Published in final edited form as:

Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 2010 April ; 45(2): 97–105. doi:10.3109/10409231003598812.

The Effect of Membrane Domains on the G protein – Phospholipase C β Signaling Pathway

Urszula Golebiewska and Suzanne Scarlata

Dept. of Biological Sciences, Queensborough Community College, Bayside, NY 11364-1497 and
Dept. of Physiology & Biophysics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8661

Abstract

The plasma membrane serves as a barrier to limit the exit and entry of components into and out of the cell offering protection from the external environment. Communication between the cell and the external environment is mediated by multiple signaling pathways. While the plasma membrane was historically viewed as a lipid bilayer with freely diffusing proteins, the last decade has shown that the lipids and proteins in the plasma membrane are organized in a non-random manner, and that this organization can direct and modify various signaling pathways in the cell. In this review, we qualitatively discuss the ways that membrane domains can affect cell signaling. We then focus on how membrane domains can affect a specific signaling pathways – the G protein – phospholipase C β pathway and show how membrane domains can play an active role in directing or redirecting G protein signals.

Key terms

Lipid signaling; Lipid rafts; Caveolae; G protein coupled receptors; Calcium signaling

What are membrane domains?

The definition of membrane domains is fuzzy at best. It can range from organized layers of annular lipids around a monomeric or multimeric protein, to aggregates of ordered phase lipids or large, organized protein domains. In this review, our working definition is that membrane domains are complexes of integral and peripheral proteins with varied and specific compositions, and that the different domains serve specific cellular functions. The composition of membrane domains is presumed to vary widely depending on the individual cell, its proximity to adhesion sites and cytoskeletal elements, the point of cell cycle, and other dynamic processes such as endocytosis and delivery of vesicular cargo from endosomes. Modes of formation and control of domain composition is an interesting question and out of the scope of this review.

For this review, we will only consider membrane domains that contain proteins and not those comprised entirely of lipids and other non-protein components. Membrane domains lacking proteins (lipid domains) have been well characterized in model systems, but their presence and dynamics in cell membranes is still controversial (for review see (Edinin, 2003)). Lipid domains are defined as aggregates of ordered phase lipids that are phase-separated from the surrounding fluid phase lipids (Fig. 1 and (Pierini and Maxfield, 2001)). Lipids that have a

Address correspondence to Suzanne.Scarlata@stonybrook.edu.

Authors Note – While the focus of this review is narrow in scope, it touches on many general areas of biochemistry and cell biology. The authors apologize for limiting the citations to review articles or exemplary manuscripts and not having a comprehensive citation list.

tendency to be in ordered phases at physiological temperatures are those with saturated chains, such as sphingolipids which are prevalent in rafts. Steroids such as cholesterol, which have rigid, hydrophobic rings tend to stiffen the neighboring lipid chains and participate in domain formation (Fig. 1)

While lipid domains are well-established in model systems, they are difficult to detect in living cells. Additionally, the amount of one of the key components that are used to form rafts in model membranes (i.e. sphingolipids) may not be high enough in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane for domains to form (Munro, 2003; Silvius, 2003; Wang and Silvius, 2001). However, it is entirely possible that the lipid/steroid composition required for the formation of rafts in model system is not applicable in cells, and that composition of non-protein membrane domains differs. Most likely, transient lipid and non-protein aggregates form, dissolve and reform depending on the dynamics of the local environment. These transient domains may then organize larger protein complexes.

It is unclear whether aggregates of lipids can immerse specific membrane proteins, or whether integral proteins are responsible for organizing their surrounding the lipids/steroids. It is possible that some lipids that make stable VanderWaals contacts with the hydrophobic portion of a specific set of integral protein (Fig. 2) and/or make energetic ionic or hydrogen bonds with the surface amino acid sides (e.g.(Soubias et al., 2006)), allowing an annular lipid layer to form around the protein that may extend into the surrounding membrane. Such extended interactions are unlikely. On the other hand, certain proteins are more likely to partition into the more ordered lipid domains and others prefer more fluid environment.

Lipid domains will certainly affect signals from the contained lipids and their associated pathways by sequestering the signaling lipid. These signals would either be enhanced or attenuated depending on the ability of the processing protein to partition into the domain and access the lipid. Lipid domains may also be instrumental in organizing peripheral membrane proteins that are post-synthetically modified with hydrocarbon chains (for overview see (Magee, 1990)). The nature of the lipid modification will determine whether a protein is contained or excluded from the domain. Since lipid domains are aggregates of ordered phase lipids, proteins that have been modified with long, saturated hydrocarbon chains, such as palmitoyl, should tend to partition into the domain. This preferential partitioning would reduce the diffusion coefficient of the attached protein, affect local concentration of the protein within domain, and affect accessibility of potential binding partners. Additionally, binding partners modified with saturated acyl chains will experience a local crowding effect and increased encounter rate. These rates would depend on the rates of partitioning in and out of the domains, the diffusion coefficient and concentration. Alternately, proteins that are modified with unsaturated chains, such as prenyl groups, are expected to be excluded from lipid ordered domains. Thus, the association of a protein with a saturated modification would be expected to have a reduced association with a protein with an unsaturated chain due to differences in localization. Interestingly, the α and $\beta\gamma$ subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins have different types of modifications; $G\alpha$ subunits can be reversibly modified with two saturated hydrocarbon chains while $G\beta\gamma$ subunits are irreversibly modified with unsaturated prenyl groups (e.g. (Moffett et al., 2000; Wedegaertner et al., 1995)) suggesting that domains would interfere with association of the subunits.

Unlike lipid domains, protein domains are less controversial because some are large enough to be detected by physical methods. The size and composition of protein domains range from small protein oligomers to large protein complexes. Additionally, the dynamics of association and dissociation of proteins in and out of the domains is also expected to vary considerably. These different environments can be assessed by diffusion measurements. Because the diffusion coefficient depends on the hydrodynamic radius of the molecule, larger protein

complexes should diffuse significantly slower than free monomeric proteins. Experimental results show that some proteins diffuse fast with diffusion coefficient similar to lipids while others diffuse very slowly, and their mobility is out of the range of most available methods, such as fluorescence recovery after photobleaching and fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (see (Lakowicz, 1999)). However, many membrane proteins show complex diffusion behavior suggesting that these proteins experience multiple environments that promote variable mobility: ranging from free diffusion to immobile aggregates (Day and Kenworthy, 2009b; Kenworthy et al., 2004; Lenne et al., 2006; Suzuki et al., 2007). These observations suggest that a population of these membrane proteins might be localized in quasi-stable aggregates either with other proteins and possibility also with lipid domains. It is possible that proteins sample different environments and are constantly exchanging between different domains. For peripheral proteins that partition into lipid ordered domains, it is possible that the 'corralled' protein can diffuse freely within the domains showing a free diffusion using small time scale methods but limited diffusion when longer time scales are monitored (Fig. 3). Presently, because the time scale and distribution of many different membrane protein environments exist, it is impossible to formulate general predictions about the mobility of most membrane proteins. With the recent advances in methods to deconvolve the distribution of the diffusive population of membrane proteins, this might be possible in the near future.

The most prominent and stable membrane domains are caveolae. Caveolae are protein domains found in many mammalian cell lines and appear as flask-shaped invaginations by electron microscopy (Fig. 4, for reviews see (Anderson, 1998; Anderson and Jacobson, 2002; Liu et al., 2002; Schlegel et al., 1998)). Functionally, caveolae are thought to be involved in clathrin-independent internalization and recycling of specific membrane proteins, and in organization of signaling proteins (discussed below). Caveolae are formed from caveolin-1 although in muscle cells, caveolin-3 replaces caveolin-1 as the structural element of these domains. Caveolae are rich in cholesterol (Pike et al., 2002) and may participate in cholesterol homeostasis. Additionally, it has been proposed that certain lipids and/or cholesterol may be required for cholesterol entry (Anderson and Jacobson, 2002). Caveolin-1 is anchored to the membrane surface by three palmitoyl groups, and also contains a scaffold domain that can potentially interact with specific proteins.

Signaling proteins can localize to caveolae through binding to the scaffolding domain of caveolin-1 and this localization can be further stabilized if the protein is modified with saturated hydrocarbon chains since caveolae is expected to entrap ordered lipids. Proteins that are modified with unsaturated hydrocarbon chains are not expected to localize in caveolae domains (McCabe and Berthiaume, 2001). It is possible that functionally related proteins have different types of modifications and their probable localization to caveolae may differ. As discussed below, the components in the G protein signaling system are a good example of this scenario.

Some mammalian cells are rich in caveolae domains whereas caveolae are absent in other cell types. This suggests that caveolae are expected to play a role in modifying, but not directing cellular events. We discuss below how their presence impacts the G protein - phospholipase C β (PLC β) signaling system.

The PLC β -G protein signaling system

The G protein - PLC β -signaling pathway is initiated when an extracellular agonist binds to its specific G protein coupled receptor (GPCR). GPCRs are seven transmembrane receptors and comprise the largest family of receptors in mammalian cells (Pierce et al., 2002). Agonist binding to the receptor induces a conformational change in the receptor that allows it to catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP on the α subunit of a heterotrimeric G-protein (Fig. 5). Heterotrimeric G-proteins consist of an α subunit that contains the guanine nucleotide binding

site and a non-dissociable $\beta\gamma$ dimer. $G\alpha$ subunits have been divided into four subfamilies: $G\alpha_s$, $G\alpha_{i/o}$, $G\alpha_q$ and $G\alpha_{12}$; each family is coupled to specific GPCRs and effectors (for reviews see (Aasheim et al., 1997; Birnbaumer, 2007; Hildebrandt, 1997)). This coupling defines the pathway a signal will follow, although some cross-over between pathways appears to occur. Activated or GTP-bound $G\alpha$ subunits have a greatly reduced affinity for $G\beta\gamma$ subunits (see (Glaser et al., 1996; Runnels and Scarlata, 1999)) which allows $G\alpha$ and $G\beta\gamma$ to interact with a select group of intracellular effector proteins and change their catalytic activity. $G\alpha$ subunits have an intrinsic GTPase activity and hydrolysis of GTP to GDP promotes its reassociation to $G\beta\gamma$ subunits and cessation of the signal. Additionally, there are proteins called “GAPs” or GTPase-activating proteins that can inactivate $G\alpha$ subunits by binding to $G\alpha$ (GTP) and enhancing its GTPase activity. GAPs allow rapid shut-off of the signal (see (Berman and Gilman, 1998)).

PLC β enzymes are the main effectors of the $G\alpha_q$ family of G proteins. The $G\alpha_q$ family transduces signals from agents such as angiotensin II, catecholamines, endothelin 1 and prostaglandin F₂. Activation of $G\alpha_q$ results in activation of PLC β . PLC enzymes catalyze the hydrolysis of the signaling lipid phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P₂) to generate the second messengers, diacylglycerol and 1,4,5 inositol trisphosphate (Ins(1,4,5)P₃), which activate protein kinase C (PKC) and cause the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores, respectively (see (Rebecchi and Pentylana, 2000; Suh et al., 2008) and Fig. 3). There are four isoforms of PLC β (PLC β 1–4) that are all strongly activated by $G\alpha_q$. Additionally, PLC β 2 and to a lesser extent, PLC β 3 can be activated by $G\beta\gamma$ dimers. Thus, agonists coupled to other $G\alpha$ family members can activate PLC β through released $G\beta\gamma$ subunits.

It is important to note that there is redundancy in some G proteins signaling pathways. Many receptors are coupled to multiple $G\alpha$ families and the selection of one pathway over another is sometimes unclear (see (Kroeze et al., 2003)). Also, GPCRs can form homo- and hetero-dimers and potentially higher order structures (Angers et al., 2002). These dimers have the potential of coupling to different and/or multiple $G\alpha$ families. Adding to this complexity is the promiscuity of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits. While activation of effectors by a specific $G\alpha$ subunit is highly selective, effector activation by $G\beta\gamma$ subunits is highly promiscuous; almost all types of $G\beta\gamma$ can activate a $G\beta\gamma$ effector (see (Myung et al., 2006)). Since $G\beta\gamma$ subunits have the potential to be released by any type of $G\alpha$, any signal through a GPCR can activate any $G\beta\gamma$ effector. Taking into account the many ways in which a G protein signal can be delocalized, it is unclear how unique signals can be selected. While much is known about the nature of the potential pathways a particular signal may follow, the actual pathway of a signal will depend on the local concentrations of G proteins, the affinity for the particular partner, and the rates of activation and deactivation.

PLC β signaling in cells – evidence for preformed complexes

For PLC to be activated and metabolize PI(4,5)P₂, several events must occur. An extracellular agonist must bind to its specific GPCR and generate a conformational change in the receptor that alters its interaction with $G\alpha$. This change in interaction allows for GDP/GTP exchange on $G\alpha$ which changes its association with $G\beta\gamma$ allowing exposure of protein regions that result in activation of PLC β .

The interactions involved in the GPCR- $G\alpha_q$ - $G\beta\gamma$ -PLC β pathway could occur for proteins and lipids that are freely diffusing, or for components that are completely localized in a specific domain. Indirect evidence that members of the G protein- PLC β signaling pathway are localized in domains first appeared in *in vitro* studies. Ross and coworkers used kinetic methods to show that the $G\alpha_q$ activation cycle is so fast it must remain bound to receptor (Berstein et al., 1992). Using a series of purified proteins, we have found that in addition to the high affinity

$G\alpha_q$ (GTP) binding site on PLC β , PLC β also contains binding sites for $G\alpha_q$ (GDP), $G\beta\gamma$ and RGS4 that have affinities which are only 20–100 fold lower than that of the primary site (Dowal et al., 2001). These secondary sites would be expected to promote self-scaffolding of the proteins into signaling complexes. Additionally, these secondary sites appear in other proteins. $G\alpha_i$ (GDP) has a high affinity binding site for $G\beta\gamma$ and also a lower affinity site that can bind a second $G\beta\gamma$ (Wang et al., 2009b). In the activated state, $G\alpha_i$ is still capable of binding $G\beta\gamma$ both without and with bound PLC β (Wang et al., 2009a). There is also evidence that GPCRs complex with G protein heterotrimers in the deactivated state, for example, the bradykinin receptor is associated with $G\alpha_qG\beta\gamma$ in resting cells (Philip et al., 2007). Taken together, in vitro studies support the idea that receptor – G protein complexes can also be associated with PLC β .

There is also evidence that components of the PLC β - $G\alpha_q$ signaling pathway are organized into preformed signaling complexes in cells consisting of receptor-G protein and PLC β . We have found that PLC β is complexed with $G\alpha_q$ on the plasma membrane of PC12 and HEK293 cells in the basal state and stimulation does not appear to affect the degree of association (Dowal et al., 2006). Additionally, the amount of $G\alpha_q$ -PLC β complex on the plasma membrane surface remains constant without translocation of cytosolic PLC β to the membrane surface. A lack of translocation is surprising since the cellular concentration of $G\alpha_q$ exceeds PLC β and the affinity between $G\alpha_q$ and PLC β increase 40 fold upon activation (Runnels and Scarlata, 1999). In contrast, using fast optical kinetic measurements, Hille found an increase in FRET between PLC β and $G\alpha_q$ with stimulation suggesting an increase in association or, a conformational change between the associated proteins (Jensen et al., 2009). These fast events might be missed using steady state FRET measurements. Another possible explanation is that under certain environmental conditions G proteins and PLC β dissociate with stimulation.

Along with measurements of $G\alpha_q$ -PLC β association in cells, studies of G protein dissociation have been carried out, but have given varied results. Devreotes and coworkers used FRET methods to show that $G\alpha_iG\beta\gamma$ in COS cells dissociate upon stimulation (Janetopoulos et al., 2001). In contrast, Lohse and colleagues also used FRET-based methods to show that $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ remain bound throughout the activation cycle (Bunemann et al., 2003; Frank et al., 2005). Both Berlot and Lambert found that the ability of G protein heterotrimers to dissociate depends on the G protein family and that $G\alpha_q$ and $G\alpha_i$ were much less likely to dissociate as compared to $G\alpha_s$ (Digby et al., 2006; Hein et al., 2006; Hughes et al., 2001; Hynes et al., 2004a; Hynes et al., 2004b). The extent of association between G proteins and receptors is also unclear. $G\alpha_s$ appears to internalize with activation of the β -adrenergic receptor (Hynes et al., 2004b) although this is not the general case. Stimulation of the bradykinin type II receptor causes detachment of the receptor from its bound G proteins leaving $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ on the plasma membrane (Philip et al., 2007). As detailed below, we have found that the propensity of G protein subunits to dissociate depends whether the complex is incorporated into a higher order membrane signaling domains. Thus, although PLC β may exist in preformed signaling complexes consisting of a GPCR dimer - $G\alpha_qG\beta\gamma$ -PLC β in the basal state, the extent of complex dissociation upon stimulation may depend on the nature of the proteins and the local environment.

Does incorporation in membrane domains affect PLC β -G protein signaling?

Based on the discussions above, it is quite likely that membrane domains either directly or indirectly affect PLC β signaling. The presence of lipid domains and caveolae appear to alter the diffusion of several types of GPCRs (for review see (Day and Kenworthy, 2009a)). However, the effect of domains on diffusion has been assessed by cholesterol depletion which dissolves both lipid and caveolae domains although cholesterol effects appear to vary depending on the method used for depletion.

Caveolae have been found to have a profound effect on $G\alpha_q$ -PLC β signaling properties as seen in studies using Fisher rat thyroid (FRT) cells. These cells lack caveolin-1 and do not exhibit caveolae domains. FRT cells can be stably transfected with caveolin-1 and give structures on the plasma membrane that have the same morphology as caveolae domains and this cell line has been used extensively for caveolae studies (Chung et al., 1995; Kim et al., 2002; Lipardi et al., 1998; Mora et al., 1999). Using this model system, the association between $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ have been studied using FRET. In wild type FRT cells, $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ remain associated while in FRTcav+ cells, $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ separate with carbachol stimulation (Sengupta et al., 2008). Thus, caveolae appears to be directly the interaction between these G protein subunits.

Murthy and Makhlof measured the relative strength of association of different $G\alpha$ families and caveolae from cell extracts (Murthy and Makhlof, 2000). They found that only the $G\alpha_q$ family could specifically bind to caveolin-1. Binding was only observed when $G\alpha_q$ was in the activated state. These observations lead the authors to propose that activation of $G\alpha_q$ causes it to move into caveolae domains while other G protein types remain outside the domains. These observations can be compared with studies of Oh and Schnitzer who observed $G\alpha_q$ but not $G\alpha_i$ or $G\alpha_s$ in caveolae domains (Oh and Schnitzer, 2001) and our lab who has observed a high degree of colocalization between caveolin-1 and $G\alpha_q$ in both unstimulated and stimulated FRTcav+ (Sengupta et al., 2008).

Since caveolin-1 has a high affinity for $G\alpha_q$ in the basal state that increases greatly with stimulation, then what happens to their associated $G\beta\gamma$ subunits upon activation? FRET measurements suggest that $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ separate in the presence of caveolae (Sengupta et al., 2008). It is therefore possible that $G\beta\gamma$ subunits are released from caveolae upon stimulation (Fig. 6). Support for this idea comes from the large increase in mobility of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits upon stimulation of FRTcav+ cells. Thus, the strong interactions between $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ in the deactivated state are transferred to $G\alpha_q$ and caveolin-1 in the activated state. It is notable that Oh and Schnitzer, whose studies suggested that $G\alpha_q$ localizes in caveolae domains but $G\beta\gamma$ does not, led the authors to postulate the $G\alpha_q$ that is contained in caveolae may not be associated to $G\beta\gamma$ (Oh and Schnitzer, 2001). Most likely, the reason for this discrepancy is that during cell disruption, $G\beta\gamma$ becomes released from caveolae domains

The effects of caveolae on PLC β function

The separation of $G\alpha_q$ from $G\beta\gamma$ due to caveolin-1 has functional effects on downstream signals. In general, deactivation of $G\alpha_q$ subunits is dependent on its GTPase activity which can be promoted by GAPs, such as RGS4 (regulators of G protein signaling) that return $G\alpha_q$ to its GDP-bound state (Berman and Gilman, 1998; Berman et al., 1996). We postulate that caveolin-1 inhibits the intrinsic GTPase activity of $G\alpha_q$, although this has not yet been verified experimentally. Thus, caveolae serves the opposite function as RGS proteins by stabilizing the activated state of $G\alpha_q$. It is also possible that caveolin-1 occludes the $G\alpha_q$ binding site for $G\beta\gamma$, RGS and other deactivating proteins.

Stabilization of activated $G\alpha_q$ would prolong activation of its effectors, such as PLC β . Using intracellular Ca^{2+} release as an indicator of PLC β activity, we have observed a prolonged Ca^{2+} response in FRTcav+ cells with $G\alpha_q$ stimulation as compared to the rapid response seen in FRTwt cells (Fig. 7 and (Sengupta et al., 2008)). This result correlates well with enhanced $G\alpha_q$ activation by caveolin-1.

Caveolae could also impact $G\beta\gamma$ signaling. Release of $G\beta\gamma$ from caveolae domains would attenuate activation of $G\beta\gamma$ effectors localized in the domains and activation of effectors that are not localized in the domains. $G\beta\gamma$ signals would also be highly delocalized when compared to $G\beta\gamma$ signals on membranes that do not contain caveolae where the heterotrimer may remain associated. We have found that the sustained Ca^{2+} response seen in the presence of caveolae

is more pronounced when monitored using PLC β 2, which is activated by both G α_q and G $\beta\gamma$, as opposed to PLC β 1, which is only activated by G α_q .

As mentioned above, it is sometimes difficult to determine selectivity of G protein signals. There are several types of GPCRs that have the ability to activate multiple families of G proteins (e.g. the angiotensin receptor type 1 (AT1) can activate G α_q as well as G $\alpha_{i/o}$). As mentioned, G $\beta\gamma$ subunits can potentially be released by the activation of any G α family member. How is specificity achieved? One possibility is that receptors that are localized in caveolae have preferential access to G α_q as opposed to other G α families that do not localize in caveolae. In this way, cells that contain caveolae have better signal selection. Excess G $\beta\gamma$ released from caveolae would diminish signaling from G α subunits located outside caveolae domains as well as prompt activation of G $\beta\gamma$ effectors. Alternately, in cells that do not contain caveolae, G α_q may remain complexed to G $\beta\gamma$ subunits with stimulation thereby only allowing activation of G $\beta\gamma$ effectors that are already bound to the heterotrimer. Thus, caveolae can enhance selectivity of G α subunits but reduce selectivity of G $\beta\gamma$ effectors (Fig. 8).

PLC β has been found to partition equally to raft and non-raft domains. While preferential partitioning to caveolae has not yet been determined, PLC β are likely to be bound to G $\beta\gamma$ subunits which reside in caveolae domains. Membrane localization of a second effector of G α_q (Ballou et al., 2006; Ballou et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2005), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) has not yet been determined. PI3K is predominantly cytosolic but translocates to the plasma membrane upon stimulation and its distribution in the plasma membrane is punctuated suggesting preferential association with specific structures. PI3K is a family of enzymes that plays a key role in the trafficking of intracellular proteins (for review see (Katso et al., 2001)). PI3K uses the same substrate as PLC β 2, PI(4,5)P $_2$. Activation of G α_q enhances PLC β but attenuates the activity of PI3K presumably to allow for more PLC β substrate. In cells, we find a degree of interaction between G α_q and PLC β , and between G α_q and PI3K (Golebiewska and Scarlata, 2008). However, we could not detect any interaction between PLC β and PI3K either in resting or stimulated cells suggesting that separate pools of G protein and the two effectors, (i.e. G α_q -PLC β and G α_q -PI3K) but larger complexes containing G proteins and the two effectors do not exist. These studies support the notion that the plasma membrane contains distinct and different membrane domains with specific functions.

What are the repercussions of confinement in membrane domains?

Since members of the PLC β signaling system are localized in domains under many environmental conditions, can we delineate the role of domain localization and signaling? There are two extreme conditions. First, all of the members in the pathway can be freely diffusing in the membrane and on its surface. In this case, the rate of each activation step will depend on the diffusion constants of the species, their on-rates and the number of encounters. The signal would have the opportunity to affect multiple pathways and will be delocalized in the cell.

Suppose instead that the proteins are contained in a preformed signaling complex. Then the rate of the signal would be as fast as the conformational changes that accompany activation. In this case the signal would be completely confined to the protein composition and stoichiometry of the domain. Also, each signaling domain would have an independent function whose signals would affect other components in the cell by the small, diffusible second messengers generated (e.g. cAMP, Ins(1,4,5)P $_3$). Potentially, it should be possible to argue whether or not members of the G protein-PLC β signaling pathway are localized in domains using a kinetic argument by monitoring the rate of Ins(1,4,5)P $_3$ production. This prediction would require knowing the endogenous concentrations of the proteins and their cellular distributions which is not yet possible. It would also require knowing their diffusion

coefficients and the on- and off-rates for the protein-protein interactions as well as for ligand binding, GDP/GTP exchange, and the rate of PI(4,5)P₂ catalysis. Additionally, the number, affinities and types of competing proteins in the vicinity must be known. However, if the proteins are in a preformed complex, then analysis is greatly simplified. The concentrations of the proteins and competing proteins are immaterial since only the composition of the domain is important. The rate of partitioning out of the domain can be assumed to be much longer than the rate of partitioning into the domains and the proteins can be considered to be kinetically trapped in the domains. This trapping fits in well with very slow diffusion rates of a GPCRs, Gα_q and Gβγ in resting cells (e.g. (Philip et al., 2007; Sengupta et al., 2008)). The rate of PI (4,5)P₂ generation will only depend on the rate of ligand binding to the GPCR, the rate of GDP/GTP exchange on Gα, the rate of PLCβ activation and the rate of catalysis. In this way, the localization of proteins in preformed domains may provide a basis for analysis of signaling in more complex cellular environments.

Concluding statements and future directions

In some ways, membrane domains can be thought of as micro-organelles in cells whose function, while variable, can center on stabilizing and organizing proteins involved in lipid signaling, as well as processes such as endocytosis and trafficking. The composition of membrane domains may vary widely depending on the particular function of the domain. The potential functions of caveolae domains are expected to have a more narrow distribution of functions since only a subset of membrane proteins have caveolin binding motifs. Significant challenges will be in determining the distribution of these domains, the factors that control their individual protein composition, and the movement of proteins in and out of them.

Until recently, most of the data pertaining to the composition of membrane domains have been based on methods that involve cell fractionation. However, the results of these studies can vary with the temperature that the procedure was carried out, the type detergent used and other extraction conditions, since these will influence the degree of lipid domain solubilization. To complicate analysis, caveolae domains and other lipid domains are usually found in the same extraction fractions complicating determination of protein localization. Moreover, since caveolae are involved in endocytosis, they can be found in internal pools, and cell fractionation cannot distinguish between the plasma membrane signaling and internal signaling platforms. However, advances in fluorescence imaging and protein labeling will allow studies in living and intact cells which will certainly lead to a better characterization of membrane domains.

We focused this review on Gα_q - coupled receptors that are found in caveolae, but it is possible that many are localized outside of these domains. The factors that regulate GPCR localization are unclear. Since GPCRs form homo- and hetero-dimers, it is possible that one of the subunits would predominate in localizing, and these preferences will most likely be uncovered in the near future. It is probable that Gα_q associates with receptors that are not in caveolae domains based on the much weaker affinity between unactivated Gα_q and caveolin-1. The ability of Gα_q to bind to target that has dimerized with a non-Gα_q GPCR is additionally unclear.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH R01GM053132 and P50GM071558.

References

- Aasheim HC, Pedoutour F, Smeland EB. Characterization, expression and chromosomal localization of a human gene homologous to the mouse Lsc oncogene, with strongest expression in hematopoietic tissues. *Oncogene* 1997;14:1747–1752. [PubMed: 9135076]

- Anderson RG. The caveolae membrane system. *Annu Rev Biochem* 1998;67:199–225. [PubMed: 9759488]
- Anderson RGW, Jacobson K. A role for lipid shells in targeting proteins to caveolae, rafts and other lipid domains. *Science* 2002;296:1821–1825. [PubMed: 12052946]
- Angers S, Salahpour A, Bouvier M. DIMERIZATION: An Emerging Concept for G Protein–Coupled Receptor Ontogeny and Function. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 2002;42:409–435.
- Ballou LM, Chattopadhyay M, Li Y, Scarlata S, Lin RZ. G_{aq} binds to p110a/p85a phosphoinositide 3-kinase and displaces Ras. *Biochem J* 2006;393:557–562.
- Ballou LM, Lin HY, Fan G, Jiang YP, Lin RZ. Activated $G_{\alpha q}$ inhibits p110 α phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase and Akt. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:23472–23479. [PubMed: 12704201]
- Berman D, Gilman A. Mammalian RGS proteins: Barbarians at the gate. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1998;273:1269–1272. [PubMed: 9430654]
- Berman D, Kozasa T, Gilman AG. The GTPase-activating protein RGS4 stabilizes the transition state for nucleotide hydrolysis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1996;271:27209–27212. [PubMed: 8910288]
- Berstein G, Blank JL, Jhon DY, Exton JH, Rhee SG, Ross EM. Phospholipase C- β 1 is a GTPase-Activating Protein for $G_{q/11}$, Its Physiologic Regulator. *Cell* 1992;70:411–418. [PubMed: 1322796]
- Birnbaumer L. Expansion of signal transduction by G proteins: The second 15 years or so: From 3 to 16 $[\alpha]$ subunits plus $[\beta][\gamma]$ dimers. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* 2007;1768:772–793.
- Bunemann M, Frank M, Lohse MJ. Gi protein activation in intact cells involves subunit rearrangement rather than dissociation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:16077–16082. [PubMed: 14673086]
- Chung KN, Roberts S, Kim CH, Kirassova M, Trepel J, Elwood PC. Rapid turnover and impaired cell-surface expression of the human folate receptor in mouse L(tk-) fibroblasts, a cell line defective in glycosylphosphatidylinositol tail synthesis. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1995;322:228–234. [PubMed: 7574680]
- Day CA, Kenworthy AK. Tracking microdomain dynamics in cell membranes. *BBA* 2009a;1788:245–253. [PubMed: 19041847]
- Day CA, Kenworthy AK. Tracking microdomain dynamics in cell membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2009b;1788:245–253. [PubMed: 19041847]
- Digby GJ, Lober RM, Sethi PR, Lambert NA. Some G protein heterotrimers physically dissociate in living cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2006;103:17789–17794.
- Dowal L, Elliott J, Popov S, Wilkie TM, Scarlata S. Determination of the contact energies between a regulator of G protein signaling and G protein subunits and phospholipase C β 1. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:414–421. [PubMed: 11148035]
- Dowal L, Provitera P, Scarlata S. Stable association between $G_{\alpha(q)}$ and phospholipase C β 1 in living cells. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:23999–24014. [PubMed: 16754659]
- Edinin M. The state of lipid rafts: from model membranes to cells. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 2003;32:257–283.
- Frank M, Thumer L, Lohse MJ, Bunemann M. G-protein-activation without subunit dissociation depends on a $G_{\alpha i}$ -specific region. *J Biol Chem* 2005;M414630200.
- Glaser M, Wanaski S, Buser CA, Boguslavsky V, Rashidzade W, Morris A, Rebecchi M, Scarlata SF, Runnels LW, Prestwich GD, et al. Myristoylated alanine-rich C kinase substrate (MARCKS) produces reversible inhibition of phospholipase C by sequestering phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate in lateral domains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1996;271:26187–26193. [PubMed: 8824266]
- Golebiewska U, Scarlata S. $G_{\alpha q}$ Binds Two Effectors Separately in Cells: Evidence for Predetermined Signaling Pathways. *Biophys J* 2008;95:2575–2582. [PubMed: 18515384]
- Hein PRF, Hoffmann C, Dorsch S, Nikolaev VO, Engelhardt S, Berlot CH, Lohse M, Bunemann M. G_s activation is time-limiting in initiating receptor-mediated signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:33345–33351. [PubMed: 16963443]
- Hildebrandt JD. Role of subunit diversity in signaling by heterotrimeric G proteins. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1997;54:325–329. [PubMed: 9278091]

- Hughes TE, Zhang H, Logothetis D, Berlot CH. Visualization of a functional Gαq-green fluorescent protein fusion in living cells. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:4227–4235. [PubMed: 11076942]
- Hynes, TR.; Hughes, TE.; Berlot, CH. Cellular localization of GFP-tagged α subunits. In: Smrcka, A., editor. *G Protein Signaling, Methods and Protocols*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2004a.
- Hynes TR, Mervine SM, Yost EA, Sabo JL, Berlot CH. Live cell imaging of G_s and the β₂-adrenergic receptor demonstrate that both α_s and β_{1g7} internalize upon stimulation and exhibit similar trafficking patterns that differ from that of the β₂-adrenergic receptor. *J Biol Chem* 2004b;279:44101–44112. [PubMed: 15297467]
- Janetopoulos C, Jin T, Devreotes P. Receptor-mediated activation of heterotrimeric G-proteins in living cells. *Science* 2001;291:2408–2411. [PubMed: 11264536]
- Jensen JB, Lyssand JS, Hague C, Hille B. Fluorescence changes reveal kinetic steps of muscarinic receptor-mediated modulation of phosphoinositides and Kv7.2/7.3 K⁺ channels. *J Gen Physiol* 2009;133:347–359. [PubMed: 19332618]
- Katso R, Okkenhaug K, Ahmadi K, White S, Timms J, Waterfield MD. Cellular function of phosphoinositide-3-kinases: implications for development, immunity, homeostasis and cancer. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2001;17:615–675.
- Kenworthy AK, Nichols BJ, Remmert CL, Hendrix GM, Kumar M, Zimmerberg J, Lippincott-Schwartz J. Dynamics of putative raft-associated proteins at the cell surface. *J Cell Biol* 2004;165:735–746. [PubMed: 15173190]
- Kim CH, Park YS, Chung KN, Elwood PC. Sorting and function of the human folate receptor is independent of the caveolin expression in Fisher rat thyroid epithelial cells. *Biochem Molec Biol* 2002;35:395–402.
- Kroeze WK, Sheffler DJ, Roth BL. G-protein-coupled receptors at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 2003;116:4867–4869. [PubMed: 14625380]
- Lakowicz, J. *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. 2. New York: Plenum; 1999.
- Lenne PF, Wawrezinieck L, Conchonaud F, Wurtz O, Boned A, Guo XJ, Rigneault H, He HT, Marguet D. Dynamic molecular confinement in the plasma membrane by microdomains and the cytoskeleton meshwork. *EMBO J* 2006;25:3245–3256. [PubMed: 16858413]
- Lipardi C, Mora R, Colomer V, Paladino S, Nitsch L, Rodriguez-Boulon E, Zurzolo C. Caveolin transfection results in caveolae formation but not apical sorting of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins in epithelial cells. *J Cell Biol* 1998;140:617–626. [PubMed: 9456321]
- Liu P, Rudick M, Anderson RGW. Multiple functions of caveolin-1. *J Biol Chem* 2002;277:41295–41298. [PubMed: 12189159]
- Lu Z, Jiang YP, Ballou LM, Cohen IS, Lin RZ. Gα_q inhibits cardiac L-type Ca²⁺ channels through phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:40347–40354. [PubMed: 16186103]
- Magee A. Lipid modification of proteins and its relevance to protein targeting. *J Cell Sci* 1990;97:581–584. [PubMed: 2077033]
- McCabe JB, Berthiaume LG. N-terminal protein acylation confers localization to cholesterol, sphingolipid-enriched membranes but not to lipid rafts/caveolae. *Mol Biol Cell* 2001;12:3601–3617. [PubMed: 11694592]
- Moffett S, Brown DA, Linder ME. Lipid-dependent targeting of G proteins into rafts. *J Biol Chem* 2000;275:2191–2198. [PubMed: 10636925]
- Mora R, Bonilha VL, Marmorstein A, Scherer PE, Brown D, Lisanti MP, Rodriguez-Boulon E. Caveolin-2 localizes to the golgi complex but redistributes to plasma membrane, caveolae, and rafts when co-expressed with caveolin-1. *J Biol Chem* 1999;274:25708–25717. [PubMed: 10464308]
- Munro S. Lipid rafts: elusive or illusive? *Cell* 2003;115:377–388. [PubMed: 14622593]
- Murthy KS, Makhoul GM. Heterologous desensitization mediated by G protein-specific binding to caveolin. *J Biol Chem* 2000;275(39):30211–30219. [PubMed: 10862762]
- Myung CS, Lim WK, Defilippo JM, Yasuda H, Neubig RR, Garrison JC. Regions in the G Protein {γ} Subunit Important for Interaction with Receptors and Effectors. *Mol Pharmacol* 2006;69:877–887. [PubMed: 16319284]
- Oh P, Schnitzer JE. Segregation of heterotrimeric G proteins in cell surface microdomains. G(q) binds caveolin to concentrate in caveolae, whereas G(i) and G(s) target lipid rafts by default. *Mol Biol Cell* 2001;12:685–698. [PubMed: 11251080]

- Philip F, Sengupta P, Scarlata S. Signaling through a G Protein Coupled Receptor and its corresponding G protein follows a stoichiometrically limited model. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:19203–19216. [PubMed: 17420253]
- Pierce KL, Premont RT, Lefkowitz RJ. Seven-transmembrane receptors. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2002;3:639–650. [PubMed: 12209124]
- Pierini LM, Maxfield FR. Flotillas of rafts fore and aft. *PNAS* 2001;98:9471–9473. [PubMed: 11504934]
- Pike LJ, Han X, Chung KN, Gross RW. Lipid Rafts Are Enriched in Arachidonic Acid and Plasmalogen Phospholipids and Their Composition Is Independent of Caveolin-1 Expression: A Quantitative Electrospray Ionization/Mass Spectrometric Analysis†. *Biochemistry* 2002;41:2075–2088. [PubMed: 11827555]
- Rebecchi M, Pentylana S. Structure, function and control of phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C. *Physiological Reviews* 2000;80:1291–1335. [PubMed: 11015615]
- Runnels LW, Scarlata S. Determination of the affinities between heterotrimeric G protein subunits and their phospholipase C- β effectors. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:1488–1496. [PubMed: 9931014]
- Schlegel A, Volonte D, Engelman JA, Galbiata F, Mehta P, Zhange XL, Scherer P, Lisanti MP. Crowded little caves: structure and function of caveolae. *Cell Signal* 1998;10:457–463. [PubMed: 9754713]
- Sengupta P, Philip F, Scarlata S. Caveolin-1 alters Ca^{2+} signal duration through specific interaction with the G $\{\alpha\}$ q family of G proteins. *J Cell Sci* 2008;121:1363–1372. [PubMed: 18397999]
- Silvius JR. Role of cholesterol in lipid raft formation: lessons from lipid model systems. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2003;1610:174–183. [PubMed: 12648772]
- Soubias O, Teague WE, Gawrisch K. Evidence for Specificity in Lipid-Rhodopsin Interactions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2006;281:33233–33241. [PubMed: 16959786]
- Suh P, Park J, Manzoli L, Cocco L, Peak J, Katan M, Fukami K, Kataoka T, Yun S, Ryu S. Multiple roles of phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C isozymes. *BMB reports* 2008;41:415–434. [PubMed: 18593525]
- Suzuki KG, Fujiwara TK, Edidin M, Kusumi A. Dynamic recruitment of phospholipase C γ at transiently immobilized GPI-anchored receptor clusters induces IP_3 - Ca^{2+} signaling: single-molecule tracking study 2. *J Cell Biol* 2007;177:731–742. [PubMed: 17517965]
- Wang J, Golebiewska U, Scarlata S. A self-scaffolding model for G protein signaling. *J Molec Biol* 2009a; 387:92–103. [PubMed: 19361438]
- Wang J, Sengupta P, Guo Y, Golebiewska U, Scarlata S. Evidence for a Second, High Affinity Gbg Binding Site on Ga_{i1} (GDP) Subunits. *J Biol Chem* . 2009 in press.
- Wang TY, Silvius JR. Cholesterol does not induce segregation of liquid-ordered domains in bilayers modeling the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. *Biophys J* 2001;81:2762–2773. [PubMed: 11606289]
- Wedegaertner P, Wilson P, Bourne H. Lipid modifications of trimeric G proteins. *J Biol Chem* 1995;270:503–506. [PubMed: 7822269]

Fig 1.

Depiction of a single leaflet of a lipid bilayer with lipids in the liquid-disordered (L_d) phase and a liquid-ordered (L_o) phase. The liquid-ordered phase is seen as lipids with aligned chains that are promoted by saturated or trans-unsaturated hydrocarbon chains. Stabilizing the aligned chains are steroid molecules (rectangular objects) that can intercalate between the hydrocarbon chains.

Fig 2. Depiction of a single leaflet of a bilayer containing proteins (black objects) that partition into either the L_d or L_o phases showing how fluid phase hydrocarbon chains can accommodate proteins with more irregular hydrophobic surfaces and ones that are shorter in length.

Fig 3.

Diffusion of proteins that are anchored to the membrane by a hydrocarbon chain modification outside and within a membrane domain. Corralling or fencing of the proteins may not affect the rate of diffusion compared to the rate outside the domain when measured using methods that do not detect long range mobility. When the mobility of a longer distance is measured, then the rate of diffusion will depend on the rates of partitioning in and out of the domain.

Fig 4. Caveolae domains are seen as flask-shaped invaginations on the plasma membrane surface, and are responsible for non-clathrin mediated endocytosis.

Fig 5.

The PLCβ – G protein signaling pathway showing how the binding of an extracellular to a transmembrane GPCR will activate a G protein heterotrimer whose G α or G βγ subunits can bind to and activate PLC β. Activated PLC β will hydrolyze PI(4,5)P₂ releasing Ins(1,4,5)P₃ into the cytosol where it diffuses to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) causing the release of calcium ions into the cytosol. The lipid portion from PIP(4,5)P₂ hydrolysis, diacylglycerol, activates protein kinase C (PKC).

Fig 6.

Changes in caveolae – $G\alpha_q$ interactions with stimulation. In the resting state, the receptor (R) - $G\alpha_qG\beta\gamma$ heterotrimer – PLC β complex resides in caveolae (Cav) domains. Upon stimulation, the receptors move into early endosomes (EE) and strong interactions between $G\alpha_q$ and $G\beta\gamma$ are diminished. This loss in affinity between the G protein subunits allows activated $G\alpha_q$ to strongly interact with caveolin-1 stabilizing both its localization and its activated state. Since $G\beta\gamma$ does not interact with caveolin proteins, the loss of $G\alpha_q$ and receptor interactions destabilizes its caveolae localization resulting in its release from caveolae.

Fig 7.

Release of Ca^{2+} in FRTwt and FRTcav+ cells with stimulation by acetylcholine. The time scale of release and recovery are similar in both cell lines, unless PLCβ is overexpressed in which case a sustained, elevated Ca^{2+} is observed. Since PLCβ is expressed at lower amounts than $G\alpha_q$, additional PLCβ is required to generate enough Ca^{2+} to detect increased amounts of activated $G\alpha_q$ due to its stabilization by caveolin-1 (figure adapted from (Sengupta et al., 2008)).

Fig 8.

The manner in which caveolae could allow for specific signaling for receptors that are coupled to both $G\alpha_q$ which activates PLC β generating increased intracellular Ca^{2+} , and $G\alpha_i$ which inhibits adenylyl cyclase (not shown) decreasing the cellular level of cAMP. These differences are also impacted by the release of $G\beta\gamma$ from caveolae domains following $G\alpha_q$ activation, thereby increasing the response of $G\beta\gamma$ -coupled effectors.