Published in final edited form as:

Int J Quantum Chem. 2009 October 22; 110(9): 1784–1792. doi:10.1002/qua.22331.

Residue Mutations in [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase Impedes O₂ Binding: A QM/MM Investigation

Daniela Dogaru¹, Stefan Motiu¹, and Valentin Gogonea^{*,1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry, Cleveland State University, 2121 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44115

²Department of Cell Biology, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195

Abstract

[Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases are enzymes that reversibly catalyze the reaction of protons and electrons to molecular hydrogen, which occurs in anaerobic media. In living systems, [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases are mostly used for H₂ production. The [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase H-cluster is the active site, which contains two iron atoms. The latest theoretical investigations^{1,2} advocate that the structure of di-iron air inhibited species are either Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}-O-H⁻, or Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}-O-O-H, thus O₂ has to be prevented from binding to Fe_d in all di-iron subcluster oxidation states in order to retain a catalytically active enzyme. By performing residue mutations* on [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases, we were able to weaken O₂ binding to distal iron (Fe_d) of *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* hydrogenase (DdH). Individual residue deletions were carried out in the 8 Å apoenzyme layer radial outward from Fe_d to determine what residue substitutions should be made to weaken O₂ binding. Residue deletions and substitutions were performed for three di-iron subcluster oxidation states, Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}, Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I, and Fe_p^I-Fe_d^I of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase. Two deletions (Δ Thr¹⁵² and Δ Ser²⁰²) were found most effective in weakening O₂ binding to Fe_d in Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I hydrogenase ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +5.4$ kcal/mol). An increase in Gibbs' energy (+2.2 kcal/mol and +4.4 kcal/mol) has also been found for Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}, and Fe_p^I-Fe_d^I hydrogenase respectively. π -backdonation considerations for frontier molecular orbital and geometrical analysis corroborate the Gibbs's energy results.

Keywords

[Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase; H-cluster; bridging carbonyl; quantum mechanical-molecular mechanics method; QM/MM method; ONIOM; Gibbs' energy

Introduction

[Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases are enzymes that catalyze the reversible reduction of protons to molecular hydrogen ($2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2$) in anaerobic media^{2,3}, and are considered one of the oldest enzymes in nature⁴. The eventual elucidation of the catalytic mechanism of hydrogen synthesis may avail researches produce clean hydrogen fuel, using certain prokaryotes and eukaryotes^{5–14}.

The active site of hydrogenase, the H-cluster (Scheme 1) is comprised of two iron atoms (Fe_p-Fe_d, i.e., proximal and distal iron). The di-iron atoms are coordinated by endogenous ligands, i.e., two cyanides, two terminal carbonyls, and a bridging carbonyl (CO_b). Also,

*In this investigation, QM/MM [DFT/UHF] hybrid method (Gaussian03) has been used.

Email: v.gogonea@csuohio.edu.

1,3-di(thiomethyl)amine (DTMA) and propanedithiolate (PDT) are bidentate ligands of the di-iron subsite¹⁵⁻¹⁷. A cubane cluster, [4Fe-4S] (which also belongs to the H-cluster), is bonded to S_{γ} of Cys³⁸², while the former (S_{γ}) is bound to Fe_p of the H-cluster.

Previous Density Functional Theory (DFT) as well as hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations^{2,18-24} have been successful in clarifying some aspects of the catalytic properties of the H-cluster. As in previous computational studies^{2,19}, CH_3-S is substituted for cysteine, and a H^+ replaces the proximal cubane. Furthermore, computational and experimental research^{1,2,15,25-52} on [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase H-cluster and synthetic H-cluster-like compounds sheds light on the potential redox states of the [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase H-cluster subunit, Fe_p-Fe_d ; $Fe_p^I-Fe_d^I$ is the reduced hydrogenase H-cluster subunit, $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I$ is the partially oxidized enzyme subunit, and $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}$ is the fully oxidized, inactive enzyme H-cluster subunit.

The oxidized H-cluster, $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}$, has OOH^- , H_2O molecule, or OH^- bound to the Fe_d^{II} site^{1,19}. In our previous investigation³¹, we have inferred that a vacant $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}$ could also be a viable intermediate in H_2 synthesis. Regardless of the redox states in [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase H-cluster, the proximal cubane always retains a 2+ oxidation state, $[Fe_4S_4]^{2+}$. The partially oxidized H-cluster (containing $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I$), H_{ox} , is the active species of the hydrogenase enzyme having the tendency for protonation (Liu and Hu¹⁹).

The crystal structures of hydrogenase obtained from *Clostridium pasteurianum* (CP)¹⁶ and *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* (Dd)¹⁷ led to a more detailed understanding of the biochemical role of these enzymes. The crystal structure of CPI hydrogenase shows an oxygen species (i.e. either OH^- or H_2O) bound to Fe_d . However, according to X-ray crystal structure, of CPI and based on computational results of Tye et al.¹, the enzyme has OOH^- bound to Fe_d in its inactive form. Hence, we endeavor to ascertain if oxygen binding to distal iron (Fe_d-O_2) can be hindered by residue mutations within the surrounding apoprotein of the catalytic site.

The current investigation is comprised of two subdivisions. (1) The thermodynamic analysis of the reactions of O_2 binding to wild-type and mutated [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase for the three different oxidation states of the di-iron subcluster: $Fe_p^I-Fe_d^I$, $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I$, and $Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}$. (2) Geometrical analyses of inter-atomic distances in Fe_d-O_2 , the extrinsic ligand, O-O, and of CO_b carbon distances to the di-iron atoms. In the Supplementary Information the NBO charges and an electronic analysis discussing the frontier molecular orbitals are presented.

Methods

The QM/MM [DFT/UFF53] ONIOM⁵⁴ method (DFT for the QM region, and the universal force field, UFF, for the MM region, implemented in Gaussian03⁵⁵) has been used to calculate the reaction thermodynamics, i.e., ΔG , for the reactions of oxygen binding to [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase. The electronic structure of H-cluster (except the proximal cubane) was described by QM using the DFT Hamiltonian (B3LYP functional^{56,57}), with 6-31+G(d,p) basis set. In accordance with experimental and in silico data, low spin states (singlet, and doublet) and low oxidation states (I, and II) have been selected for the Fe atoms^{2,24}.

The Gromacs program^{58,59} was employed to add hydrogen atoms, water, and counter ions to the crystal structure of DdH [Brookhaven Protein Data Bank (id.1HFE)]. A 1 nm layer of water has been added to the DdH structure. Sodium cations have been randomly inserted into the solvent to neutralize the negative charges encountered in DdH, e.g., the -2 a.u. found on the cubane/cysteine moieties, or in the H-cluster (when needed)⁶⁰. For both basic and acidic amino acids, charges were assigned by the Gromacs program pdb2gm_x to be at pH 7. The low layer consists of all metalloenzyme residues as well as its constituent cubanes, i.e., proximal, medial, and distal. The high layer is comprised of 2Fe subunit, (which is a moiety

of the H-cluster), and C_β and S_γ (of the bridging Cys³⁸²). Two linking hydrogen atoms were added between C_α and C_β of Cys³⁸², and between S_γ and a Fe atom of the proximal cubane. The charge equilibration method of the UFF was used to describe the electrostatic interactions within the low layer of the system⁶¹. The DdH partial charges were obtained using the charge equilibration method (QEq), whereas the solvent charges were acquired from literature⁶¹ ($q_O = -0.706$ a.u. and $q_H = 0.353$ a.u.).

ONIOM geometry optimizations have been performed on DdH, with the low layer (MM region) being frozen^{*}, with the exception of the proximal cubane; for the high layer (QM), only the iron atoms, Fe_p - Fe_d , and the N (of the DTMA bridge) have been kept frozen^{**}.

Residue mutations were carried out within the adjacent apoenzyme environment to the H-cluster in order to hinder O_2 from binding to the open coordination site (Fe_d) of DdH H-cluster. Residue mutations are comprised of deletions and substitutions, which are performed 8 Å radially outward from Fe_d . In order to screen the thirty polar residues located in the 8 Å apoenzyme layer, individual residue deletions were carried out followed by calculations to ascertain what residue substitutions should be made to impede O_2 binding to Fe_d . The deletion of a residue was performed by removing the point charges of its atoms from the Gaussian input file. Residue deletions and substitutions were performed for all three di-iron subcluster oxidation states of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase H-cluster: Fe_p^{II} - Fe_d^{II} , Fe_p^{II} - Fe_d^I , and Fe_p^I - Fe_d^I .

Results and Discussion

Thermodynamics of O_2 Binding to [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase H-cluster in Gas Phase and Protein Environment

Calculations in gas phase and in wild-type enzyme environment—The results of the QM/MM calculations for the reactions of O_2 binding to [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase are shown in Table 1. Two values of the Gibbs' energy are given for wild-type DdH. In the first row are Gibbs' energies ($\Delta G_{QM/MM}^c$) obtained by taking into account neighboring charges of the 2Fe subunit: i.e. point charges from the proximal cubane^{*}, the MM region of Cys³⁸², C of the peptide bond in Gly³⁸¹, and N of Val³⁸³. The values ($\Delta G_{QM/MM}$) in the second row of Table 1 were obtained without including the neighboring charges of the 2Fe subunit in the calculation. Sometimes the deletion of MM charges in the vicinity of the QM system is necessary to avoid distortions (artificial polarization) in the wave function induced by these charges. The difference in the wave function polarization (i.e. with or without neighboring charges) is quantified by difference in the natural bond orbital charges (NBO, Figure 1a, and 1b). The strongest effect of the neighboring MM charges is on the NBO charges of S_γ of Cys³⁸² and the linking atom (H_L) attached to it. A detailed analysis of these charges is given in the Supplementary Information.

Figure 2 shows O_2 inhibition pathways for all three oxidation states of the H-clusters: Fe_p^{II} - Fe_d^{II} (1), Fe_p^{II} - Fe_d^I (3), and Fe_p^I - Fe_d^I (5), where (1), (3), and (5), are the cluster identifiers. In gas phase, the 1st reaction, $1 \rightarrow 2$, (O_2 binds to the fully oxidized H-cluster (1)) is endergonic ($\Delta G_{gas} = +9.8$ kcal/mol; gas = gas phase^{**}). Hybrid QM/MM calculations, on the other hand, show that O_2 binding in protein environment occurs exergonically

*Where “frozen” means that x, y, z atom coordinates are kept fixed; “freezing atoms” is practiced to reduce computational time.

**For the fully and partially oxidized vacant di-iron subunits, additional optimizations have been carried out by freezing these atoms: Fe_p - CO_t (where CO_t stands for terminal carbonyl; CO_t is bound to Fe_p). The extra optimizations have been done because the above mentioned di-iron subunits are more likely to undergo CO_b migration.

*Except for the cubane sulfur ($S_{c,d}$) situated diagonally from the cubane Fe (bound to cysteinyl sulfur of Cys³⁸²).

**The gas phase results are reproduced from reference 18.

($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}}^c = -16.6$ kcal/mol; $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -10.6$ kcal/mol), confirming the affinity of hydrogenases for O₂62.

In gas phase, the 2nd reaction, 3 → 4, starts with the partially oxidized H-cluster (3), Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I, and the bonding of O₂ to Fe_d^I occurs rather exergonic ($\Delta G_{\text{gas}} = -36.1$ kcal/mol). ONIOM results show that in protein environment O₂ binds spontaneously to the H-cluster when neighboring charges are included (Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}}^c = -7.9$ kcal/mol), but the binding reaction is non-spontaneous ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +2.6$ kcal/mol) when the neighboring charges are not included.

The 3rd reaction in gas phase, 5 → 6, starts with the reduced H-cluster (5), Fe_p^I-Fe_d^I; the reaction occurs spontaneously ($\Delta G_{\text{gas}} = -36.0$ kcal/mol) and has almost identical Gibbs' energy as reaction 3 → 4, probably because both loci of oxygen binding (Fe_d^I-O₂) are on similar oxidized species, Fe_d^I. However, the QM/MM calculations for 5 → 6 show a difference between the enzyme ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}}^c = -20.7$ kcal/mol; $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -20.5$ kcal/mol) and gas phase results ($\Delta G_{\text{gas}} = -36.0$ kcal/mol) Gibbs' energies.

In Figure 2 the gas phase protonation reaction 5 → 7 is very exergonic ($\Delta G_{\text{gas}} = -220.6$ kcal/mol), essentially because the charge on H-cluster 5 is -2 a.u. ONIOM calculations also show a very high H⁺ affinity ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}}^c = -219.2$ kcal/mol) for the hydrogenase H-cluster, which is close to the gas phase result ($\Delta G_{\text{gas}} = -220.6$ kcal/mol). The thermodynamic results in Figure 2 demonstrate that most reactions considered for the hydrogenase H-cluster proceed exergonically with the exception of 1 → 2 in gas phase. Thus, the calculations on the wild-type [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase are in agreement with experimental observations and confirm the inhibition of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase by molecular oxygen.

Calculations in mutated enzyme environment—The thermodynamic calculations of O₂ binding to the H-cluster in gas phase and protein environment suggest that the enzyme electric field modulates the reactivity of the H-cluster toward O₂, and thus is responsible for the inhibition of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase by molecular oxygen. Thus thoughtful modification of the enzyme electric field by residue mutation may advert or weaken the O₂ binding to the H-cluster. This idea is the basis of the study presented here.

Residue screening in a protein layer (8 Å) surrounding the H-cluster has been carried out to gauge the effect of point mutations on the strength of O₂ binding to H-cluster. First we performed residue deletions to gauge the effect of the electric field of the residues targeted for substitution on the reaction of O₂ binding, then we performed residue substitutions for residues that showed significant effect upon deletion.

For O₂ binding to Fe_d^{II} (of the oxidized biferrous hydrogenase H-cluster subsite, Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^{II}, (1)), the results obtained are a function of stereoelectronic effects from the juxtaposed residues on the catalytic site. Both neutral polar and charged residue deletions provided good results, e.g., ΔSer^{62s*} , ΔAsp^{144} , ΔGlu^{146} , ΔAsp^{150} , ΔThr^{152} , and ΔSer^{202} gave $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -9.0$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -8.4$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -8.7$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -9.4$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -9.2$ kcal/mol, and $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -7.9$ kcal/mol, respectively.

O₂ is hindered from binding to Fe_d^I of the partially oxidized di-iron subsite (Fe_p^{II}-Fe_d^I). Endergonic binding reactions have been identified all tried residue deletions (Table 1), except for the following: ΔTyr^{112} , ΔLys^{237} , ΔThr^{257} , ΔThr^{259} , ΔSer^{289} , and ΔThr^{299} , which gave $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -3.2$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -2.7$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -2.9$ kcal/mol,

*s = DdH small chain

$\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -3.0$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -2.9$ kcal/mol, and $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -2.8$ kcal/mol, respectively.

An improved trend towards impeding O_2 binding to Fe_d^{I} , of the fully reduced di-iron subsite ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$), has been observed for residue deletions (Table 1): ΔThr^{152} and ΔSer^{202} , which gave $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -18.6$ kcal/mol, and $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -17.3$ kcal/mol, respectively. Table 1 shows that the deletion of charged amino acid residues like Asp^{144} and Glu^{374} has a similar effect ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +4.6$ and $+4.7$ kcal/mol, respectively) on O_2 binding to $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$, as the deletion of polar but neutral amino acid residues like Thr^{152} and Ser^{202} ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +4.1$ and $+3.9$ kcal/mol, respectively), which seems rather intriguing. A closer look at the distribution of the amino acid residues targeted for deletion in the 8 Å layer around the di-iron catalytic unit reveals that the charged residues Asp^{144} and Glu^{374} are about 10 Å away from distal Fe, while Thr^{152} and Ser^{202} are about 3 Å closer. This difference in location with respect to the H-cluster explains why the deletion of two charge residues, further away, has a similar effect on O_2 binding as the deletion of two polar residues, which are much closer to H-cluster. The residues interlaced between $\text{Asp}^{144}/\text{Glu}^{374}$ and the catalytic site screen the bare charge of these charged residues, thus diminishing its effect on the electronic structure of the di-iron unit. Because charge residues have a stronger effect on their local environment than polar residues, it is expected that polar/nonpolar residues are better targets for mutations because their replacement should be less detrimental to the overall folding of the enzyme. Thus, we selected neutral polar residues for mutations.

With the positive results obtained from residue deletions, we were now able to carry out residue substitutions (Table 2). Two residue deletions (ΔThr^{152} and ΔSer^{202}), that showed significant effect on the Gibbs' energy of O_2 binding for all oxidation states of the di-iron H-cluster subunit, were followed by mutations to alanine, i.e., $\text{Thr}^{152}\text{Ala}$, and $\text{Ser}^{202}\text{Ala}$. The dual residue deletions, ΔThr^{152} and ΔSer^{202} impede further O_2 binding to the H-cluster subunit $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +5.4$ kcal/mol). However, for the oxidation states $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$ and $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ only a slight enhancement in O_2 inhibition has been observed ($+2.2$ kcal/mol and $+4.4$ kcal/mol, respectively). The simultaneous mutations to alanine ($\text{Thr}^{152}\text{Ala}$, and $\text{Ser}^{202}\text{Ala}$) give better O_2 inhibition results ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -9.2$ kcal/mol for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +4.2$ kcal/mol for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$, and $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -18.1$ kcal/mol for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$).

Additionally, it is known that certain organisms containing [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases thrive around suboceanic thermal vents⁴. Hence, at a temperature of 100 °C intercalated with hydrogenase mutations (Table 2), QM/MM results indicate that the extrinsic O_2 binding to metalloenzyme is further reduced ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -5.6$ kcal/mol for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$, $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +7.9$ kcal/mol for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ and $\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -12.9$ kcal/mol for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$).

DdH Geometrical Readjustment upon Oxidation

In this section the Gibbs' energies for the reaction of O_2 binding to wild-type DdH are correlated with geometrical parameters, such as interatomic distances and bond angles (Table 3). The iron-carbon distances in the $\text{Fe}_p\text{-CO}_b$ subunit are investigated for all three oxidation states of the di-iron subunits.

The iron-carbon distance in $\text{Fe}_p\text{-CO}_b$ ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$) becomes smaller, 1.925 Å (1) $\rightarrow$ 1.807 Å (2), upon O_2 binding concomitant with $\text{Fe}_d\text{-CO}_b$ bond elongation, 1.942 Å (1) $\rightarrow$ 2.287 Å (2), which generally indicates an increased bonding strength for an exogenous ligand³¹ (i.e. O_2). For $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$, the bond distance $\text{Fe}_p\text{-CO}_b$ becomes smaller, 1.939 Å (3) $\rightarrow$ 1.924 Å (4), upon O_2 binding while a bond elongation is observed for $\text{Fe}_d\text{-CO}_b$, 1.908 Å (3) $\rightarrow$ 1.924 Å (4). For the reduced di-iron subcluster ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$) the bond distance $\text{Fe}_p\text{-CO}_b$ becomes smaller, 1.942 Å (5) $\rightarrow$ 1.935 Å (6), upon O_2 binding, while $\text{Fe}_d\text{-CO}_b$ increases, 1.826 Å (5) $\rightarrow$ 1.945 Å (6). The above geometrical analysis concludes that for all oxidation states of

$\text{Fe}_p\text{-Fe}_d$ the bond between the carbon of the bridging carbonyl (CO_b) and Fe_d becomes longer and the bond between CO_b and Fe_p becomes shorter, upon O_2 binding to the catalytic site.

Next, an analysis is presented for the interatomic distances between distal iron and oxygen, and between oxygen atoms, relative to Gibbs' energy of O_2 binding to all three di-iron oxidation states.

For the $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$ subcluster, the iron-oxygen distance, $\text{Fe}_d\text{-O}_I$, is rather small (1.729 Å; Table 3), which suggests a strong bonding ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -10.6$ kcal/mol; $1 \rightarrow 2$) between the distal iron and the oxygen atom bound to it. The inter-oxygen ($\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$) bond distance is 1.276 Å, which corresponds to a bond order between a single and double bond.

In the case of the active di-iron subcluster, $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$, the $\text{Fe}_d\text{-O}_I$ bond distance is ca. 6% longer (1.840 Å) than $\text{Fe}_d\text{-O}_I$ interatomic distance in $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}\text{-O}_I$, giving rise to a weaker bond ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = +2.6$ kcal/mol; $3 \rightarrow 4$) between the distal iron and oxygen. The $\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ bond distance is 1.281 Å, which is relatively close to the $\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ bond for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$ subcluster.

In $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ the $\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ bond distance is relatively larger, 1.373 Å, which suggests that π -backdonation occurs between a filled d -orbital of Fe_d and the empty π^* orbital of O_2 . Out of the three di-iron oxidation states, only the reduced di-iron subcluster ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$) has attributes of π -backdonation¹, i.e., the $\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ bond order is intermediate between a double and a single bond order, and the $\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ bond is elongated (1.373 Å).

Finally, the $\text{Fe}_d\text{-O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ angle varies as the oxidation states decrease: $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}\text{-O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ (137.0°), $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}\text{-O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ (160.6°), and $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}\text{-O}_I\text{-O}_{\text{II}}$ (126.0°) in conjunction with effects of the nearby electric field of the apoprotein.

Conclusion

The QM calculations on the gas phase H-cluster in different oxidation states of $\text{Fe}_p\text{-Fe}_d$ subunit show that O_2 binding to the fully oxidized H-cluster ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$) is exergonic, and endergonic for the partially oxidized ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$) and reduced ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$) H-clusters.

On the other hand, the QM/MM calculations on the wild-type [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase confirm that the resting state of the enzyme ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$) is inhibited by O_2 . In addition, the O_2 binding to the partially oxidized ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$) hydrogenase is slightly endergonic, but is exergonic for the reduced oxidation state ($\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$). The contrast between the calculation results in gas phase and protein environment suggest a dramatic effect of the enzyme electric field on the O_2 binding reaction. Thus, we explored the modulation of this electric field by performing point mutations in an 8 Å protein layer surrounding the H-cluster. First, residue deletions have been performed, one by one. Then, from clues obtained from these residue deletions, residue substitutions have been carried out. For $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$ both neutral polar residue and charged residue deletions ($\Delta\text{Ser}^{62\text{s}}$, ΔAsp^{144} , ΔGlu^{146} , ΔAsp^{150} , ΔThr^{152} , and ΔSer^{202}) led to a decreased binding of O_2 . For $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ residue deletions ΔGlu^{374} , ΔAsp^{144} , ΔSer^{177} , and ΔThr^{152} and for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ residue deletions ΔThr^{152} and ΔSer^{202} hinder O_2 binding to Fe_d^{I} .

¹The π -backdonation agrees with the Gibbs' energy results from Table 1. For example, the $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{II}}$ subcluster has an exergonic Gibbs' energy ($\Delta G_{\text{QM/MM}} = -16.6$ kcal/mol), which can be improved however by DdH mutations such as residue deletions and substitutions, since there is only slight π -backdonation present. The bond $\text{Fe}_d\text{-O}_I$ is still relatively weak ($\Delta G = -7.9$ kcal/mol) for $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ subsite. However, for the reduced $\text{Fe}_p^{\text{I}}\text{-Fe}_d^{\text{I}}$ subsite, the π -backdonation makes the oxygen bond very strong, thus making its elimination rather difficult (even by means of DdH mutations, Table 2).

As expected, residue substitutions Thr¹⁵²Ala and Ser²⁰²Ala affect O₂ binding to the same extent as the corresponding deletions (Δ Thr¹⁵² and Δ Ser²⁰²).

Finally, the fact that one substitutes nonpolar amino acid residues (Thr¹⁵²Ala, and Ser²⁰²Ala) for some polar ones juxtaposed to the catalytic site (the H-cluster) seems to be sufficient cause to hinder the binding of O₂ to hydrogenase H-cluster. This shows that well thought modulation of the enzyme electric field accomplished by point mutations can be used to advert hydrogenase inactivation by molecular oxygen. Hence, DdH mutations open up new research opportunities along these lines.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funds from the Department of Energy, grant: DE-FG02-03ER15462 and National Institutes of Health, grant: 1R15GM070469-01. Computational resources have been provided by the National Center for Supercomputer Applications (University of Illinois) and the Ohio Supercomputer Center.

References

1. Tye JW, Darensbourg MY, Hall MB. *Inorg Chem.* 2008; 47:2380. [PubMed: 18307282]
2. Liu ZP, Hu P. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:5175. [PubMed: 11982382]
3. Das D, Dutta T, Nath K, Kotay SM, Das AK, Veziroglu TN. *Curr Sci.* 2006; 90:1627.
4. Cammack, R.; Frey, M.; Robson, R., editors. *Hydrogen as a Fuel: Learning from Nature.* Taylor and Francis, Inc.; London: 2001.
5. Melis A, Zhang L, Forestier M, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M. *Plant Physiol.* 2000; 122:127. [PubMed: 10631256]
6. Albracht SPJ. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1994; 1118:167. [PubMed: 7803444]
7. Woodward J, Cordray KA, Edmonston RJ, Blanco-Rivera M, Mattingly SM, Evans BR. *Energy Fuels.* 2000; 14:197.
8. De Lacey AL, Pardo A, Fernandez VM, Dementin S, Adryanczyk-Perrier G, Hatchikian EC, Rousset M. *J Biol Inorg Chem.* 2004; 9:636. [PubMed: 15175937]
9. De Lacey AL, Detcheverry M, Moiroux J, Bourdillon C. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2000; 68:1. [PubMed: 10699866]
10. Borg SJ, Behrsing T, Best SP, Razavet M, Liu X, Pickett CJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:16988. [PubMed: 15612737]
11. Vignais PM, Billoud B, Meyer J. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2001; 25:455. [PubMed: 11524134]
12. Adams MWW. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1990; 1020:115. [PubMed: 2173950]
13. Adams MWW, Stiefel EI. *Science.* 1998; 282:1842. [PubMed: 9874636]
14. Happe RP, Roseboom W, Pierik AJ, Albracht SP, Bagley KA. *Nature.* 1997; 385:126. [PubMed: 8990114]
15. Nicolet Y, Cavazza C, Fontecilla-Camps JC. *J Inorg Biochem.* 2002; 91:1. [PubMed: 12121756]
16. Peters JW, Lanzilotta WN, Lemon BJ, Seefeldt LC. *Science.* 1998; 282:1853. [PubMed: 9836629]
17. Nicolet Y, Piras C, Legrand P, Hatchikian EC, Fontecilla-Camps JC. *Structure.* 1999; 7:13. [PubMed: 10368269]
18. Dogaru D, Motiu S, Gogonea V. *Int J Quantum Chem.* 2009; 109:876. [PubMed: 20160838]
19. Liu ZP, Hu P. *J Chem Phys.* 2002; 117:8177.
20. Bruschi M, Fantucci P, De Gioia L. *Inorg Chem.* 2002; 41:1421. [PubMed: 11896710]
21. Bruschi M, Fantucci P, De Gioia L. *Inorg Chem.* 2003; 42:4773. [PubMed: 12870970]
22. Bruschi M, Fantucci P, De Gioia L. *Inorg Chem.* 2004; 43:3733. [PubMed: 15180430]

23. Zampella G, Bruschi M, Fantucci P, Razavet M, Pickett CJ, De Gioia L. *Chem Eur J*. 2005; 11:509.
24. Cao Z, Hall MB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123:3734. [PubMed: 11457105]
25. Fan HJ, Hall MB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123:3828. [PubMed: 11457119]
26. Greco C, Bruschi M, De Gioia L, Ryde U. *Inorg Chem*. 2007; 46:5911. [PubMed: 17602468]
27. Trohalaki S, Pachter R. *ENERG FUEL*. 2007; 21:2278.
28. Greco C, Bruschi M, Heimdahl J, Fantucci P, De Gioia L, Ryde U. *Inorg Chem*. 2007; 46:7256. [PubMed: 17676838]
29. Greco C, Bruschi M, Fantucci P, De Gioia L. *Eur J Inorg Chem*. 2007; 13:1835.
30. Greco C, Zampella G, Bertini L, Bruschi M, Fantucci P, De Gioia L. *Inorg Chem*. 2007; 46:108. [PubMed: 17198418]
31. Motiu S, Dogaru D, Gogonea V. *Int J Quantum Chem*. 2007; 107:1248.
32. Bruschi M, Zampella G, Fantucci P, De Gioia L. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2005; 15-16:1620.
33. Liu X, Ibrahim SK, Tard C, Pickett CJ. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2005; 15-16:1641.
34. Armstrong FA. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2004; 8:133. [PubMed: 15062773]
35. Rauchfuss TB. *Inorg Chem*. 2004; 43:14. [PubMed: 14704049]
36. Evans DJ, Pickett CJ. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2003; 35:268. [PubMed: 14518180]
37. Chen Z, Lemon BJ, Huang S, Swartz DJ, Peters JW, Bagley KA. *Biochemistry*. 2002; 41:2036. [PubMed: 11827551]
38. Horner DS, Heil B, Happe T, Embley TM. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2002; 27:148. [PubMed: 11893512]
39. Borg SJ, Tye JW, Hall MB, Best SP. *Inorg Chem*. 2007; 46:384. [PubMed: 17279816]
40. Zilberman S, Stiefel EI, Cohen MH, Car R. *Inorg Chem*. 2007; 46:1153. [PubMed: 17256840]
41. Tye JW, Darensbourg MY, Hall MB. *J Mol Struct (Theochem)*. 2006; 771:123.
42. Eilers G, Schwartz L, Stein M, Zampella G, De Gioia L, Ott S, Lomoth R. *Chem Eur J*. 2007; 13:7075.
43. Lyon EJ, Georgakaki IP, Reibenspies JH, Darensbourg MY. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 1999; 38:3178.
44. Cloirec AL, Best SP, Borg S, Davies SC, Evans DJ, Hughes DL, Pickett CJ. *Chem Commun*. 1999:2285.
45. Rauchfuss TB, Contakes SM, Schmidt M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1999; 121:9736.
46. Lai CH, Lee WZ, Miller ML, Reibenspies JH, Darensbourg DJ, Darensbourg MY. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:10103.
47. Pierik AJ, Hagen WR, Redeker JS, Wolbert RBG, Boersma M, Verhagen MF, Grande HJ, Veeger C, Mustsaers PHA, Sand RH, Dunham WR. *Eur J Biochem*. 1992; 209:63. [PubMed: 1396719]
48. Zambrano IC, Kowal AT, Mortenson LE, Adams MWW, Johnson MK. *J Biol Chem*. 1989; 264:20974. [PubMed: 2556390]
49. Patil DS, Moura JJ, He SH, Teixeira M, Prickril BC, DerVartanian DV, Peck HD Jr, LeGall J, Huynh BH. *J Biol Chem*. 1988; 263:18732. [PubMed: 2848804]
50. Rusnak FM, Adams MWW, Mortenson LE, Munck E. *J Biol Chem*. 1987; 262:38. [PubMed: 3025213]
51. Adams MWW. *J Biol Chem*. 1987; 262:15054. [PubMed: 2822711]
52. Adams MWW, Mortenson LE. *J Biol Chem*. 1984; 259:7045. [PubMed: 6327705]
53. Rappe AK, Casewit CJ, Colwell KS, Goddard WA, Skiff WM. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1992; 113:10024.
54. Dapprich S, Komaromi I, Byun KS, Morokuma K, Frisch MJ. *J Mol Struct (Theochem)*. 1999; 461:1.
55. Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, JA., Jr; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, ML.; X; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.;

Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, SD.; AD; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, JA. Gaussian 03, Revision C.02. Gaussian, Inc.; Wallingford CT: 2004.

56. Becke AD. J Chem Phys. 1993; 98:5648.
57. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG. Phys Rev B. 1988; 37:785.
58. Berendsen HJC, van der Spoel D. Comp Phys Comm. 1995; 91:43.
59. Lindahl E, Hess B. J Mol Mod. 2001; 7:306.
60. Popescu CV, Munck E. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:7877.
61. Rappe AK, Goddard WA. J Phys Chem. 1991; 95:3358.
62. Peters JW. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 1999; 9:670. [PubMed: 10607666]

Figure 1.
NBO charges of 2Fe subunit with and without (MM layer) neighboring charges.

Figure 2. Oxidation reactions of O_2 with the fully oxidized (1), partially oxidized (3), and reduced (5) di-iron subunits. Also the protonation with the reduced (5) di-iron subunit is depicted. The charge and multiplicity are provided in square brackets.

Scheme 1.
The H-cluster with its proximal cubane, and 2Fe subcluster.

Table 1

Gibbs' energies (kcal/mol) for O₂ binding to wild-type DdH and to DdH modified by residue removal.

Reaction:	FeII-FeII +O ₂	FeII-FeI +O ₂	FeI-FeI +O ₂
Wild-type DdH*	-16.6	-7.9	-20.7
Wild-type DdH	-10.6	+2.6	-20.5
ΔSer^{62**}	-9.0	+2.5	-20.4
ΔArg^{111}	-11.2	+2.2	-22.9
ΔTyr^{112}	-10.8	-3.2	-21.1
ΔAsp^{144}	-8.4	+4.6	-20.5
ΔThr^{145}	-11.1	+3.9	-21.5
ΔGlu^{146}	-8.7	+2.7	-21.6
ΔThr^{148}	-10.9	+2.1	-21.2
ΔAsp^{150}	-9.4	+1.9	-22.8
ΔThr^{152}	-9.2	+4.1	-18.6
ΔGlu^{155}	-11.1	+2.2	-21.0
ΔThr^{176}	-10.1	+3.0	-19.6
ΔSer^{177}	-10.8	+4.4	-21.3
ΔGln^{183}	-10.8	+2.9	-19.7
ΔSer^{198}	-10.5	+2.7	-20.3
ΔLys^{201}	-10.6	+2.5	-20.9
ΔSer^{202}	-7.9	+3.9	-17.3
ΔAsn^{207}	-10.3	+2.6	-21.1
ΔSer^{230}	-10.3	+2.9	-19.8
ΔLys^{237}	-10.0	-2.7	-24.5
ΔLys^{238}	-11.7	+2.2	-21.0
ΔGlu^{240}	-10.7	+3.6	-20.9
ΔThr^{257}	-10.7	-2.9	-20.5
ΔThr^{259}	-11.2	-3.0	-20.7
ΔThr^{260}	-10.5	+2.7	-20.3
ΔSer^{289}	-10.3	-2.9	-20.7
ΔThr^{294}	-10.1	+3.2	-20.1
ΔThr^{299}	-10.5	-2.8	-20.5
ΔGlu^{374}	-10.1	+4.7	-21.5
ΔTyr^{375}	-10.4	+2.7	-20.7
ΔGln^{388}	-10.8	+2.5	-20.4

* Gibbs' energies for the wild-type enzyme; the MM layer includes the neighboring point charges of 2Fe subunit, viz., over proximal cubane (except S_{C,d}), MM section of Cys³⁸², C (of the peptide bond) from Gly³⁸¹, and over N of Val³⁸³.

** Residue removed from small chain of DdH.

Table 2

Gibbs' energies (kcal/mol) for O₂ binding to wild-type DdH and to DdH modified by residue deletion.

Reaction:	FeII-FeII +O ₂	FeII-FeI +O ₂	FeI-FeI +O ₂
Wild-type DdH	-10.6	+2.6	-20.5
ΔThr ¹⁵² , ΔSer ²⁰²	-8.4	+5.4	-16.1
ΔThr ¹⁵² , ΔSer ²⁰² (at 100°C)	-5.6	+7.9	-12.9
Thr ¹⁵² Ala, Ser ²⁰² Ala	-9.2	+4.2	-18.1

Table 3

Geometrical results for wild-type DdH H-cluster. Interatomic distances ($\text{\AA}$) between Fe_p and CO_b , Fe_d and CO_b , Fe_d and O_I , and O_I - O_{II} , and the angle Fe_d - O_I - O_{II} before and after O_2 binding.

	$\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$	$\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}^{\text{I}}$	$\text{Fe}^{\text{I}}\text{Fe}^{\text{I}}$
Before O_2 binding			
$\text{Fe}_p\text{-CO}_b$	1.925	1.939	1.942
$\text{Fe}_d\text{-CO}_b$	1.942	1.908	1.826
After O_2 binding			
$\text{Fe}_p\text{-CO}_b$	1.807	1.924	1.935
$\text{Fe}_d\text{-CO}_b$	2.287	1.924	1.945
$\text{O}_I\text{-Fe}_d$	1.729	1.840	1.808
$\text{O}_I\text{-O}_{II}$	1.276	1.281	1.373
$\text{Fe}_d\text{-O}_I\text{-O}_{II}$	137.0	160.6	126.0