Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2010 February 5; 12(3): 440–443. doi:10.1021/ol9025765.

Enamine-Iminium Ion Nazarov Cyclization of α -Ketoenones

William F. Bow, Ashok K. Basak, Anais Jolit, David A. Vicic[‡], and Marcus A. Tius^{*}

Department of Chemistry, 2545 The Mall, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, and The Cancer Research Center of Hawaii, 1236 Lauhala Street, Honolulu, HI 96813

Abstract

The *mono*-triflate salts of some chiral non-racemic 1,2-diamines react with α -ketoenones in a stoichiometric reaction to form products of the Nazarov cyclization in high enantiomeric ratios. The mechanism appears to involve rearrangement of an enamine – iminium ion.

Recent years have seen a resurgence of interest in the Nazarov cyclization.^{1,2} An attractive approach to the asymmetric Nazarov cyclization is through iminium ion catalysis.³ For example, treatment of divinyl ketone **1** (Scheme 1) with an asymmetric secondary amine **2** can be expected to lead to equilibrium with iminium ion **3**. Nazarov cyclization of the pentadienyl carbocation (*cf.* **4**) might lead to cyclic species **5** through a conrotatory process. Through appropriate choice of amine **2** and reaction conditions, asymmetric induction might be observed during this process. Although this general approach appears to be sound, in fact the pentadienyl cation (*cf.* **4**) is rendered more stable than the cyclic allylic cation **5** by conjugation with the non-bonding electron pair on the nitrogen atom.⁴ This generally results in an equilibrium favoring the acyclic iminium ion. This obstacle may be overcome by incorporating a structural feature into **1** that raises the energy of **3/4** compared to **5**.

To the best of our knowledge the Nazarov cyclization of an iminium ion has been reported only twice. The first example is summarized in Scheme 2.⁵ Addition of lithioallenyl ether **7** to *E*- α -methyl cinnamionitrile **6** leads to *N*-lithio imine **8**. Workup with mild aqueous acid protonates the imine that then undergoes Nazarov cyclization to **9**. *N*-Acetylation in a separate step gave acetamide **10** in 73% overall yield from **6**. The success of this reaction is probably due to release of allene strain (10.76 kcal/mol)^{3g} as well as the irreversible loss of methoxymethyl cation during the cyclization. In the second example of an imino Nazarov cyclization the stability of the iminium ion was attenuated by *N*-tosylation.⁶

We first screened a small set of monoamines to determine whether they would catalyze the asymmetric conversion of **11** to **15** (Scheme 3). Stoichiometric L-proline, (*S*)- α -methylbenzylamine or (*1R,2S*)-(-)-ephedrine in the presence of a Brønsted acid led to small

tius@hawaii.edu.

[‡]Author to whom inquiries regarding the crystal structure of **51** should be directed.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures for **15** and **19**; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, HRMS and IR data for **19**, **40**, **42**, **48** and **50**; HPLC and optical rotations for **15**, **19**, **38**, **42**, **44**, **46**, **48**, **50**; reproductions of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for **15**, **19**, **38**, **40**, **42**, **44**, **46**, **48** and **50**. Crystallographic data for **51** and for the (-)-camphoric acid derivative of **38**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

amounts (<10%) of racemic **15** in a very slow reaction. These negative results suggested a solution to the problem through a cooperative mechanism (vide infra).

We conceived of an alternative approach to the ones described above that would allow us to use iminium ion catalysis for a Nazarov cyclization. Scheme 3 summarizes the approach. Exposure of an α -ketoenone such as **11** to a diamine salt **12** is expected to generate enamine-iminium ion **13** under Brønsted acid catalysis. The carbonyl group of the methyl ketone is likely to be the more reactive of the two, and will form an enamine. Subsequent reaction of the free amino group with the enone carbonyl group leads to enamine-iminium ion **13**. Intermediate **13** should be an excellent substrate for the Nazarov reaction since the enamine is polarized so as to favor cyclization.⁷ Critically, this Nazarov cyclization converts enamine-iminium ion **13** to enamine-iminium ion **14**. Consequently, *both* **13** and **14** are stabilized by a non-bonding electron pair on a nitrogen atom therefore there is no reason to expect the equilibrium to favor **13**. Hydrolysis of **14** would regenerate diamine salt **12**, liberating the α -hydroxyenone product **15**. We thought that this approach had some merit since we knew from our earlier work that efficient cyclization of **11** to **15** can be accomplished under a variety of reaction conditions.

We subsequently screened the series of diamines that are listed in Figure 1, all based on the (1*R*,2*R*)-(–)-1,2-diaminocyclohexane motif that has been widely used in asymmetric catalysis.⁸ All diamines were evaluated as their *mono*-triflate salts in the stoichiometric reaction with **11**. We were surprised that neither primary-primary diamine **16**, nor secondary-secondary diamines **17** and **18** led to cyclic product **15** under a variety of conditions. Primary-tertiary diamines **24–27** were also ineffective. On the other hand, primary-secondary diamines **19–23** were all effective in converting **11** to **15** with varying degrees of asymmetric induction in a 4 M solution in DMSO at room temperature. Diamines **19** and **20** led to **15** in 85/15 and 86/14 er's, respectively, whereas diamines **21** and **22** gave enantiomeric ratios of 79/21 and 77.5/22.5, respectively. Diamine **23** led to nearly racemic product (er 53/47). Under optimized conditions of solvent and concentration (0.1 M in MeCN containing 25 mol % water), the *mono*-triflate salt of **19** led to **15** in 60% yield and 97/3 er after 7.5 d at room temperature (Figure 2). We were unable to find conditions that would allow **19** to function catalytically, either through inclusion of additives⁹ or by varying the reaction conditions. Acid catalyzed liberation of the diamine from its bound form is required, suggesting that product inhibition takes place.

A catalytic process was observed with diamine **28**, however, this led to an unexpected product (Scheme 4). Exposure of **11** to 20 mol % of the *mono*-triflate salt of **28** in MeCN at room temperature overnight led to α -ketoacid **29** in *ca.* 45% yield (unoptimized) along with another unidentified dimeric product. α -Ketoacid **29** was formed in variable yields along with α -ketoaldehyde **30** from the reaction of **11** with some secondary amines, suggesting that aldehyde **30** was the primary product and that air oxidation to **29** had taken place during the reaction. The β -vinylic methyl group in **29** must have its origin as the acetyl methyl group in **11**. It is difficult to imagine a means of forming the carbon-carbon bond between the β -vinyl carbon atom and the acetyl methyl group of **11** unless the two are first joined in a ring. Scheme 5 summarizes our postulated mechanism. Alternative mechanisms involving the initial formation of an enamine-iminium species similar to **13** that rationalize the formation of **30** can also be imagined. Protonated keto enamine **31** can undergo Nazarov cyclization to **32**. A series of proton transfer steps converts **32** to **34**. The cleavage step that converts **34** to **35** can be thought of as a retro-Nazarov reaction.¹⁰ Enol-keto conversion leads from **35** to **36**. Hydrolysis of **36** gives **30** and regenerates the catalyst **28**. The origins of the differences in reactivity between **28** and **19** are difficult to rationalize.

Table 1 summarizes the results of the stoichiometric reaction between the *mono*-triflate salt of **19** and a series of diketones. The reactions are in all cases slow, requiring approximately one week for completion. In the case of products bearing α *gem*-dimethyl substitution (**38**, **40**, **42**

and **44**) the minor enantiomer was undetectable by chiral HPLC. The cyclization is, however, delicately balanced as indicated by the results with substrates **45**, **47** and **49** that led to cyclic products of lower or in poor yield. These reactions also led to the generation of numerous byproducts, rendering the purification of the cyclopentenones difficult.

The absolute stereochemistry of **15** was determined crystallographically from the (1*S*)-(–)-camphanic acid chloride derivative **51** (Figure 2). Ester **51** crystallizes in space group *P2*₁, which is compatible with chiral crystal structures.¹¹ The absolute stereochemistry of the other α -hydroxycyclopentenones shown in Table 1 has been assigned by analogy with **15**. The inversion in chromatographic mobility that was observed for the enantiomers of **44** and **50** may indicate an inversion of the stereochemical preference in these cases, or it may be due to a unique interaction of these two cyclopentenones with the chiral stationary phase.¹

In summary, we have described an iminium ion mediated asymmetric Nazarov cyclization of α -diketones through a mechanism requiring the formation of an enamine-iminium ion.² To the best of our knowledge this represents only the third example of an imino Nazarov cyclization. Although the process is not catalytic at its present level of development, the results suggest a number of ways to overcome the problem of product inhibition. The excellent enantioselectivities provide an impetus to do so.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM57873) for generous support. We thank Mr. Paolo Larini (Università degli Studi di Torino) for his contribution to this work.

References

1. For reviews of the Nazarov reaction see: (a) Harmata M. *Chemtracts* 2004;17:416–435. (b) Frontier AJ, Collison C. *Tetrahedron* 2005;61:7577–7606. (c) Pellissier H. *Tetrahedron* 2005;61:6479–6517. (d) Tius MA. *Eur J Org Chem* 2005;2193–2206. (e) Habermas, KL.; Denmark, S.; Jones, TK. *Organic Reactions*. Paquette, LA., editor. Vol. 45. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; New York: 1994. p. 1-158.
2. Recent examples of the Nazarov cyclization: (a) Malona JA, Cariou K, Frontier AJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:7560–7561. [PubMed: 19445456] (b) Rieder CJ, Winberg KJ, West FG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:7504–7505. [PubMed: 19435345] (c) Marx VM, Burnell DJ. *Org Lett* 2009;11:1229–1231. [PubMed: 19215105] (d) Bitar AY, Frontier AJ. *Org Lett* 2009;11:49–52. [PubMed: 19053717] (e) Basak AK, Tius MA. *Org Lett* 2008;10:4073–4076. [PubMed: 18720989] (f) He W, Huang J, Sun X, Frontier AJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:300–308. [PubMed: 18067294] (g) Song D, Rostami A, West FG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:12019–12022. [PubMed: 17824702] (h) Grant TN, West FG. *Org Lett* 2007;9:3789–3792. [PubMed: 17705503] (i) Gao S, Wang Q, Chen C. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:1410–1412. [PubMed: 18998640] (j) Nie J, Zhu HW, Cui HF, Hua MQ, Ma JA. *Org Lett* 2007;9:3053–3056. [PubMed: 17629286]
3. Examples of the catalytic asymmetric Nazarov cyclization: (a) Rueping M, Jeawsuwan W. *Adv Synth Catal* 2009;351:78–84. (b) Walz I, Togni A. *Chem Comm* 2008:4315–4317. [PubMed: 18802555] (c) Rueping M, Jeawsuwan W, Antonchick AP, Nachtsheim BJ. *Ang Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:2097–2100. (d) Liang G, Trauner D. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:9544–9545. [PubMed: 15291550] (e) Aggarwal VK, Belfield AJ. *Org Lett* 2003;5:5075–5078. [PubMed: 14682768] (f) Liang G, Gradi SN, Trauner D. *Org Lett* 2003;5:4931–4934. [PubMed: 14682732] Examples of the use of chiral auxiliaries for the Nazarov: (g) Banaag AR, Tius MA. *J Org Chem* 2008;73:8133–8141. [PubMed: 18808186] (h) Dhoro F, Kristensen TE, Stockmann V, Yap GPA, Tius MA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:7256–7257. [PubMed: 17508753] (i) de los Santos DB, Banaag AR, Tius MA. *Org Lett* 2006;8:2579–2582.

- [PubMed: 16737318] (j) Pridgen LN, Huang K, Shilcrat S, Tickner-Eldridge A, DeBrosse C, Haltiwanger RC. Synlett 1999;1612–1614.
4. Smith DA, Ulmer CW II. J Org Chem 1997;62:5110–5115.
5. Tius MA, Chu CC, Nieves-Colberg R. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:2419–2422.
6. Suarez-Pantiga S, Rubio E, Alvarez-Rua C, Gonzalez JM. Org Lett 2009;11:13–16. [PubMed: 19046069]
7. (a) Tius MA, Kwok C-K, Gu X-q, Zhao C. Synthetic Commun 1994;24:871–885. (b) He W, Herrick IR, Atesin TA, Caruana PA, Kellenberger CA, Frontier AJ. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:1003–1011. [PubMed: 18161974]
8. (a) Luo S, Xu H, Chen L, Cheng JP. Org Lett 2008;10:1775–1778. [PubMed: 18393517] (b) Stead D, O'Brien P, Sanderson A. Org Lett 2008;10:1409–1412. [PubMed: 18324821] (c) Uyeda C, Jacobsen EN. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:9228–9229. [PubMed: 18576616] (d) Singh A, Johnston JN. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:5866–5867. [PubMed: 18410096] (e) Zhang W, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:286–287. [PubMed: 17212403]
9. The following additives were evaluated: silica gel, alumina (neutral, basic, acidic), CuCl₂, LiCl, LiClO₄, aq NH₄OH.
10. (a) Harmata M, Lee DR, Barnes CL. Org Lett 2005;7:1881–1883. [PubMed: 15844930] (b) Harmata M, Schreiner PR, Lee DR, Kirchhoefer PL. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:10954–10957. [PubMed: 15339180] (c) Harmata M, Lee DR. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:14328–14329. [PubMed: 12452706]
11. Flack HD. Helv Chim Acta 2003;86:905–921.
12. Crystallographic data for the (–)-camphanic acid derivative of **38** show that the absolute stereochemistry of **38** is the same as **15**. See Supporting Information.
13. A referee has suggested that the mechanism that we have proposed may in fact be better described as an “intramolecular enamine-Michael addition to enone”. We think that this is unlikely for two reasons. First, such a process would correspond to a 5-*endo-trig* reaction that is disfavored by Baldwin's Rules. Second, if the reasonable assumption is made that the rate-limiting step is the ring-forming step, then one would have expected a very large difference in rate for the cyclizations leading to **15** and to **38**. Since the enamine derived from **37** is β,β-disubstituted it is a much poorer Michael donor than the unsubstituted enamine derived from **11** and would be expected to react at a significantly slower rate. No difference in reaction rate was evident for the cyclizations of **11** and **37**.

Figure 1.
Diamines screened.

Figure 2.

ORTEP diagram of **51**, the ester derivative of **15** with (–)-camphanic acid chloride. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% level. Selected bond lengths (Å): C(1)–C(2) 1.472(2), C(2)–C(3) 1.329(2), O(1)–C(1) 1.2139(19). Selected bond angles (°): C(3)–C(2)–C(1) 113.11(14), C(2)–C(3)–C(4) 110.42(14), C(3)–C(4)–C(5) 104.28(12), C(1)–C(5)–C(4) 105.46(13).

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Table 1

cyclopentenone	yield	er ^d	rxn time
	60(63)% ^b	97/3	7.5 d

15

cyclopentenone			
yield	ef ^d	rxn time	
66(73)%	>99/1	7.5 d

38

cyclopentenone			
yield	ef ^d	rxn time	
49% ^c	>99/1	6.5 d

yield	er ^d	rxn time
65%	>99/1	7.5 d

42

yield	er ^d	rxn time	cyclopentenone
62%	>99/1 ^d	7.5 d

cyclopentenone	yield	e ^d	rxn time
46	11%	90/10	5.5 d

cyclopentenone	yield	er ^d	rxn time
20%	91/9	6 d
24%	81/19 ^d	5 d

^dThe sequence of elution of major and minor enantiomers was inverted.