Published in final edited form as:

Am J Med. 2010 May ; 123(5): 439–445. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2009.10.014.

Prevalence and Correlates of Skin Cancer Screening among Middle-Aged and Older White Adults in the United States

Elliot J. Coups, PhD¹, Alan C. Geller, MPH, RN², Martin A. Weinstock, MD, PhD³, Carolyn J. Heckman, PhD⁴, and Sharon L. Manne, PhD⁴

¹ The Cancer Institute of New Jersey and UMDNJ-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Department of Medicine, New Brunswick, NJ

² Division of Public Health Practice, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA

³ Dermatoepidemiology Unit, Veterans Affairs Medical Center Providence; Department of Dermatology, Rhode Island Hospital; and Departments of Dermatology and Community Health, Brown University, Providence, RI

⁴ Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA

Abstract

Background—Total skin examinations performed by a physician have the potential to identify skin cancers at an early stage, when they are most amenable to successful treatment. This study examined the prevalence rates of, and factors associated with, receipt of a total skin examination by a dermatologist or other doctor during the past year.

Methods—The participants were 10,486 white men and women aged 50 years and older drawn from a random sample of 31,428 adults aged 18 years and older who took part in the 2005 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). The data were collected via in-person interviews, and participants answered questions regarding their receipt of total skin examinations, their demographic characteristics, health and healthcare access, receipt of other cancer screenings, and personal and family history of skin cancer.

Results—Sixteen percent of men and 13% of women reported having a skin examination in the past year. The factors associated with lowest skin examination rates in multivariable analyses included younger age (50–64 years), lower education level, lack of screening for colorectal, breast (women only), and prostate cancers (men only), and lack of a personal history of skin cancer.

Conclusions—Rates of having a skin examination in the past year were low among men and women and among all sub-groups. Systematic efforts are needed to increase screening rates among higher risk individuals. Physicians should be particularly aware of the need to consider skin cancer screening examinations for their male, elderly patients, as well as individuals with less education.

Keywords

skin examination; screening; skin cancer; melanoma

Address for correspondence and reprints: Elliot J. Coups, Ph.D., The Cancer Institute of New Jersey, 195 Little Albany Street, 5th floor, room 5567, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, Tel: 732 235 8076, Fax: 732 235 8808, coupsej@umdnj.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Melanoma incidence has been increasing for decades. In 1960, the lifetime risk of melanoma in the United States was 1 in 800,¹ and it is currently 1 in 39 for men and 1 in 58 for women.² The risk of melanoma increases significantly with age, and melanoma rates have increased most dramatically among middle-aged and older white men, who comprise nearly 50% of all melanoma deaths.³ An estimated 68,720 Americans will be diagnosed with melanoma in 2009, and the disease will account for 8,650 deaths.²

The early detection and treatment of melanoma is a critical approach for reducing disease mortality.⁴ Melanoma survival rates are inversely related to tumor thickness at diagnosis,⁵ and physicians are able to detect thinner melanomas than patients or their family members.⁶ Full-body skin cancer screening is a safe, non-invasive, painless procedure that can be performed by dermatologists as well as primary care physicians.^{8, 9} Recent evidence indicates that physician skin examinations may reduce the incidence of thick melanomas.¹⁰ There is, however, a lack of consensus regarding recommendations for full-body skin cancer screening performed by physicians. Due to the lack of randomized controlled trials of the effect of skin cancer screening on disease morbidity and mortality, the United States Preventive Services Task Force has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against such screening.¹¹ However, other agencies recommend periodic skin cancer screening examinations. The American Cancer Society recommends that physicians screen individuals aged 20 years and older for skin cancer as part of a cancer-related checkup that can be incorporated into a periodic general health examination.¹²

Several studies have documented skin cancer screening rates from nationally representative surveys of U.S. adults. Saraiya et al.¹³ reported that 15% of adults participating in the 2000 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) had ever been screened for skin cancer by a physician and 8% had a recent screening (i.e., within the past 3 years for those aged 18–39 and within the past year for those aged 40 and older). Using data from the 2000 and 2005 NHIS and focusing only on adult workers, LeBlanc et al.¹⁴ found similar screening rates to those reported by Saraiya et al.¹³ Characteristics associated with higher reported screening rates in prior studies include older age, white race, higher educational level, having health insurance, greater use of sunscreen, and a family history of melanoma.^{13, 14}

Incidence rates of melanoma are rising among middle-aged and older white adults and the mortality rate for men aged 50 and older continues to increase. Given the lack of data on skin cancer screening rates in these population subgroups, the current study examined the sex-stratified prevalence and correlates of having a total skin examination in the past year among white individuals aged 50 years and over. Potential correlates examined included demographics, health and healthcare access, screening for cancers other than skin cancer, and skin cancer personal and family history.

Methods

Procedure

We used data from the 2005 NHIS, which is an annual, national probability health survey of civilian, non-institutionalized U.S. adults. Trained interviewers from the U.S. Census Bureau interview NHIS participants in their own homes and the survey utilizes a multistage, clustered, cross-sectional design, including state-level stratification, and oversampling of Hispanic and black individuals. Additional information regarding the 2005 NHIS is available elsewhere.¹⁵

Participants

A total of 31,428 individuals were selected as Sample Adults for the 2005 NHIS. We excluded individuals who were under the age of 50, not white, or did not indicate whether

they had a total skin examination in the past year, leaving an available sample size of 10,486 participants. We only included individuals reporting white race due to their considerably higher rate of developing melanoma compared to other racial groups (e.g., ten-fold risk compared to African Americans).²

Measures

Demographics—Participants reported their age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, and marital status, and their region of residence (Northeast, Midwest, South, or West) was noted.

Health and healthcare access—Participants indicated their overall health (using a 5-point scale from *poor* to *excellent*), their source of preventive healthcare, and whether they had any private or public healthcare insurance coverage. Participants also reported the number of times they visited a physician in the past year. We report data for this variable for descriptive purposes but did not examine its association with receipt of skin cancer screening (since individuals reporting no visits could not have received a physician skin examination in the past year).

Receipt of screening for colorectal, breast, and prostate cancer—Participants completed questions regarding the timing of their last sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy (asked as a single question) and home-based fecal occult blood test. Consistent with prior research using NHIS data,^{16, 17} we denoted individuals as being adherent to colorectal cancer screening guidelines¹⁸ if they reported having a sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy in the past 10 years or a home-based fecal occult blood test in the past year. Women were asked when they last received a mammogram and we coded responses according to whether they had received one in the past year. Men were asked to indicate when they last had a prostate specific antigen (PSA) test and we denoted them as either having or not having a test in the past year.

Personal and family history of skin cancer—Participants indicated whether they had ever been diagnosed with skin cancer, and if so, what type of cancer. They were also asked whether a first-degree relative had ever been diagnosed with skin cancer, and if so, what kind of cancer it was.

Receipt of a total skin examination—Participants were asked whether they had ever had a total skin examination performed by a dermatologist or other doctor (asked as a single question), and if so, when the most recent examination was performed. We coded individuals in terms of whether they reported having a total skin examination in the past year.

Statistical Analyses

Using a series of chi-square analyses stratified according to sex, we examined the association between each correlate and reported past year receipt of a total skin examination. We conducted follow-up multiple logistic regression analyses stratified by sex, with the significant correlates (at $p < .01$) from the chi-square analyses as independent variables and past year total skin examination status as the dichotomous outcome variable. All analyses were conducted using SUDAAN (version 9.0.1, Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC), which allowed for weighting based on design, ratio, and nonresponse adjustments, and poststratification adjustments for 2000 U.S. Census-based estimates of age, sex, and race/ethnicity. In view of the large sample sizes for the analyses, we used a cutoff of $p < .01$ to determine statistical significance for all analyses.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Just over half (54%) of the participants were female. Almost all participants (91%) reported at least one visit to a physician in the past year (median = 4-5 visits). As shown in the first column in Table 1, there was considerable variability with regard to participants' region of residence and level of education. Half of the participants reported their health as being very good or excellent, three-quarters reported receiving their preventive health care at a doctor's office or HMO, and more than two-thirds reported having private health insurance. Around half of the participants met the guidelines for colorectal cancer screening, with a similar percentage of women reporting a past year mammography, and 43% of men reporting a PSA test in the past year. Relatively few individuals reported either a personal or family history of skin cancer.

Sex-Stratified Correlates of Having a Total Skin Examination in the Past Year

The rate of having a past year skin examination was significantly higher among men than women, although the absolute rates were low for both groups (16% and 13%, respectively, $p = .001$). As shown in Table 1, men aged 50–64 years were less likely to have had a skin examination in the past year than older men. Among women, those aged 50–64 years and 80 years and older were less likely to have had a skin examination in the past year than those aged 65–79 years.

Among both men and women, lower skin examination screening rates were reported among those with less education. Not being married or partnered was associated with a lower past year skin examination rate among men but not among women. Self-reported health was not associated with skin examination screening rates among men or women. Lower skin examination rates were found among men and women who do not receive preventive care from a doctor's office or HMO, as well as among those who reported having no healthcare coverage.

For each type of cancer screening examined (colorectal, breast, prostate), individuals lacking screening had a lower reported rate of having a past year skin examination. Having no personal history of skin cancer was associated with a lower past year skin examination rate among men and women. Lack of a family history of skin cancer was also linked with a lower past year skin examination rate among men and women.

The results of the multiple logistic regression analyses are shown in Table 2. The factors associated with lower reported rates of having a past year skin examination were consistent across men and women. These factors included younger age (50–64 years), lower education, lack of screening for other cancers (i.e., colorectal cancer screening for men and women, mammography for women, and PSA testing for men), and not having a personal history of skin cancer.

Discussion

This study used data from a nationally representative sample to examine the sex-stratified prevalence and correlates of having a total skin examination in the past year among white individuals aged 50 years and over. By focusing on this population at increased risk of dying from melanoma, the study results highlight subgroups who may benefit most from interventions to promote skin cancer screening. Identifying correlates of screening provides information on factors that may promote or hinder receipt of a physician routine total skin examination. Consistent with prior research,^{13, 14} overall rates of having a skin examination in the past year were very low (16% among men and 13% among women) and leave

considerable room for improvement. Of note, the skin examination screening rates were considerably lower than the screening rates for breast (54%), prostate (43%), and colorectal cancers (51%) found in the current study. This is likely due to multiple physician, patient-related, and systems factors, including inconsistent skin examination screening recommendations, physicians' perceived lack of time for performing an examination, lack of relevant training, lack of patient demand for examinations, intake forms that rarely highlight the need for a skin examination, and inadequate reimbursement.^{9, 19, 20}

There is equivocal evidence from prior studies regarding potential differences in total skin examination rates between men and women.^{13, 14, 21} We found men to have a significantly higher skin examination rate than women (16% and 13%, respectively), although the absolute difference in screening rates was small. Lower rates of skin examination screening were observed among those with a lower level of education. Given the poorer disease prognosis for individuals who are diagnosed with melanoma and have a lower socioeconomic status²² (who are more likely to have a lower level of education), comprehensive efforts to increase total skin examination rates are needed across population subgroups.

Results of the bivariate analyses indicated that reported skin examination screening rates were lower among both men and women with poorer healthcare access and coverage. However, these associations were no longer statistically significant in the multivariable analyses, suggesting that they were accounted for by other variables such as education level. Having a personal history of skin cancer was linked with a greater likelihood of having a skin examination in the past year for both men and women. However, from one third to more than half of these individuals did not have an examination in the past year, pointing out the need for regular ascertainment, documentation, prompting, and education regarding personal history of skin cancer. Having a family history of skin cancer was also linked with a higher skin examination screening rate among men and women, although the rates were considerably lower than those for individuals with a personal history of skin cancer. Physicians should routinely recommend that their patients with a personal history of skin cancer discuss the importance of skin cancer screening with their family members. However, the vast majority of melanoma diagnoses occur in individuals without a family history of skin cancer.

Individuals who reported not having a past year skin examination were more likely not to have been screened for colorectal, breast (among women), and prostate (among men) cancers. This may reflect general healthcare access barriers such as lack of insurance or underinsurance. These results also suggest the importance of physicians assessing patients' receipt of multiple cancer screenings, for example by including a comprehensive set of relevant questions on intake forms. Such assessments, and subsequent physician recommendations to undergo screening, may also be conducted as part of a cancer-related checkup during a general health examination,¹² particularly for patients with well-established risk factors for melanoma.²³ The use of chart prompts may also remind physicians to encourage patients at higher risk for melanoma to engage in skin self-examination and to report changes to existing moles or the development of new moles. Achieving widespread receipt of screening for multiple cancers will require systematic, coordinated efforts at all levels of the healthcare system, including providers, practices, and managed care organizations.

Limitations

Although self-reports of total skin examinations have been found to have high sensitivity,²⁴ participants' reported receipt of total skin examinations in the current study may not correspond with actual examinations performed by a physician. Further, participants were

only asked about total skin examinations performed by a dermatologist or other physician, and thus skin examinations performed by other healthcare providers (such as nurses or advanced practice clinicians) are not captured. The cross-sectional nature of the study design precludes determination of the causal nature of observed associations.

Conclusions

Rates of having a total skin examination in the past year were low across all age/sex subgroups examined in this study. Physicians should be particularly aware of the need to consider skin cancer screening examinations for their male, elderly patients as well as individuals with less education. Lack of screening for skin cancer was associated with lack of screening for other cancers. There are likely multiple barriers to skin examination screening for physicians and their patients. Further research is needed to develop and test interventions that both increase patient awareness of the importance of periodic total skin examinations and promote physician delivery of such examinations.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Cancer Institute grants 5K07CA108685-04 (Heckman), 5K05CA109008-05 (Manne), and P30CA006927 (Fox Chase Cancer Center Core Grant). None of the authors have any conflict of interest associated with this research. All authors had access to the data and a role in writing the manuscript. This research was presented at the 2009 annual meeting of the Society of Behavioral Medicine, Montreal, Canada.

References

1. Lens MB, Dawes M. Global perspectives of contemporary epidemiological trends of cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol* 2004;150:179–85. [PubMed: 14996086]
2. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures 2009. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2009.
3. Geller AC, Swetter SM, Brooks K, Demierre MF, Yaroch AL. Screening, early detection, and trends for melanoma: current status (2000–2006) and future directions. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2007;57:555–72. 573–6. quiz. [PubMed: 17870429]
4. Wartman D, Weinstock M. Are we overemphasizing sun avoidance in protection from melanoma? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:469–70. [PubMed: 18319330]
5. Margolis DJ, Halpern AC, Rebbeck T, et al. Validation of a melanoma prognostic model. *Arch Dermatol* 1998;134:1597–601. [PubMed: 9875200]
6. Epstein DS, Lange JR, Gruber SB, Mofid M, Koch SE. Is physician detection associated with thinner melanomas? *JAMA* 1999;281:640–3. [PubMed: 10029126]
7. Schwartz JL, Wang TS, Hamilton TA, Lowe L, Sondak VK, Johnson TM. Thin primary cutaneous melanomas: associated detection patterns, lesion characteristics, and patient characteristics. *Cancer* 2002;95:1562–8. [PubMed: 12237926]
8. Chen SC, Pennie ML, Kolm P, et al. Diagnosing and managing cutaneous pigmented lesions: primary care physicians versus dermatologists. *J Gen Intern Med* 2006;21:678–82. [PubMed: 16808765]
9. Geller AC, O'Riordan DL, Oliveria SA, Valvo S, Teich M, Halpern AC. Overcoming obstacles to skin cancer examinations and prevention counseling for high-risk patients: results of a national survey of primary care physicians. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 2004;17:416–23. [PubMed: 15575033]
10. Aitken JF, Elwood M, Baade PD, Youl P, English D. Clinical whole-body skin examination reduces the incidence of thick melanomas. *Int J Cancer* 2010;126:450–8. [PubMed: 19609948]
11. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for skin cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;150:188–93. [PubMed: 19189908]
12. Smith RA, Cokkinides V, Brawley OW. Cancer screening in the United States, 2009: a review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and issues in cancer screening. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2009;59:27–41. [PubMed: 19147867]

13. Saraiya M, Hall HI, Thompson T, et al. Skin cancer screening among U.S. adults from 1992, 1998, and 2000 National Health Interview Surveys. *Prev Med* 2004;39:308–14. [PubMed: 15226039]
14. LeBlanc WG, Vidal L, Kirsner RS, et al. Reported skin cancer screening of US adult workers. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2008;59:55–63. [PubMed: 18436338]
15. National Center for Health Statistics. NHIS Survey Description. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2006 [March 2, 2010]. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/quest_data_related_1997_forward.htm
16. Coups EJ, Manne SL, Meropol NJ, Weinberg DS. Multiple behavioral risk factors for colorectal cancer and colorectal cancer screening status. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:510–6. [PubMed: 17372246]
17. Seeff LC, Nadel MR, Klabunde CN, et al. Patterns and predictors of colorectal cancer test use in the adult U.S. population. *Cancer* 2004;100:2093–103. [PubMed: 15139050]
18. Levin B, Lieberman DA, McFarland B, et al. Screening and surveillance for the early detection of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, 2008: a joint guideline from the American Cancer Society, the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer, and the American College of Radiology. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2008;58:130–60. [PubMed: 18322143]
19. Federman DG, Kravetz JD, Kirsner RS. Skin cancer screening by dermatologists: prevalence and barriers. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002;46:710–4. [PubMed: 12004312]
20. Kirsner RS, Mukherjee S, Federman DG. Skin cancer screening in primary care: prevalence and barriers. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1999;41:564–6. [PubMed: 10495376]
21. Rodriguez GL, Ma F, Federman DG, et al. Predictors of skin cancer screening practice and attitudes in primary care. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2007;57:775–81. [PubMed: 17764780]
22. Reyes-Ortiz CA, Goodwin JS, Freeman JL, Kuo YF. Socioeconomic status and survival in older patients with melanoma. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006;54:1758–64. [PubMed: 17087705]
23. Robinson JK, Mallett KA. The duty to inspect the skin and counsel those at risk to develop melanoma. *JAMA* 2009;301:1702–4. [PubMed: 19388140]
24. Aitken JF, Youl PH, Janda M, et al. Validity of self-reported skin screening histories. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159:1098–105. [PubMed: 15155295]

Table 1

Frequencies for Study Variables in the Full Sample and Sex-Stratified Correlates of Having a Total Skin Exam in the Past Year, 2005 National Health Interview Survey

	Frequency in Full Sample (N = 10,486) %	Prevalence of Having a Total Skin Exam in the Past Year	
		White Men n = 4,454 % ± 95% CI	White Women n = 6,032 % ± 95% CI
Full sample	–	15.7 ± 1.2	13.3 ± 1.0
Sex			
Male	46.4	–	–
Female	53.6	–	–
Missing (n)	0		
Age (years)			
50–64	58.5	12.3** ± 1.3	12.2** ± 1.2
65–79	30.3	21.8 ± 2.5	16.0 ± 1.8
≥80	11.2	17.8 ± 3.9	11.5 ± 2.2
Missing (n)	0		
Region			
Northeast	20.0	15.3 ± 2.3	16.3 ± 2.3
Midwest	26.0	14.0 ± 2.2	11.9 ± 1.6
South	34.2	16.5 ± 2.3	12.7 ± 1.7
West	19.8	16.8 ± 2.3	13.3 ± 2.5
Missing (n)	0		
Education level			
Some high school or less	17.2	9.4** ± 2.3	8.3** ± 1.7
High school graduate	32.1	11.8 ± 2.1	10.8 ± 1.6
Some college	24.9	14.6 ± 2.1	15.4 ± 1.9
College graduate	25.8	23.7 ± 2.7	19.2 ± 2.2
Missing (n)	93		
Marital status			
Married/partnered	68.5	16.8** ± 1.4	13.7 ± 1.3
Not married/partnered	31.5	11.4 ± 1.7	12.6 ± 1.3
Missing (n)	37		
Self-reported health			
Poor/fair	19.6	12.3 ± 2.5	11.6 ± 2.2
Good	30.8	15.9 ± 2.1	11.9 ± 1.6
Very good	29.8	15.9 ± 1.9	14.0 ± 1.7
Excellent	19.8	18.1 ± 3.2	16.2 ± 2.3
Missing (n)	5		
Source of preventive care			
Doctor's office or HMO	79.5	17.4** ± 1.4	14.5** ± 1.2
Clinic/health center/other	15.7	11.0 ± 2.6	9.2 ± 2.0
None	4.8	7.3 ± 3.1	1.6 [§] ± 2.2

	Frequency in Full Sample (<i>N</i> = 10,486) %	Prevalence of Having a Total Skin Exam in the Past Year	
		White Men <i>n</i> = 4,454 % ± 95% CI	White Women <i>n</i> = 6,032 % ± 95% CI
Missing (<i>n</i>)	7		
Healthcare coverage			
Private	72.0	16.9** ± 1.4	14.8** ± 1.2
Public	21.2	14.5 ± 2.5	11.0 ± 1.9
None	6.9	5.4 ± 2.9	4.5 ± 2.1
Missing (<i>n</i>)	12		
Colorectal cancer screening status [†]			
Meet guidelines	51.1	23.4** ± 1.9	18.1** ± 1.5
Do not meet guidelines	48.9	7.4 ± 1.2	8.7 ± 1.1
Missing (<i>n</i>)	356		
Mammography status			
Within last year	54.0	—	19.0** ± 1.6
Not within last year	46.0	—	6.9 ± 1.0
Missing (<i>n</i>)	206		
PSA test status			
Within last year	42.5	26.7** ± 2.4	—
Not within last year	57.5	8.1 ± 1.2	—
Missing (<i>n</i>)	252		
Skin cancer personal history			
No skin cancer	94.4	12.9** ± 1.1	12.0** ± 1.0
Melanoma	1.2	64.8 ± 11.6	40.6 ± 13.0
Non-melanoma	2.9	50.0 ± 8.7	37.9 ± 8.4
Unknown type	1.5	55.8 ± 12.4	39.8 ± 12.8
Missing (<i>n</i>)	14		
Skin cancer family history			
No skin cancer	89.3	14.5** ± 1.2	12.7** ± 1.0
Melanoma	2.9	29.1 ± 10.3	17.9 ± 5.6
Non-melanoma	3.7	32.3 ± 7.8	22.0 ± 5.4
Unknown type	4.1	20.1 ± 6.4	14.2 ± 6.2
Missing (<i>n</i>)	129		

Note. CI = confidence interval; HMO = health maintenance organization; PSA = prostate specific antigen. All percentages are weighted. Data Source: National Center for Health Statistics.

[†] Individuals were denoted as meeting colorectal cancer screening guidelines if they reported having a home-based fecal occult blood test (FOBT) within the past 12 months or endoscopy (flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy) within the past 10 years.

[§] Estimate has low reliability (i.e., coefficient of variation > 30%).

** $p < .001$, for the association between the variable and prevalence of having a total skin exam in the past year

Table 2

Sex-Stratified Multiple Logistic Regression Analyses Examining Correlates of Having a Total Skin Exam in the Past Year, 2005 National Health Interview Survey

	White Men (n = 4,075)		White Women (n = 5,659)	
	aOR	95% CI	aOR	95% CI
Age (years)				
50–64	Ref**		Ref*	
65–79	1.61	1.27–2.03	1.44	1.18–1.77
≥80	1.52	1.06–2.19	1.12	0.86–1.48
Education level				
Some high school or less	0.42	0.30–0.59	0.51	0.37–0.69
High school graduate	0.51	0.39–0.67	0.55	0.42–0.71
Some college	0.57	0.44–0.75	0.84	0.67–1.06
College graduate	Ref**		Ref**	
Marital status				
Married/partnered	Ref			
Not married/partnered	0.85	0.66–1.09		
Source of preventive care				
Doctor's office or HMO	Ref		Ref	
Clinic/health center/other	0.71	0.52–0.97	0.73	0.55–0.98
None	1.20	0.65–2.21	0.23	0.06–0.92
Healthcare coverage				
Private	Ref		Ref	
Public	0.81	0.62–1.05	0.85	0.67–1.09
None	0.83	0.42–1.62	0.77	0.46–1.30
Colorectal cancer screening status [†]				
Meet guidelines	Ref**		Ref**	
Do not meet guidelines	0.46	0.35–0.60	0.68	0.57–0.81
Mammography status				
Within last year			Ref**	
Not within last year			0.39	0.32–0.48
PSA test status				
Within last year	Ref**			
Not within last year	0.35	0.27–0.46		
Skin cancer personal history				
No skin cancer	Ref**		Ref**	
Melanoma	11.34	6.26–20.54	5.00	2.67–9.38
Non-melanoma	5.94	3.75–9.41	3.57	2.38–5.36
Unknown type	7.46	4.15–13.42	5.07	2.73–9.41
Skin cancer family history				

	White Men (<i>n</i> = 4,075)		White Women (<i>n</i> = 5,659)	
	aOR	95% CI	aOR	95% CI
No skin cancer	Ref		Ref	
Melanoma	1.73	0.95–3.12	1.32	0.88–1.98
Non-melanoma	1.66	1.02–2.71	1.34	0.92–1.95
Unknown type	1.26	0.79–2.02	0.94	0.51–1.73

Note. aOR = adjusted odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; HMO = health maintenance organization; PSA = prostate specific antigen; Ref = reference group. Data Source: National Center for Health Statistics.

[†] Individuals were denoted as meeting colorectal cancer screening guidelines if they reported having a home-based fecal occult blood test (FOBT) within the past 12 months or endoscopy (flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy) within the past 10 years.

* $p < .01$,

** $p < .001$, for the association between the variable and prevalence of having a total skin exam in the past year