Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2010 June 9; 132(22): 7602–7604. doi:10.1021/ja102888f.

Polyketide Assembly by Alkene–Alkyne Reductive Cross-Coupling: Spiroketal Through the Union of Homoallylic Alcohols

Daniel P. Canterbury and Glenn C. Micalizio*

Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, Florida 33458

Abstract

A reaction sequence composed of regioselective formal hydroalkynylation, reductive ene-yne cross-coupling and oxidative cyclization has been developed to prepare stereochemically dense spiroketals. Overall, the chemistry defines a three-component coupling process for the union of two homoallylic alcohol-based substrates with TMS-acetylene.

Spiroketal-containing natural products represent a rich class of complex molecules that are known to possess potent and diverse biological properties. Examples include molecules that target HIV-1 protease, tubulin polymerization, protein phosphatases and isoleucyl tRNA synthetase, among other therapeutically interesting targets.¹ As a result, the synthesis and evaluation of spiroketal-containing molecules has been a topic of considerable interest in chemistry and medicine. Recent investigation along these lines has led to the discovery of natural product-inspired spiroketals that inhibit microtubule assembly, cause apoptosis, inhibit phosphatases, modulate the tubulin cytoskeleton, and show promise as potential therapeutics for B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia.² As a result of their significant potential in defining novel medicinally relevant small molecules, it is not surprising that many chemical strategies have emerged for the synthesis of stereodefined spiroketals.³ While recent interest has focused on methods to control the stereochemistry of the acetal,⁴ few general and flexible strategies to access the carbon skeleton have been advanced beyond multi-step aldol chemistry⁵ – processes that currently require numerous carbonyl redox and protecting group manipulations. Here, we describe a highly convergent and concise entry to substituted and stereodefined spiroketals by the union of homoallylic alcohols with TMS-acetylene (**2** + **3** + **4** → **1**; Figure 1A). Because a variety of methods for stereoselective allyltransfer exist,⁶ this spiroketal synthesis defines a simple convergent pathway that proceeds by the union of aldehydes (**5** and **6**), through a sequence that establishes 4 C–C bonds and up to 6 new stereocenters.

With well-established allyl transfer chemistry in place, the union of homoallylic alcohols **2** and **4** with TMS-acetylene (**3**), *en route* to complex spiroketals (**1**), was targeted through a three-step process as depicted in Figure 1B. Initial functionalization of homoallylic alcohol **2** by regioselective formal hydroalkynylation with TMS-acetylene would deliver the alkyne **7**. Subsequent site- and stereoselective reductive cross-coupling between **7** and a second

micalizio@scripps.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and tabulated spectroscopic data for new compounds (PDF) are available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/paragonplus/submission/jacsat/>.

homoallylic alcohol **4** would then deliver the complex diol **8**. Finally, oxidative cleavage of the central alkene with subsequent dehydrative cyclization would furnish complex spiroketal **1**.

Initial attempts to accomplish formal hydroalkynylation, via hydroboration of a terminal alkene, followed by B-alkyl Suzuki coupling with bromo-TMS-acetylene, were met with failure.⁷ Turning our attention to classic alkynylborate chemistry,⁸ we hypothesized that the desired bond construction could proceed by the sequence depicted in Figure 2A. Initial hydroboration of **2** was anticipated to deliver a trialkylborane intermediate that, although capable of undergoing the desired alkynylborate chemistry, was anticipated to be problematic, as selectivity in subsequent 1,2-alkyl migration is known to proceed with competitive ring expansion of the borabicyclononane system.⁹ As such, we pursued site-selective mono-oxidation of the resulting trialkyl borane,¹⁰ followed by addition of Li-TMS-acetylide to deliver intermediate mixed Li-alkynylborates of general structure **9**. Subsequent iodine-initiated group-selective 1,2-alkyl migration and base-induced elimination would then deliver **7** – the product of formal hydroalkynylation of **2**.

As illustrated in Figure 2B, this design for formal hydroalkynylation proved effective and general, providing coupled products in 72–86% yield (eqs 1–6). Overall, the required sequence of reactions was easy to perform in a one-pot process, and provided products bearing a range of stereodefined alkyl substitution (**11**, **13**, **15**, **17**, **19** and **21**).

Next, we explored the second C–C bond forming process for the proposed spiroketal construction: *ene-yne cross-coupling between stereodefined homoallylic alcohols and a suitably functionalized TMS-alkyne* (**22**; Figure 3). Building on our earlier observations that culminated in a general method for ene-yne cross-coupling,¹¹ we explored titanium-mediated, alkoxide-directed, reductive coupling as a means to accomplish the desired bond construction. Initial formation of a Ti-alkyne complex, by exposure of **22** to the combination of Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ and *c*-C₅H₉MgCl,¹² followed by addition of the lithium alkoxide of homoallylic alcohol **23** provided, after aqueous work-up, the homologated product **24** in 87% yield as a single isomer (eq 7). This ene-yne reductive cross-coupling proved to be effective for a range of homoallylic alcohols (**25**, **27**, **29** and **31**), delivering cross-coupled products **26**, **28**, **30** and **32** in 74–88% yield (eqs 8–11). Of these examples, eqs 10 and 11 highlight an interesting and powerful feature of this coupling process. In these cases, deoxypropionate architecture is established in a highly stereoselective fashion through a complex metallacycle-mediated coupling reaction that proceeds with high levels of regioselectivity with respect to each unsymmetrically disubstituted π -system in concert with the establishment of a new allylic stereogenic center (*ds* $\geq$ 20:1).¹³

While we were delighted with the apparent generality of this fragment union process, a limitation was observed in our initial study. As depicted in eq 12, reductive cross-coupling of homoallylic alcohol **33** with alkyne **22** did proceed, but product **34** could only be isolated in 22% yield. This limitation appears to be associated with the use of a homoallylic alcohol substrate containing both a disubstituted alkene and a sterically hindered directing group.

With a method for regioselective hydroalkynylation and ene-yne cross-coupling in hand, we turned our attention to the assembly of spiroketals. As illustrated in Figure 4, a three-step sequence composed of reductive cross-coupling, oxidative cleavage, and acid-promoted dehydration¹⁴ was pursued as a general strategy for the synthesis of stereodefined spiroketals. Overall, complex and diverse polysubstituted spiroketals **35–38** were generated with very high levels of stereoselection from the union of a range of stereochemically defined homoallylic alcohols (**23**, **27**, **29** and **31**) with the products of formal hydroalkynylation (**13**, **17** and **19**; eqs 13–16).¹⁵ While the overall yields for this three-step process define an area for future

improvement, the current efficiencies derive from sequences of reactions with average yields per step ranging from 68–80%.

In summary we have developed a strategy for the convergent assembly of highly substituted and stereodefined spiroketals that proceeds through the union of two chiral homoallylic alcohols. The chemical process defined is based on merging well-established stereoselective allyl transfer chemistry with: 1) a regioselective formal hydroalkynylation, and 2) a metallocycle-mediated reductive cross-coupling reaction between functionalized homoallylic alcohols and TMS-alkynes (the product that results from the hydroalkynylation reaction). While defining a synthetic pathway to spiroketals that can accommodate substitution patterns not easily attained by other convergent methods (based on aldol technology, allylmetal chemistry, cross-metathesis, and dithiane alkylation processes) these studies demonstrate the potential that ene-yne reductive cross-coupling reactions have in complex molecules synthesis. We look forward to advances that follow from these initial studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support of this work by the American Cancer Society (RSG-06-117-01) and the National Institutes of Health –NIGMS (GM80266).

References

1. For examples, see: a) Pettit GR, Cichacz ZA, Gao F, Herald CL, Boyd MR, Schmidt JM, Hooper JNA. *J Org Chem* 1993;58:1302–1304. b) Tachibana K, Scheuer PJ, Tsukitani Y, Kikuchi H, Engen DV, Clardy J, Gopichand Y, Schmitz FJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 1981;103:2469–2471. c) Cheng XC, Kihara T, Kusakabe H, Magae J, Kobayashi Y, Fang RP, Ni ZF, Shen YC, Ko K, Yamaguchi I, Isono K. *J Antibiot* 1987;40:906–909. d) Singh SB, Zink DL, Heimbach B, Genilloud O, Teran A, Silverman KC, Lingham RB, Felock P, Hazuda DJ. *Org Lett* 2002;4:1123–1127. [PubMed: 11922798] e) Hölzel A, Kemper C, Metzger JW, Groth I, Fritz T, Fiedler H. *J Antibiot* 1998;51:699–707. [PubMed: 9766461]
2. For examples, see: a) Barun O, Kumar K, Sommer S, Langerak A, Mayer TU, Müller O, Waldmann H. *Eur J Org Chem* 2005:4773–4788. b) Zinzalla G, Milroy LG, Ley SV. *Org Biomol Chem* 2006;4:1977–2002. [PubMed: 16688343] c) Milroy LG, Zinzalla G, Loiseau F, Qian Z, Prencipe G, Pepper C, Fegan C, Ley SV. *ChemMedChem* 2008;3:1922–1935. [PubMed: 19025736]
3. For general reviews of spiroketal synthesis, see: a) Mead KT, Brewer BN. *Curr Org Chem* 2003;7:227–256. b) Rama Raju B, Saikia AK. *Molecules* 2008;13:1942–2038. [PubMed: 18794795]
4. For reviews, see: a) Aho JE, Pihko PM, Rissa TK. *Chem Rev* 2005;105:4406–4440. [PubMed: 16351049] b) Favre S, Vogel P, Gerber-Lemaire S. *Molecules* 2008;13:2570–2600. [PubMed: 18927519] For an interesting general strategy to control stereochemistry, see: c) Moilanen SB, Potuzak JS, Tan DS. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:1792–1793. [PubMed: 16464069]
5. For a subset of methods for spiroketal synthesis that proceed through unique convergent coupling processes, see: Spiroketal by cross-olefin metathesis: a) Statsuk AV, Liu D, Kozmin SA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:9546–9547. [PubMed: 15291551] Spiroketal by dithiane alkylation: b) Smith AB III, Boldi AM. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:6925–6926. c) Smith AB III, Lin Q, Nakayama K, Boldi AM, Brook CS, McBriar MD, Moser WH, Sobukawaw M, Zhuang L. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1997;38:8675–8678. Spiroketal by hetero Diels-Alder: d) Danishefsky SJ, Pearson WH. *J Org Chem* 1983;48:3866–3868. Spiroketal by pyrone-based aldol: e) Crimmins MT, Katz JD. *Org Lett* 2000;2:957–960. [PubMed: 10768196] Spiroketal by acetal-tethered ring-closing metathesis: f) Ghosh SK, Ko C, Liu J, Wnag J, Hsung RP. *Tetrahedron* 2006;62:10485–10496.
6. For reviews, see: a) Chemler, SR.; Roush, WR. *Modern Carbonyl Chemistry*. Otera, J., editor. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2000. p. 403–490. b) Denmark, SE.; Almstead, NG. *Modern Carbonyl Chemistry*.

- Otera, J., editor. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2000. p. 299-401. c) Masse CE, Panek JS. *Chem Rev* 1995;95:1293–1316. d) Marshall JA. *J Org Chem* 2007;72:8153–8166. [PubMed: 17595141] For recent advances, see: e) Kinnaird JWA, Ng PY, Kubota K, Wang X, Leighton JL. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:7920–7921. [PubMed: 12095334] f) Hackman BM, Lombardi PJ, Leighton JL. *Org Lett* 2004;6:4375–4377. [PubMed: 15524487] g) Hall DG. *Pure Appl Chem* 2008;80:913–927. h) Burgos CH, Canales E, Matos K, Soderquist JA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:8044–8049. [PubMed: 15926828]
7. For a recent review of B-alkyl Suzuki coupling, see: Chemler SR, Trauner D, Danishefsky SJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2001;40:4544–4568.
8. Suzuki A, Miyaura N, Abiko S, Itoh M, Brown HC, Sinclair JA, Midland MM. *J Am Chem Soc* 1973;95:3080–3081.
9. a) Naruse M, Utimoto K, Nozaki H. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1973;14:1847–1850. b) Naruse M, Utimoto K, Nozaki H. *Tetrahedron* 1974;30:2159–2163. c) Soderquist JA, Rivera I. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1989;30:3919–3922.
10. Soderquist JA, Najafi MR. *J Org Chem* 1986;51:1330–1336.
11. Reichard HA, Micalizio GC. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:1440–1443.
12. For a review of synthetic application of Ti-alkyne complexes, see: Sato F, Urabe H, Okamoto S. *Chem Rev* 2000;100:2835–2886. [PubMed: 11749307]
13. Regioselection in metallacycle-mediated cross-coupling is often challenging. For a recent review, see: Reichard HA, McLaughling M, Chen MZ, Micalizio GC. *Eur J Org Chem* 2010:391–409.
14. Based on a reviewer's suggestion, we add that these conditions are typical for thermodynamic control in the stereoselective generation of the spiroacetal. See Supporting Information for additional details.
15. In each case (eqs 13–16), no evidence was found for the production of stereoisomeric spiroketals. This observation is in accord with the well-established thermodynamic preference for the generation of spiroketals that are stabilized by a double anomeric effect. See Supporting Information for additional details.

A. Retrosynthetic plan: **B. Chemical transformations targeted:**

a = hydroalkynylation

b = ene-yne reductive cross-coupling with homoallylic alcohol **4**

c = oxidative cyclization

Figure 1.

Convergent assembly of spiroketals by the selective union of homoallylic alcohols.

A. Design of a pathway for formal hydroalkynylation:

B. Results:

a = Reaction conditions: *i*. 9BBN, THF; *ii*. add TMANO in CH_2Cl_2 ; *iii*. add Li-TMS-acetylide in THF; *iv*. add I_2 and NaOH.

Figure 2.
Formal hydroalkynylation by alkynylborate chemistry.

Figure 3.
Titanium-mediated reductive cross-coupling.

General:

Results:

Reaction conditions: ^a alkyne (2.5 eq), $\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{-Pr})_4$ (2.5 eq), $c\text{-C}_5\text{H}_9\text{MgCl}$ (2.5 eq), Et_2O , -78°C to -30°C , then add homoallylic alkoxide (-30°C to 0°C), then 1N HCl. ^b OsO_4 , NaIO_4 , $t\text{-BuOH}$, pyr. ^c PPTS, CH_2Cl_2 , CH_3OH . ^d TsOH, acetone. ^e O_3 , CH_2Cl_2 , then Me_2S , then TsOH. ^f HCl (1N), THF (1:1).

Figure 4. Spiroketal synthesis by the convergent union of homoallylic alcohols.