Published in final edited form as:

Biophys Chem. 2010 June ; 149(1-2): 47–57. doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2010.03.017.

Excited Protein States of Human Tear Lipocalin for Low- and High-Affinity Ligand Binding Revealed by Functional AB Loop Motion

Oktay K. Gasymov^{*}, Adil R. Abduragimov, and Ben J. Glasgow^{*}

Departments of Pathology and Ophthalmology and Jules Stein Eye Institute, University California at Los Angeles

Abstract

Human tear lipocalin (TL), a prominent member of lipocalin family, exhibits functional and structural promiscuity. The plasticity of loop regions modulates entry to the ligand pocket at the “open” end of the eight-stranded β -barrel. Site directed multi-distance measurements using fluorescence resonance energy transfer between functional loops register two excited protein states for low- and high-affinity ligand binding. At low pH, the longest loop AB adopts the conformation of the low-affinity excited protein state that matches the crystal structure of holo-TL at pH 8. A “crankshaft” like movement is detected for the loop AB in a low pH transition. At pH 7.3 the holo-protein assumes a high-affinity excited protein state, in which the loop AB is more compact (RMS= 3.1Å). In the apo-holo transition, the reporter Trp 28 moves about 4.5 Å that reflects a decrease in distance between Glu27 and Lys108. This interaction fixes the loop AB conformation for the high-affinity mode. No such of movement is detected at low pH, where Glu27 is protonated. Data strongly indicate that the protonation state of Glu27 modulates the conformation of the loop AB for high- and low-affinity binding.

Keywords

excited protein states; ligand binding; tear lipocalin; protein dynamics; FRET

1. Introduction

Tear lipocalin (TL), also known as lipocalin 1, Lcn1, von Ebner’s gland protein, belongs to the lipocalin family [1]. The first structural data on TL, determined by site-directed tryptophan fluorescence (SDTF), have revealed a typical lipocalin fold. The solution structure has demonstrated a capacious ligand binding barrel that consists of eight antiparallel β -strands with a repeated +1 topology [2]. These findings have subsequently been confirmed by X-ray crystallography of TL [3].

TL is the principal lipid binding protein in tears. Endogenous ligands of TL include an assortment of fatty acids, alkyl alcohols, glycolipids, phospholipids and cholesterol [4]. In addition, TL binds numerous synthetic ligands [4–7]. TL has diverse functions, each of which

^{*}Corresponding authors: Oktay K. Gasymov, 100 Stein Plaza, Rm# B267, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Phone: (310) 825-6261; Fax: (310) 794-2144; ogassymov@mednet.ucla.edu. Ben J. Glasgow, 100 Stein Plaza, Rm# B269, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Phone: (310) 825-6998; Fax: (310) 794-2144; bglasgow@mednet.ucla.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

could be physiologically significant in human tears. Included are scavenging lipid from the corneal surface to prevent the formation of lipid induced dry spots [8,9], solubilization of lipid in tears (2), antimicrobial activity [2,10,11], cysteine proteinase inhibition [12], transport of sapid molecules in saliva [13], transport of retinol in tears [14], scavenging potentially harmful lipid oxidation products [15], transport of antioxidants in tears [16], and endonuclease activity [17,18].

The ability to bind the various classes, sizes and shapes of ligands, makes TL a perfect paradigm for drug delivery. The lipocalin scaffold has successfully been engineered to achieve predetermined unique or double ligand specificities [19–21]. These proteins were classified as anticalins and duocalins, respectively. The molecular basis of ligand binding by TL is critical to understand its functional capacity in different environments. Bound fatty acids are predominantly oriented with the hydrocarbon tail buried in the cavity and the carboxyl group oriented toward the mouth [22,23]. However, relatively weak binding in the reverse orientation may stabilize the lipid layer of tears [24–26]. SDTF has been applied to ligand binding. In solution the ligand does not have a unique position but is distributed in the cavity of TL. The binding energy landscape reveals an asymmetric distribution of ligand positions in the cavity [27].

Residues in the loops AB and GH of TL are directly involved in ligand binding and may modulate the binding event [27]. In an independent study [28], the newly resolved crystal structure of TL in holo form (with the artificial ligand 1,4-butanediol) shows major conformational changes in the loops AB, CD, and GH at the open end of the cavity.

TL as well as some other lipocalins, such as retinol binding protein [29,30], nitrophorin [31], and the membrane enzyme PagP [32], shows pH-dependent ligand binding. However, the molecular mechanism of action is unique for each lipocalin. Low pH induces structural rearrangements in TL that influence ligand binding [5,33–35]. The tear film lipid layer is rich with negatively charged lipids and can potentially create a low pH gradient across the lipid-water interface [36]. In vitro, TL interacts and stabilizes the lipid layer [8,25]. Therefore, the function of TL at low pH is germane to the physiology of tears.

The conformational rearrangements, mainly involving the loops at the open end of the calyx, are proffered as a general feature of the ligand binding mechanism of the lipocalins [37]. In TL, low pH induces the conformational changes that result in decreased distance between the loops CD and EF [34]. However, the conformational state of the loop AB is regulated by the electrostatic interaction between the residues of the adjacent loops AB and GH [28,38]. Therefore, the details of the movements of these loops induced by low pH hold considerable interest.

In this study, SDTF in combination with FRET was used to probe the movements of the loops AB and GH in apo-holo transition at pH values of 3.0 and 7.3. Multi-distance measurements show that pH induced conformational changes are linked to a sizable movement of the functional loop AB. These data indicate that the electrostatic interaction between the residues Glu27 (loop AB) and Lys108 (loop GH) sets the conformation of the loop AB for high-affinity mode. At low pH, protonation of Glu27 disrupts the electrostatic interaction and triggers the movement of the loop AB to the low-affinity mode.

2. Materials and Methods

Dansylamidoethyl methanethiosulfonate (MTS-dansyl) was purchased from TRC Inc (North York, Ontario, Canada). N-acetyl-L-tryptophanamide (NATA) and other chemicals used to prepare various buffers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

2.1. Site-Directed Mutagenesis and Plasmid Construction

The TL cDNA in PCR II (Invitrogen), [39], was used as a template to clone the TL gene spanning bases 115–592 of the previously published sequence [14] into pET 20b (Novagen, Madison, WI). Flanking restriction sites for NdeI and BamHI were added to produce the native protein sequence as found in tears but with the addition of an initiating methionine [40]. To monitor low pH induced movement of the loops AB and GH, Trp-dansyl pairs (donor and acceptor, respectively) were introduced to TL for RET measurements. To avoid disulfide scrambling with the adjacent Cys61-Cys153 bond during the folding, the residues of the loop AB (26–37) and GH (105) were sequentially substituted with Trp rather than a cysteine (dansyl labeling). To prevent the distortion of the electrostatic potential as well as the conformation, the residues Arg26, Glu27, Pro29, Glu30 and Glu34 were excluded from the Trp substitution experiment. In each single Trp mutant, the residue 81 (or in two instances 78 of β -strand E) in the loop EF was substituted with Cys and then labeled with dansyl group to use as an acceptor in RET.

To construct mutant proteins with a single tryptophan-cysteine pair, the previously well characterized TL mutant, W17Y/C101L, was prepared with oligonucleotides (Universal DNA Inc., Tigard, OR) by sequential PCR steps [7,41]. This mutant was used as a template for subsequent mutations. Amino acid 1 corresponds to His, bases 115–118 according to Redl [14]. Mutants that have both a single Trp and a single Cys additional substitution include: F28W/G81C (for simplicity W28C81); M31W/G81C (W31C81); N32W/T78C(W32C78); N32W/G81C (W32C81); L33W/G81C (W33C81); S35W/G81C (W35C81); V36W/G81C (W36C81); T37W/G81C (W37C81); L105W/T78C (W105C78); L105W/G81C (W105C81).

2.2. Expression and Purification of Mutant Proteins

E. Coli, BL 21 (DE3), were transformed with the mutant plasmids and cells were cultured and proteins were expressed, purified, and analyzed as described in the supporting information [2,34]. The expressed mutant proteins were used as apo-proteins to ensure proper comparison with the crystal structure of apo-TL [3]. Apo-TL was saturated with palmitic acid (1:2) to obtain holo-TL.

2.3. Absorption Spectroscopy

UV absorption spectra were measured at room temperature using Shimadzu UV-2400PC spectrophotometer. To ensure the accuracy in quantum yield calculations, the spectra were corrected for scattering by plotting the log of absorbance of the solution versus the log of the wavelength and extrapolating the linear dependence between these quantities in the range 330–390 nm to the absorption range 240–330 nm.

2.4. CD Spectral Measurements and Secondary Structure Analysis

Far-UV spectra were recorded (Jasco 810 spectropolarimeter, 0.2 mm path length) using protein concentrations of 1.2 mg/ml. Eight scans from 190–260 nm were averaged. CD spectra were recorded in mdegrees and converted to mean residue ellipticity in $\text{deg} \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{dmol}^{-1}$.

CD spectra of TL mutants at pH values of 7.3 and 3.0 were analyzed to calculate the content of secondary structure utilizing a CDPro program with three algorithms: CONTINLL, CDSSTR, and SELCON3 [42]. SMP56, which includes 56 proteins, was used as the protein reference set. The normalized root-mean-square deviation (nrmsd) values between the experimental and calculated spectra were used to judge the goodness of fit. The nrmsd was calculated as:

$$nrmsd = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_N (\Delta\theta_{\text{exp}} - \Delta\theta_{\text{calc}})^2}{\sum_N (\Delta\theta_{\text{exp}})^2}}$$

Where $\Delta\theta_{\text{exp}}$ and $\Delta\theta_{\text{calc}}$ are the experimental and calculated mean residue ellipticity, respectively. N is the number of data points.

2.5. Fluorescence Labeling of TL Mutants

Labeling of each TL mutant was carried out by using a 5× molar excess of the fluorescent label (MTS-Dansyl) in buffer 10mM NaP, pH 6.8 at 4 °C overnight. Free label was separated from the labeled protein by gel filtration on a desalting column (Pharmacia Biotech HiTrap, 5 mL) or Centricon 10 (Amicon, Inc). Absorption spectra were used to calculate the labeling efficiency for each mutant. Concentrations were calculated using the extinction coefficient $\epsilon_{335} = 4100 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for MTS-Dansyl. For fluorescence measurements, the buffer of the protein solution was replaced with 10mM NaP (pH 7.3) or 30 mM sodium citrate (pH 3.0) using Centricon 10. The protein concentration was determined by the biuret and Lowry (for dilute solution) methods. The efficiencies of labeling for all considered TL mutants were in the range of 0.64–1.22.

2.6. Steady-state fluorescence spectroscopy

Steady-state fluorescence measurements were made on a Jobin Yvon-SPEX (Edison, NJ) Fluorolog tau-3 spectrofluorometer, bandwidth for excitation and emission were 2 nm and 3 nm, respectively. The excitation λ of 295 nm was used to ensure that light was absorbed almost entirely by tryptophanyl groups. Protein solutions with about 0.05 OD at 295 nm were analyzed. All spectra were obtained from samples in 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.3) or 30mM sodium citrate (pH 3.0). The fluorescence spectra were corrected for light scattering from buffer and the instrument response using the appropriate correction curve. The quantum yields of single Trp mutants were calculated using NATA as a fluorescence standard. The quantum yield of NATA in water was taken to be 0.13 [43]. To improve accuracy in calculations of the quantum yields as well as the overlap integrals (see below), the blue side of the emission spectra was constructed using the log-normal function as described previously [34].

2.7. Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Time-resolved intensity decay data were obtained using a HORIBA Jobin Yvon MF² phase/modulation multi-frequency domain fluorometer. The excitation wavelength was 295 nm from LED-295. Emission was detected through a combination of filters (Semrock LP02-325RS-25 and Corning 7-51), which provides a bandpass of 325–410 nm. The available frequency range was 0.1–310 MHz. P-terphenyl in ethanol was used as a reference standard ($\tau = 1.05 \text{ ns}$). Data analyses were performed with nonlinear least-squares programs from the Center for Fluorescence Spectroscopy (M. L. Johnson), University of Maryland at Baltimore, School of Medicine (Baltimore, MD). The goodness of fit was assessed by the χ^2 criterion.

The intensity decay data were analyzed in terms of the multiexponential decay law:

$$I(t) = \sum_i \alpha_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$$

where α_i and τ_i are the normalized preexponential factor and decay time, respectively. The fractional fluorescence intensity of each component is defined as $f_i = \alpha_i \tau_i / \sum_j f_j \tau_j$. Mean lifetime

(intensity averaged) was calculated as $\tau_{av} = \sum_i f_i \tau_i$. However, the amplitude averaged lifetime, $\langle \tau \rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i \tau_i$, was used for the calculation of efficiency of RET [44,45]. The radiative rate constant was calculated as $k_r = Q/\langle \tau \rangle$. The fluorescence lifetime data represent the average of at least 3 independent experiments, each of which is the average of 5 to 7 measurements.

All single Trp mutant proteins, except W37C81, showed lower average fluorescence lifetimes at pH 3.0 compared to that of pH 7.3. The effects of reshuffling between the rotamer populations were distinguished from dynamic quenching by the following formula [34,46]:

$$\frac{\langle \tau \rangle}{\langle \tau_0 \rangle} = \frac{\sum \alpha_i \tau_{0i}}{\sum \alpha_{0i} \tau_{0i}} \times \frac{\sum \alpha_i \tau_i}{\sum \alpha_i \tau_{0i}} = f_{PR} \times f_{DQ}$$

where subscript 0 refers to the reference state (lifetime parameter at pH 7.3), f_{PR} and f_{DQ} represent population reshuffling and pure dynamic quenching, respectively. All resolved fluorescence lifetimes fall into three groups, 0.22–0.63 ns, 0.86–2.72 ns and 2.86–9.02 ns (see below). Therefore, the parameters were calculated from three lifetime components. The parameter (f_{PR} or f_{DQ}) most deviated from unity plays the dominant role in changes of the fluorescence lifetimes.

2.8. Distance measurements by RET

Resonance energy transfer between the Trp residue (donor) and the labeled dansyl group (acceptor) was used to determine average distance in different conditions. The efficiency of RET, E , can be calculated from

$$E = 1 - \frac{\langle \tau_{DA} \rangle}{\langle \tau_D \rangle}; E_{corr} = \frac{E}{f_a}$$

where $\langle \tau_{DA} \rangle$ and $\langle \tau_D \rangle$ are the fluorescence lifetimes of the donor in the presence and absence of acceptor, respectively. f_a is the fraction of dansyl labeling. E_{corr} is the efficiency of RET corrected for incomplete labeling of the acceptor. In analysis, the dansyl group was assumed not to interfere with the conformation of the tryptophan residue. In two instances, to check the accuracy of the distance measurements, the residue 78 (instead of 81) on the β -strand E was substituted with Cys for dansyl labeling. The side chain of the residue 78 is directed outside the cavity (Figure 1) and, therefore, susceptible for dynamic random averaging for the donor-acceptor pair.

It should be mentioned that although the same Cys81 is used for dansyl labeling in various single Trp mutants, the labeling efficiencies are different for some of them. This partially could be rationalized by oxidation of –SH groups during the protein expression. Because of native –S–S– bond, the standard treatment with a thiol-reducing agent (e.g., dithiothreitol) prior to the labeling was not used. To avoid unspecific labeling, the incubation time for the labeling was not increased.

According to Förster's theory [47], the efficiency of RET, E , between a donor, D, and an acceptor, A, is given by

$$E = \frac{R_0^6}{R_0^6 + R^6}$$

where R is the distance between the donor and the acceptor. The Förster distance, R_0 (in angstroms) at which 50% energy transfer occurs is obtained from

$$R_0^6 = 8.79 \times 10^{-5} \left[\kappa^2 n^{-4} \varphi_D J(\lambda) \right]$$

The refractive index, n , of the medium is taken to be 1.5 [45]. φ_D is the quantum yield of the donor in the absence of the acceptor. Because distance measurements were applied to loop regions, the orientation factor, κ^2 , was assumed to be 2/3, which is appropriate for dynamic random averaging of the donor and acceptor.

The overlap integral $J(\lambda)$ (in $\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \text{nm}^4$) that represents the degree of the spectral overlap between the emission spectrum of the donor $F_D(\lambda)$ and the absorption spectrum of the acceptor $\varepsilon_A(\lambda)$ is defined as

$$J(\lambda) = \frac{\int F_D(\lambda) \varepsilon_A(\lambda) \lambda^4 d\lambda}{\int F_D(\lambda) d\lambda}$$

3. Results

The residues selected for distance determinations between the loops in the open end of the binding barrel of TL are shown in Figure 1. The loop AB shows substantially deviated conformations and coordinates between the apo- and holo- crystal forms of the protein. The selected residues are well positioned to detect similar conformational transitions, β -strand formation (35–37) as well as the loop movement from position 81 or 78 in solution.

3.1. Circular Dichroism

Far-UV CD experiments were performed to ensure that all mutants of TL have the native fold (Figure 2). At pH 7.3, all mutant proteins, except W35C81, show similar spectra. All spectra are very close to that of the corresponding single Trp mutants as well as native TL [2]. Therefore, the additional Cys mutation at either position 81 or 78 does not generate structural perturbation. The result is consistent with the previous study [34] where position 81 was used for Cys/dansyl labeling. The far-UV CD spectrum of W35 has previously been discussed [2, 24]. The minor alterations observed in this mutant were attributed to small changes in the packing of secondary structural elements. The far-UV CD spectrum of W35C81 is almost identical to that of analogous mutant W35. All single Trp mutants show similar ligand binding [27], which is considered the major function of TL. Therefore, at pH 7.3, all mutant proteins preserve the native fold and possess the ligand binding function. At pH 3.0, TL undergoes structural changes that show characteristic features of the molten globule state [35]. Consistent with that state, ANS binding to TL is enhanced significantly at low pH. CD spectra of the mutants at pH 3.0 (Figure 2) closely resemble those previously described for single Trp mutants [34]. Interestingly, the CD spectrum of W35C81 shows a perturbation at pH 7.3 but no additional perturbation at pH 3 and is quite similar to the spectra of other mutant proteins. Therefore, it can be concluded that all mutant proteins considered in this study experience the same structural transitions at low pH and are appropriate for pH-induced structural study.

As an example, the CD spectra of the W33C81 mutant at pH values of 7.3 and 3.0 were analyzed to calculate the secondary structure content (Table 1). Although the “nrmsd” values differ, all algorithms used in the calculation generated similar data. The results are consistent with

previously published data for native TL [35]. Interestingly, despite the noticeable differences between the CD spectra at pH 7.3 and 3.0, the calculated contents of secondary structure elements are almost identical (Table 1). However, the ratios of intensities for the positive/negative the CD bands ($|\theta_{\pi\pi^*}|/|\theta_{n\pi^*}|$) were significantly higher for the mutant proteins at pH 7.3. This parameter shows positive correlation with the degree of twisting in β -sheets [48]. In many proteins, β -sheets exhibit a twist that lower the free energy [49] and, therefore, increase protein stability. Therefore, despite the fact that the secondary structure contents of TL at pH 7.3 and 3.0 are similar, the protein is less stable at low pH. The data support the previously published molten globule transition [33,35].

3.2. Steady-state fluorescence

The fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$ values of single Trp/Cys mutants at pH 7.3 and 3.0 are shown in Figure 3 and are very close to those of corresponding single Trp mutants studied previously [38]. The parameters of Trp fluorescence, particularly fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$, are very sensitive to its environment [2,50,51]. Therefore, consistency between the fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$ values in two sets of the mutants, single Trp and single Trp/Cys, is further support for the fact that additional Cys mutation does not perturb the structure of TL.

3.3. Time-resolved fluorescence

The lifetime components were analyzed to understand the changes in average lifetimes of the single Trp mutants in low pH transition (Table 2). The changes in the average lifetimes of Trp fluorescence can be due to either changes in the populations of lifetime components (population reshuffling, f_{PR}) or the fluorescence lifetimes of the components (dynamic quenching, f_{DQ}) (Figure 4A). Population reshuffling governs the small decrease in the amplitude-averaged lifetime of Trp at position 35. This factor negates the contribution of increased fluorescence lifetimes (unquenching) in low pH transition (Figure 4A). In contrast, the same parameter (f_{PR}) is the reason for the increased average lifetime of Trp 37 while the dynamic quenching parameter is not changed. Changes in Trp fluorescence lifetime components, which may be ascribed to distinct side chain rotamers [50,52,53], do not show any favored rotamer conformation for quenching (Figure 4B). At low pH transition, the quenching efficiencies for the Trp residues at various positions differ from each other but the components change in tandem. The exceptions are positions 27 and 37. The fluorescence lifetime for the third component is decreased, although that for the first and second components are increased (Figure 4B).

The quantum yields and fluorescence lifetimes of tryptophans differ significantly at various positions in the loops as well as at pH 7.3 versus pH 3.0 (Table 2). Most of the single Trp mutants at both pH values (7.3 and 3.0) show the radiative rate constants, k_r , comparable to that obtained for 3-methylindole, tryptophan zwitterions, and *N*-acetyltryptophanamide ($40\div60 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$) [54]. This indicates that generally the decreased quantum yields and average lifetimes are the result of dynamic quenching of nearby quenching groups. However, significantly decreased k_r value (for example, $13.9 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for W37C81) indicates the presence of static quenching. The reason is that static quenching decreases the quantum yield but not the fluorescence lifetime of the fluorophore. In proteins, the static quenching originates from non-fluorescent complex formation between Trp side chain in the ground state and the quencher groups. This implies that the non-fluorescent complex is formed at the time of excitation and the excited state is quenched before fluorescence occurs. In TL, position 37 is in close proximity to the –S–S– bond (between Cys61 and Cys153) [2,28,55], which is a very effective quencher [56]. Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that the static quenching can be result of interaction between Trp37 and –S–S– bond. It should be mentioned that very short lifetimes ($<100 \text{ ps}$), which are below the resolution of our instrument, will be accounted as static quenching.

3.4. RET involving the loop AB and GH

Fluorescence lifetime parameters of the two sets of the mutants, Trp alone and Trp/dansyl pair, at pH 7.3 and 3.0 are shown in Table 2. In all cases, three lifetimes were necessary to describe the fluorescence intensity decays. As an example, frequency-domain intensity decay curves for the mutant W35C81, with and without the dansyl label, at pH 7.3 and 3.0 are shown in Figure 5. The fluorescence lifetimes and RET parameters are shown in Tables 2 and 3. At pH 7.3 there are discernable differences in decay curves of the frequency-dependent phase angle and modulation measurements (with and without the dansyl label). These differences decrease significantly at pH 3.0 (Figure 5 and Table 3). Decreased differences in $\langle\tau\rangle$ values are indicative of decreased RET at pH 3.0 and, therefore, reflect increased distance between positions 35 and 81 in the low pH transition (Table 3).

Although in different degrees, amplitude averaged lifetimes, $\langle\tau\rangle$, of dansyl labeled mutants are consistently lower than that of corresponding unlabeled mutants (Table 2). The data indicate that RET and therefore distances, vary between Trp and dansyl groups in the studied mutants. As an example the spectral overlap between the fluorescence of Trp35 and the absorbance of dansyl81 (the mutant W35Dansyl81) is shown in Figure 6. For the dansyl labeled mutants, the Förster distances (R_0) cover the range 20.9-15.2 Å. Disparity in the R_0 values reflects differences in quantum yield values of the corresponding Trp residues rather than the spectral overlap integrals (Table 3).

Interloop distances between residues from the loops AB and GH to residue 81 in the loop EF at pH 7.3 and 3.0 are summarized in Figure 7. For comparison, the corresponding distances from the crystal structures of TL in apo- and holo-forms are also provided. The similarity of the distance distributions between two forms of TL, apo-TL in solution at pH 3.0 and holo-TL in crystal form at pH 8.0, is remarkable. Disparities in factual values can partly be attributed to differences in the methods of the measurements. In this study, RET measurement assigns center-to-center distance between the indole group of Trp and dansyl label. However, distances between corresponding residues from the crystal structures are taken using C^α atoms of the residues. The difference between the two methods will be maximal when side chains of the residues, located in opposing sites, point away from each other. To check the accuracy of distance measurements, the reference point labeled with dansyl at position 81 in W32Ds81, was changed to 78 (W32Ds78). The side chain of residue 78 points away from the opposing loop AB (Table 3 and Figure 1). Accordingly, the use of RET in the respective mutants, the distance from the position 78 should be expected to be somewhat greater compared to that of the position 81. Indeed, distance measurements corroborate that expectation (Table 3). However, switching the reference point from position 81 to 78 would minimally affect distances if applied to the neighboring loops, EF and GH (Figure 1). Indeed, at pH 7.3 distance measurements for the mutants W105Ds81 and W105Ds78 are practically identical (Table 3).

The measured distances for the residues 35–37 in loop AB at pH 3.0 reflect the periodicity of a β -strand (Figures 1 and 7), which recapitulates the crystal structure of TL in holo-form [28]. However, the distance distribution for apo-TL in crystal (pH 8.0) form is different from that in solution (pH 7.3). Surprisingly, these distance distribution patterns appear as the mirror image of each other. Although Trp105 shows distinct conformational change at low pH, its distance from reference point, position 81, at pH 3.0 does not differ from that measured at pH 7.3.

The fluorescence lifetime as well as RET parameters for holo-TL mutants at pH values of 3.0 and 7.3 are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. At pH 3.0, the loop AB in holo-TL is minimally altered (RMS= 0.9Å) compared to that in apo-TL (Figure 8A). The loop conformation has the same pattern. β -strand periodicity of the residues 35-37 is more pronounced in holo-TL. However, the position 105 of the loop GH shows considerable

movement, about 4.3 Å. In contrast to pH 3.0, the loop AB residues are significantly closer to position 81 (RMS= 3.1 Å) at pH 7.3. The position 105 moves about 3.1 Å with ligand binding at pH 7.3, similarly to that observed at pH 3.0.

4. Discussion

The loops at the open end of the barrel of TL, predominantly the loop AB, are implicated in ligand binding [27,28,38]. Significant shifts observed for the fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$ values (Figure 3) in the low pH transition indicate substantial changes in the environments of Trp side chains. This effect has been shown previously to reflect the combination of various processes [38]. Reduction of pH alters the protonation state of titratable side chains. Positive or negative charges in different positions around the indole ring of Trp can produce both blue and red shifts of fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$ [51]. Conformational changes induced by the low pH transition reshuffle the accessibilities of the residues of the loop AB to solvent [38]. The increased and decreased solvent accessibility produce respective red and blue shifts in Trp fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$ [45]. Analysis of Trp fluorescence lifetime components in low pH transition identifies the significant rotamer population reshuffling for the residues 27,35, and 37 (Figure 4). Since the rotamer population of the Trp side chain depends on backbone conformation, the result shows significant conformational transitions for these residues. Amplitude-averaged lifetimes as well as lifetime components of Trp fluorescence mostly decreased in the low pH transition, except for position 35. The result is consistent with quenching properties of certain amino acids (Glu, Asp and His), which are effective quenchers only in protonated states [56]. Therefore, decreased fluorescence lifetimes can generally be expected in low pH transitions.

Two independent studies [28,38] concur that the electrostatic interaction between residues Glu27 (loop AB) and Lys108 (loop GH) regulates the conformation of the loop AB for ligand binding. In one of these studies, a tryptophan substitution at position 28 was chosen to probe the nearest electrostatic potential. pH titration curves of fluorescence $\lambda_{\max}$ of Trp28 differ significantly in apo- versus holo-TL [38], but differences are not apparent for Trp substitution in other positions. In addition mutation of either residue Glu27 or Lys108 significantly alters ligand binding [27]. Together, these data indicate that ligand binding stabilizes the conformations of the loops that embrace the electrostatic interaction between Glu27 and Lys108. Therefore, pH induced conformational changes are functionally significant for ligand binding. In accord with these findings, the distance between residue 28 and 81 is increased at pH 3; the side chain of Glu27 is protonated, compared to that measured at pH 7.3 (Table 3 and Figure 7C).

The movement of the loops EF and GH in the apo-holo transition of TL in crystal form (pH 7.9–8.0) were described [28] as similar to that in solution of the low pH transition [34]. The new fact that the loop AB conformation of apo-TL in solution at low pH resembles very closely that of holo-TL in the crystal form at pH 8.0 may have significant implications. Apo-TL may sample the “holo-like” conformations (conformations similar to that of ligand-TL complex) (Figure 7A), which can be considered as excited protein states for ligand binding. Therefore, ligand binding could increase the population of the preexisting “holo-like” conformations, a prerequisite for a binding mechanism through conformational selection.

Saturation of apo-TL with palmitic acid (1:2) minimally alters the loop AB conformation (RMS= 0.9 Å) (Figure 8A). However, the position 105 of the loop GH shows the displacement of about 4.5 Å. The result is consistent with previously reported ligand binding studies of TL. At low pH, TL shows significantly decreased ligand affinity to the palmitic acid analog, 16-AP (16-(9-anthroyloxy)palmitic acid) [34]. Therefore, this conformation can be classified as a “low-affinity” binding mode. Interestingly, the crystal structure of holo-TL describes binding of the artificial ligand 1,4- butanediol, for which Tyr/Trp fluorescence titration experiment did

not show any sign of binding [28]. The presence of this ligand in the crystal structure has been rationalized by its high concentration in the crystallization solution. The current results performed in solution suggest that the conformation of the loop AB at pH 3.0, which is very similar to that of the crystal structure of the holo-TL at pH 8, represents “low-affinity” excited state of the protein.

The transition from pH 3.0 to 7.3 generates “crankshaft”-like motion in the loop AB that sets “high-affinity” binding mode ($K_d = 0.8 \mu\text{M}$ for 16-AP [34]). The decreased distances indicate that the loop AB has more compact conformation (RMS = 3.1 Å) in the “high-affinity” excited protein state (Figure 8B). Perhaps, this conformation increases contact sites between the ligand and the side chains. Indeed, C12SL, the spin labeled analog of palmitic acid, shows numerous contact sites for the loop AB [27]. In accord with previous studies [28,38], data reveal that protonation state of Glu 27 modulates the loop AB conformations for low- and high-affinity binding modes. In holo-TL at pH 7.3, distance between positions 28 and 81 is further decreased to about 10.4 Å from 14.9 Å (Figure 8B). No such a movement is detected at low pH in the “low-affinity” mode, where Glu27 is protonated (Figure 8A). Data suggest that in holo-TL at pH 7.3 the distance between Glu27 and Lys108 is decreased. As a result, electrostatic interaction between these residues will be enhanced.

Previous ligand binding studies for TL have exhibited the features of both binding mechanisms termed as the “induced fit” (binding first) [22,28,35] and “conformational selection” (conformational selection first) [27]. Evidence for induced fit originates from circular dichroism experiments. Ligand binding to TL results in an increased amount of β -sheet structure [35]. The crystal structure analysis of holo-TL confirmed this finding. In crystal forms, holo-TL has ~20% higher β -sheet content compared to that of apo-TL [28]. Additional evidence for an induced fit mechanism comes from studies in solution. Ligand binding generates conformational changes of the residues located in the FG and GH loops [22,27]. In addition crystallography studies show that conformational changes for intracavitary residues, Met39 and Phe99, facilitate ligand binding [28]. Such structural rearrangements as well as conformational changes that facilitate ligand binding [22,28,35] are characteristic for an induced fit mechanism. The evidence for conformational selection is rooted in the observation of excited protein states, which are selected by ligand binding [27]. In addition, the crystal structure of TL in holo-form [28] and distance measurements performed in this study do not suggest a “lid-over” conformation for the loop AB. The results very much favor the “conformational selection” mechanism for ligand binding. Lately, conformational selection, the more recently introduced mechanism, has attracted enormous attention [57–61]. One of the most attractive features of this mechanism is that it relies on the energy landscape model of protein dynamics [62]. It is widely accepted that apo-forms of proteins do not have one unique conformation. Rather a large number of conformations are populated according to their energy. The higher energy conformations (excited protein states) are sparsely populated and active for ligand binding. Therefore, a binding event by this mechanism selects excited protein states and shifts the population toward those states. In TL, bound fatty acid does not have a unique position, but is distributed asymmetrically within binding cavity yielding the binding energy landscape [27]. The findings indicate that binding selects not unique but multiple “excited protein states” for ligand binding.

Compared to other members of lipocalin family, TL exhibits promiscuous ligand binding. The binding to ligands, which significantly differ in size and shape, requires high structural dynamism. The motion of the loop AB observed in this (Figures 7 and 8) and another study [28] suggest that the loop can potentially adopt various conformations to match the size and/or shape of an assortment of ligands. In contrast, the crystal structures of porcine odorant binding protein (pOBP), which bind to various classes of ligands, are practically identical (RMS = 0.3 Å for 295 atoms) for holo- and apo-forms [63]. However, another closely related

lipocalin, β -lactoglobulin, shows relatively smaller structural and conformational rearrangements in ligand binding that appear localized to the loop EF [64]. The pH-dependent changes modulate “open” and “closed” conformations of the loop EF. This loop movement is functional and regulates ligand binding in β -lactoglobulin [65]. Interestingly, molecular dynamics simulations performed on β -lactoglobulin in “open” and “closed” conformations suggest the presence of free energy gradients originated by fluctuations of the structure [37]. Structural changes observed from the palmitic acid binding experiments are very similar to those of pH titration in NMR experiments [64]. The binding mechanism for β -lactoglobulin has been interpreted as a population shift scheme, i.e., shift to “open” conformation from preexisting “open” and “closed” conformations. However, unlike TL, the loop AB of β -lactoglobulin contributes to dimer formation and has not been implicated in ligand binding.

As mentioned above, ligand binding by TL, along with conformational selection, also shows the distinct features of the induced fit mechanism. Because they are closely connected, it is not surprising to have the features of both mechanisms for the same protein. It has been pointed out that the ligand binding mechanism can depend on (or can be switched by) both the ligand and protein concentrations [58]. Moreover, theoretical calculations reveal that an induced fit binding mechanism is applicable only if interacting species display pre-existing complementarity [66]. The conformational rearrangements after initial conformational selection are apparently very important for optimization of ligand binding as well as for selective recognition by a receptor. Otherwise, the receptor could be saturated with apo-proteins in excited protein states. Therefore, a combination of both mechanisms, conformational selection and induced fit, best characterize ligand-proteins interaction of TL as suggested for other proteins [57].

Switching the reference point (from 81 to 78) in distance measurements using mutants W32C81 and W32C78 produced the result that is consistent with their relative positions in both solution and crystal structures of TL. Therefore, it may be concluded that RET measurements are fairly accurate. However, at pH 7.3 the distance distribution patterns, therefore, conformations, for the loop AB in apo-TL in solution are different from the crystal (pH 8.0) form (Figure 7B). They appear to resemble mirror images of each other. The result is surprising because detailed comparison of the crystal and solution structures for apo-TL have revealed excellent agreement [3]. The discrepancy can not be rationalized by error in RET or differences in distance measurement methods. In contrast to holo-TL, the part of the loop AB, residues 25-31, is not resolved in the crystal structure of apo-TL [3]. This is indicative of conformational diversity. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect different conformations in distinct environmental conditions such as in solution at 295 K and crystal at 100 K. RET measures distances between the centers of indole and dansyl groups, compared to the C^α - C^α distance in the crystal forms of the protein. The expected difference in distance measurements is less for the crystal form than what is measured in solution between the side chains. The low pH transition generates a “crankshaft” like movement for the loop AB (Figure 7C). If one accounts for these differences for position 35–37, with β strand formation (Figure 7A) one would expect even smaller movement for C^α atoms in solution for this transition (Figure 7C). Surprisingly, often proteins in solution rather than in crystal form show large scale motions.

Conformational diversity of the functional regions of the protein is necessary for multi-specificity as is seen in TL. The loop AB, as the largest loop and with the greatest dynamic excursion is particularly well suited to mediate various functions.

Our understanding of protein functions is evolving. No longer are proteins considered to have absolute functional specificity with one unique structure as this would inhibit their ability to evolve new structures and functions [59]. Interestingly, the lipocalin fold functions in bacteria, plants, vertebrates and invertebrates. It has been pointed out that active site flexibility mediates

promiscuity, perhaps, both structurally and functionally. For the lipocalin family, the loops at the open end of the barrel are functional determinants. For TL, the loop AB shows the ability to adopt various conformations, is fundamental to regulating the various functions.

Acknowledgments

Supported by U.S. Public Health Service Grants NIH EY11224 and EY00331 as well as the Edith and Lew Wasserman Endowed Professorship in Ophthalmology (B.G.)

References

1. Flower DR. The lipocalin protein family: structure and function. *Biochem J* 1996;318(Pt 1):1–14. [PubMed: 8761444]
2. Gasyimov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Glasgow BJ. Site-directed tryptophan fluorescence reveals the solution structure of tear lipocalin: evidence for features that confer promiscuity in ligand binding. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:14754–14762. [PubMed: 11732894]
3. Breustedt DA, Korndorfer IP, Redl B, Skerra A. The 1.8-Å crystal structure of human tear lipocalin reveals an extended branched cavity with capacity for multiple ligands. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:484–493. [PubMed: 15489503]
4. Glasgow BJ, Abduragimov AR, Farahbakhsh ZT, Faull KF, Hubbell WL. Tear lipocalins bind a broad array of lipid ligands. *Curr Eye Res* 1995;14:363–372. [PubMed: 7648862]
5. Gasyimov OK, Abduragimov AR, Gasimov EO, Yusifov TN, Dooley AN, Glasgow BJ. Tear lipocalin: potential for selective delivery of rifampin. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2004;1688:102–111. [PubMed: 14990340]
6. Gasyimov OK, Abduragimov AR, Prasher P, Yusifov TN, Glasgow BJ. Tear lipocalin: evidence for a scavenging function to remove lipids from the human corneal surface. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005;46:3589–3596. [PubMed: 16186338]
7. Gasyimov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Glasgow BJ. Binding studies of tear lipocalin: the role of the conserved tryptophan in maintaining structure, stability and ligand affinity. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1999;1433:307–320. [PubMed: 10515687]
8. Glasgow BJ, Marshall G, Gasyimov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Knobler CM. Tear lipocalins: potential lipid scavengers for the corneal surface. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1999;40:3100–3107. [PubMed: 10586930]
9. Glasgow BJ, Gasyimov OK, Abduragimov AR, Engle JJ, Casey RC. Tear Lipocalin Captures Exogenous Lipid from Abnormal Corneal Surfaces. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2009
10. Selsted ME, Martinez RJ. Isolation and purification of bactericides from human tears. *Exp Eye Res* 1982;34:305–318. [PubMed: 7067743]
11. Fluckinger M, Haas H, Merschak P, Glasgow BJ, Redl B. Human tear lipocalin exhibits antimicrobial activity by scavenging microbial siderophores. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48:3367–3372. [PubMed: 15328098]
12. van't Hof W, Blankenvoorde MF, Veerman EC, Amerongen AV. The salivary lipocalin von Ebner's gland protein is a cysteine proteinase inhibitor. *J Biol Chem* 1997;272:1837–1841. [PubMed: 8999869]
13. Blaker M, Kock K, Ahlers C, Buck F, Schmale H. Molecular cloning of human von Ebner's gland protein, a member of the lipocalin superfamily highly expressed in lingual salivary glands. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1993;1172:131–137. [PubMed: 7679926]
14. Redl B, Holzfeind P, Lottspeich F. cDNA cloning and sequencing reveals human tear prealbumin to be a member of the lipophilic-ligand carrier protein superfamily. *J Biol Chem* 1992;267:20282–20287. [PubMed: 1400345]
15. Lechner M, Wojnar P, Redl B. Human tear lipocalin acts as an oxidative-stress-induced scavenger of potentially harmful lipid peroxidation products in a cell culture system. *Biochem J* 2001;356:129–135. [PubMed: 11336644]

16. Glasgow BJ, Abduragimov AR, Gassymov OK, Yusifov TN, Ruth EC, Faull KF. Vitamin E associated with the lipocalin fraction of human tears. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2002;506:567–572. [PubMed: 12613961]
17. Yusifov TN, Abduragimov AR, Gasymov OK, Glasgow BJ. Endonuclease activity in lipocalins. *Biochem J* 2000;347(Pt 3):815–819. [PubMed: 10769187]
18. Yusifov TN, Abduragimov AR, Narsinh K, Gasymov OK, Glasgow BJ. Tear lipocalin is the major endonuclease in tears. *Mol Vis* 2008;14:180–188. [PubMed: 18334931]
19. Skerra A. Anticalins as alternative binding proteins for therapeutic use. *Curr Opin Mol Ther* 2007;9:336–344. [PubMed: 17694446]
20. Skerra A. Lipocalins as a scaffold. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000;1482:337–350. [PubMed: 11058774]
21. Schlehuber S, Skerra A. Duocalins: engineered ligand-binding proteins with dual specificity derived from the lipocalin fold. *Biol Chem* 2001;382:1335–1342. [PubMed: 11688717]
22. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Glasgow BJ. Resolution of ligand positions by site-directed tryptophan fluorescence in tear lipocalin. *Protein Sci* 2000;9:325–331. [PubMed: 10716184]
23. Glasgow BJ, Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Altenbach C, Hubbell WL. Side chain mobility and ligand interactions of the G strand of tear lipocalins by site-directed spin labeling. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:13707–13716. [PubMed: 10521278]
24. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Glasgow BJ. Ligand binding site of tear lipocalin: contribution of a trigonal cluster of charged residues probed by 8-anilino-1-naphthalenesulfonic acid. *Biochemistry* 2008;47:1414–1424. [PubMed: 18179255]
25. Millar TJ, Mudgil P, Butovich IA, Palaniappan CK. Adsorption of human tear lipocalin to human meibomian lipid films. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:140–151. [PubMed: 18757516]
26. Mudgil P, Millar TJ. Adsorption of apo- and holo-tear lipocalin to a bovine Meibomian lipid film. *Exp Eye Res* 2008;86:622–628. [PubMed: 18289529]
27. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Glasgow BJ. Intracavitary Ligand Distribution in Tear Lipocalin by Site-Directed Tryptophan Fluorescence. *Biochemistry* 2009;48:7219–7228. [PubMed: 19586017]
28. Breustedt DA, Chatwell L, Skerra A. A new crystal form of human tear lipocalin reveals high flexibility in the loop region and induced fit in the ligand cavity. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 2009;65:1118–1125. [PubMed: 19770509]
29. Calderone V, Berni R, Zanotti G. High-resolution structures of retinol-binding protein in complex with retinol: pH-induced protein structural changes in the crystal state. *J Mol Biol* 2003;329:841–850. [PubMed: 12787682]
30. Newcomer ME, Ong DE. Plasma retinol binding protein: structure and function of the prototypic lipocalin. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000;1482:57–64. [PubMed: 11058747]
31. Roberts SA, Weichsel A, Qiu Y, Shelnutt JA, Walker FA, Montfort WR. Ligand-induced heme ruffling and bent no geometry in ultra-high-resolution structures of nitrophorin 4. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:11327–11337. [PubMed: 11560480]
32. Hwang PM, Choy WY, Lo EI, Chen L, Forman-Kay JD, Raetz CR, Prive GG, Bishop RE, Kay LE. Solution structure and dynamics of the outer membrane enzyme PagP by NMR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:13560–13565. [PubMed: 12357033]
33. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Glasgow BJ. Molten globule state of tear lipocalin: ANS binding restores tertiary interactions. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2007;357:499–504. [PubMed: 17434452]
34. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Glasgow BJ. Interstrand loops CD and EF act as pH-dependent gates to regulate fatty acid ligand binding in tear lipocalin. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:12894–12904. [PubMed: 15461462]
35. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Glasgow BJ. Structural changes in human tear lipocalins associated with lipid binding. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1998;1386:145–156. [PubMed: 9675263]
36. Prats M, Teissie J, Tocanne JF. *Nature* 1986;322:756–758.
37. Fogolari F, Moroni E, Wojciechowski M, Baginski M, Ragona L, Molinari H. MM/PBSA analysis of molecular dynamics simulations of bovine beta-lactoglobulin: free energy gradients in conformational transitions? *Proteins* 2005;59:91–103. [PubMed: 15690343]

38. Gasymov OK, Abduragimov AR, Glasgow BJ. pH-Dependent conformational changes in tear lipocalin by site-directed tryptophan fluorescence. *Biochemistry* 2010;49:582–590. [PubMed: 20025287]
39. Glasgow BJ, Heinzmann C, Kojis T, Sparkes RS, Mohandas T, Bateman JB. Assignment of tear lipocalin gene to human chromosome 9q34-9qter. *Curr Eye Res* 1993;12:1019–1023. [PubMed: 8306712]
40. Glasgow BJ. Tissue expression of lipocalins in human lacrimal and von Ebner's glands: colocalization with lysozyme. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1995;233:513–522. [PubMed: 8537027]
41. Cormack, B. *Current Protocol in Molecular Biology*. Greene Pub. Associates and Wiley- Interscience; New York, N.Y: 1987.
42. Sreerama N, Woody RW. Estimation of protein secondary structure from circular dichroism spectra: comparison of CONTIN, SELCON, and CDSSTR methods with an expanded reference set. *Anal Biochem* 2000;287:252–260. [PubMed: 11112271]
43. Lehrer SS. Solute perturbation of protein fluorescence. The quenching of the tryptophyl fluorescence of model compounds and of lysozyme by iodide ion. *Biochemistry* 1971;10:3254–3263. [PubMed: 5119250]
44. Sillen A, Engelborghs Y. The Correct Use of “Average” Fluorescence Parameters. *Photochem Photobiol* 1998;67:475–486.
45. Lakowicz, JR. *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. 3. Springer; New York: 2006.
46. Kuppens S, Hellings M, Jordens J, Verheyden S, Engelborghs Y. Conformational states of the switch I region of Ha-ras-p21 in hinge residue mutants studied by fluorescence lifetime and fluorescence anisotropy measurements. *Protein Sci* 2003;12:930–938. [PubMed: 12717016]
47. Förster T. *Ann Phys* 1948;2:55–75.
48. Woody, RW. Theory of Circular Dichroism of Proteins. In: Fasman, GD., editor. *Circular Dichroism and the Conformational Analysis of Biomolecules*. Plenum Press; New York: 1996. p. 55
49. Chothia C. Conformation of twisted beta-pleated sheets in proteins. *J Mol Biol* 1973;75:295–302. [PubMed: 4728692]
50. Pan CP, Callis PR, Barkley MD. Dependence of tryptophan emission wavelength on conformation in cyclic hexapeptides. *J Phys Chem B* 2006;110:7009–7016. [PubMed: 16571015]
51. Vivian JT, Callis PR. Mechanisms of tryptophan fluorescence shifts in proteins. *Biophys J* 2001;80:2093–2109. [PubMed: 11325713]
52. Engelborghs Y. The analysis of time resolved protein fluorescence in multi-tryptophan proteins. *Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc* 2001;57:2255–2270. [PubMed: 11603842]
53. Pan CP, Barkley MD. Conformational effects on tryptophan fluorescence in cyclic hexapeptides. *Biophys J* 2004;86:3828–3835. [PubMed: 15189879]
54. Chen Y, Liu B, Yu HT, Barkley MD. The Peptide Bond Quenches Indole Fluorescence. *J Am Chem Soc* 1996;118:9271–9278.
55. Glasgow BJ, Abduragimov AR, Yusifov TN, Gasymov OK, Horwitz J, Hubbell WL, Faull KF. A conserved disulfide motif in human tear lipocalins influences ligand binding. *Biochemistry* 1998;37:2215–2225. [PubMed: 9485367]
56. Chen Y, Barkley MD. Toward understanding tryptophan fluorescence in proteins. *Biochemistry* 1998;37:9976–9982. [PubMed: 9665702]
57. Boehr DD, Nussinov R, Wright PE. The role of dynamic conformational ensembles in biomolecular recognition. *Nat Chem Biol* 2009;5:789–796. [PubMed: 19841628]
58. Hammes GG, Chang YC, Oas TG. Conformational selection or induced fit: a flux description of reaction mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:13737–13741. [PubMed: 19666553]
59. Tokuriki N, Tawfik DS. Protein dynamism and evolvability. *Science* 2009;324:203–207. [PubMed: 19359577]
60. Weikl TR, von Deuster C. Selected-fit versus induced-fit protein binding: kinetic differences and mutational analysis. *Proteins* 2009;75:104–110. [PubMed: 18798570]
61. Okazaki K, Takada S. Dynamic energy landscape view of coupled binding and protein conformational change: induced-fit versus population-shift mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105:11182–11187. [PubMed: 18678900]

62. Frauenfelder H, Fenimore PW, Young RD. Protein dynamics and function: insights from the energy landscape and solvent slaving. *IUBMB Life* 2007;59:506–512. [PubMed: 17701545]
63. Vincent F, Spinelli S, Ramoni R, Grolli S, Pelosi P, Cambillau C, Tegoni M. Complexes of porcine odorant binding protein with odorant molecules belonging to different chemical classes. *J Mol Biol* 2000;300:127–139. [PubMed: 10864504]
64. Sakurai K, Konuma T, Yagi M, Goto Y. Structural dynamics and folding of beta-lactoglobulin probed by heteronuclear NMR. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2009;1790:527–537. [PubMed: 19362581]
65. Ragona L, Fogolari F, Catalano M, Ugolini R, Zetta L, Molinari H. EF loop conformational change triggers ligand binding in beta-lactoglobulins. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:38840–38846. [PubMed: 12857741]
66. Bosshard HR. Molecular recognition by induced fit: how fit is the concept? *News Physiol Sci* 2001;16:171–173. [PubMed: 11479367]

Figure 1.

Ribbon diagrams of the crystal structures of TL in apo- (dark goldenrod) and holo-forms (cyan). The residues in loops AB (28–37) and GH (105) were sequentially substituted with Trp to use as donors in RET experiments. The residues in loop EF (81 and 78) were sequentially substituted with Cys, then labeled with dansyl groups, to use as acceptors. Large-sized balls show locations of the C α atoms. The identical residues in apo- and holo-forms are indicated with double-headed arrows. Side chain residues K108 and E27 (electrostatic interaction) are shown in stick and ball representations. Single capital letters denote the identities of the β -strands that comprise the ligand-binding barrel. The ribbon diagrams of apo-TL and holo-TL were generated from PDB files, 1XKI and 3EYC, respectively, with DS Visualizer 2.5 (Accelrys Inc.).

Figure 2.
Far-UV CD spectra of TL mutants considered for RET study at pH 7.3 (A) and pH 3.0 (B)

Figure 3. $\lambda_{\max}$ values from the corrected fluorescence spectra of the single Trp/Cys mutants located in the loops AB and GH. Cys was invariant at position 81 in the mutants.

Figure 4.

Fluorescence lifetime parameter of single Trp mutants of TL in the transition from pH 7.3 to

3.0. (A) The resolution of fluorescence lifetime changes ($\frac{\langle \tau \rangle}{\langle \tau_0 \rangle} = f_{PR} \times f_{DQ}$) into the components (population reshuffling (f_{PR}), and dynamic quenching (f_{DQ}) parameters). (B) Changes in fluorescence lifetime component. The components of the fluorescence lifetime of Trp are grouped as $\tau_1 = 0.22\text{--}0.63\text{ ns}$, $\tau_2 = 0.86\text{--}2.72\text{ ns}$ and $\tau_3 = 2.86\text{--}9.02\text{ ns}$. The parameters were calculated only for the mutants where Cys was invariant at position 81.

Figure 5.

Phase angle (circles) and modulation (triangles) data for the fluorescence intensity decays of TL mutant W35C81, dansyl-labeled Cys81 (solid symbols), and unlabeled Cys81 (open symbols) for detection of RET at pH 7.3 and 3.0. Lines represent the best triple-exponential fit for the parameters given in Table 2.

Figure 6. The absorption (left) and emission (right) spectra for the dansyl labeled mutant, Trp35Ds81. The blue side of the emission spectra (bold solid lines) were constructed using the log-normal function (see Materials and Methods).

Figure 7.

Comparison of the measured inter-loop distances from RET to that of the crystal structure of TL. (A) RET data for apo-TL at pH 3.0 is similar to that of the crystal structure for holo-TL at pH 7.3; (B) the shape of the RET data in solution for apo-TL at pH 7.3 appears to form a mirror image of the data obtained from crystal structure of TL at pH 8.0; (C) Low pH induced changes in distances between residues of the loop AB and the residue 81 of the loop EF. For comparison with TL in crystal forms, changes in distances ($C^{\alpha}-C^{\alpha}$) between the same residues in apo-holo transition are also included.

Figure 8. Deviations of the inter-loop distances in apo-holo transition from RET measurements. (A) measured distances for apo- and holo-TL at pH 3.0. (B) measured distances for apo- and holo-TL at pH 7.3.

Secondary structure content for TL (W33C81, as an example) at pH values of 7.3 and 3.0 determined from CD spectra.

Table 1

Protein	Program	α -helix (%) ^a			β -strand (%) ^b			turn (%)	unrd ^c (%)	nrmsd
		H(r)	H(d)	ΣH	S(r)	S(d)	ΣS			
W33C81, pH 7.3	CONTINLL	3.6	6.2	9.8	23.7	12.0	35.7	23.3	31.1	0.033
	SELCON3	5.7	7.5	13.2	21.9	11.3	33.2	19.0	24.3	0.345
	CDSSTR	1.1	5.5	6.6	24.9	12.0	36.9	22.9	32.1	0.086
W33C81, pH 3.0	CONTINLL	3.6	6.9	10.5	21.1	12.0	33.1	22.9	33.5	0.024
	SELCON3	4.1	7.6	11.7	21.5	12.1	33.6	22.2	31.7	0.163
	CDSSTR	-0.1	5.2	5.1	23.3	12.9	36.2	24.3	33.6	0.131

^aH(r) and H(d) are for regular and distorted α -helix, respectively. $\Sigma H=H(r)+H(d)$.

^bS(r) and S(d) are for regular and distorted β -strands, respectively. $\Sigma S=S(r)+S(d)$.

^cunrd is for unordered fraction.

Table 2
Fluorescence lifetime parameters for apo-Trp mutants (with and without dansyl label) of TL.

Trp Mutant	a_1^b	a_2	a_3	τ_1^c (ns)	τ_2 (ns)	τ_3 (ns)	τ_{av}^d (ns)	$\langle\tau\rangle^e$ (ns)	fQ	$gk_r \times 10^{-6}$ (s ⁻¹)	χ^2
W28C81 pH 7.3	0.41	0.35	0.24	0.43	2.58	9.02	6.79	3.24	0.13	40.1	0.8
W28D81 pH 7.3	0.66	0.20	0.13	0.24	1.82	6.93	4.88	1.44			0.8
W28C81 pH 3.0	0.48	0.36	0.16	0.43	2.18	5.45	3.52	1.86	0.07	37.6	0.4
W28D81 pH 3.0	0.62	0.29	0.10	0.27	1.64	5.12	2.95	1.12			0.8
W31C81 pH 7.3	0.42	0.40	0.18	0.49	2.04	5.25	3.44	1.98	0.06	30.3	0.5
W31D81 pH 7.3	0.57	0.27	0.16	0.27	1.18	3.96	2.65	1.11			0.9
W31C81 pH 3.0	0.48	0.38	0.14	0.39	1.90	5.00	3.07	1.61	0.07	43.5	0.6
W31D81 pH 3.0	0.63	0.26	0.12	0.22	1.35	3.88	2.40	0.93			0.7
W32C78 pH 7.3	0.38	0.49	0.14	0.63	2.72	6.63	3.97	2.48	0.10	40.3	0.5
W32D78 pH 7.3	0.57	0.27	0.16	0.32	1.39	3.78	2.47	1.16			0.6
W32C78 pH 3.0	0.38	0.39	0.23	0.46	2.01	4.70	3.28	2.02	0.12	59.4	0.3
W32D78 pH 3.0	0.59	0.30	0.11	0.27	1.48	4.13	2.45	1.07			0.6
W32C81 pH 7.3	0.35	0.43	0.22	0.46	2.27	5.53	3.83	2.35	0.10	42.6	0.4
W32D81 pH 7.3	0.83	0.14	0.03	0.35	1.76	5.76	2.16	0.71			0.5
W32C81 pH 3.0	0.42	0.40	0.18	0.45	2.16	4.88	3.23	1.93	0.12	62.2	0.5
W32D81 pH 3.0	0.63	0.29	0.09	0.30	1.33	4.08	2.16	0.92			0.5
W33C81 pH 7.3	0.36	0.54	0.10	0.61	2.43	6.03	3.28	2.13	0.08	37.6	0.4
W33D81 pH 7.3	0.44	0.35	0.21	0.36	1.66	3.99	2.77	1.58			0.6
W33C81 pH 3.0	0.45	0.40	0.15	0.44	2.04	4.91	3.07	1.75	0.08	45.7	0.3
W33D81 pH 3.0	0.64	0.31	0.06	0.38	2.00	5.54	2.66	1.18			0.8
W35C81 pH 7.3	0.33	0.26	0.41	0.41	2.06	4.73	3.96	2.61	0.12	46.0	0.6
W35D81 pH 7.3	0.48	0.30	0.22	0.32	1.18	4.48	3.27	1.49			0.6
W35C81 pH 3.0	0.37	0.41	0.22	0.45	2.42	5.66	3.94	2.39	0.13	54.4	0.3
W35D81 pH 3.0	0.43	0.27	0.30	0.34	1.80	4.35	3.41	1.94			0.5
W36C81 pH 7.3	0.29	0.52	0.19	0.58	2.62	5.52	3.68	2.59	0.13	50.2	0.4
W36D81 pH 7.3	0.66	0.23	0.10	0.41	1.92	5.12	2.92	1.24			0.8
W36C81 pH 3.0	0.38	0.38	0.24	0.46	1.92	4.14	2.96	1.91	0.11	57.6	0.4
W36D81 pH 3.0	0.76	0.16	0.07	0.22	1.28	3.82	2.06	0.65			0.4
W37C81 pH 7.3	0.59	0.29	0.12	0.37	1.89	5.65	3.43	1.44	0.02	13.9	0.7

Trp Mutant	a_1^b	a_2	a_3	τ_1^c (ns)	τ_2 (ns)	τ_3 (ns)	τ_w^d (ns)	$\langle\tau\rangle^e$ (ns)	fQ	$gk_r \times 10^{-6}$ (s ⁻¹)	χ^2
W37Ds81 pH 7.3	0.76	0.17	0.07	0.25	1.58	4.83	2.64	0.80			0.7
W37C81 pH 3.0	0.48	0.32	0.19	0.39	2.05	5.25	3.62	1.86	0.07	37.6	0.4
W37Ds81 pH 3.0	0.61	0.25	0.13	0.25	1.60	4.70	3.05	1.18			0.5
W105C78 pH 7.3	0.55	0.35	0.10	0.48	2.08	5.67	3.11	1.57	0.05	31.8	0.5
W105Ds78 pH 7.3	0.68	0.26	0.06	0.41	1.60	4.63	2.14	0.98			0.6
W105C78 pH 3.0	0.57	0.33	0.10	0.34	1.55	4.47	2.48	1.15	0.05	43.5	0.5
W105Ds78 pH 3.0	0.78	0.17	0.05	0.28	1.26	3.57	1.58	0.61			0.4
W105C81 pH 7.3	0.58	0.31	0.11	0.48	2.03	5.62	3.20	1.53	0.04	26.1	0.6
W105Ds81 pH 7.3	0.67	0.24	0.09	0.23	1.63	5.41	3.20	1.03			0.7
W105C81 pH 3.0	0.55	0.34	0.11	0.36	1.53	4.64	2.63	1.23	0.04	32.5	0.5
W105Ds81 pH 3.0	0.56	0.29	0.15	0.27	0.86	2.86	1.79	0.83			0.8

^aDansyl

^bnormalized preexponential factor

^cdecay time

^dintensity averaged lifetime

^eamplitude averaged lifetime

^fquantum yield

^gradiative rate constant

Table 3

RET parameter and distance data for Trp-dansyl pairs in apo-proteins.

Trp Mutant	$\langle \tau \rangle^b$ (ns)	E^c	d_{fe}^c	E_{corr}^e	$f \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} (\text{nm})^4$	R_0^g (Å)	R^h (Å)
W28C81, pH 7.3	3.24						
W28Ds81, pH 7.3	1.44	0.56	0.64	0.88	3.92	20.7	14.9
W28C81, pH 3.0	1.86						
W28Ds81, pH 3.0	1.12	0.40	0.64	0.63	4.26	18.7	17.1
W31C81, pH 7.3	1.98						
W31Ds81, pH 7.3	1.11	0.44	0.73	0.60	4.05	18.3	17.1
W31C81, pH 3.0	1.61						
W31Ds81, pH 3.0	0.93	0.42	0.73	0.58	4.27	18.8	17.8
W32C78, pH 7.3	2.48						
W32Ds78, pH 7.3	1.16	0.53	1.22	0.53	3.68	19.6	19.2
W32C78, pH 3.0	2.02						
W32Ds78, pH 3.0	1.07	0.47	1.22	0.47	4.28	20.6	21.0
W32C81, pH 7.3	2.35						
W32Ds81, pH 7.3	0.71	0.70	1.04	0.70	3.68	19.6	17.0
W32C81, pH 3.0	1.93						
W32Ds81, pH 3.0	0.92	0.52	1.04	0.52	4.28	20.6	20.3
W33C81, pH 7.3	2.13						
W33Ds81, pH 7.3	1.58	0.26	0.86	0.30	3.96	19.1	22.0
W33C81, pH 3.0	1.75						
W33Ds81, pH 3.0	1.18	0.33	0.86	0.38	4.29	19.3	20.9
W35C81, pH 7.3	2.61						
W35Ds81, pH 7.3	1.49	0.43	0.69	0.62	4.12	20.6	19.0
W35C81, pH 3.0	2.39						
W35Ds81, pH 3.0	1.94	0.19	0.69	0.28	4.33	20.9	24.4
W36C81, pH 7.3	2.59						
W36Ds81, pH 7.3	1.24	0.52	0.98	0.53	4.14	20.9	20.5
W36C81, pH 3.0	1.91						
W36Ds81, pH 3.0	0.65	0.66	0.98	0.67	4.34	20.4	18.1
W37C81, pH 7.3	1.44						

Trp Mutant	$\langle \tau \rangle^b$ (ns)	E ^c	$d f_a$	E _{corr} ^e	$f \times 10^{-13} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} (\text{nm})^4$	R ₀ ^g (Å)	R ₀ ^h (Å)
W37Ds81, pH 7.3	0.80	0.44	0.88	0.50	4.02	15.2	15.2
W37C81, pH 3.0	1.86						
W37Ds81, pH 3.0	1.18	0.37	0.88	0.42	4.34	18.8	19.8
W105C78, pH 7.3	1.57						
W105Ds78, pH 7.3	0.98	0.38	1.17	0.38	3.77	17.5	19.0
W105C78, pH 3.0	1.15						
W105Ds78, pH 3.0	0.61	0.47	1.17	0.47	4.27	17.6	18.0
W105C81, pH 7.3	1.53						
W105Ds81, pH 7.3	1.03	0.33	1.05	0.33	4.09	17.1	19.2
W105C81, pH 3.0	1.23						
W105Ds81, pH 3.0	0.83	0.33	1.05	0.33	4.09	17.1	19.2

^a dansyl

^b amplitude averaged lifetime

^c the efficiency of resonance energy transfer

^d a fraction of dansyl labeling

^e the efficiency of resonance energy transfer corrected for incomplete labeling

^f the overlap integral

^g the Förster distance (distance at which 50% energy transfer occur)

^h donor-acceptor distance

Table 4

Fluorescence lifetime parameters for RET in holo-TL at pH 3.0.

Trp Mutant	$\alpha\langle\tau\rangle$ (ns)	E	f	E _{corr}	R ₀ Å	R Å
holo-W28C81	1.84					
holo-W28Ds81	1.15	0.38	0.64	0.59	18.6	17.5
holo-W31C81	1.63					
holo-W31Ds81	1.01	0.38	0.73	0.52	18.9	18.6
holo-W32C81	2.02					
holo-W32Ds81	0.93	0.54			20.3	19.8
holo-W33C81	1.72					
holo-W33Ds81	1.22	0.30	0.86	0.35	19.7	21.8
holo-W35C81	2.43					
holo-W35Ds81	2.09	0.14	0.69	0.20	20.7	26.1
holo-W36C81	1.82					
holo-W36Ds81	0.49	0.73	0.98	0.74	20.0	16.8
holo-W37C81	1.94					
holo-W37Ds81	1.22	0.37	0.88	0.42	19.0	20.1
holo-W105C81	1.22					
holo-W105Ds81	0.39	0.68	1.0	0.68	17.6	15.5

 α - all symbols are the same as in Table 3.

Table 5

Fluorescence lifetime parameters for RET in holo-TL at pH 7.3.

Trp Mutant	$\alpha\langle\tau\rangle$ (ns)	E	f	E _{corr}	R ₀ Å	R Å
holo-W28C81	3.11					
holo-W28Ds81	1.14	0.63	0.64	0.98	19.8	10.4
holo-W31C81	1.69					
holo-W31Ds81	0.61	0.64	0.73	0.88	18.3	13.1
holo-W32C81	2.14					
holo-W32Ds81	0.74	0.65	1.04	0.65	18.9	17.0
holo-W33C81	1.91					
holo-W33Ds81	0.93	0.51	0.86	0.59	19.1	18.0
holo-W35C81	2.58					
holo-W35Ds81	1.22	0.53	0.69	0.77	20.2	16.5
holo-W36C81	2.39					
holo-W36Ds81	0.90	0.62	0.98	0.63	20.3	18.6
holo-W37C81	1.26					
holo-W37Ds81	0.46	0.63	0.88	0.72	15.2	13.0
holo-W105C81	1.26					
holo-W105Ds81	0.61	0.52	1.0	0.52	16.3	16.1

^aall symbols are the same as in Table 3.