Iliac crest reconstruction to reduce donor-site morbidity: technical note

Joseph Richard Dusseldorp · Ralph J. Mobbs

Received: 6 April 2009 / Accepted: 19 July 2009 / Published online: 4 August 2009
© Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract The design of the study includes case series, technical note and review of the literature. Autogenous bone graft (autograft) harvest from the iliac crest remains the gold standard substrate for spinal fusion. Persistent donor-site pain is the most common cause of morbidity after autograft harvest, occurring in one-third of patients. Numerous techniques for reducing donor-site morbidity have been reported in the literature, including infusion of analgesics and post-harvest reconstruction of the iliac crest with ceramics, allograft or bone morphogenic protein. A case series of patients undergoing spinal fusion surgery is reported. All patients were treated with iliac crest reconstruction using Calcium Phosphate Cement and follow-up data of persistent donor-site pain was collected. Twelve patients underwent autograft harvest with iliac spine reconstruction using Calcium Phosphate Cement. 42% (5) had persistent donor-site pain (after 3 months). All pain scores were less than or equal to 2 out of 10 (mean 1.25). In conclusion, iliac spine reconstruction using Calcium Phosphate Cement following autogenous bone graft harvest is a relatively simple procedure which failed to decrease the incidence of donor-site morbidity in our patient population.

Keywords Autogenous bone harvesting · Iliac crest pain · Donor-site morbidity · Reconstruction · Tri-calcium phosphate

Introduction

Autogenous bone graft (autograft) harvest is utilized by numerous surgical specialties including orthopedic, cranio-facial, dental and neurosurgery. Indications for graft harvest are vast and include surgery for post-traumatic injury, deformity correction, progressive degenerative disease and chronic pain. Despite advances in allogeneous bone graft preparation (allograft) and biologic treatments, autograft is still considered the gold standard substrate for bone grafting for numerous reasons including ease of harvesting, inexpensiveness, immune-compatibility, reduced infection risk and reduced potential for disease transmission [1–4]. The most common site of procuring bone substrate is the iliac crest. Many severe and major complications due to this harvesting procedure have been reported in the literature [5–8]. However, the most common complication is pain at the iliac crest donor-site, the precise cause of which remains poorly understood. We document a series of 12 patients undergoing iliac spine reconstruction following autograft harvest for spinal fusion, describe our operative technique using a Calcium Phosphate Cement and present a review of the literature.

Case series

A retrospective review of 12 patients who underwent iliac spine reconstruction after autograft harvest for spinal fusion surgery was conducted (Table 1). The visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to determine pain scores at between 3 and 6 months of follow-up [9].

J. R. Dusseldorp (✉) · R. J. Mobbs
Department of Neurosurgery, Prince of Wales Hospital,
Barker St., Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia
e-mail: joeduss@hotmail.com

Table 1 Case series of patients undergoing spinal fusion surgery with autograft harvest from the iliac crest

Case	Indication for surgery	Fusion levels	Number of levels fused	Follow-up period (months)	Pain score (out of 10)
1	Spondylolisthesis	L4/5	1	6	0
2	Spondylolisthesis	L4/5	1	5	1
3	Lower back pain	L3/4	1	5	1
4	Spondylolisthesis	L5/S1	1	4	0
5	Deformity post laminectomy	L3-L5	2	5	0
6	Spondylolisthesis	L5/S1	1	4	1
7	Rheumatoid instability	C1/2	1	4	0
8	Trauma	C5/6	1	3	0
9	Degenerative scoliosis	L3-S1	3	3	2
10	Spondylolisthesis	L5/S1	1	6	0
11	Deformity post laminectomy	L3-S1	3	5	0
12	Spondylolisthesis	L4/5	1	3	2

Operative technique

In each case, reconstruction of the iliac spine following bone graft harvest was undertaken by the senior author (RM). Iliac crest bone graft harvest for this study was performed using standard landmarks and techniques with a linear incision over the posterior superior iliac spine and dissection performed subperiosteally to expose the iliac crest. Using an osteotome, a window was made in the iliac crest and bone ronguers used to curette an adequate volume of medullary bone. Care was taken not to breach the medial wall of the iliac crest. For a single level lumbar fusion, the goal was to create a 10–15 cc defect in the crest.

An injectable form of Calcium Phosphate Cement (Jectos, KASIOS Biomaterials, France) was prepared during the graft harvest by the scrub staff. This bone graft substitute was chosen due to its relatively rapid setting time and isothermic temperature with setting. The graft was injected into the void until complete filling of the cavity was achieved (Fig. 1). The wound site was closed in standard fashion.

Results

Twelve patients underwent spinal fusion using autograft from the posterior superior iliac crest. The indications for surgery were degenerative spondylolisthesis 50% (6), progressive deformity post-laminectomy 17% (2), degenerative disease 5% (1), trauma 5% (1) and pain 5% (1).

Single level fusion occurred in 75% (9) of cases whilst the majority 83% (10) were lumbar or lumbosacral fusions. Patients were followed-up for a mean of 4.1 months (range 3–6). Persistent pain was reported in 42% (5) patients and mean pain scores were 0.6 out of 10 (range 0–2).

Discussion

Donor-site pain following iliac bone graft harvest causes significant morbidity and has been extensively studied in the literature. Early postoperative donor-site pain causes reduced ambulation leading to longer hospital stay, longer time until resuming work and recreational activities and overall health care costs [10, 11]. The degree of donor-site pain in the early postoperative period has been reported to range from 4.8 out of 10 postoperative day 1 to 3.5 on postoperative day 5 using the VAS [12]. Chronic donor-site pain, persisting longer than 3 months, is a major cause of morbidity following autograft harvest. Numerous, uncontrolled, retrospective studies have reported their incidence of persistent donor-site pain and it has now been widely accepted to be common, occurring in one-third of patients undergoing autogenous harvest from the iliac crest [5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13–19]. Some studies have shown that the incidence of donor-site pain is significantly increased in lumbar or lumbosacral spinal surgery compared with cervical or thoracic surgery [7, 8, 15, 17]. One group has suggested that this is due to the inability of the patient to differentiate donor-site from primary surgery site pain [15]. Other authors have suggested this phenomenon is due to biomechanical implications of destabilizing the pelvis in patients receiving lumbosacral fusions [7, 8].

Numerous techniques to reduce donor-site pain have been reported. Studies have suggested a reduction in donor-site pain by using different operative techniques [20–23], reconstructing the iliac crest using various materials such as coralline hydroxyapatite [24], or calcium phosphate [25] or inserting resorbable mesh [26], or bioactive ceramic spacers [27]. Many recent studies have also suggested superior short and long-term outcomes from post-operative analgesic infusion to the donor-site [18, 28–31]. A recent Cochrane Review protocol has been established to analyze this data collectively [32]. An obvious technique to preclude donor-site pain is to not use autograft at all and many alternatives are under investigation for this purpose. A number of studies in anterior cervical fusion have shown allograft to be equally efficacious to autograft and without any associated donor-site morbidity [4, 14, 19, 33]. However, recent systematic reviews have failed to reinforce these findings due to inconsistencies between the measured outcomes of the studies, both radiological and clinical [2, 3].

Fig. 1 **a** Posterior iliac crest and relationship to sacrum and surrounding muscles. **b** Medullary bone harvest from the iliac crest. **c** Insertion of Calcium Phosphate Cement to completely fill iliac bone defect with overlying closure of periosteal flap and wound

The other major option to potentially obviate the need for autograft is the use of biologics such as recombinant human bone morphogenic protein (rhBMP-2). There is a growing body of evidence that has shown rhBMP-2 to be efficacious in terms of fusion rates based on radiographic outcomes [3, 34–36]. However, long-term follow-up will be needed to determine the clinical significance of these results. The cost-effectiveness of rhBMP-2 is also a contentious issue in the literature and may limit the routine use of rhBMP-2 in spinal fusions until either its price can be reduced or more high-quality studies can be performed showing a distinct advantage [3, 37].

Conclusion

Donor-site pain is a common problem following autograft harvest from the iliac spine. While autograft remains the gold standard substrate for bone grafting in spinal fusion surgery every effort should be made to reduce the morbidity

associated with the procedure. Iliac spine reconstruction using Calcium Phosphate Cement is a relatively simple procedure. In our series, iliac spine reconstruction did not reduce the incidence of persistent donor-site pain. However, the severity of persistent pain was found to be quite mild using the VAS.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to acknowledge Marcus Cremonese and Chantelle Lau of the Medical Illustrations Department, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, for the preparation of the figures in this manuscript.

Conflicts of interest statement No funding was received for this work.

References

1. van Limbeek J, Jacobs WC, Anderson PG, Pavlov PW (2000) A systematic literature review to identify the best method for a single level anterior cervical interbody fusion. *Eur Spine J* 9:129–136. doi:[10.1007/s005860050223](https://doi.org/10.1007/s005860050223)

2. Jacobs WCH, Anderson PG, van Limbeek J, Willems PC, Pavlov P (2008) Single or double-level anterior interbody fusion techniques for cervical degenerative disc disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*
3. Garrison KR, Donell S, Ryder J, Shemilt I, Mugford M, Harvey I, Song F (2007) Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of bone morphogenetic proteins in the non-healing of fractures and spinal fusion: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess* 11: 1–150, iii–iv
4. Samartzis D, Shen FH, Goldberg EJ, An HS (2005) Is autograft the gold standard in achieving radiographic fusion in one-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with rigid anterior plate fixation? *Spine* 30:1756–1761
5. Ahlmann E, Patzakis M, Roidis N, Shepherd L, Holtom P (2002) Comparison of anterior and posterior iliac crest bone grafts in terms of harvest-site morbidity and functional outcomes. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 84-A:716–720
6. Kurz LT, Garfin SR, Booth RE Jr (1989) Harvesting autogenous iliac bone grafts. A review of complications and techniques. *Spine* 14:1324–1331. doi:10.1097/00007632-198912000-00009
7. Varga E, Hu R, Hearn TC, Woodside T, Yang JP (1996) Biomechanical analysis of hemipelvic deformation after cortico-spongy bone graft harvest from the posterior iliac crest. *Spine* 21:1494–1499. doi:10.1097/00007632-199607010-00002
8. Bednar DA, Al-Tunaib W (2005) Failure of reconstitution of open-section, posterior iliac-wing bone graft donor sites after lumbar spinal fusion. Observations with implications for the etiology of donor site pain. *Eur Spine J* 14:95–98. doi:10.1007/s00586-004-0769-2
9. Melzack R (1987) The short-form McGill pain questionnaire. *Pain* 30:191–197
10. Schnee CL, Freese A, Weil RJ, Marcotte PJ (1997) Analysis of harvest morbidity and radiographic outcome using autograft for anterior cervical fusion. *Spine* 22:2222–2227. doi:10.1097/00007632-199710010-00005
11. Silber JS, Anderson DG, Daffner SD, Brislin BT, Leland JM, Hilibrand AS, Vaccaro AR, Albert TJ (2003) Donor site morbidity after anterior iliac crest bone harvest for single-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Spine* 28:134–139. doi:10.1097/01.BRS.0000041587.55176.67
12. Reuben SS, Ekman EF, Raghunathan K, Steinberg RB, Blinder JL, Adesioye J (2006) The effect of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition on acute and chronic donor-site pain after spinal-fusion surgery. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 31:6–13. doi:10.1016/j.rapm.2005.10.014
13. Banwart JC, Asher MA, Hassanein RS (1995) Iliac crest bone graft harvest donor site morbidity. A statistical evaluation. *Spine* 20:1055–1060. doi:10.1097/00007632-199505000-00012
14. Savolainen S, Usenius JP, Hernesniemi J (1994) Iliac crest versus artificial bone grafts in 250 cervical fusions. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 129:54–57. doi:10.1007/BF01400873
15. Delawi D, Dhert WJ, Castelein RM, Verbout AJ, Oner FC (2007) The incidence of donor site pain after bone graft harvesting from the posterior iliac crest may be overestimated: a study on spine fracture patients. *Spine* 32:1865–1868. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e318107674e
16. Sasso RC, LeHuec JC, Shaffrey C (2005) Iliac crest bone graft donor site pain after anterior lumbar interbody fusion: a prospective patient satisfaction outcome assessment. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 18(Suppl):S77–81
17. Robertson PA, Wray AC (2001) Natural history of posterior iliac crest bone graft donation for spinal surgery: a prospective analysis of morbidity. *Spine* 26:1473–1476. doi:10.1097/00007632-200107010-00018
18. Singh K, Phillips FM, Kuo E, Campbell M (2007) A prospective, randomized, double-blind study of the efficacy of postoperative continuous local anesthetic infusion at the iliac crest bone graft site after posterior spinal arthrodesis: a minimum of 4-year follow-up. *Spine* 32:2790–2796. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31815b7650
19. Young WF, Rosenwasser RH (1993) An early comparative analysis of the use of fibular allograft versus autologous iliac crest graft for interbody fusion after anterior cervical discectomy. *Spine* 18:1123–1124. doi:10.1097/00007632-199307000-00002
20. de la Torre JJ, Tenenhaus M, Gallagher PM, Sachs SA (1999) Harvesting iliac bone graft: decreasing the morbidity. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 36:388–390. doi:10.1597/1545-1569(1999)036<0388:HIBGDT>2.3.CO;2
21. David R, Folman Y, Pikarsky I, Leitner Y, Catz A, Gepstein R (2003) Harvesting bone graft from the posterior iliac crest by less traumatic, midline approach. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 16:27–30
22. Tanishima T, Yoshimasu N, Ogai M (1995) A technique for prevention of donor site pain associated with harvesting iliac bone grafts. *Surg Neurol* 44:131–132
23. Mirovsky Y, Neuwirth MG (2000) Comparison between the outer table and intracortical methods of obtaining autogenous bone graft from the iliac crest. *Spine* 25:1722–1725. doi:10.1097/00007632-200007010-00018
24. Bojescul JA, Polly DW Jr, Kuklo TR, Allen TW, Wieand KE (2005) Backfill for iliac-crest donor sites: a prospective, randomized study of coralline hydroxyapatite. *Am J Orthop* 34:377–382
25. Resnick DK (2005) Reconstruction of anterior iliac crest after bone graft harvest decreases pain: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Neurosurgery* 57:526–529 discussion 526–529
26. Wang MY, Levi AD, Shah S, Green BA (2002) Polylactic acid mesh reconstruction of the anterior iliac crest after bone harvesting reduces early postoperative pain after anterior cervical fusion surgery. *Neurosurgery* 51:413–416. doi:10.1097/00006123-200208000-00020 discussion 416
27. Ito M, Abumi K, Moridaira H, Shono Y, Kotani Y, Minami A, Kaneda K (2005) Iliac crest reconstruction with a bioactive ceramic spacer. *Eur Spine J* 14:99–102. doi:10.1007/s00586-004-0765-6
28. Reuben SS, Vieira P, Faruqi S, Verghis A, Kilaru PA, Maciolek H (2001) Local administration of morphine for analgesia after iliac bone graft harvest. *Anesthesiology* 95:390–394. doi:10.1097/0000542-200108000-00021
29. Hahn M, Dover MS, Whear NM, Moule I (1996) Local bupivacaine infusion following bone graft harvest from the iliac crest. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 25:400–401. doi:10.1016/S0901-5027(06)80041-X
30. Morgan SJ, Jeray KJ, Saliman LH, Miller HJ, Williams AE, Tanner SL, Smith WR, Broderick JS (2006) Continuous infusion of local anesthetic at iliac crest bone-graft sites for postoperative pain relief. A randomized, double-blind study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 88:2606–2612. doi:10.2106/JBJS.E.00984
31. Puri R, Moskovich R, Gusmorino P, Shott S (2000) Bupivacaine for postoperative pain relief at the iliac crest bone graft harvest site. *Am J Orthop* 29:443–446
32. Samartzis D, Shen FH, Khanna N, Fairbank JCT, An HS (2008) Local anesthetic at the iliac crest donor-site for postoperative pain management in spine surgery patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*
33. Frothingham RE, Solomon A (1988) The use of allografts in anterior cervical interbody fusion. *J Miss State Med Assoc* 29:71–74
34. Dimar JR, Glassman SD, Burkus KJ, Carreon LY (2006) Clinical outcomes and fusion success at 2 years of single-level instrumented posterolateral fusions with recombinant human bone

- morphogenetic protein-2/compression resistant matrix versus iliac crest bone graft. *Spine* 31:2534–2539. doi:[10.1097/01.brs.0000240715.78657.81](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000240715.78657.81) discussion 2540
35. Szpalski M, Gunzburg R (2005) Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2: a novel osteoinductive alternative to autogenous bone graft? *Acta Orthop Belg* 71:133–148
36. Glassman SD, Carreon L, Djurasovic M, Campbell MJ, Puno RM, Johnson JR, Dimar JR (2007) Posterolateral lumbar spine fusion with INFUSE bone graft. *Spine J* 7:44–49. doi:[10.1016/j.spinee.2006.06.381](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2006.06.381)
37. Polly DW Jr, Ackerman SJ, Shaffrey CI, Ogilvie JW, Wang JC, Stralka SW, Mafilios MS, Heim SE, Sandhu HS (2003) A cost analysis of bone morphogenetic protein versus autogenous iliac crest bone graft in single-level anterior lumbar fusion. *Orthopedics* 26:1027–1037