Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2010 May 21; 12(10): 2442–2445. doi:10.1021/ol100924n.

Synthesis of Unsymmetrical *ortho*-Biphenols and *ortho*-Binaphthols via Silicon-Tethered Pd-Catalyzed C–H Arylation

Chunhui Huang and Vladimir Gevorgyan*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, 845 West Taylor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607-7061

Abstract

A mild, practical, and efficient method for the synthesis of unsymmetrical *ortho*-biphenols (including *ortho*-phenol-naphthols and *ortho*-binaphthols) has been developed. Unsymmetrical bis-aryloxy silanes, which were readily prepared in a semi-one-pot fashion, underwent the Pd-catalyzed intramolecular arylation followed by a routine TBAF desilylation step to furnish valuable unsymmetrical biphenols without necessity of isolation of 7-membered intermediates. The excellent functional group tolerance allows for synthesis of a variety of functionalized *ortho*-biphenols and *ortho*-binaphthols from easily available starting materials.

The *ortho*-biphenol framework is a key unit found in natural and synthetic bioactive molecules,¹ and in various ligand families.² Due to the favorable single-electron phenolic oxidation,³ the strategies towards assembly of biphenols largely concentrate on the oxidative phenol coupling reactions. Nonetheless, the synthesis of biphenols via these approaches is limited to symmetrical and electron-rich systems, often employing toxic heavy metal oxidants.⁴ The synthesis of unsymmetrical biphenols via oxidative cross-coupling of phenols suffers from significant amounts of self-coupling byproducts and undesired oligomers.^{3c,5} There are a few reports on synthesis of unsymmetrical biphenols employing a silicon tether concept.⁶ Yet, these reactions are limited to the electron-rich substrates. Recently, we⁷ reported the Pd-catalyzed arylation of phenols⁸ via an easy-on and -off silicon-tethered strategy,⁹ which allowed assembling of unsymmetrical biphenol **C** via further oxidation of a 6-membered oxasilacycle **B** (eq 1). However, oxidation of the C–Si bond required harsh conditions, and is limited to the particular substitution pattern. Herein, we wish to report a mild, general, and efficient method for the synthesis of unsymmetrical biphenols **3** via intramolecular Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation^{7,10} of **1** to form **2**, followed by a routine desilylation step (eq 2).

vlad@uic.edu.

Supporting Information Available General experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

(1)

(2)

We hypothesized that if intramolecular C-H arylation of easily available bis-aryloxy silane **1** would be efficient to form 7-membered¹¹ silacycles **2**, it would provide an easy route to biphenols **3** via a simple deprotection. To test this hypothesis, we subjected **1a** to the previously reported arylation conditions.⁷ However, under these conditions only reductive debromination occurred, with trace amounts of **2a** produced. Fagnou showed the possibility of 7-membered ring formation employing bulky electron-deficient bidentate ligands combined with palladium acetate.^{10i,j} Still, employment of these conditions did not give any arylation product in silicon-tethered case, as only reductive product **4** was obtained. After extensive screening of reaction parameters, including palladium sources, ligands, bases and solvents,¹² we found that bulky electron-deficient monodentate ligand $\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ in nonpolar solvents is capable for suppressing the C-Br reduction process. Employment of bases K_3PO_4 and Ag_2CO_3 resulted in higher efficiency of arylation (Table 1, entries 2, 3). Application of additives did not cause improvement (entries 4, 5). Gratifyingly, the combination of K_3PO_4 and Ag_2CO_3 dramatically improved the yield and the arylation/reduction ratio, resulting in 73% isolated yield of **2a** and high arylation/reduction ratio (entry 9). Next, these conditions were tested on substrates **1b–d** (entries 10 – 12). In all cases, the yields were high with negligible amounts of debromination byproducts **4** obtained. Naturally, the standard TBAF deprotection protocol afforded **3a** quantitatively.¹² Semi-one-pot procedure from **1a** to **3a** demonstrated the same efficiency (Table 2, entry 1). For easier separation, all other biphenols were isolated as acetates without loss of the yields.

Next, the scope of this protocol toward synthesis of unsymmetrical biphenols, phenol-naphthols, and binaphthols was examined (Table 2). Gratifyingly, it was found that this method is general and efficient, regardless on the electronic properties of substituents on either phenol ring. Thus, a variety of functional groups, such as MeO , F , Cl , CF_3 , CHO , NO_2 , and even Br , can be perfectly tolerated under these reaction conditions producing the unsymmetrical biphenol acetates in 3-step semi-one-pot in high to excellent overall yields. As expected, *meta*-substituted phenols gave mixtures of regio-isomers. The regioselectivity was affected by both electronics and sterics. For example, although CF_3 and Me groups are comparable in size, the substrate **1k**, possessing an electron-withdrawing group ($\text{R}=\text{CF}_3$), reacted more regioselectively compared to Me -substituted **1j**. Expectedly, the steric effect on the regioselectivity of arylation has also been observed. Thus, differently *O*-protected resorcinol

provided varied regioselectivity increasing with bulkier protecting groups (**1l** < **1m** < **1n**). 1-Naphthols and 2-naphthols could efficiently be employed in this arylation reaction, as well (entries 15–25). Thus, differently substituted 2-bromophenols underwent smooth arylation with 1-naphthol producing unsymmetrical phenol-naphthols in 61 – 96% yields. The unsymmetrical mixed 1- and 2-naphthol products (**3v**, **3w**) were also obtained highly efficiently. It deserves mentioning that **3w** represents the core structure of a series of small molecule inhibitors of Stat3 oncogene.^{1c} Arylation of **1y** afforded a 1.7:1 mixture of unsymmetrical 2,2'-BINOL¹³ derivative (**3y**) and 1,2'-binaphthyl-2,3'-diol derivative (**3y'**) in excellent overall yield (entry 25).

In summary, a mild, practical, and efficient method for the synthesis of symmetrical and unsymmetrical *ortho*-biphenols, *ortho*-phenol-naphthols, and *ortho*-binaphthols has been developed. The method involves a Pd-catalyzed intramolecular C-H arylation of unsymmetrical bis-aryloxy silanes to give the 7-membered oxasilacycles, which via a consecutive routine TBAF deprotection furnishes valuable unsymmetrical *ortho*-biphenols and *ortho*-binaphthols. The method allows for easy synthesis of a wide variety of functionalized symmetrical and unsymmetrical *ortho*-biphenols and *ortho*-binaphthols from easily available starting materials.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM-64444).

References

1. (a) Kozlowski MC, Morgan BJ, Linton EC. Chem Soc Rev 2009;38:3193. [PubMed: 19847351] (b) Quideau S, Feldman KS. Chem Rev 1996;96:475. [PubMed: 11848761] (c) Tweardy, DJ.; Huang, X.; Kasembeli, MM. Patent. WO 149192 A1. 2009.
2. (a) Alexakis A, Rosset S, Allamand J, March S, Guillen F, Benhaim C. Synlett 2001;9:1375. (b) Alexakis A, Benhaim C, Rosset S, Humam M. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:5262. [PubMed: 11996555] (c) Hua Z, Vassar VC, Choi H, Ojima I. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:5411. [PubMed: 15020764] (d) Wang J, Hu X, Jiang J, Gou S, Huang X, Liu X, Feng X. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2007;46:8468. (e) Wang J, Wang W, Li W, Hu X, Shen K, Tan C, Liu X, Feng X. Chem-Eur J 2009;15:11642.
3. (a) Taylor, WI.; Battersby, AR. Oxidative Coupling of Phenols. Marcel Dekker; New York: 1967. (b) Pal T, Pal A. Curr Sci 1996;71:106. (c) Lessene, G.; Feldman, KS. In Modern Arene Chemistry. Astruc, D., editor. Vol. Chapter 14. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2002.
4. (a) Armstrong DR, Cameron C, Nonhebel DC, Perkins PG. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 2 1983:581. (b) Hwang DR, Chen CP, Uang BJ. Chem Commun 1999:1207. (c) Yadav JS, Reddy BVS, Gayathri KU, Prasad AR. New J Chem 2003;27:1684. (d) Malkowsky IM, Rommel CE, Fröhlich R, Griesbach U, Pütter H, Waldvogel SR. Chem-Eur J 2006;12:7482.
5. Nishino H, Ito N, Nagashima M, Kurasawa K. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1992;65:620.
6. (a) Takada T, Arisawa M, Gyoten M, Hamada R, Tohma H, Kita Y. J Org Chem 1998;63:7698. (b) Schmitt M, Haeuseler A. Z Naturforsch B 2003;58:211.
7. Huang C, Gevorgyan V. J Am Chem Soc 2009;131:10844. [PubMed: 19722665]
8. (a) Bedford RB, Coles SJ, Hursthouse MB, Limmert ME. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003;42:112. (b) Oi S, Watanabe S-i, Fukita S, Inoue Y. Tetrahedron Lett 2003;44:8665. (c) Hennings DD, Iwasa S, Rawal VH. J Org Chem 1997;62:2. [PubMed: 11671356] (d) Bajracharya GB, Daugulis O. Org Lett 2008;10:4625. [PubMed: 18798647] (e) Xiao B, Fu Y, Xu J, Gong TJ, Dai JJ, Yi J, Liu L. J Am Chem Soc 2010;132:468. [PubMed: 20020760]

9. (a) Stork G, Suh HS, Kim G. *J Am Chem Soc* 1991;113:7054. For a review, see, for example (b) Fensterbank L, Malacria M, Sieburth SM. *Synthesis* 1997:813.
10. For reviews, see: (a) Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M. *Chem Rev* 2007;107:174. [PubMed: 17212475] (b) Seregin IV, Gevorgyan V. *Chem Soc Rev* 2007;36:1173. [PubMed: 17576484] (c) Campeau LC, Stuart DR, Fagnou K. *Aldrich Chim Acta* 2007;40:35. (d) Ashenhurst JA. *Chem Soc Rev* 2010;39:540. [PubMed: 20111778] See also: (e) Nishikata T, Abela AR, Huang S, Lipshutz BH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2010;132:4978. [PubMed: 20307066] For intramolecular arylations, see examples: (f) García-Cuadrado D, de Mendoza P, Braga AAC, Maseras F, Echavarren AM. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:6880. [PubMed: 17461585] (g) García-Cuadrado D, Braga AAC, Maseras F, Echavarren AM. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:1066. [PubMed: 16433509] (h) Pascual S, de Mendoza P, Braga AAC, Maseras F, Echavarren AM. *Tetrahedron* 2008;64:6021. (i) Campeau LC, Parisien M, Fagnou K. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:9186. [PubMed: 15281800] (j) Leblanc M, Fagnou K. *Org Lett* 2005;7:2849. [PubMed: 15987152] (k) Shimizu M, Mochida K, Hiyama T. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2008;47:9760.
11. For challenges in formation of a 7-membered ring via C-H arylation, see: 10i,j.
12. See the Supporting Information for details.
13. For a review, see 1a. For examples, see: (a) Li X, Yang J, Kozlowski MC. *Org Lett* 2001;3:1137. [PubMed: 11348178] (b) Mulrooney CA, Li X, DiVirgilio ES, Kozlowski MC. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:6856. [PubMed: 12783524] (c) Guo QX, Wu ZJ, Luo ZB, Liu QZ, Ye JL, Luo SW, Cun LF, Gong LZ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:13927. [PubMed: 17956093] (d) Egami H, Katsuki T. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:6082. [PubMed: 19361160] (e) Hovorka M, Šcigel R, Guterová J, Tichý M, Závada J. *Tetrahedron* 1992;48:9503.

Table 1

Optimization of Reaction Conditions.^a

no.	R	base (equiv)	additive (equiv)	yield, [%] ^b	2:4 ^c
1	H (1a)	K ₂ CO ₃ ^d (2)	none	25	5:1
2	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (2)	none	31	14:1
3	1a	Ag ₂ CO ₃ (2)	none	49	15:1
4	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (2)	PivOH (0.3)	42	15:1
5	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (2)	3-NO ₂ -Py (0.3)	43	17:1
6	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (2) Ag ₂ CO ₃ (0.5)	none	66	26:1
7	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (2) Ag ₂ CO ₃ (1.5)	none	73	41:1
8	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (1) Ag ₂ CO ₃ (1)	none	78	32:1
9	1a	K ₃ PO ₄ (2) Ag ₂ CO ₃ (1)	none	85 (73)	34:1
10	<i>p</i> -OMe (1b)	"	none	(70)	> 99:1
11	<i>p</i> -CF ₃ (1c)	"	none	(90)	> 99:1
12	<i>o</i> -Me (1d)	"	none	(80)	100:0

^aSee the Supporting Information for details.^bGC yields of **2**, isolated yields are in parentheses.^cGC ratio.^d*p*-Xylene was used as a solvent.

Table 2

Synthesis of Unsymmetrical *ortho*-Biphenols, *ortho*-Phenol-naphthols and *ortho*-Binaphthols via Silicon-tethered C-H Arylation (3-step, semi-one-pot).^{a,b}

no.

substrates (1)

1

no.

substrates (1)

2

3

no.

substrates (1)

4

no.

substrates (1)

5

no.

substrates (1)

6

no.

substrates (1)

7

8

no.

substrates (1)

9

no.

substrates (1)

10

no.	substrates (1)
-----	----------------

11	
----	--

12	
----	--

no.

substrates (1)

13

14

no.

substrates (1)

15

no.

substrates (1)

16

17

no.

substrates (1)

18

19

no.

substrates (1)

20

21

no.

substrates (1)

22

no.

substrates (1)

23

no.

substrates (1)

24

no.	substrates (1)
-----	----------------

25

^a Isolated yields.^b To simplify isolation, the biphenols were further converted to their corresponding acetates.^c Two-step semi-one-pot procedure gave unprotected 2,2'-biphenol in 72% yield; yield in the parenthesis is desilylation from **2a** to **3a**.^d ¹H NMR yield (against CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard).^e Major regioisomer shown.^f 4 equiv of TBAF, 15 equiv of Ac₂O and 15 equiv of pyridine were used; **3m** = **3n**.