate approaches to differential diagnosis and therapy.

What do we know?

- Eosinophilia (in the blood and tissue) is often associated with distinct diseases of the skin, lung and gastrointestinal tract

- In a subset of these diseases (now including some forms of severe asthma), eosinophils are key effector cells, responsible for tissue pathology and clinical symptoms, at least in part.

What is still unknown?"

- How to identify eosinophil-mediated disease processes in individual patients
- There are several therapeutic agents that target eosinophil selective pathways and/or eosinophils directly, but efficacy of these drugs has not yet been agreed upon and they are only available via clinical trials.

Abbreviations

AD	Atopic dermatitis
AHR	Airway hyperresponsiveness
ABPA	Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis
BAL	Bronchoalveolar lavage
CSS	Churg Strauss syndrome
EPF	Eosinophilic pustular folliculitis
DRESS	Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms
EB	Eosinophilic bronchitis
ECP	Eosinophil cationic protein
EDN	Eosinophil derived neurotoxin
EDX	Eosinophil derived neurotoxin
GI	Gastrointestinal
GM-CSF	Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor
HES	Hypereosinophilic syndrome
HPF	High powered field
IL	Interleukin
MBP	Major basic protein
PDGFRA	Platelet derived growth factor
SE	Severe exacerbations

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the NIH NIAID, FAAN, Food Allergy Project, CURED Foundation, and Buckeye Foundation (to MER).

References

1. Rothenberg ME, Hogan SP. The eosinophil. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2006;24:147–174. [PubMed: 16551246]
2. Bochner BS, Gleich G. What targeting the eosinophil has taught us about their role in diseases. *J All Clin Immunol*. 2010

3. Simon D, Simon HU. Eosinophilic disorders. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:1291–1300. [PubMed: 17399779]
4. Cools J, DeAngelo DJ, Gotlib J, Stover EH, Legare RD, Cortes J, et al. A tyrosine kinase created by fusion of the PDGFRA and FIP1L1 genes as a therapeutic target of imatinib in idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:1201–1214. [PubMed: 12660384]
5. Kagi MK, Wuthrich B, Montano E, Barandun J, Blaser K, Walker C. Differential cytokine profiles in peripheral blood lymphocyte supernatants and skin biopsies from patients with different forms of atopic dermatitis, psoriasis and normal individuals. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 1994;103:332–340. [PubMed: 8130648]
6. Akdis CA, Akdis M, Simon D, Dibbert B, Weber M, Gratzl S, et al. T cells and T cell-derived cytokines as pathogenic factors in the nonallergic form of atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol* 1999;113:628–634. [PubMed: 10504452]
7. Mikami C, Ochiai K, Umemiya K, Matsumura R, Kagami M, Tomioka H, et al. Eosinophil activation and in situ interleukin-5 production by mononuclear cells in skin lesions of patients with drug hypersensitivity. *J Dermatol* 1999;26:633–639. [PubMed: 10554428]
8. Ying S, Kikuchi Y, Meng Q, Kay AB, Kaplan AP. TH1/TH2 cytokines and inflammatory cells in skin biopsy specimens from patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria: comparison with the allergen-induced late-phase cutaneous reaction. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002;109:694–700. [PubMed: 11941321]
9. Butterfield JH, Leiferman KM, Abrams J, Silver JE, Bower J, Gonchoroff N, et al. Elevated serum levels of interleukin-5 in patients with the syndrome of episodic angioedema and eosinophilia. *Blood* 1992;79:688–692. [PubMed: 1732010]
10. Rico MJ, Benning C, Weingart ES, Streilein RD, Hall RP 3rd. Characterization of skin cytokines in bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris. *Br J Dermatol* 1999;140:1079–1086. [PubMed: 10354074]
11. Viallard JF, Taupin JL, Ranchin V, Leng B, Pellegrin JL, Moreau JF. Analysis of leukemia inhibitory factor, type 1 and type 2 cytokine production in patients with eosinophilic fasciitis. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:75–80. [PubMed: 11196547]
12. Amerio P, Verdolini R, Proietto G, Feliciani C, Toto P, Shivji G, et al. Role of Th2 cytokines, RANTES and eotaxin in AIDS-associated eosinophilic folliculitis. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2001;81:92–95. [PubMed: 11501668]
13. Vowels BR, Lessin SR, Cassin M, Jaworsky C, Benoit B, Wolfe JT, et al. Th2 cytokine mRNA expression in skin in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Invest Dermatol* 1994;103:669–673. [PubMed: 7963654]
14. Yagi H, Tokura Y, Matsushita K, Hanaoka K, Furukawa F, Takigawa M. Wells' syndrome: a pathogenic role for circulating CD4+CD7– T cells expressing interleukin-5 mRNA. *Br J Dermatol* 1997;136:918–923. [PubMed: 9217826]
15. Simon HU, Plotz SG, Simon D, Dummer R, Blaser K. Clinical and immunological features of patients with interleukin-5-producing T cell clones and eosinophilia. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2001;124:242–245. [PubMed: 11306981]
16. Borrego L, Maynard B, Peterson EA, George T, Iglesias L, Peters MS, et al. Deposition of eosinophil granule proteins precedes blister formation in bullous pemphigoid. Comparison with neutrophil and mast cell granule proteins. *Am J Pathol* 1996;148:897–909. [PubMed: 8774144]
17. Kannourakis G, Abbas A. The role of cytokines in the pathogenesis of Langerhans cell histiocytosis. *Br J Cancer Suppl* 1994;23:S37–S40. [PubMed: 8075004]
18. Massey W, Friedman B, Kato M, Cooper P, Kagey SA, Lichtenstein LM, et al. Appearance of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor activity at allergen-challenged cutaneous late-phase reaction sites. *J Immunol* 1993;150:1084–1092. [PubMed: 8423333]
19. Yawalkar N, Ugucioni M, Scharer J, Braunwalder J, Karlen S, Dewald B, et al. Enhanced expression of eotaxin and CCR3 in atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol* 1999;113:43–48. [PubMed: 10417617]
20. Yawalkar N, Shrikhande M, Hari Y, Nievergelt H, Braathen LR, Pichler WJ. Evidence for a role for IL-5 and eotaxin in activating and recruiting eosinophils in drug-induced cutaneous eruptions. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;106:1171–1176. [PubMed: 11112902]

21. Amerio P, Verdolini R, Giangiacomi M, Proietto G, Feliciani C, Offidani A, et al. Expression of eotaxin, interleukin 13 and tumour necrosis factor- α in dermatitis herpetiformis. *Br J Dermatol* 2000;143:974–978. [PubMed: 11069505]
22. Pearlman E, Toe L, Boatin BA, Gilles AA, Higgins AW, Unnasch TR. Eotaxin expression in *Onchocerca volvulus*-induced dermatitis after topical application of diethylcarbamazine. *J Infect Dis* 1999;180:1394–1397. [PubMed: 10479181]
23. Jundt F, Anagnostopoulos I, Bommert K, Emmerich F, Muller G, Foss HD, et al. Hodgkin/Reed-Sternberg cells induce fibroblasts to secrete eotaxin, a potent chemoattractant for T cells and eosinophils. *Blood* 1999;94:2065–2071. [PubMed: 10477736]
24. Moossavi M, Mehregan DR. Wells' syndrome: a clinical and histopathologic review of seven cases. *Int J Dermatol* 2003;42:62–67. [PubMed: 12581147]
25. Ogbogu PU, Bochner BS, Butterfield JH, Gleich GJ, Huss-Marp J, Kahn JE, et al. Hypereosinophilic syndrome: A multicenter, retrospective analysis of clinical characteristics and response to therapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2009
26. Plotz SG, Simon HU, Darsow U, Simon D, Vassina E, Yousefi S, et al. Use of an anti-interleukin-5 antibody in the hypereosinophilic syndrome with eosinophilic dermatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2003;349:2334–2339. [PubMed: 14668459]
27. Simon HU, Plotz SG, Dummer R, Blaser K. Abnormal clones of T cells producing interleukin-5 in idiopathic eosinophilia. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341:1112–1120. [PubMed: 10511609]
28. Nervi SJ, Schwartz RA, Dmochowski M. Eosinophilic pustular folliculitis: a 40 year retrospect. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2006;55:285–289. [PubMed: 16844513]
29. Ramdial PK, Naidoo DK. Drug-induced cutaneous pathology. *J Clin Pathol* 2009;62:493–504. [PubMed: 19155238]
30. Bocquet H, Bagot M, Roujeau JC. Drug-induced pseudolymphoma and drug hypersensitivity syndrome (Drug Rash with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms: DRESS). *Semin Cutan Med Surg* 1996;15:250–257. [PubMed: 9069593]
31. Kiehl P, Falkenberg K, Vogelbruch M, Kapp A. Tissue eosinophilia in acute and chronic atopic dermatitis: a morphometric approach using quantitative image analysis of immunostaining. *Br J Dermatol* 2001;145:720–729. [PubMed: 11736895]
32. Czech W, Krutmann J, Schopf E, Kapp A. Serum eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) is a sensitive measure for disease activity in atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol* 1992;126:351–355. [PubMed: 1571256]
33. Leiferman KM, Ackerman SJ, Sampson HA, Haugen HS, Venencie PY, Gleich GJ. Dermal deposition of eosinophil-granule major basic protein in atopic dermatitis. Comparison with onchocerciasis. *N Engl J Med* 1985;313:282–285.
34. Cheng JF, Ott NL, Peterson EA, George TJ, Hukee MJ, Gleich GJ, et al. Dermal eosinophils in atopic dermatitis undergo cytolytic degeneration. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997;99:683–692. [PubMed: 9155836]
35. Oldhoff JM, Darsow U, Werfel T, Katzer K, Wulf A, Laifaoui J, et al. Anti-IL-5 recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody (mepolizumab) for the treatment of atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* 2005;60:693–696. [PubMed: 15813818]
36. Di Zenzo G, Marazza G, Borradori L. Bullous pemphigoid: physiopathology, clinical features and management. *Adv Dermatol* 2007;23:257–288. [PubMed: 18159905]
37. Wakugawa M, Nakamura K, Hino H, Toyama K, Hattori N, Okochi H, et al. Elevated levels of eotaxin and interleukin-5 in blister fluid of bullous pemphigoid: correlation with tissue eosinophilia. *Br J Dermatol* 2000;143:112–116. [PubMed: 10886144]
38. Newman B, Hu W, Nigro K, Gilliam AC. Aggressive histiocytic disorders that can involve the skin. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2007;56:302–316. [PubMed: 17097374]
39. Ionescu MA, Rivet J, Daneshpouy M, Briere J, Morel P, Janin A. In situ eosinophil activation in 26 primary cutaneous T-cell lymphomas with blood eosinophilia. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2005;52:32–39. [PubMed: 15627078]
40. Seminario MC, Gleich GJ. The role of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of asthma. *Curr Opin Immunol* 1994;6:860–864. [PubMed: 7710710]

41. Wardlaw AJ, Dunnette S, Gleich GJ, Collins JV, Kay AB. Eosinophils and mast cells in bronchoalveolar lavage in subjects with mild asthma. Relationship to bronchial hyperreactivity. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1988;137:62–69. [PubMed: 2447813]
42. Foster P, Mould A, Yang M, Mackenzie J, Mattes J, Hogan S, et al. Elemental signals regulating eosinophil accumulation in the lung. *Immunol Rev* 2001;179:173–181. [PubMed: 11292021]
43. Larche M, Robinson DS, Kay AB. The role of T lymphocytes in the pathogenesis of asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003;111:450–463. [PubMed: 12642820]
44. Wardlaw AJ. Molecular basis for selective eosinophil trafficking in asthma: A multistep paradigm. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999;104:917–926. [PubMed: 10550733]
45. Gibson PG, Dolovich J, Denburg J, Ramsdale EH, Hargreave FE. Chronic cough: eosinophilic bronchitis without asthma. *Lancet* 1989;1:1346–1348. [PubMed: 2567371]
46. Brightling CE, Ward R, Goh KL, Wardlaw AJ, Pavord ID. Eosinophilic bronchitis is an important cause of chronic cough. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;160:406–410. [PubMed: 10430705]
47. Berry M, Morgan A, Shaw DE, Parker D, Green R, Brightling C, et al. Pathological features and inhaled corticosteroid response of eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic asthma. *Thorax* 2007;62:1043–1049. [PubMed: 17356056]
48. Hart TK, Cook RM, Zia-Amirhosseini P, Minthorn E, Sellers TS, Maleeff BE, et al. Preclinical efficacy and safety of mepolizumab (SB-240563), a humanized monoclonal antibody to IL-5, in cynomolgus monkeys. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;108:250–257. [PubMed: 11496242]
49. O'Byrne PM, Inman MD, Parameswaran K. The trials and tribulations of IL-5, eosinophils, and allergic asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;108:503–508. [PubMed: 11590372]
50. Flood-Page PT, Menzies-Gow AN, Kay AB, Robinson DS. Eosinophil's role remains uncertain as anti-interleukin-5 only partially depletes numbers in asthmatic airway. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;167:199–204. [PubMed: 12406833]
51. Fukakusa M, Bergeron C, Tulic MK, Fiset PO, Al Dewachi O, Laviolette M, et al. Oral corticosteroids decrease eosinophil and CC chemokine expression but increase neutrophil, IL-8, and IFN-gamma-inducible protein 10 expression in asthmatic airway mucosa. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;115:280–286. [PubMed: 15696082]
52. Muessel MJ, Scott KS, Friedl P, Bradding P, Wardlaw AJ. CCL11 and GM-CSF differentially use the Rho GTPase pathway to regulate motility of human eosinophils in a three-dimensional microenvironment. *J Immunol* 2008;180:8354–8360. [PubMed: 18523302]
53. Rothenberg ME, Klion AD, Roufosse FE, Kahn JE, Weller PF, Simon HU, et al. Treatment of patients with the hypereosinophilic syndrome with mepolizumab. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1215–1228. [PubMed: 18344568]
54. Higgins BG, Douglas JG. The new BTS/SIGN asthma guidelines: where evidence leads the way. *Thorax* 2003;58:98–99. [PubMed: 12554886]
55. Hargreave FE, Nair P. The definition and diagnosis of asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2009;39:1652–1658. [PubMed: 19622089]
56. Pavord ID, Wardlaw A. The A to E of the Airway Disease. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2010
57. Filley WV, Holley KE, Kephart GM, Gleich GJ. Identification by immunofluorescence of eosinophil granule major basic protein in lung tissues of patients with bronchial asthma. Source (Bibliographic Citation): *Lancet* 1982;2:11–16.
58. Jatakanon A, Lim S, Kharitonov SA, Chung KF, Barnes PJ. Correlation between exhaled nitric oxide, sputum eosinophils, and methacholine responsiveness in patients with mild asthma. *Thorax* 1998;53:91–95. [PubMed: 9624291]
59. Green RH, Brightling CE, McKenna S, Hargadon B, Parker D, Bradding P, et al. Asthma exacerbations and sputum eosinophil counts: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002;360:1715–1721. [PubMed: 12480423]
60. Jayaram L, Pizzichini MM, Cook RJ, Boulet LP, Lemiere C, Pizzichini E, et al. Determining asthma treatment by monitoring sputum cell counts: effect on exacerbations. *Eur Respir J* 2006;27:483–494. [PubMed: 16507847]
61. Halder P, Brightling CE, Hargadon B, Gupta S, Monteiro W, Sousa A, et al. Mepolizumab and exacerbations of refractory eosinophilic asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:973–984. [PubMed: 19264686]

62. Nair P, Pizzichini MM, Kjarsgaard M, Inman MD, Efthimiadis A, Pizzichini E, et al. Mepolizumab for prednisone-dependent asthma with sputum eosinophilia. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:985–993. [PubMed: 19264687]
63. Haldar P, Pavord ID, Shaw DE, Berry MA, Thomas M, Brightling CE, et al. Cluster analysis and clinical asthma phenotypes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:218–224. [PubMed: 18480428]
64. Dougherty RH, Fahy JV. Acute exacerbations of asthma: epidemiology, biology and the exacerbation-prone phenotype. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2009;39:193–202. [PubMed: 19187331]
65. Mallia P, Johnston SL. How viral infections cause exacerbation of airway diseases. *Chest* 2006;130:1203–1210. [PubMed: 17035457]
66. Greenberger PA. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002;110:685–692. [PubMed: 12417875]
67. Tillie-Leblond I, Tonnel AB. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Allergy* 2005;60:1004–1013. [PubMed: 15969680]
68. O'Driscoll BR, Powell G, Chew F, Niven RM, Miles JF, Vyas A, et al. Comparison of skin prick tests with specific serum immunoglobulin E in the diagnosis of fungal sensitization in patients with severe asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2009;39:1677–1683. [PubMed: 19689458]
69. Wark PA, Hensley MJ, Saltos N, Boyle MJ, Toneguzzi RC, Epid GD, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of itraconazole in stable allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003;111:952–957. [PubMed: 12743557]
70. Stevens DA, Schwartz HJ, Lee JY, Moskovitz BL, Jerome DC, Catanzaro A, et al. A randomized trial of itraconazole in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:756–762. [PubMed: 10717010]
71. Denning DW, O'Driscoll BR, Powell G, Chew F, Atherton GT, Vyas A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of oral antifungal treatment for severe asthma with fungal sensitization: The Fungal Asthma Sensitization Trial (FAST) study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;179:11–18. [PubMed: 18948425]
72. Wechsler ME. Pulmonary eosinophilic syndromes. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2007;27:477–492. [PubMed: 17868860]
73. Guillemin L, Cohen P, Gayraud M, Lhote F, Jarrousse B, Casassus P. Churg-Strauss syndrome. Clinical study and long-term follow-up of 96 patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1999;78:26–37. [PubMed: 9990352]
74. Costello RW, Schofield BH, Kephart GM, Gleich GJ, Jacoby DB, Fryer AD. Localization of eosinophils to airway nerves and effect on neuronal M2 muscarinic receptor function. *Am J Physiol* 1997;273:L93–L103. [PubMed: 9252545]
75. Hellmich B, Ehlers S, Csernok E, Gross WL. Update on the pathogenesis of Churg-Strauss syndrome. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2003;21:S69–S77. [PubMed: 14740430]
76. Nathani N, Little MA, Kunst H, Wilson D, Thickett DR. Churg-Strauss syndrome and leukotriene antagonist use: a respiratory perspective. *Thorax* 2008;63:883–888. [PubMed: 18492740]
77. Scott KA, Wardlaw AJ. Eosinophilic airway disorders. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;27:128–133. [PubMed: 16612763]
78. Brightling CE, Monteiro W, Ward R, Parker D, Morgan MD, Wardlaw AJ, et al. Sputum eosinophilia and short-term response to prednisolone in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;356:1480–1485. [PubMed: 11081531]
79. Chitkara RK, Krishna G. Parasitic pulmonary eosinophilia. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;27:171–184. [PubMed: 16612768]
80. Wehner JH, Kirsch CM. Pulmonary manifestations of strongyloidiasis. *Semin Respir Infect* 1997;12:122–129. [PubMed: 9195677]
81. Furuta GT, Liacouras CA, Collins MH, Gupta SK, Justinich C, Putnam PE, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in children and adults: a systematic review and consensus recommendations for diagnosis and treatment. *Gastroenterology* 2007;133:1342–1363. [PubMed: 17919504]
82. Rothenberg ME. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders (EGID). *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;113:11–28. [PubMed: 14713902]
83. DeBrosse CW, Case JW, Putnam PE, Collins MH, Rothenberg ME. Quantity and distribution of eosinophils in the gastrointestinal tract of children. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 2006;9:210–218. [PubMed: 16944979]

84. Collins MH. Histopathologic features of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2008;18:59–71. viii–ix. [PubMed: 18061102]
85. Rothenberg ME, Mishra A, Collins MH, Putnam PE. Pathogenesis and clinical features of eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;108:891–894. [PubMed: 11742263]
86. Blanchard C, Wang N, Rothenberg ME. Eosinophilic esophagitis: pathogenesis, genetics, and therapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;118:1054–1059. [PubMed: 17088129]
87. Noel RJ, Putnam PE, Rothenberg ME. Eosinophilic esophagitis. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:940–941. [PubMed: 15329438]
88. Rothenberg ME. Biology and treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterology* 2009;137:1238–1249. [PubMed: 19596009]
89. Mishra A, Hogan SP, Brandt EB, Rothenberg ME. An etiological role for aeroallergens and eosinophils in experimental esophagitis. *J Clin Invest* 2001;107:83–90. [PubMed: 11134183]
90. Mishra A, Rothenberg ME. Intratracheal IL-13 induces eosinophilic esophagitis by an IL-5, eotaxin-1, and STAT6-dependent mechanism. *Gastroenterology* 2003;125:1419–1427. [PubMed: 14598258]
91. Fogg MI, Ruchelli E, Spengel JM. Pollen and eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003;112:796–797. [PubMed: 14564365]
92. Assa'ad A. Eosinophilic esophagitis: association with allergic disorders. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2008;18:119–132. x. [PubMed: 18061106]
93. Assa'ad AH, Putnam PE, Collins MH, Akers RM, Jameson SC, Kirby CL, et al. Pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis: an 8-year follow-up. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:731–738. [PubMed: 17258309]
94. Straumann A, Bauer M, Fischer B, Blaser K, Simon HU. Idiopathic eosinophilic esophagitis is associated with a TH2-type allergic inflammatory response. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;108:954–961. [PubMed: 11742273]
95. Blanchard C, Rothenberg ME. Basic pathogenesis of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2008;18:133–143. x. [PubMed: 18061107]
96. Akei HS, Mishra A, Blanchard C, Rothenberg ME. Epicutaneous antigen exposure primes for experimental eosinophilic esophagitis in mice. *Gastroenterology* 2005;129:985–994. [PubMed: 16143136]
97. Mishra A, Hogan SP, Brandt EB, Rothenberg ME. Interleukin-5 promotes eosinophil trafficking to the esophagus. *J Immunol* 2002;168:2464–2469. [PubMed: 11859139]
98. Blanchard C, Wang N, Stringer KF, Mishra A, Fulkerson PC, Abonia JP, et al. Eotaxin-3 and a uniquely conserved gene-expression profile in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Clin Invest* 2006;116:536–547. [PubMed: 16453027]
99. Bhattacharya B, Carlsten J, Sabo E, Kethu S, Meitner P, Tavares R, et al. Increased expression of eotaxin-3 distinguishes between eosinophilic esophagitis and gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Hum Pathol* 2007;38:1744–1753. [PubMed: 17900656]
100. Blanchard C, Mingler MK, Vicario M, Abonia JP, Wu YY, Lu TX, et al. IL-13 involvement in eosinophilic esophagitis: Transcriptome analysis and reversibility with glucocorticoids. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;120:204–214.
101. Liacouras CA, Spengel JM, Ruchelli E, Verma R, Mascarenhas M, Semeao E, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis: A 10-year experience in 381 children. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005;3:1198–1206. [PubMed: 16361045]
102. Aceves SS, Bastian JF, Newbury RO, Dohil R. Oral viscous budesonide: a potential new therapy for eosinophilic esophagitis in children. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;102:2271–2279. quiz 80. [PubMed: 17581266]
103. Konikoff MR, Noel RJ, Blanchard C, Kirby C, Jameson SC, Buckmeier B, et al. A randomized double-blind-placebo controlled trial of fluticasone propionate for pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterology* 2006;131:1381–1391. [PubMed: 17101314]
104. Blanchard C, Mishra A, Saito-Akei H, Monk P, Anderson I, Rothenberg ME. Inhibition of human interleukin-13-induced respiratory and oesophageal inflammation by anti-human-interleukin-13 antibody (CAT-354). *Clin Exp Allergy* 2005;35:1096–1103. [PubMed: 16120093]

105. Stein ML, Collins MH, Villanueva JM, Kushner JP, Putnam PE, Buckmeier BK, et al. Anti-IL-5 (mepolizumab) therapy for eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;118:1312–1319. [PubMed: 17157662]
106. Straumann A, Conus S, Grzonka P, Kita H, Kephart G, Bussmann C, et al. Anti-interleukin-5 antibody treatment (mepolizumab) in active eosinophilic oesophagitis: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Gut*. 2009
107. Klein NC, Hargrove RL, Sleisenger MH, Jeffries GH. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis. *Medicine* 1970;2:215–225.
108. Jaffe J, James S, Mullins G, Braun-Elwert L, Lubensky I, Metcalfe D. Evidence for an abnormal profile of interleukin-4 (IL-4), IL-5, and gamma interferon in peripheral blood T cells from patients with allergic eosinophilic gastroenteritis. *J. Clin. Immunol* 1994;14:299–309. [PubMed: 7814459]
109. Lake AM. Food-induced eosinophilic proctocolitis. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2000;30 Suppl:S58–S60. [PubMed: 10634300]
110. Beyer K, Castro R, Birnbaum A, Benkov K, Pittman N, Sampson HA. Human milk-specific mucosal lymphocytes of the gastrointestinal tract display a TH2 cytokine profile. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002;109:707–713. [PubMed: 11941323]
111. Chehade M, Magid MS, Mofidi S, Nowak-Wegrzyn A, Sampson HA, Sicherer SH. Allergic Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis With Protein-losing Enteropathy: Intestinal Pathology, Clinical Course, and Long-term Follow-up. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2006;42:516–521. [PubMed: 16707973]
112. Quack I, Sellin L, Buchner NJ, Theegarten D, Rump LC, Henning BF. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis in a young girl--long term remission under Montelukast. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2005;5:24. [PubMed: 16026609]
113. Foroughi S, Prussin C. Clinical management of eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2005;5:259–261. [PubMed: 15967064]
114. Liu LX, Chi J, Upton MP, Ash LR. Eosinophilic colitis associated with larvae of the pinworm *Enterobius vermicularis*. *Lancet* 1995;346:410–412. [PubMed: 7623572]
115. Chang JW, Wu TC, Wang KS, Huang IF, Huang B, Yu IT. Colon mucosal pathology in infants under three months of age with diarrhea disorders. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2002;35:387–390. [PubMed: 12352535]
116. Van Sickle GJ, Powell GK, McDonald PJ, Goldblum RM. Milk- and soy protein-induced enterocolitis: evidence for lymphocyte sensitization to specific food proteins. *Gastroenterology* 1985;88:1915–1921. [PubMed: 4039696]

Table 1

A selection of diseases associated with skin eosinophilia

Intrinsic disorders	Extrinsic disorders
<i>Mutations of hematopoietic stem cells</i>	<i>Cytokines released by T cells</i>
Chronic eosinophilic leukemia	Allergic diseases
Acute myeloid leukemia	Atopic dermatitis
Chronic myeloid leukemia	Urticaria
Myelodysplastic syndromes	Drug reactions
Idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndromes	Autoimmune diseases
	Bullous pemphigoid
	Dermatitis herpetiformis
	Infectious diseases
	HIV
	Ectoparasitosis
	Insect bites
	Erythema chronicum migrans
	Erythema toxicum neonatorum
	Hyper-IgE syndrome (Job syndrome)
	Eosinophilic pustular folliculitis
	Granuloma anulare
	Angiolymphoid hyperplasia with eosinophilia
	Eosinophilic fasciitis
	Eosinophilic cellulitis (Wells syndrome)
	Hypereosinophilic syndromes
	Inflammatory clonal T cell disease
	Cutaneous T cell lymphoma
	Langerhans cell histiocytosis
	B cell lymphomas
	Hodgkin's lymphomas
	Acute T cell leukemia/lymphoma

Table 2**Eosinophilic Lung Diseases.**

Disease	Prevalence of disease	Degree of peripheral blood eosinophilia *	Comment
Asthma	Common	Mild (up to moderate in severe exacerbations and with nasal polyposis)	Eosinophils most closely related to the phenotype of severe exacerbations
COPD	Common	Mild	About 30% of patients have a sputum eosinophilia which is a marker of steroid responsiveness
Eosinophilic bronchitis	Common (10% of chronic cough)	Mild	Patients present with non-productive cough and a steroid responsive sputum eosinophilia
Fungal airway colonisation	Regarded as unusual but probably common in more severe disease	Mild to moderate	Usually involves <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> , but other fungi possible. ABPA is probably a florid expression of a common phenotype
Eosinophilic pneumonia (EP)	Unusual	Moderate to severe	Acute presentations can have a variety of causes including drug allergy and infection with helminths. Chronic EP is usually idiopathic
Churg-Strauss Syndrome	Rare	Severe	Multi-system features, evidence of vasculitis and mononeuritis multiplex distinguish from EP
Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis	Unusual	Mild	BAL eosinophilia is a feature of IPF and is thought to indicate poor prognosis
Lung carcinoma	Common	Mild to severe	Unusual cause of significant eosinophilia generally in the context of extensive disease
Infection with helminthic parasites	Common in countries where parasite infection is endemic	Severe	Associated with passage of larval forms through the lungs in association with acute infection. Loefflers syndrome associated with <i>Ascariasis</i> , <i>Ancylostoma</i> and <i>Strongyloides</i> ; Visceral Larva Migrans with <i>Toxocara canis</i> or <i>catti</i> ; and Tropical Pulmonary eosinophilia with filarial parasites

* Mild $0.4\text{--}0.75 \times 10^9/\text{L}$; Moderate $0.75\text{--}1.50 \times 10^9/\text{L}$; Severe $>1.50 \times 10^9/\text{L}$

Table 3**Categories of Eosinophil-Associated Gastrointestinal Disorders.*****Eosinophil-Associated Esophagitis**

- Primary Eosinophilic Esophagitis (>15 eosinophils/HPF without GERD)
 - Atopic
 - Non-atopic
 - Familial
- Secondary
 - Eosinophilic disorders
 - Eosinophilic gastroenteritis
 - Hypereosinophilic syndrome
 - Non-eosinophilic disorders
 - Iatrogenic
 - Infection (typically helminthic)
 - Gastroesophageal reflux disease
 - Esophageal leiomyomatosis
 - Connective tissue disease (scleroderma)

Eosinophil-Associated Gastroenteritis

- Primary (mucosal, muscularis, and serosal forms)
 - Atopic
 - Non-atopic
 - Familial
- Secondary
 - Eosinophilic disorders
 - Hypereosinophilic syndrome
 - Non-eosinophilic disorders
 - Celiac disease (typically not responsive to gluten avoidance alone)
 - Connective tissue disease (scleroderma)
 - Iatrogenic
 - Infection (typically helminthic)
 - Inflammatory bowel disease
 - Vasculitis (Churg-Strauss Syndrome)

Eosinophil-Associated Colitis

- Primary Eosinophilic Colitis (also allergic colitis of infancy)
 - Atopic
 - Non-atopic
- Secondary
 - Eosinophilic disorders
 - Eosinophilic gastroenteritis
 - Hypereosinophilic syndrome
 - Non-eosinophilic disorders
 - Celiac disease

Connective tissue disease (scleroderma)

Iatrogenic

Infection

Inflammatory bowel disease

Vasculitis (Churg-Strauss Syndrome)