A versatile selection system for folding competent proteins using genetic complementation in a eukaryotic host

Christina Lyngsø,^{1*} Søren Kjaerulff,² Sven Müller,² Tomas Bratt,² Uffe H. Mortensen,³ and Florence Dal Degan⁴

¹The Laboratory for Molecular Cardiology, The Danish National Research Foundation Centre for Cardiac Arrhythmia, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, DK-2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark

²Pharmexa A/S, Agern Allé 1, DK-2970 Hoersholm, Denmark

³Center for Microbial Biotechnology, Department of Systems Biology, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

⁴Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, DK-2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark

Received 18 May 2009; Revised 14 December 2009; Accepted 17 December 2009

DOI: 10.1002/pro.337

Published online 15 January 2010 proteinscience.org

Abstract: Recombinant expression of native or modified eukaryotic proteins is pivotal for structural and functional studies and for industrial and pharmaceutical production of proteins. However, it is often impeded by the lack of proper folding. Here, we present a stringent and broadly applicable eukaryotic *in vivo* selection system for folded proteins. It is based on genetic complementation of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* growth marker gene invertase fused C-terminally to a protein library. The fusion proteins are directed to the secretion system, utilizing the ability of the eukaryotic protein quality-control systems to retain misfolded proteins in the ER and redirect them for cytosolic degradation, thereby only allowing folded proteins to reach the cell surface. Accordingly, the folding potential of the tested protein determines the ability of autotrophic colony growth. This system was successfully demonstrated using a complex insertion mutant library of TNF- α , from which different folding competent mutant proteins were uncovered.

Keywords: protein folding; selection; protein engineering; folding quality control; heterologous expression; eukaryote; *in vivo* selection; library construction; solubility; TNF- α ; recombinant protein; *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; yeast; fission yeast; protein vaccine

Introduction

The recombinant expression of properly folded proteins is essential for the biotechnological and pharmaceutical applications of proteins. However, because of incorrect folding, numerous native and modified proteins are not efficiently expressed in a soluble form and typically end up aggregating into inclusion bodies.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Abbreviations: CAT, chloramphenicol acetyl-transferase; cDNA, coding DNA; CPY, carboxypeptidase Y; *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; GFP, green fluorescent protein; PADRE, pan-DR helper T-cell epitope; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RANK-L, receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand; *S. pombe*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; Tat, twin-arginine translocation; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factors alpha; TRAIL, TNF-related apoptosis-induced ligand.

Søren Kjaerulff's current address is ChemoMetec A/S, Gydevang 43, DK-3450 Allerød, Denmark.

Sven Müller's current address is Dako A/S, Produktionsvej 42, DK-2600 Glostrup, Denmark.

Tomas Bratt's current address is Novo Nordisk A/S, Novo Allé, Bagsvaerd, Denmark.

*Correspondence to: Christina Lyngsø, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, DK-2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark. E-mail: lyngsoe@sund.ku.dk

To bypass this difficulty, different *in vivo* assays for detection of soluble recombinant proteins have been developed in *E. coli*. In these assays, the protein of interest is fused C-terminally to a reporter protein. Foldable protein can then be selected based on the comprehension that a misfolded insoluble protein, in most cases, causes elimination of the activity of a C-terminally fused reporter protein. Several reporter genes, for example, chloramphenicol acetyltransferase,¹ green fluorescent protein (GFP),^{2,3} and β -galactosidase,⁴ have been used in this type of assay. Recently, a novel genetic selection assay for protein folding was described in *E. coli*,⁵ based on the observation that the transport through the bacterial twin-arginine translocation (Tat) pathway depends on correct folding of the protein prior to transport. Proteins of interest were fused C-terminally to the reporter β -lactamase and directed through the Tat pathway, through a signal peptide. Hereafter, the survival of *E. coli* cells on the selective medium was correlated with the solubility of the tested proteins. This assay represents a significant improvement to the previously described methods as they simply discriminate between soluble and insoluble proteins.

However, a major limitation of these systems is that *E. coli* lacks the elaborate protein quality control machinery of eukaryotic cells and their ability to perform important posttranslational modifications on proteins. Most proteins of interest in biotechnology are indeed of eukaryotic origin and are therefore best expressed in a eukaryotic host. Consequently, two screening systems have been developed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.^{6,7} These assays are based on the comprehension that proteins are directed to the secretory pathway will be exported to the cell wall, only if they fold. In contrast, misfolded protein variants will be exported to the cytoplasm for proteasomal degradation.^{8,9} In one assay, the tested protein is fused to a small tag for antibody detection followed by fluorescence activated cell sorting.⁶ In another assay, a protein library is likewise combined with an epitope tag, and screening is performed on plates.⁷ One drawback of these assays is that they require extensive screening and additional assaying to get rid of false-positives. To eliminate these obstacles, we have developed a versatile genetic screening and selection system, based on the ER protein quality control in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*.

Therapeutic recombinant human proteins will play an increasingly important role in combating human disease. Such proteins need to fold correctly to retain functionality. For example, vaccination against TNF- α , which is involved in chronic inflammation, could represent an attractive anti-TNF- α treatment¹⁰ for example, by the use of AutoVacTM technology. This technology depends on inserting a

ubiquitous T-helper cell epitope into a native protein of interest. The presence of the T-helper cell epitope in the protein will increase its immunogenicity for example, to circumvent tolerance to harmful self-proteins. It is crucial that the epitope insertion does not disrupt the folding and three-dimensional structure of the protein to preserve native B-cell epitopes in the protein vaccine.

As proof of concept, we have applied our folding selection assay to identify foldable species within a library of human TNF- α insertion mutants, where an oligonucleotide encoding the 13 amino acid long T-helper cell epitope PADRE¹¹ was inserted randomly into the TNF- α sequence using a transposon-based method. Using our selection system, we have successfully identified 20 folded variants in this library.

Results

Design of a eukaryotic protein-folding screening and selection system

The selection system presented here is designed to screen protein libraries to identify foldable species. The selection system relies on the ability of the secretory pathway of *S. pombe* to eliminate unfolded proteins through the ER quality control system.^{8,9} *S. pombe* has a well-organized and morphologically distinct golgi apparatus that performs many posttranslational modifications similar to those in higher eukaryotic cells.¹² Importantly, it is an easily cultured single-cell eukaryote with a well developed genetic toolbox including the ability to introduce vector based gene libraries by transformation.

The *S. pombe* invertase gene was chosen as selectable reporter, for five main reasons. First, the invertase catalyses the hydrolysis of sucrose into glucose and fructose¹³ that, unlike sucrose, can be taken up by the cell and used for growth. This nutritional marker permits efficient selection on medium with sucrose, a sole carbon source, as only cells expressing functional invertase will survive. Second, invertase is a secretory protein^{14,15} and is therefore not expected to influence transport negatively through the secretory pathway. Third, the invertase activity produced from a surviving clone can be quantitated,¹⁶ and potentially, it provides a measure for folding efficiency.¹⁷ Fourth, invertase has already proven to be useful in screens, and fifth, it had proven tolerant to many different amino terminal extensions,^{9,18,19} hence, allowing fusion to numerous targets.

In our system, the cDNA encoding the proteins subjected to selection is fused in frame to the 5'-end of the invertase gene. This configuration was chosen for two reasons. First, the folding of the protein variant, rather than that of the invertase, is likely to determine the overall secretion efficiency of the

chimeric protein, as it reduces the possibility that the reporter protein may act as a primer for folding. Second, it ensures that no reporter protein activity is expressed when species in the library of protein variants contain a stop codon. To direct the different protein library variants to the ER, they are N-terminally fused to the signal peptide from carboxypeptidase Y (Cpy) from *S. pombe*,²⁰ an export signal previously reported to direct TNF- α and other recombinant proteins to the secretory pathway of *S. pombe*²¹ (Fig. 1, Supporting Information Fig. A, panel B).

After transformation of an invertase deficient *S. pombe* strain (PY-17) with a plasmid-based library, folded proteins are subsequently selected in a simple growth-based assay on media containing sucrose as the main carbon source. Minute amounts of glucose (0.01, 0.02, or 0.03%) were added to the selective media to allow all newly transformed cells to survive on the sucrose media until the invertase gene begins to express from the plasmid. As glucose is readily used up by the plated cells, transformants, which are not expressing invertase, cannot propagate to form visible colonies. In this way, transformants expressing invertase can easily be selected from those that do not. The appropriate glucose concentrations were determined empirically through a series of tests on control strains [Fig. 2(B)].

The assay discriminates between foldable and nonfoldable proteins

To establish, whether the method indeed discriminates between folded and misfolded proteins, six test proteins were fused to invertase and transformed into PY-17. This set of proteins contains the three foldable species, GFP, human TNF- α , and human RANK-L, and a variant of human IL-5 that cannot fold and be secreted (IL5.14, generated in a previous study²²) and two randomly picked variants of TNF- α (variants 59 and 60) from our library (see later). Finally, PY-17 was also transformed with a construct, where a stop codon was inserted between the signal peptide and the invertase sequences.

We first verified that only invertase fusions with foldable proteins supported cell growth on selective medium (Fig. 2). PY-17 transformed with a construct containing invertase, TNF-invertase, RANKL-invertase, or GFP-invertase could grow on a nonselective medium and on a selective medium, whereas PY-17 transformed with an IL5.14-invertase construct or a construct, where a stop codon was inserted between the signal peptide and the invertase sequences, could only grow on a nonselective medium. Next, we investigated the presence of the invertase fusion proteins and their subcellular localization using invertase activity¹⁶ measurements (Table I), immunocytochemistry, and Western blots (Fig. 3). Only strains transformed with invertase or

invertase C-terminally fused with a foldable protein exhibited invertase activity. Invertase fusions with IL5.14 and TNF- α variants 59 and 60 did not show any activity. Invertase activity was solely associated with intact cells as no invertase activity could be detected in the culture medium. This indicates that the fusion proteins were correctly secreted but remained associated with the cell of *S. pombe* through the invertase moiety, because the invertase resides in the cell wall.²³ This is important to keep cross-feeding of cells that do not express invertase activity by cells that do to a minimum. Western blot experiments of total cell extracts (including cell and cell wall content) and of culture medium demonstrated that the cellular localization of the hybrid proteins was determined by the invertase moiety. Hence, GFP and TNF- α that were not extended by invertase were secreted into the medium when directed through the secretory pathway with the use of the signal peptide of Cpy. In agreement with these results, we demonstrated that the GFP and TNF- α invertase fusions were localized to the cell surface by fluorescence microscopy [Fig. 3(B)]. In contrast, IL5.14, a protein species that does not fold efficiently, is retained within the cell when expressed alone or fused with invertase.

As expected, GFP-invertase, TNF- α -invertase, and RANKL-invertase transformants supported growth on selective medium and displayed significant levels of invertase activity, whereas the IL5.14-invertase transformant did not. This shows that the selection system is indeed able to differentiate between foldable and nonfoldable proteins. The cells transformed with invertase fusions with TNF- α variants 59 and 60 displayed no invertase activity and growth. This indicates that the assay can distinguish between folded and misfolded TNF- α variants in our library and that TNF- α variants 59 and 60 contain insertions at nonpermissive sites. In the following, variants 59 and 60 are used as negative controls for folding. Altogether, the growth on selective medium correlated with expression of the invertase fusion proteins at the cell surface and the invertase activity.

Construction of a TNF- α epitope insertion library

To test the efficiency of our selection assay, we used a TNF- α epitope insertion library as a model. Previously, a DNA transposition-based linker insertion strategy has been used to generate genes encoding proteins with random pentapeptide insertion.²⁴ We have modified this strategy to insert a 45-mer oligonucleotide encoding the T-helper cell epitope PADRE (AKFVAAWTLKAAA)¹¹ into the pentapeptide sequence (Supporting Information Fig. B), to produce a library of TNF- α insertion mutants. Our strategy results in 17 amino acid long inserts within the TNF- α sequence.

Figure 1. Summary of the library generation and selection strategy used to identify foldable proteins in *S. pombe*. (A) Construction of the TNF- α insertion Library: (Step 1) The Entranceposon (Finnzymes) and transposase react with the acceptor plasmid (modified *E. coli* vector pUC19, containing the target cDNA (Supporting Information Fig. A, panel A), resulting in random integration of the transposon herein. TNF- α is represented in blue and the transposon in cyan-blue. The resulting plasmid collection is transformed into *E. coli* and amplified on LB solid medium containing both ampicillin and kanamycin, ensuring selection only of plasmids with integrated transposon. (Step 2) The transposon can be integrated both in the vector backbone and in the TNF- α gene. To eliminate the plasmids with transposons integrated into the vector backbone, the TNF- α + entranceposons sequences are reintroduced into the original pUC19 vector by use of the restriction enzymes *Nco*I and *Sac*I. (Step 3) Transformants are again selected on LB solid medium containing ampicillin and kanamycin. This is important to eliminate any wild type TNF- α from the pool of variants that later could show up as false positives in the screen. (Step 4) Now, the entranceposon is ready to be replaced with the PADRE epitope. To do so, the entranceposon is removed by use of the restriction enzyme *Not*I. The open plasmid is ligated together with a DNA fragment encoding the PADRE epitope, having compatible ends. The resulting plasmid is amplified on LB solid medium containing ampicillin. The TNF- α library is ready for introduction into the screening vector. (B) Library generation and selection assay: The target cDNA (Red) is subjected to transposon (Cyan-blue) and transposase, and consequently the transposon is introduced randomly into the cDNA. In this study, the target cDNA encodes TNF- α . The transposon is genetically engineered. Hence, it can be removed by the restriction enzyme *Not*I. After digesting the library with *Not*I, the transposon is interchanged by an insert DNA fragment of choice (purple) containing compatible sticky ends. In this study, this insert contains the PADRE sequence [for details of the library construction, see Fig. 1(A) and materials and methods]. Next, the library of cDNA's is fused to the selectable genetic marker invertase (Blue) and the Cpy signal sequence (Green) to produce a target-reporter plasmid library. This library is introduced in an invertase null strain of *S. pombe* (PY-17). After translation, the protein enters the secretory pathway. Here, misfolded proteins are retained and eventually targeted for degradation by the proteasome, whereas folded proteins go to the surface. Here, the invertase marker supports growth on selective sucrose media by hydrolysing sucrose to the fermentable monosaccharides glucose and fructose. Accordingly, only colonies encoding folding competent protein variants will survive on selective sucrose media.

The constructed library contained approximately 17,500 individual species. If integration into the 474 bp TNF- α gene is truly random, this exceeds the number of different theoretically attainable integration sites by approximately 20 times. The randomness of sequence integration was determined for 44 arbitrarily picked colonies. Each clone contained a single insertion and when the integration points of all variants were compared they were distributed along the TNF- α sequence and did not appear to cluster. Also, no favorable sequence orientation was observed [Fig. 4(A) upper panel and Table II]. Hence, this random distribution, combined with the relative size of the library, suggests that the library contains most of the possible protein variants.

Identification of foldable TNF- α variants using the protein folding screening and selection assay

The TNF- α library was transferred to the *S. pombe* expression vector, transformed into PY-17 and grown on plates on selective medium. For selection, transformants were plated on sucrose medium supplemented with the three different limiting concentrations of 0.01, 0.02, and 0.03% glucose, as described above. A total of $\sim 36,000$ transformants (12,000 on each selection medium) were subjected to selection (Table III).

The growth rate of the transformants was followed by visual inspection. As soon as the colonies reached a diameter of 1–2 mm (7, 8, or 9 days after transformation), they were picked from the selection plates and individually streaked onto fresh plates containing pure sucrose medium. This was done to reduce growth of satellite colonies that otherwise appear because of the cross feeding by glucose and fructose diffusing from the positive colonies (data not shown). Eighteen colonies were picked from plates containing 0.01% glucose and seven plates with 0.02% glucose.

On sucrose medium containing 0.03% glucose, 1068 colonies had formed after 7 days of growth. This was significantly more than the growth in the other two glucose concentrations, indicating that this glucose concentration is too high to provide the proper selective pressure needed to select for proteins that fold efficiently. Therefore, all colonies obtained on sucrose medium containing 0.03% glucose were replica-plated onto pure sucrose media. Following replica-plating, 11 colonies showed robust growth. These were picked after 9 to 10 days and restreaked as above. Thus, in total, 36 colonies were selected from the three different selective media. Finally, these colonies were restreaked twice on solid sucrose media to validate their phenotype. After this selection step, 26 transformants remained, which equals to 0.07% of all transformants screened. These clones were named clone 1–26.

After selection, the possibility existed that the growth of a colony was because of the isolation of a suppressor mutation in the *S. pombe* genome or resulted from the transformation by more than one plasmid into a single cell. Therefore, plasmids were rescued from all 26 colonies, retransformed into PY-17, and the transformants evaluated for growth ability on sucrose (data not shown). All the selected plasmids were able to complement invertase activity, except for four (clone 1, 20, 22, and 26). These four clones were discarded without further characterization. Hence, the final number of the selected clones with foldable TNF- α variants by the assay was 22.

Sequence analysis of the selected TNF- α insertion mutants

The plasmids from the 22 positive colonies were sequenced to identify the insertion point and the insert sequence within the individual TNF- α variants [Fig. 4(A), lower panel, and Supporting Information Fig. C]. Of these 22 clones, 20 were unique and two TNF- α variants were found twice (clones 7/24 and 9/17 were pair wise identical). Fourteen unique permissive insertion sites were identified, four of which could accommodate at least two different insert sequences.

To evaluate the effect of the screening procedure on the distribution of the epitope integration sites in TNF- α , the sequences of the 20 unique TNF- α variants were compared to those of the 44 randomly picked clones obtained from the library before selective screening [Fig. 4(A)]. Before selection, insertions were distributed evenly along the TNF- α sequence, regardless of the secondary structure of the protein. After screening, insertion sites were mapped to the regions of low structural complexity, as they clustered within the N-terminal region, C-terminus, or in loops. Only two inserts were found in a sequence encoding a β -strand (strand A and F, respectively) [Figs. 4(A,B)]. These results strongly indicate that the active selection for foldable protein has been taking place during the screen.

Importantly, when the collection of foldable TNF- α variants was inspected for the presence of the PADRE epitope, this sequence was found in six cases and at five different insertion sites (clone 4, 7/24, 12, 18, and 25). These proteins are therefore TNF- α vaccine candidates.

The selected TNF- α variants ability to fold is not dependent on the invertase moiety

The fact that the target protein is physically fused to invertase, our screen opens the possibility that invertase influences the folding efficiency and kinetics of the target protein moiety. We therefore expressed the five TNF- α vaccine candidates identified from our library in *S. pombe* without the invertase moiety to verify their folding properties. Wild-type TNF- α

Figure 2.

was used as a positive control and the two clones 59 and 60, which did not support growth on selective medium (see above), were used as negative controls. Secretion of TNF- α was monitored using ELISA [Fig. 5(A)]. Only wild-type TNF- α and variant 25 were expressed at detectable levels in *S. pombe*. The absence of detectable levels of variants 4, 7, 12, and 18 in *S. pombe* indicates that the selection assay is more sensitive than the TNF- α ELISA test. Also, it is known that *S. pombe* secretes high levels of proteases in the growth media making exported folded proteins prone to partial degradation.^{21,25} Such degradation might affect only the detection in the ELISA assay and not the ability to promote growth of *S. pombe* colonies on sucrose. Therefore, the variants were tested for soluble expression in *E. coli* and *Drosophila* S2 cells, two commonly used hosts for protein recombinant expression, which we have previously used to express wild-type TNF- α (Nielsen, *et al.* and unpublished data). Wild-type and all five selected TNF- α variants, but not variants 59 and 60, were expressed as soluble proteins at detectable levels in *E. coli* and S2 cells [Fig. 5(A)]. The presence of TNF- α variant in S2 cell cultures was also analyzed by immunoblotting [Fig. 5(B)] and confirmed with the ELISA results. These expression data confirm that our selection system can distinguish foldable from nonfoldable proteins in a complex library of insertion mutants and that the invertase moiety does not drive or influence folding of the target passenger protein. In other hand, the expression levels of TNF- α variants were much lower in *S. pombe* than in the other two expression systems, indicating that our *S. pombe* strain, although being an excellent host for selection, is not well-suited for high yield production of recombinant proteins.

Discussion

TNF- α is a well-suited model to test our system, because the results from our screening method can

be compared to many existing single amino acid residue substitutions, deletions, and in-frame insertions in TNF- α , which have previously been investigated for their effect on folding, structure, and activity.^{26–28} TNF- α is a homotrimer, where each monomer folds into a β -sandwich containing two stacked β -pleated sheets, each formed by five anti-parallel β -strands that adopt a classical jelly-roll topology with loops of variable length protruding out of the core^{29,30} [Fig. (4B)].

Our selection assay identified 14 insertion permissive sites in TNF- α . More than half of the insertions were located within the first 26 N-terminal amino acid residues of TNF- α , which contain β -strand A. However, most of these sites were located in the sequence before strand A and in the loop between strands A and A' (loop AA'). In the crystal structure of TNF- α , the first 10 amino acids residues are disordered, and mutations and deletions in this region generally do not affect the folding of the protein.²⁸ In TRAIL, a member of the TNF protein family, loop AA' contains a 15 residue-long extension, hence permissive insertion sites were to be expected in loop AA' of TNF- α . Most of the remaining insertions were found in loops, where structural flexibility is expected. Interestingly, no insertion was found in the large flexible loop EF, where we have previously successfully inserted PADRE by rational design.²⁶ This may reflect that our library screening was not exhaustive or that other loops are more permissive to insertions than loop EF. Indeed, we have previously shown that sliding of PADRE by one residue within loop EF can cause misfolding.²⁶

Importantly, one insertion site (variant 11) would not have been predicted by rational design as it locates in the buried β -strand F in TNF- α . All other insertion sites are located near the surface of the protein [(Figs. 4(B,C)]. This demonstrates the power of this random genetic screen to uncover novel permissive insertion sites. We speculate that the buried PADRE insert integrates as part of the

Figure 2. Growth selection assay on plates to assess the expression of control proteins (A and B) and optimisation of the glucose concentration in the screening plates (B). (A) The invertase deficient *S. pombe* strain PY-17 was transformed with an expression vector containing unfused invertase (cpy-inv), GFP-invertase fusion (cpy-GFP-inv), IL5.14-invertase fusion (cpy-IL5.14-inv), TNF- α -invertase fusion (cpy-TNF- α -inv), or unfused invertaseQ176R (cpy-invQ176R), an invertase mutant with reduced activity (unpublished data). The transformants were streaked out on plates containing nonselective (EMM) or selective (EMM-sucrose) medium. (B) The invertase deficient *S. pombe* strain PY-17 was transformed with the same constructs as in (A) and with an expression vector containing invertase with a stop codon inserted between the signal peptide and the invertase coding region (cpy-stop-inv) or RANKL-invertase fusion (cpy-RANKL-inv). Transformants were directly plated out onto solid EMM-media containing 2% of glucose (nonselective medium) or 2% of sucrose supplemented with 0, 0.01, 0.02, or 0.03% of glucose (media of different selective pressure). An uneven distribution of colonies at the 0, 0.01, and 0.02% glucose concentrations were observed even though all transformed cells contain plasmids giving a positive phenotype. This could be due to a higher invertase activity in some colonies because of a higher plasmid copy number in these colonies resulting to a relatively faster growth rate. These cells then drive the neighbour colonies to grow faster because of cross-feeding. Alternatively, subtle differences in the environment on the plates, for example, temperatures or moistness result in the differences in growth rate.

Table I. Subcellular Distribution of Invertase Activity in *S. pombe* PY-17 Strains Transformed with Control Proteins Fused to Invertase

Construct	Total activity	Extracellular activity	Activity in culture medium
Cpy ^a	<5	<5	<5
Cpy-inv	1287	1261	130
Cpy-TNF-inv	359	337	<5
Cpy-GFP-inv	122	120	<5
Cpy-RANKL-inv	494	485	<5
Cpy-IL5.14-inv	19	16	<5
Cpy-TNF59-inv	50	n.d. ^b	n.d
Cpy-TNF60-inv	<5	n.d	n.d

S. pombe cells were grown in EMM-fructose medium ON at 30°C. The number of cells in each sample was normalised to 5×10^6 cells/ml before measuring invertase activity using a quantitative liquid assay¹⁶. The invertase assay was performed on cell cultures (Total activity) as well as on intact cells (Extracellular activity) and culture growth medium, separately. Invertase activity is expressed in nanomoles of glucose released per minute per 5×10^6 cells at 30°C. The numbers are average values from two measurements.

^a Cpy, signal peptide of carboxypeptidase Y.

^b n.d., not determined.

β-strand causing a lateral displacement of the TNF-α residues. This extension is then accommodated in the adjacent EF or FG loop.

The data presented here demonstrate that we have developed a novel *in vivo* selection assay for protein folding, based on genetic complementation of the selectable growth marker gene invertase in *S. pombe*. After testing our assay with six proteins of known folding competence, we applied it to the screening of a TNF-α insertion mutant library and identified 20 unique folding competent TNF-α variants out of the 36,000 transformants screened.

Recombinant expression of five of these TNF-α variants, without the invertase moiety, in two heterologous cell factories shows that our assay is indeed predictive of the folding of the target protein during subsequent recombinant expression in heterologous production hosts and provides a validation of the method. Our assay has a number of advantages over the existing ones. First, as it is a growth-based selection assay, only transformants expressing a foldable protein will form colonies. This greatly facilitates the identification of interesting candidates and the necessity of replica-plating and top-agar or color

Figure 3. Expression and sub-cellular localisation of control proteins fused to invertase.(A) Western blot analysis of *S. pombe* cell cultures transformed with control proteins GFP, TNF-α, or IL5.14 either without signal peptide or with the signal peptide of Cpy for extracellular expression (cpy-protein) or with the signal peptide of Cpy and fused to invertase (cpy-protein-inv). Fifty μg of crude protein extracts from the cell + cell wall fraction (C + W) or 10 μL of culture supernatant (S) or 10 μL of 10 × concentrated culture supernatant (10S) were loaded in each lane. (B) Fluorescence microscopy of *S. pombe* cells expressing GFP-invertase fusion (cpy-GFP-inv) or TNF-α-invertase fusion (cpy-TNF-α-inv).

Figure 4. Distribution of insertion sites in the TNF- α structure. (A) Distribution of insertion sites along the TNF- α amino acid sequence before (upper panel) and after (lower panel) selection. Bars represent insertion sites, and their heights the number of insertions found at each site. The representation does not discriminate between the different reading frames and orientations. A two-dimensional representation of TNF- α indicating the positions of β -strands is shown below the diagrams. Blue rectangles represent β -strands situated in the inner-sheet of the molecule, whereas orange ones represent strands of the outer-sheet. (B) Graphic 3D representation of insertion points in TNF- α after selection. The TNF- α monomer is displayed as a solid ribbon with β -strands of the inner- and outer-sheets represented in blue and orange, respectively. The positions of insertion sites are located C-terminally to the amino acid residues represented in black in the accentuated subunit. For specific details of the individual insertions see supplementary Figure C. (C) Graphic 3D representation of the TNF- α trimer from two different perspectives, showing that insertion points are mostly located at the surface of the protein.

Table II. *Distribution of Epitope Insertion Sites and Orientation*

Insert seq. frequency prior selection	RF of insertion point (33%)			Resulting insert DNA seq. (16%)	Resulting amino acid insert seq.	Translated seq prior selection (25%)
	pUC19 library	<i>S. pombe</i> library	Total			
A						
RF1-d (PADRE)	6 (33%)	10 (38%)	16 (36%)	6 (13%)	AAAKFVAAWTLKAAA	6 (22%)
RF1-r				10 (22%)	AAALRVQAATNLAAA	10 (37%)
RF2-d	5 (28%)	8 (31%)	13 (30%)	4 (9%)	RPLSSLQLGPLRRPQ	4 (14%)
RF2-r				9 (20%)	LRPP*GSKLQRT*RP	—
RF3-d	7 (38%)	8 (31%)	15 (34%)	8 (20%)	CGR*VRCSLDP*GGR	—
RF3-r				7 (16%)	CGRLKGPCNELSGRT	7 (25%)
Total	18	26	44	44		27
Insert seq. frequency after selection	RF of insertion point		Resulting insert DNA seq. (25%)	Resulting amino acid insert seq.	Translated seq after screen (25%)	
	<i>S. pombe</i> library	Expected ratio if no bias				
B						
RF1-d (PADRE)	13 (60%)	50%	6 (27%)	AAAKFVAAWTLKAAA	6 (27%)	
RF1-r			7 (32%)	AAALRVQAATNLAAA	7 (33%)	
RF2-d	3 (13%)	25%	3 (13%)	RPLSSLQLGPLRRPQ	3 (13%)	
RF2-r			0	LRPP*GSKLQRT*RP	—	
RF3-d	6 (27%)	25%	0	CGR*VRCSLDP*GGR	—	
RF3-r			6 (27%)	CGRLKGPCNELSGRT	6 (27%)	
Total	22		22		22	

The insert can be found in three reading frames (RF1, RF2, RF3) and in two orientations (d: direct or r: reverse) translating into 6 different insert sequences, two of which contain stop codons (See supporting data C). Frequency of each possible insert sequence in TNF- α in 44 clones picked randomly in the library for sequence analysis before selection (Panel A) and in 22 clones isolated from the library after selection (Panel B).

assay¹⁶ is reduced or avoided. Second, as it is performed in a eukaryotic host, our system exploits the elaborate eukaryotic protein quality control, which could be more stringent than a simple solubility screen in *E. coli*. Recently, Boder and coworkers showed that the protein quality control of a yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) could not be distinguished between folding variants of a highly thermostable nonnatural, *de novo*-designed protein.³¹ However, this seems to highlight a particularity of proteins of extreme thermostability or of nonnatural proteins, rather than a general limitation of the eukaryotic protein quality control.

Our assay is simple, fast, has a low frequency of false positives, and is well-suited for high-throughput screening of large protein libraries. The uncovered foldable sequences can then be expressed in a suitable expression host for example, insect cells as demonstrated here. Our approach is versatile, as no

structural or functional information on the target protein is required apart from its sequence and many modifications including point mutations, insertions, or deletions may be analyzed, making our approach universal. In conclusion, the assay presented here is a true folding assay combining the simplicity of the *E. coli* on-plates systems with the advantages and versatility of a eukaryotic system.

Materials and Methods

All standard cloning procedures were carried out according to Sambrook and Russell (2001).³² Restriction enzymes and T4 ligase were purchased from New England Biolab and primers from DNA technology. PCR reactions were performed using Expand PCR system (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The prepared plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* ElectroMAXTM DH10BTM

Table III. *Number of Colonies Obtained After Each Screening Step Using Three Different Selection Pressures*

Glucose concentration	0.01%	0.02%	0.03%	Total
Number of screened colonies	12,000	12,000	12,000	36,000
Positive colonies before restreak	18	7	1,068 (11)	36 (0.1%)
Positive colonies after restreak	12	5	9	26 (0.07%)

Colonies obtained on 0.03% glucose medium were subjected to an additional round of selection on sucrose medium; the resulting number of colonies is given in parenthesis.

Figure 5. Recombinant expression of TNF- α vaccine candidates in *S. pombe*, *E. coli*, and *Drosophila* S2 cells. Wild-type TNF- α , clones 4, 7, 12, 18, 25, and the negative control clones 59 and 60 were expressed without the invertase moiety in *S. pombe*, *E. coli*, and *Drosophila* cells. (A) The protein concentrations of the various TNF- α species were determined using a quantitative sandwich ELISA specific for TNF- α . ELISA was performed on culture supernatants (*S. pombe* and *Drosophila* cells) or on cell-free protein extracts (*E. coli*) and plotted as a logarithmic histogram. (B) Western blot analysis of culture supernatants from 4-day old *Drosophila* S2 cell cultures. 25 μ L samples were loaded in each lane. The name of each variant is indicated above the individual lanes. 50 (first lane from left) and 100 ng (second lane from left) of pure wild-type TNF- α protein were loaded as controls.

(Invitrogen) by electroporation using a Gene Pulser II (Bio-Rad) and grown in liquid or agar solid LB-media (Invitrogen) containing 50 μ g/mL carbencillin (Sigma). All plasmids are sequenced in a region covering modifications to check the fidelity of the constructs, using the BigDye kit (Applied Biosystem) on an ABI PRISM 310 Sequence analyzer (Applied Biosystem).

Construction of the *S. pombe* invertase knock-out strain

The *S. pombe* invertase knockout strain PY-17 (*mat1-P* Δ *mat2,3::LEU2*⁻ *leu1* Δ *inv1::ura4*⁺) was generated from Eg660 obtained from O. Nielsen (University of Copenhagen). The *inv1* locus was disrupted in the Eg660 wild type *S. pombe* strain (kindly provided by O. Nielsen, University of Copenhagen) by replacing the complete open reading frame of *inv1* with the *S. pombe ura4* gene to produce the strain, *mat1-P* Δ *mat2,3::LEU2*⁻ *leu1* Δ *inv1* (PY-17). Approximately, 1000 bp of sequences upstream of the initiating ATG of *inv1* was amplified by PCR using *S. pombe* genomic DNA as template and the following oligonucleotides: 5'-ATCTAGACA AAATACATCTTATGTATTGAACATTAGAAG-3' and

5'-AGAATTCGCAAATGCCACTAGCTAAAATATATT TC-3'. Around 1000 bp of sequences located downstream of the *inv1* stop codon was amplified by PCR using *S. pombe* genomic DNA as template and the following oligonucleotides: 5'-AATCGATGCCATTAA AGACTATCTGGAATTGC-3' and 5'-AGGTACCTTGC AAACAATACA TATTTGTGG-3'. The two PCR products were linked to the *S. pombe ura4* gene through *Eco*RI and *Cla*I sites and the chimeric molecule was inserted into pBluescriptIISK(-) using *Kpn*I and *Xba*I sites. A linearized DNA fragment carrying the disrupted *inv1* gene was used to transform a wild-type haploid strain. To confirm the disruption of the *inv1* gene, *ura*⁺ transformants were analyzed by PCR to verify correct integration of construct.

Construction of the screening vector

A 1680 bp fragment encoding amino acids 23–581 of *inv1* was amplified by PCR using *S. pombe* genomic DNA as template and the following oligonucleotides: 5'-ACCATGGCTCCCCGTCA CTTATATGTAA-3' and 5'-AGCGGCCGCTTAGCAATTCCAGA TAGTCTTTA ATG-3'. The resulting PCR product was digested with *Nco*I and *Not*I and inserted into *Nco*I/*Not*I restricted pCpy-GFP³, thereby fusing the *inv1* gene with the Cpy secretion signal sequence (pNMT-Cpy-*inv1*). Next, the screening vector (pCpy-*inv1*-lib) was generated for in-frame insertion of protein encoding libraries between the Cpy signal peptide and the *inv1* gene. First, the *Sac*I site found the downstream of the *nmt* terminator in the pNMT-Cpy-*inv1* vector was destroyed by *Sac*I restriction followed by end-filling with T4 DNA polymerase and religation. Second, a stop codon and a *Sac*I site were inserted immediately after the *Nco*I site located in the fusion point of the Cpy signal sequence and *inv1* gene as described in the following. The 5' of the *inv1* gene was amplified by PCR using the oligonucleotides: 5'-TATCCATGGCATGAGCTCCCCGTCACTTATATG TAAACG-3' and 5'-CAGTGGTATACGCAATAGC CTG-3'. The PCR product was restricted with *Nco*I and *Bsp*EI and ligated into *Nco*I/*Bsp*EI digested pNMT-Cpy-*inv1*, creating the final screening vector. For cloning of the library into the expression cassette the recognition sequences for the restriction enzymes *Nco*I and *Sac*I are situated between Cpy and invertase. In between these restriction sites a stop codon is placed in-frame of the invertase gene to prevent background from uncut or religated plasmids in the screen. The library of variants was cloned from the pUC19-based library plasmid by excising the sequences by *Nco*I and *Sac*I restriction and ligating into *Nco*I/*Sac*I digested screening plasmid.

Construction of TNF- α variant library

For insertion mutagenesis, the MuA transposon kit from Finnzymes was used according to the

manufacturer's directions. The template DNA was made by PCR amplification of a human wt-TNF- α synthetic gene made by GeneArt GMBH, using the primers 5'-GCACCATA TGCCATGGTGCCT-CAAGCTCGCGCAGCCGA-3' and 5'-TTACGC-CAAGCTTGAGCTCCCAATGCGAT AATGCCGAAG-TAGACC-3'. Fragments were ligated into *Nde*I and *Hind* III -digested pUC19 plasmid (Invitrogen).

The TNF- α coding expression cassette containing transposon inserts was removed from the vector using *Nde*I/*Sac*I double digestion and reintroduced into a fresh pUC19 plasmid to remove plasmid containing transposon insertions outside of TNF- α . The transposon was then removed by the restriction enzyme *Not*I followed by de-phosphorylation, and interchanged with insert fragment encoding PADRE flanked by *Not*I restriction sites. For details see Figure 1(A) and Supporting Information Figure (B). As the insert integrates in all the three reading frames and in both orientations, it results in six different insert sequences. One of these insertions equals the epitope, three others result in different ORF peptides, and two introduce a stop codon in the TNF- α cDNA sequence. Hence, the library comprises cDNA species encoding TNF- α variants with inserts of four different amino acid sequences and nonproductive species (variants with stop codons).

To make the PADRE insert the two primers 5'-GC GGCCGCTAAGTTTCGTTGCAGCTTGGACCCTTAAGG CGG-3' and 5'-CCGCCTTAAGGGTCCAAGCTGCAAC GAACTTAGCGGCCGC-3' were annealed and cloned into *Not*I-digested pVAX vector (Invitrogen). The *Not*I restriction site adds two additional alanines to the insert sequence. This plasmid was amplified in *E. coli* and the PADRE insert was recovered by *Not*I digestion.

Immunocytochemistry

About 2×10^6 cells were labeled with antibodies as described elsewhere.³³ For labeling of TNF- α , monoclonal antibody Hyb 256-01 was used (1 μ g in 100 μ L of 50 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% BSA, pH 8.0), followed by incubation with rabbit anti-mouse Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes, 1 μ g in 100 μ L of same buffer as above). The microscopic analysis was performed using an Axioplan 2 (Carl Zeiss) microscope equipped with a MicroMax (Roper Scientific) cooled CCD camera and MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging).

S. pombe screening

S. pombe transformations were performed using a PEG/lithium acetate procedure.¹⁴ Transformants were washed twice in media without glucose before plating. *S. pombe* cells were grown at 30°C. EMM-media with the different carbon sources were prepared as described elsewhere.¹⁵ Selection media were always freshly made with filter-sterilized ultra

pure sucrose (Invitrogen, Cat. #15503-022). The number of transformants was estimated to be $\sim 4,000$ on each 10 cm dish based on the number of colonies appearing on the nonselective 2% glucose media.

S. pombe plasmid rescue, colony PCR, and invertase assay

A single colony was inoculated in 5 mL EMM-sucrose media and grown at 30°C until cell density had reached 1×10^7 cells/mL. For invertase assay, cells were diluted exactly 5×10^6 cells/mL, and then the assay was performed as described elsewhere.¹⁶ For colony PCR cells from 1 mL culture were mixed with 500 μ L extraction buffer and 250 μ L glass beads. Cell disruption was then performed mechanically by Fastprep for 15 s. After 1 min centrifugation at 13,000 rpm, 1 μ L supernatant was used for a PCR with the primers 5'-CGTTTTACATATAAGTG ACGGGGAGCTCAGAGGG-C3' and 5'-/CCGGGTCAT CATTACTATTACTAACGAGCT-3'. For plasmid rescue cells were harvested and washed once in 5 mL milliQ H₂O. 500 μ L extraction buffer (100 mM Tris pH 7.6, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.2M NaCl) and glass beads until 2 mm below the liquid surface were added. Plasmids were extracted using phenol/chloroform extraction. Briefly, after addition of 500 μ L phenol/ chloroform/isoamylalcohol (25:24:1), cells were mechanically disrupted for 15 s in Fastprep. The phenol phase was removed after 5 min centrifugation at 20,000 rpm. A second phenol extraction was performed and the DNA extracted by EtOH precipitation. The plasmid preparation was transformed into DH10B (Invitrogen) and plasmids were sequenced.

Recombinant expression of TNF- α variants in S. pombe

The expression cassettes of the TNF- α variants were amplified by PCR using the primers 5'-CG TTTTACATATAAGTGACGGGGAGCTCAGAGGGC-3' and 5'-CCGGGTCATCATTACTATTACTAACGAG CT-3' and cut with the restriction enzymes *Nco*I and *Hind*III and cloned into the screening vector also linearised with *Nco*I and *Hind*III, hereby removing the invertase moiety. The plasmid was transformed into PY-17. A single *S. pombe* colony was grown ON in a 3 mL preculture EMM-glucose medium containing 2% broad bean peptone and 15 μ M thiamine to suppress the promoter. The ON cultures were used to inoculate fresh expression medium at OD₆₀₀ = 0.2. The expression medium was 10 mL EMM-glucose medium containing 5% broad bean peptone (Fluka) and CS-LEU amino acid supplement (Q-BIO gene), but no thiamine. Culture supernatants were harvested by centrifugation after 30 h of growth. Growth media were used for protein quantification and expression level was normalised relative to the

OD₆₀₀ value of the single cultures at the time of harvest.

Recombinant expression of TNF- α variants in *E. coli*

The expression cassettes of the TNF- α variants were amplified by PCR using 5'-CGTTTACATATAAGTGACGGGAGCTCAGAGGGC-3' and 5'-CCGGGTCATCATTACTATTACTAACGAGCT-3' and cloned into the pET28b vector by standard techniques using the restriction enzymes *Nco*I and *Hind*III. *E. coli* BL21-star, containing the various expression constructs, were grown to mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.4) in 50 mL LB media with 60 μ g/mL kanamycin (Sigma). Then the expression was induced with addition of 0.7 mM IPTG (Boehringer Mannheim) and cells were further cultivated for 5 h. 1 mL of cells was harvested, pelleted, and resuspended in 1 mL of sonication buffer [20 mM Bis-Tris pH 6.0, 40 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, containing CompleteTM protease inhibitor (Roche)]. Cells were sonicated on ice 4 times for 15 s, with 20 s pauses, and centrifuged at 20,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 minutes. Cell-lysis supernatants were used for protein quantification.

Recombinant expression of TNF- α variants in *Drosophila* S2 cells

The TNF- α and variants cDNA were amplified from the screening vector by the primers 5'-TCAGCTGAAATCCCGATCTCAATATGAAGTTATGCATATTACTGGCCGTCGTGGCCTTTGTTGGCCTCTCTGGGATGGTCAGATCATCTTCTCGAACCCCG-3' and 5'-CGGTCTAGAGGATCCATCACTATTAGAGGGCAATGATCCCAAAGTAGACCTGCCC-3'. The 5' includes the signal sequence of Bip, for directing the protein through the secretory pathway. The fragment was then introduced into p2Zop2F vector linearised with the restriction enzymes *Hind*III and *Bam*HI. *Drosophila* S2 cells (ATCC CRL-1963) were used as expression host and plasmid transfection was done using the Saint-18 transfection reagent (Cytotech) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were grown in 4 mL Excell-420 media (JRH Biosciences) with 10% FBS in 25 cm² T-flasks at 37°C for 4 days.

Quantification of TNF- α variants using ELISA

The assay was performed as described previously,²⁶ with the following modifications. Maxisorp microtiter plates were coated with 100 μ L of antimouse antibody (Dako Z0109), diluted 1:1000 in carbonate 0.1M, and pH 9.0. After blocking (1% BSA in PBS, pH 7.2) 100 μ L of MAB210 (R&D Biosystems) diluted to 0.5 μ g/mL in ELISA buffer (1% BSA, 0.05% Tween 20 in, PBS, pH 7.2) was transferred to the plates.

Western blots

Following electrophoresis on NuPAGE 4–12% SDS gels (Invitrogen), protein samples were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes by semidry blotting. For immunoreaction incubation with rabbit TNF- α antiserum (1 h; dilution 1:10,000) or rabbit IL-5 antiserum or rabbit GFP antiserum (Abcam 6556) and with horse radish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Dako P448) was carried out in Tris 50 mM, NaCl 150 mM, EDTA 5 mM, Igepal 0.1%, and gelatin 0.5%. Antibodies were diluted 1:10,000 and incubations were performed at room temperature for 1 h. Detection was with ECLTM reagents (GE Healthcare).

Acknowledgment

The *S. pombe* strain Eg660 was obtained from O. Nielsen (University of Copenhagen). This work was funded by the Danish ministry of science, technology and innovation and Pharmexa A/S.

References

1. Maxwell KL, Mittermaier AK, Forman-Kay JD, Davidson AR (1999) A simple in vivo assay for increased protein solubility. *Protein Sci* 8:1908–1911.
2. Cha HJ, Wu CF, Valdes JJ, Rao G, Bentley WE (2000) Observations of green fluorescent protein as a fusion partner in genetically engineered *Escherichia coli*: monitoring protein expression and solubility. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 67:565–574.
3. Cabantous S, Waldo GS (2006) In vivo and in vitro protein solubility assays using split GFP. *Nat Methods* 3: 845–854.
4. Wigley WC, Stidham RD, Smith NM, Hunt JF, Thomas PJ (2001) Protein solubility and folding monitored in vivo by structural complementation of a genetic marker protein. *Nat Biotechnol* 19:131–136.
5. Fisher AC, Kim W, DeLisa MP (2006) Genetic selection for protein solubility enabled by the folding quality control feature of the twin-arginine translocation pathway. *Protein Sci* 15:449–458.
6. Boder ET, Wittrup KD (1997) Yeast surface display for screening combinatorial polypeptide libraries. *Nat Biotechnol* 15:553–557.
7. Hagihara Y, Kim PS (2002) Toward development of a screen to identify randomly encoded, foldable sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99:6619–6624.
8. Ellgaard L, Helenius A (2003) Quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Nat Rev* 4:181–191.
9. Kleizen B, Braakman I (2004) Protein folding and quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 16:343–349.
10. Feldmann M, Maini RN (2003) Lasker clinical medical research award. TNF defined as a therapeutic target for rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune diseases. *Nat Med* 9:1245–1250.
11. Alexander J, Sidney J, Southwood S, Ruppert J, Oseroff C, Maewal A, Snoke K, Serra HM, Kubo RT, Sette A, Grey HM (1994) Development of high potency universal DR-restricted helper epitopes by modification of high affinity DR-blocking peptides. *Immunity* 1: 751–761.

12. Giga-Hama Y, Kumagai H (1999) Expression system for foreign genes using the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* 30:235–244.
13. De La Fuente G, Sols A (1962) Transport of sugars in yeasts. II. Mechanisms of utilization of disaccharides and related glycosides. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 56:49–62.
14. Moreno S, Klar A, Nurse P (1991) Molecular genetic analysis of fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Methods Enzymol* 194:795–823.
15. Moreno S, Sanchez Y, Rodriguez L (1990) Purification and characterization of the invertase from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. A comparative analysis with the invertase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochem J* 267:697–702.
16. Darsow T, Odorizzi G, Emr SD (2000) Invertase fusion proteins for analysis of protein trafficking in yeast. *Methods Enzymol* 327:95–106.
17. Kowalski JM, Parekh RN, Mao J, Wittrup KD (1998) Protein folding stability can determine the efficiency of escape from endoplasmic reticulum quality control. *J Biol Chem* 273:19453–19458.
18. Jacobs KA, Collins-Racie LA, Colbert M, Duckett M, Golden-Fleet M, Kelleher K, Kriz R, LaVallie ER, Merberg D, Spaulding V, Stover J, Williamson MJ, McCoy JM (1997) A genetic selection for isolating cDNAs encoding secreted proteins. *Gene* 198:289–296.
19. Klein RD, Gu Q, Goddard A, Rosenthal A (1996) Selection for genes encoding secreted proteins and receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93:7108–7113.
20. Tabuchi M, Iwaihara O, Ohtani Y, Ohuchi N, Sakurai J, Morita T, Iwahara S, Takegawa K (1997) Vacuolar protein sorting in fission yeast: cloning, biosynthesis, transport, and processing of carboxypeptidase Y from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *J Bacteriol* 179:4179–4189.
21. Kjaerulff S, Jensen MR (2005) Comparison of different signal peptides for secretion of heterologous proteins in fission yeast. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 336:974–982.
22. Hertz M, Mahalingam S, Dalum I, Klysner S, Mattes J, Neisig A, Mouritsen S, Foster PS, Gautam A (2001) Active vaccination against IL-5 bypasses immunological tolerance and ameliorates experimental asthma. *J Immunol* 167:3792–3799.
23. Moreno S, Ruiz T, Sanchez Y, Villanueva JR, Rodriguez L (1985) Subcellular localization and glycoprotein nature of the invertase from the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Arch Microbiol* 142:370–374.
24. Haapa S, Taira S, Heikkinen E, Savilahti H (1999) An efficient and accurate integration of mini-Mu transposons in vitro: a general methodology for functional genetic analysis and molecular biology applications. *Nucleic Acids Res* 27:2777–2784.
25. Idiris A, Bi K, Tohda H, Kumagai H, Giga-Hama Y (2006) Construction of a protease-deficient strain set for the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, useful for effective production of protease-sensitive heterologous proteins. *Yeast* 23:83–99.
26. Nielsen FS, Sauer J, Backlund J, Voldborg B, Gregorius K, Mouritsen S, Bratt T (2004) Insertion of foreign T cell epitopes in human tumor necrosis factor alpha with minimal effect on protein structure and biological activity. *J Biol Chem* 279:33593–33600.
27. Shin HC, Cho KH (2005) Mutational analysis of human tumor necrosis factor-alpha. *Biotechnol Lett* 27:107–112.
28. Van Ostade X, Vandenabeele P, Tavernier J, Fiers W (1994) Human tumor necrosis factor mutants with preferential binding to and activity on either the R55 or R75 receptor. *Eur J Biochem* 220:771–779.
29. Bodmer JL, Schneider P, Tschopp J (2002) The molecular architecture of the TNF superfamily. *Trends Biochem Sci* 27:19–26.
30. Jones EY, Stuart DI, Walker NP (1992) Crystal structure of TNF. *Immunol Ser* 56:93–127.
31. Park S, Xu Y, Stowell XF, Gai F, Saven JG, Boder ET (2006) Limitations of yeast surface display in engineering proteins of high thermostability. *Protein Eng Des Sel* 19:211–217.
32. Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) *Molecular cloning* 3 Edition. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
33. Boder ET, Wittrup KD (2000) Yeast surface display for directed evolution of protein expression, affinity, and stability. *Methods Enzymol* 328:430–444.