Published in final edited form as:

Behav Neurosci. 2008 June ; 122(3): 527–534. doi:10.1037/0735-7044.122.3.527.

Sex Differences in the Effects of Captivity on Hippocampus Size in Brown-Headed Cowbirds (*Molothrus ater obscurus*)

Lainy B. Day,

Department of Biology, University of Mississippi, Department of Physiological Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, and Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, and Department of Psychology, University of California, Santa Barbara

Marjorie Guerra,

Department of Physiological Science, University of California, Los Angeles

Barney A. Schlinger, and

Department of Physiological Science, University of California, Los Angeles

Stephen I. Rothstein

Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara

Abstract

In brood parasitic cowbirds, hippocampus (Hp) size is correlated with environmental spatial memory demands. Searching for host nests is the presumed causal factor influencing cowbird Hp size, because Hp volumes vary across species, sexes, and seasons according to nest-searching participation. Brown-headed cowbirds have female-only nest searching and, at least in the eastern subspecies, a larger Hp in females than in males, suggesting that nest searching influences cowbird Hp size. We predicted that female brown-headed cowbirds housed in aviaries lacking host nests would have a smaller Hp than wild-caught females whereas males would be unaffected. We found that the Hp was smaller in captive females, but not males, compared to their wild-caught counterparts. This did not appear to be due to general effects of an impoverished environment on all brain regions. Our results imply that interruption of nest searching in cowbirds prevents seasonal increase in Hp size in females. Future studies should isolate which behavioral differences between wild and captive birds contributed to captivity-induced changes in Hp volume in females while not affecting males.

Keywords

cowbird; hippocampus; sex differences; brain plasticity; brood parasitism

Variation in environmental spatial demands is related to variation in hippocampus (Hp) size among closely related species, between sexes, and across seasons in mammalian, avian, and reptilian species. For example, food-storing behaviors in birds (Clayton, 1995a; Hampton, Sherry, Shettleworth, Kurgel, & Ivy, 1995; Hampton & Shettleworth, 1996; Healy & Krebs, 1992; Krebs, Sherry, Healy, Perry, & Vaccarino, 1989; Sherry, Vaccarino, Buckenham, & Herz, 1989; Volman, Grubb, & Schuett, 1997) and rodents (Jacobs, 1992; Jacobs & Spencer, 1994), foraging-related rate of movement in lacertid lizards (Day, Crews, & Wilczynski, 1999), home-range size in voles (Gaulin, 1992; Jacobs, Gaulin, Sherry, & Hoffman, 1990;

Sherry, Jacobs, & Gaulin, 1992), and breeding-habitat complexity in petrels (Abbott, Walsh, Storey, Stenhouse, & Harley, 1999) are all associated with enlarged Hp size, presumably due to the demands of spatial memory. In addition, seasonal variation in food-storing demands is associated with seasonal changes in Hp volume (Smulders, Sasson, & DeVoogd, 1995) in black-capped chickadees and rodents (Jacobs, 1996). Males have a larger Hp than females in a vole species in which males have larger home ranges but not in vole species that do not have sex differences in home range (Gaulin, 1992). These results provide evidence that environmental or experiential seasonal changes can alter Hp volume and that natural and sexual selection on a variety of spatial-related skills has produced a larger Hp in some species or sexes than in others.

Although correlations of Hp volume with ecological demands for spatial ability are numerous, the specific mechanisms that contribute to such adult variation in the volume of the Hp are not well understood. We do know that species-specific reactions to spatial experience (food storing or other spatial learning tasks) during development contribute to differences between some species of food-storing versus non-food-storing birds. In adults, food-storing experience alone does not appear to alter the volume of the Hp in some species (Cristol, 1996); although combined with other seasonal effects, it may increase Hp volume, cell number, or new cell recruitment in other species such as the black-capped chickadee (Barnea & Nottebohm, 1994; Smulders et al., 1995; Smulders, Shiflett, Sperling, & DeVoogd, 2000). Changes in Hp neuroanatomy across seasons that are correlated with seasonal demand for spatial memory appear to be triggered directly by changes in photoperiod in some mammals (Prendergast, Nelson, & Zucker, 2002). In eastern gray squirrels, however, seasonal changes in photoperiod and food storing are not accompanied by changes in Hp volume (Lavenex, Steele, & Jacobs, 2000). In black-capped chickadees, a food-storing bird with seasonal changes in both Hp volume and food-storing behavior, photoperiod does not appear to induce changes in Hp volume or cell proliferation even though photoperiod can induce changes in food-storing behavior (Macdougall-Shackleton, Sherry, Clark, Pinkus, & Hernandez, 2003). Furthermore, causal links between behavioral traits that are related to species and sex differences in Hp size, for example, food storing and mate searching, and actual Hp growth or attrition have not been established in most cases. Thus, more studies are needed that examine mechanisms for changes in Hp volume and that demonstrate that changes in the behavior proposed to alter Hp volume actually do so.

Cowbirds provide an interesting group in which to examine the link between behavior and Hp volume. These brood parasites show species, sex, and seasonal variation in Hp volume in concordance with demands for locating host nests to parasitize when compared to other species in the same family, the Icteridae, that are not parasitic (Clayton & Reboreda, 1997; Reboreda, Clayton, & Kacelnik, 1996; Sherry, Forbes, Khurgel, & Ivy, 1993). In addition, males and females search for host nests together in some cowbird species whereas only the female searches for hosts in others. The Hp is larger in females than in males only in those species studied in which the females search for nests alone (Reboreda et al., 1996; Sherry et al., 1993). This is a particularly interesting result as it counters the more common male bias in Hp volume in rodents (Roof & Havens, 1992). Furthermore, Hp size in two species of parasitic cowbirds appears to be seasonally plastic as Hp volume is larger in the summer when breeding and thus nest searching is taking place than in the winter nonbreeding season. Additionally, sex differences in Hp size seen in the breeding season are not found in the nonbreeding season in a species with female-only nest searching, the shiny cowbird (*Molothrus bonariensis*; Clayton & Reboreda, 1997).

Together, these results suggest that searching for nests is related to Hp volume. Thus, we hypothesized that preventing cowbirds from searching for nests should result in a decrease in Hp volume. To prevent nest searching while reducing the general effects of deprivation (Gage,

2002; McEwen, 1999; Rosenzweig & Bennett, 1996), we held brown-headed cowbirds in large mixed-sex outdoor aviaries where they could have normal social interactions but without the provision of host nests for egg laying. Additionally, we created a specifically impoverished group by transferring some females from the outdoor aviaries to comparatively small isolation chambers. Because we used brown-headed cowbirds for this study, we expected females, but not males, to be sensitive to prevention from nest searching as only females normally search for nests. Thus, we predicted that preventing birds from nest searching by holding them in captivity would selectively decrease the volume of the female Hp but not the male Hp compared to wild-caught counterparts. If general deprivation is the main difference between captive and wild-caught birds, then both sexes should show decreased Hp volume as a result of captive housing and females housed in isolation chambers should show a greater decrease in Hp volume than aviary-housed captive males and females.

Method

Subjects and Housing Conditions

Thirty-two brown-headed cowbirds (*M. ater obscurus*) were captured in walk-in traps in southern California in 1999 and 2000 along the Santa Clara River or in Simi Valley (both in Ventura County) as part of a federal- and state-run cowbird population control program designed to aid the endangered least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*; Griffith & Griffith, 2000). Because of possible population differences in ecology and neuroanatomy (Uyehara & Nairns, 1992) in cowbirds and because differences in neuroanatomy are known to occur as a result of differential experience and/or age (Clayton, 1995b; Healy, Gwinner, & Krebs, 1996), we attempted to select only adult sexually mature birds that were from the local Ventura population using wing length to identify subspecies and plumage characteristics to identify age classes (Fleischer & Rothstein, 1988; Lowther, 1993). Among adult males, second year (SY) individuals and after second year (ASY) males show different patterns of daily movements (Rothstein, Yokel, & Fleischer, 1986), so we selected only ASY males. All females were adults, minimum SY, as determined by wing cord, but they could not be further aged into SY and ASY. Because all females mate and parasitize nests as yearlings (Darley, 1983; Lowther, 1993; Payne, 1973; Rothstein et al., 1986), all were in breeding condition and were presumed to be engaged in nest searching when caught. It is possible that females were, on average, younger than males. However, all birds were breeding adults and thus brains were unlikely to be more plastic in females because of age. All birds were killed between May and June, a period that coincides with the breeding season in California cowbirds (Payne, 1973).

We had three conditions: wild-caught birds, birds held captive in aviaries, and birds housed in isolation chambers (females only). Captive birds were six males (CM) and five females (CF) captured between late April and early July of 1999 and housed in a large outdoor aviary (1.2 × 2.7 × 6.0 m) in mixed-sex groups until killed between May 12 and June 30 of 2000, approximately 1 year after their capture. An additional five females (ISO), similarly held in the outdoor aviaries for 1 year, were placed in visual and acoustic isolation chambers (internal diameter 53 × 28 × 30 cm) on natural photoperiods on April 1, 2000, about when breeding normally begins in southern California. These birds were killed 5 weeks later on May 8, 2000. Wild-caught breeding birds, 10 males (WCM) and 6 females (WCF) were captured between May 8 and June 20 of 2000 and were killed within 10 days of capture (a nonsignificant negative correlation between Hp size and capture time for WCM and WCF suggest this brief captivity was insufficient to alter Hp size). As wild-caught birds came in from the field, we killed them in matched pairs with captive birds. All birds in both years were caught at one of the two sites in Ventura County. All birds were given food (Roudybush Maintenance Crumble; Roudybush, Woodland, California) and water ad libitum. All conditions were approved by the University of California Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol 185). Captive males and

females used in this study were all in apparent breeding condition based on courting and mating behaviors.

Histology and Morphometrics

Birds were injected intramuscularly with a ketamine (50 mg/kg)/xylazine (20 mg/kg) mixture followed by 8 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital and perfused transcardially with 0.8% saline and neutral buffered formalin (NBF). Brains were postfixed in NBF for 11 or 12 days then transferred to 30% sucrose in 0.1 M Phosphate Buffer until sinking. Brains were cut in the coronal plane on a cryostat at 40 μ m; every fifth section was mounted on a slide and Nissl stained with cresyl violet. Slides were coded so that individuals doing measurements were unaware of the sex and treatment of individuals. We computed the volume of the Hp, telencephalon (Tel), and nucleus rotundus (NRot), a visual, thalamic nucleus. The Tel and NRot were measured as control regions to determine whether captivity affected brain regions outside the Hp and to control for shrinkage of tissue during processing by adjusting Hp volume for Tel volume. Volume was computed by capturing images of slices with MRGrab (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) software via a light-microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRC digital camera (Zeiss) and measuring surface areas. Our sampling procedures and volume calculations followed standard stereological methods (Gundersen et al., 1988). Standard neuroanatomical landmarks were used to delineate the boundaries of the brain regions. In birds, the Hp is bounded by the midline, the lateral ventricle, and the brain surface. Laterally it can be distinguished from the apical part of the hyperpallium (HA) by an obvious increase in cell density at the start of the HA (Figure 1A; Day, Westcott, & Olster, 2005; Sherry et al., 1989). Following previous work with brown-headed cowbirds, we measured the Tel from the first slice in which Hp appeared to the last slice in which we measured Hp (Sherry et al., 1993). On sections in which the telencephalic hemispheres were joined with the diencephalon, prominent markers such as the occipito-mesencephalic tract and the anterior commissure were used to determine telencephalic boundaries (Brenowitz, Lent, & Kroodsma, 1995). The NRot boundaries are particularly clear in Nissl-stained sections (Figure 1B). Hp and NRot were measured on every mounted slice (distance between slices 200 μ m), and Tel was measured on every other mounted slice (distance between slices 400 μ m). We measured both the left and right Hp and Tel on four wild-caught male brains and found no significant laterality (paired *t* test, $p > .05$). In addition, no laterality in Hp volume was found in a previous study that measured six male and five female brown-headed cowbirds (Uyehara & Nairns, 1992). However, the left eye–right hemisphere system in birds has been shown to perform more spatial information processing than the other hemisphere (Clayton & Krebs, 1993), and sex differences in Hp volume favoring males occur only in the right dentate gyrus of rats (Roof, 1995; Roof & Havens, 1992; Tabibnia, Cooke, & Breedlove, 1999). Thus, we continued measurements only on the right, more “spatial,” side of all brains, acknowledging the possibility that we could miss laterality differences if they exist in this species. After all brain regions were measured, we randomly selected four slices per brain region from each bird and remeasured these areas. All regions showed a mean variation of less than 3.5% between first and second measurements.

Statistical Analyses

Whether comparisons were significant or not was not affected by log transformation, thus we used raw values. We subtracted the volume of the Hp from Tel volumes (TelH) so that these two measures were independent. To compare volumes of each brain region across groups, we performed one-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) followed by Bonferroni/Dunn post hoc tests using an alpha level corrected for all possible pairwise comparisons in SuperAnova (Version 1.11; Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA). Variation in the size of the Tel can affect Hp volume; therefore, we used simple regressions to examine the relationship between Hp volume and TelH volume using Statview (Version 5.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Note that simple regression analyses showed that weight did not covary with Hp size, and thus weight was not

considered further in analyses. To examine changes in brain region volume adjusted for changes in the covariate, we used a general linear model (GLM; SPSS 14; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). To determine whether we met the assumptions for performing GLM, we first ran the model with a covariate–treatment group interaction term to verify that slopes were not significantly heterogeneous across groups. We then ran the model without the covariate interaction term and used Levene's test to check for significant difference in error variance across groups before interpreting results. GLM was followed by comparison of covariate-adjusted means using Sidak test adjusting for multiple comparisons. For all comparisons, the alpha or multiple-comparison corrected alpha was set at $p < .05$. Error bars on graphs and error values reported in text are standard errors of the mean.

Results

Hp and Tel

The absolute volume of the Hp was smaller in the two captive female groups (CF = 8.6 ± 0.3 , ISO = 9.1 ± 0.4) than in wild-caught females (WCF = 11 ± 0.3) and the two male groups (CM = 10.8 ± 0.3 , WCM = 10.4 ± 0.3 ; see Figure 2): main group effect, $F(4, 27) = 9.93$, $p < .0001$, pairwise values all $ps < .0001$. Hp volume was not significantly different in CM and WCM. Thus, captivity does not appear to alter the size of the male Hp, but both groups of captive females (CF and ISO) had a smaller Hp than wild females. The TelH was larger in the two male groups (CM = 250.3 ± 7.5 , WCM = 249.4 ± 6.1) compared to the two captive female groups (CF = 209.2 ± 10.3 , ISO = 207.5 ± 4.5 ; see Figure 3), $F(4, 27) = 8.98$, $p < .0001$, pairwise values all $ps < .0002$. The TelH of WCF (234.8 ± 4.5) was not significantly different from any other group (post hoc p value was not significant). Thus, TelH size is not altered by captivity in males or females. However, both CF and ISO have a smaller TelH volume compared to both CM and WCM.

We found a significant positive relationship between Hp and TelH (see Figure 4; $R^2 = 0.45$, $p < .0001$). We thus examined changes in Hp volume controlling for changes in TelH, the relative Hp volume (RHp). There was no significant interaction between TelH and treatment, $F(4, 22) = 1.01$, $p = .42$. Thus, the interaction term was removed. Variance in RHp was not significantly heterogeneous among groups, $F(4, 27) = 0.22$, $p = .93$. There was a significant treatment effect, $F(4, 26) = 4.47$, $p = .007$ (see Figure 5). Post hoc test showed that WCF had a larger RHp volume than CF. No other groups differed from each other (WCF vs. WCM, $p = .12$). Thus, aviary housing affected females but not males.

Nucleus Rotundus

There were no significant differences in the size of the NRot, our control region, across groups (CF = 1.24 ± 0.36 , CM = 1.56 ± 0.45 , WCF = 1.29 ± 0.32 , WCM = 1.80 ± 0.21 , ISO = 1.58 ± 0.26), $F(4, 27) = 0.62$, ns. The size of NRot was not correlated with Tel volume ($R^2 = 0.001$, $p = .88$), therefore we did not need to perform analyses adjusting for Tel size. However, we note that correcting NRot volume for Tel volume as we did for Hp did not result in significant differences among groups.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate sex-specific changes in the size of brain regions in birds and agree with the hypothesis that experience with nest searching is necessary for the seasonal increase of Hp volume seen in brood-parasitic female cowbirds (Clayton & Reboreda, 1997). Female brown-headed cowbirds held for 1 year in large outdoor aviaries and killed during the breeding season had a significantly smaller Hp and Hp volume controlling for Tel size than WCF. Hp volume was similar in CM and WCM brown-headed cowbirds. In addition to these sex-specific

effects, the effects of captivity also appeared to be specific to the Hp. Although the Tel (minus Hp) of CF and ISO was smaller than either male group, there was no significant change in this Tel volume in CF or ISO compared to WCF or between CM and WCM. In addition, neither captive conditions nor isolating conditions had any effect on the volume of the NRot.

Changes in Hp volume did not appear to be the result of general effects of an impoverished environment. CM did not have a smaller Hp than WCM. ISO had a Hp volume reduced in size compared to WCF, but this treatment did not cause an additional reduction in Hp volume compared to CF (although it should be noted that longer isolation periods could possibly reduce Hp volume). Furthermore, when adjustments for changes in Tel size were made, Hp volume did not differ between ISO and WCF. Thus, it appears that housing cowbirds in large outdoor aviaries without host nests induces either a female-specific reduction in Hp volume compared to wild-caught cowbirds or a failure of the Hp to grow in captive birds compared to wild-caught birds. Although we cannot differentiate between these two possibilities with these data, we believe it is more likely that differences between WCF and CF are due to a lack of seasonal increase in Hp volume in CF rather than an induced decrease in Hp volume in CF. Although not yet demonstrated for brown-headed cowbirds, the nest searching sex(s) of other species of cowbirds have a larger Hp in the breeding season than in the nonbreeding season (Clayton & Reboreda, 1997). We propose that CF did not undergo the normal expansion of the Hp that occurs during the breeding season in WCF. Further, experiments will be necessary to isolate the particular variable that led to differences between CF and WCF; possibilities include nest searching per se, behaviors otherwise related to female breeding, physiological events altered by captivity in females only, and sex-specific reactions to captive conditions.

Experience and the Hp

Any experience-driven change in Hp volume, whether as a result of nest searching or some related behavior, is an example of adult plasticity of the Hp. Experience-driven changes in neuroanatomical and neurochemical characteristics of the Hp occur as a result of environmental enrichment, learning regimes, and exercise in both young and adult laboratory rodents (Kempermann, Kuhn, & Gage, 1997; Kozorovitskiy et al., 2005; Rosenzweig & Bennett, 1996; van Praag, Kempermann, & Gage, 1999). In birds, experience-driven changes in Hp volume have been demonstrated in juvenile marsh tits, a food-storing bird (Clayton, 1995b, 1996). Although adult Hp plasticity in food-storing birds is supported by studies using black-capped chickadees (Smulders et al., 1995, but see Cristol, 1996), it appears that captive conditions more restrictive than our outdoor aviaries (smaller aviary, all males) can result in a decrease in Hp volume (Barnea & Nottebohm, 1994; Smulders, Casto, Nolan, Ketterson, & DeVogd, 2000). It is not clear what social or environmental factors excluded by captivity may have played a role in changes in Hp volume, but these studies suggest that experiences in addition to exclusion from nest searching can alter Hp volume. Thus, we must consider the possibility that experience with nest searching is not the driving force behind the changes we see in Hp size. Instead, the behavior thought to drive changes in Hp volume may coincide with other behavioral or physiological variables that are the actual cause of the sex-specific neuroanatomical changes.

Behavioral Variables Altered by Captivity

In addition to prevention of nest searching, there are several behaviors prevented in captivity that might affect females more than males. These include changes in the ability to form dominance hierarchies and to defend breeding territories. In the wild, both male and female cowbirds have dominance hierarchies. In captivity, the dominant male in a cage (Dufty & Wingfield, 1986) shows mate guarding and excludes subordinate males from courting females (Rothstein et al., 1986). Females show less clearly defined dominance in captivity (Rothstein et al., 1986), possibly because the resources that they normally defend, host nests, are absent.

The lack of spatial defense could affect both spatial memory requirements and hormone profiles that, in turn, could affect Hp size (Galea, Spritzer, Barker, & Pawluski, 2006; Parducz et al., 2006). In fact, one could suggest that while females were prevented from nest searching and the tracking of other females, males were still involved in keeping track of females and other males—a task that is also likely dependent on the Hp (Day, 2003; Volman et al., 1997). In addition, the establishment of dominance itself can affect Hp cell proliferation or incorporation (Kozorovitskiy & Gould, 2004; Pravosudov & Omanska, 2005). Dominant rats have more new cells in the Hp than subordinates (Kozorovitskiy & Gould, 2004; Pravosudov & Omanska, 2005). Similarly, in mountain chickadees (*Poecile gambeli*), dominant birds show higher levels of cell proliferation in the Hp (Pravosudov & Omanska, 2005). It will be important to determine if the effects seen in mountain chickadees generalize to female cowbirds.

In addition to these social behaviors, captivity has a direct effect on a female cowbird's reproductive condition. Although CM still court and copulate in a manner similar to that seen in free-ranging males, females show a dramatic decrease in egg laying (S. I. Rothstein, personal communication, March 2007). Wild female cowbirds normally lay 40 eggs or more over an 8-week breeding season (Rothstein et al., 1986), which is far more than the average passerine. This lengthy and robust egg-laying period is associated with longer elevation of estrogen (estradiol, E₂) levels than in most passerines (Dufty & Wingfield, 1986). Although it is unclear exactly how a reduction in egg laying could affect Hp volume, it is likely that CF experience an altered hormonal profile compared to WCF, which in turn could affect Hp volume as discussed in the following section.

Hormones and Hp

Hp volume in female cowbirds may be influenced by changes in E₂ in a manner similar to the that in mammalian species where E₂ is associated with increased neurogenesis, dendritic arborization, and synaptogenesis in the Hp (Galea et al., 2006; Parducz et al., 2006). In birds, we know that testosterone (T) and its metabolites, E₂ and 5 α -dihydrotestosterone (DHT), influence the anatomy of the vocal control circuit in adult songbird species (DeVoogd & Nottebohm, 1981; Schlinger, 1997; Tramontin & Brenowitz, 2000). In addition, E₂ appears to regulate apoptosis after Hp damage in the zebra finch (Saldanha, Rohmann, Coomaringam, & Wynne, 2004). Last, the estrogen synthetic enzyme, aromatase, is abundant in brown-headed cowbird Hp (Saldanha & Schlinger, 1997). Thus, it is possible that differences in E₂ or T levels between WCF and CF affected Hp size. It would not be surprising if any housing-induced changes in hormones altered Hp volume in female cowbirds only, given that effects of E₂ on Hp neurogenesis, synaptogenesis, and synaptogenesis are low or lacking in male compared to female rats (Galea et al., 2006; Parducz et al., 2006).

Even if E₂ affects Hp morphology similarly in male and female cowbirds, unlike the case in rats (Galea et al., 2006; Parducz et al., 2006), captivity could differentially affect male and female hormone profiles. Although both CM and CF were in apparent breeding condition, it is possible that there were sex-specific effects of housing on hormonal profiles in our cowbirds. As mentioned, there were likely greater differences in reproductive condition between WCF and CF than between WCM and CM as a result of reduced egg laying that could potentially affect female hormonal profiles. In addition, females normally have elevated T levels related to defense of breeding areas (Dufty & Wingfield, 1986). Thus, a lack of this defense could have altered the secretion of T in females. Last, it has previously been shown that peak T levels are similar between WCM and CM during the time that we killed birds (Rothstein et al., 1986). Comparable studies have not been done in female cowbirds. Because hormonal state can alter Hp neuroanatomy, future studies attempting to isolate how captivity alters female Hp while not affecting male Hp should include measurement of levels of T, E₂, and the estrogen

synthetic enzyme, aromatase, in blood and brain of males and females. Experiments aimed at determining the direct effects of E₂ on the Hp in cowbirds and other species are also warranted.

Cowbird Population Differences

It is important to acknowledge that, unlike studies in the eastern brown-headed cowbird (*M. a. ater*; Sherry et al., 1993), our study and two others (Uyehara, 1994; Uyehara & Nairns, 1992) that examined the western subspecies (*M. a. obscurus*) did not find that WCF had a significantly larger Hp than WCM. These discrepancies could result from methodological differences. However, if we perform our statistical comparison exactly as Sherry et al. (1993) did, comparing only male to females and including weight as a covariate along with Tel, $p = .13$, and without weight as a covariate, $p = .08$. In Uyehara's (1994) two studies that used statistics similar to Sherry et al. (1993), sex differences for western cowbirds were $p = .25$ and $p = .15$. Thus, at the very least, there appears to be a reduction in the extent of sex differences in the western subspecies compared to the eastern subspecies using identical methods.¹ In addition to decreased sexual dimorphism, Hp size and Tel size appear to be larger in *M. a. obscurus* than *M. a. ater* despite the smaller body size of *M. a. obscurus* compared to *M. a. ater* (see Table 1). Pronounced differences in habitat use, such as the larger ranges in the western than eastern subspecies, could account for the population differences observed in Hp size and extent of sexual dimorphism (see Table 1).

However, if *M. a. obscurus* has a less sexual dimorphic Hp than *M. a. ater* because both males and females have large feeding ranges, why would captivity in the present study not reduce the size of the male Hp given the enormous reduction in home range? Both male and female screaming cowbirds (*M. rufoaxillaris*) show a reduction in Hp volume in the nonbreeding season compared to the breeding season (Clayton & Reboreda, 1997), thus male Hp plasticity is possible in cowbirds. Obviously, more research is needed to isolate why we found sex-specific effects of captivity on Hp volume in *M. a. obscurus* despite a lack of sex-specific Hp size in wild birds of the population we studied. Future studies should consider subspecies and within-subspecies population differences as cowbird space use appears to depend on a number of habitat, habitat use, and population size dimensions (Darley, 1982, 1983; Dufty, 1982; Lowther, 1993; Rothstein, Verner, & Steven, 1984; Rothstein et al., 1986). Precedents for such within-species population differences are found in black-capped chickadees (*P. atricapilla*). A larger Hp in Alaskan chickadees compared to those from Colorado is thought to reflect adaptations to the limited and unpredictable food supply in Alaska (Pravosudov & Clayton, 2002).

Conclusion

As hypothesized, female but not male cowbirds (*M. a. obscurus*) had a smaller absolute and relative Hp compared to wild-caught counterparts when they were prevented from nest searching by being held in large outdoor aviaries for 1 year. This effect did not appear to be general to the whole brain as no reduction in NRot occurred. The effects of an impoverished environment cannot adequately explain results. Males were not affected by captivity, and females placed in isolation chambers did not have a smaller relative Hp volume than CF or WCF. The experiential or physiological mechanism underlying the differences we found remains to be determined. Regardless of the exact mechanism, our results are unique in demonstrating that experimental alteration in behavior can be associated with a sex-specific effect on Hp volume in adult birds.

¹Using residual analysis or arcsine transformed Hp/Tel as per methods in Reboreda et al. (1996) and Clayton and Reboreda (1997) for shiny cowbirds yields results as per our GLM except WCF versus WCM is significant.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by 5 F32 MH12326 and NSF, IBN 9728091. We thank Thomas Pilsbury for assistance with brain sectioning, Zoe Lieberman and Rachel Pauley for help with staining and coverslipping, and Dr. Deborah Olster for the use of her laboratory and for helpful comments on the manuscript. We appreciate the efforts of individuals involved in the cowbird control program who brought us cowbirds for this work. Day was involved in all aspects of this work. Guerra measured brain regions and discussed results. Rothstein provided resources and advice on all aspects of the project and manuscript. Schlinger provided resources and manuscript comments, as well as participating in interpreting results.

References

- Abbott ML, Walsh CJ, Storey AE, Stenhouse IJ, Harley CW. Hippocampal volume is related to complexity of nesting habitat in Leach's storm petrel, a nocturnal procellariiform seabird. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 1999;53:271–276.
- Barnea A, Nottebohm F. Seasonal recruitment of hippocampal neurons in adult free-ranging black-capped chickadees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 1994;91
- Brenowitz EA, Lent K, Kroodsma DE. Brain space for a learned song in birds develops independently of song learning. *Journal of Neuroscience* 1995;15:6281–6286. [PubMed: 7666210]
- Clayton NS. Development of memory and the hippocampus: Comparison of food-storing and nonstoring birds on a one-trial associative memory task. *Journal of Neuroscience* 1995a;15:2796–2807. [PubMed: 7722629]
- Clayton NS. The neuroethological development of food-storing memory: A case of use it, or lose it! *Behavioural Brain Research* 1995b;70:95–102. [PubMed: 8519432]
- Clayton NS. Development of food-storing and the hippocampus in juvenile marsh tits (*Parus palustris*). *Behavioural Brain Research* 1996;74:153–159. [PubMed: 8851924]
- Clayton NS, Krebs JR. Lateralization and unilateral transfer of spatial memory in marsh tits: Are two eyes better than one? *Comparative Physiology A: Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology* 1993;174:769–773.
- Clayton NS, Reboreda JC. Seasonal changes of hippocampus volume in parasitic cowbirds. *Behavioural Processes* 1997;41:237–243.
- Cristol DA. Food storing does not affect hippocampal volume in experienced adult willow tits. *Behavioral Brain Research* 1996;81:233–236.
- Curson DR, Goguen CB, Mathews NE. Long-distance commuting by brown-headed cowbirds in New Mexico. *Auk* 2000;117:795–799.
- Darley, JA. The social organization of breeding brown-headed cowbirds. London, Ontario: University of Western Ontario; 1968.
- Darley JA. Territoriality and mating behavior of the male brown-headed cowbird. *Condor* 1982;84:15–21.
- Darley JA. Territorial behavior of the female brown-headed cowbird (*Molothrus ater*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 1983;61:65–69.
- Day LB. The importance of hippocampal-dependent non-spatial tasks in analyses of homology and homoplasy. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 2003;62:96–107.
- Day LB, Crews D, Wilczynski W. Relative medial and dorsal cortex volume in relation to foraging ecology in congeneric lizards. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 1999;54:314–322.
- Day LB, Westcott DA, Olster DH. Evolution of bower complexity and cerebellum size in bowerbirds. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 2005;66:62–72.
- DeVoogd TJ, Nottebohm F. Gonadal hormones induce dendritic growth in the adult avian brain. *Science* 1981 October 9;214:202–204. [PubMed: 7280692]
- Duffy AM. Movements and activities of radio-tracked brown-headed cowbirds. *Auk* 1982;99:316–327.
- Duffy AM, Wingfield JC. Temporal patterns of circulating LH and steroid hormones in a brood parasite, the brown-headed cowbird, *Molothrus ater*. II. Females. *Journal of Zoology (London)* 1986;208:205–214.
- Fleischer RC, Rothstein SI. Known secondary contact and rapid gene flow among subspecies and dialects in the brown-headed cowbird. *Evolution* 1988;42:1146–1158.

- Gage FH. Neurogenesis in the adult brain. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2002;22:612–613. [PubMed: 11826087]
- Galea LAM, Spritzer MD, Barker JM, Pawluski JL. Gonadal hormone modulation of hippocampal neurogenesis in the adult. *Hippocampus* 2006;16:225–232. [PubMed: 16411182]
- Gaulin S. Evolution of sex differences in spatial ability. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology* 1992;35:125–151.
- Griffith, JT.; Griffith, JC. Cowbird control and the endangered least Bell's vireo: A management success story. In: Smith, JNM.; Cook, TL.; Rothstein, SI.; Robinson, SK.; Sealy, SG., editors. *Ecology and management of cowbirds and their hosts*. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press; 2000. p. 342–356.
- Gundersen HJG, Bagger P, Bendtsen TF, Evans SM, Korbo L, Marcussen N, et al. The new stereological tools: Disector, fractionator, nucleator and point sampled intercepts and their use in pathological research and diagnosis. *APMIS* 1988;96:857–881. [PubMed: 3056461]
- Hampton RR, Sherry DF, Shettleworth SJ, Kurgel M, Ivy G. Hippocampal volume and food storing are related in Parids. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 1995;45:54–61.
- Hampton RR, Shettleworth SJ. Hippocampus and memory in a food-storing and in a nonstoring bird species. *Behavioral Neuroscience* 1996;110:946–964. [PubMed: 8918998]
- Healy SD, Gwinner E, Krebs JR. Hippocampal volume in migratory and non-migratory warblers: Effects of age and experience. *Behavioural Brain Research* 1996;81:61–68. [PubMed: 8950002]
- Healy SD, Krebs JR. Food storing and the hippocampus in corvids: Amount and volume are correlated. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 1992;248:241–245.
- Jacobs LF. Memory for cache locations in Merriam's kangaroo rats. *Animal Behaviour* 1992;43:585–593.
- Jacobs LF. The economy of winter: Phenotypic plasticity in behavior and brain structure. *Biological Bulletin* 1996;191:92–100. [PubMed: 8776845]
- Jacobs LF, Gaulin SJ, Sherry DF, Hoffman GE. Evolution of spatial cognition: Sex-specific patterns of spatial behavior predict hippocampal size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 1990;87:6349–6352.
- Jacobs LF, Spencer WD. Natural space use patterns and hippocampal size in kangaroo rats. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 1994;44:125–132.
- Kempermann G, Kuhn G, Gage FH. More hippocampal neurons in adult mice living in an enriched environment. *Nature* 1997 April 3;386:493–495. [PubMed: 9087407]
- Kozorovitskiy Y, Gould E. Dominance hierarchy influences adult neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2004;24:6755–6759. [PubMed: 15282279]
- Kozorovitskiy Y, Gross CG, Kopil C, Battaglia L, McBreen M, Stranahan AM, et al. Experience induces structural and biochemical changes in the adult primate brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 2005;102:17478–17482.
- Krebs JR, Sherry DF, Healy SD, Perry VH, Vaccarion AL. Hippocampal specialization of food storing birds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 1989;86:1388–1392.
- Lavenex P, Steele MA, Jacobs LF. Sex differences, but no seasonal variations in the hippocampus of food-caching squirrels: A stereological study. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 2000;425:152–166. [PubMed: 10940949]
- Lowther, PE. Brown-headed cowbird (*Molothrus ater*). In: Poole, A.; Gill, F., editors. *The birds of North America*. Vol. Vol. 47. Philadelphia, PA: The Academy of Natural Sciences; and Washington, DC: The American Ornithologists' Union; 1993.
- Macdougall-Shackleton SA, Sherry DF, Clark AP, Pinkus R, Hernandez AM. Photoperiodic regulation of food storing and hippocampus volume in black-capped chickadees, *Poecile atricapillus*. *Animal Behaviour* 2003;65:805–812.
- McEwen BS. Permanence of brain sex differences and structural plasticity of the adult brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 1999;96:7128–7130.
- Parducz A, Hajszan T, Macluskay NJ, Hoyk Z, Csakvari E, Kurunczi A, et al. Synaptic remodeling induced by gonadal hormones: Neuronal plasticity as a mediator of neuroendocrine and behavioral responses to steroids. *Neuroscience* 2006;138:977–985. [PubMed: 16310961]

- Payne RB. The breeding season of a parasitic bird, the brown-headed cowbird, in central California. *Condor* 1973;75:80–99.
- Pravosudov VV, Clayton NS. A test of the adaptive specialization hypothesis: Population differences in caching, memory, and the hippocampus in black-capped chickadees (*Poecile atricapilla*). *Behavioral Neuroscience* 2002;116:515–522. [PubMed: 12148919]
- Pravosudov VV, Omanska A. Dominance-related changes in spatial memory are associated with changes in hippocampal cell proliferation rates in mountain chickadees. *Journal of Neurobiology* 2005;62:31–41. [PubMed: 15389686]
- Prendergast, BJ.; Nelson, RJ.; Zucker, I. Mammalian seasonal rhythms: Behavior and neuroendocrine substrates. In: Pfaff, DW., editor. *Hormones, brain, and behavior*. Vol. 2. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2002. p. 93-156.
- Reboreda JC, Clayton NS, Kacelnik A. Species and sex differences in hippocampus size in parasitic and non-parasitic cowbirds. *Neuroreport: An International Journal for the Rapid Communication of Research in Neuroscience* 1996;7:505–508.
- Roof RL. The dentate gyrus is sexually dimorphic in prepubescent rats: Testosterone plays a significant role. *Brain Research* 1995;610:148–151. [PubMed: 8518922]
- Roof RL, Havens MD. Testosterone improves maze performance and induces development of a male hippocampus in females. *Brain Research* 1992;572:310–313. [PubMed: 1611529]
- Rosenzweig MR, Bennett EL. Psychobiology of plasticity: Effects of training and experience on brain and behavior. *Behavioural Brain Research* 1996;78:57–65. [PubMed: 8793038]
- Rothstein SI, Verner J, Steven E. Radio-tracking confirms a unique diurnal pattern of spatial occurrence in the parasitic brown-headed cowbird. *Ecology* 1984;65:77–88.
- Rothstein, SI.; Yokel, DA.; Fleischer, RC. Social dominance, mating and space systems, female fecundity, and vocal dialects in captive and free-ranging brown-headed cowbirds. In: Johnston, RF., editor. *Current ornithology*. Vol. 3. New York: Plenum Press; 1986. p. 127-185.
- Saldanah CJ, Schlinger BA. Estrogen synthesis and secretion in the brown-headed cowbird (*Molothrus ater*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 1997;105:390–401. [PubMed: 9073501]
- Saldanha CJ, Rohmann KN, Coomaringam L, Wynne RD. Estrogen provision by reactive glia decreases apoptosis in the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Journal of Neurobiology* 2004;64:192–201. [PubMed: 15818556]
- Schlinger BA. Sex steroids and their actions on the birdsong system. *Journal of Neurobiology* 1997;33:619–631. [PubMed: 9369463]
- Sherry DF, Forbes MRL, Khurgel M, Ivy GO. Females have a larger hippocampus than males in the brood-parasitic brown-headed cowbird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 1993;90:7839–7843.
- Sherry DF, Jacobs LF, Gaulin SJ. Spatial memory and adaptive specialization of the hippocampus. *Trends in Neuroscience* 1992;15:298–303.
- Sherry DF, Vaccarino AL, Buckenham K, Herz RS. The hippocampal complex of food-storing birds. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 1989;34:308–317.
- Smulders TV, Casto JM, Nolan V Jr, Ketterson ED, DeVoogd TJ. Effects of captivity and testosterone on the volumes of four brain regions in the dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*). *Journal of Neurobiology* 2000;43:244–253. [PubMed: 10842237]
- Smulders TV, Sasson AD, DeVoogd TJ. Seasonal variation in hippocampal volume in a food-storing bird, the black-capped chickadee. *Journal of Neurobiology* 1995;27:15–25. [PubMed: 7643072]
- Smulders TV, Shiflett MW, Sperling AJ, DeVoogd TJ. Seasonal changes in neuron numbers in the hippocampal formation of a food-hoarding bird: The black-capped chickadee. *Journal of Neurobiology* 2000;44:414–422. [PubMed: 10945896]
- Tabibnia G, Cooke BM, Breedlove SM. Sex difference and laterality in the volume of mouse dentate gyrus granule cell layer. *Brain Research* 1999;827:41–45. [PubMed: 10320691]
- Tramontin AD, Brenowitz EA. Seasonal plasticity in the adult brain. *Trends in Neuroscience* 2000;23:251–258.
- Uyehara, JC. Unpublished master's thesis. Santa Barbara: Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California; 1994. Sexual monomorphism in hippocampi in subspecies of the brown-headed cowbird.

- Uyehara, JC.; Nairns, PM. Sexual dimorphism in cowbird brains and bodies: Where does it end?; Paper presented at the International Congress of Neuroethology; Montreal. 1992.
- van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. Running increases cell proliferation and neurogenesis in the adult mouse dentate gyrus. *Nature Neuroscience* 1999;2:266–270.
- Volman SF, Grubb TC, Schuett KC. Relative hippocampal volume in relation to food-storing behavior in four species of woodpeckers. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 1997;49:110–120.

Figure 1. Photomicrographs of hippocampus (A) and nucleus rotundus (B). In A, arrows indicate hippocampus boundaries. The left section is from a captive female (CF) and right section is from a wild-caught female (WCF). We attempted to take photos at the same rostral-caudal position for CF and WCF.

Figure 2.

Mean hippocampus (Hp) volume for captive males (CM) and females (CF), wild-caught males (WCM) and females (WCF), and isolated females (ISO). Asterisk above brackets indicates that the hippocampus was significantly smaller in both captive female groups than in WCF as well as CM and WCM. The two male groups did not differ from each other.

Figure 3.

Mean telencephalon volume (Tel Vol) minus hippocampus (Hp) volume (TelH) for captive males (CM) and females (CF), wild-caught males (WCM) and females (WCF), and isolated females (ISO). Asterisk above brackets indicates that TelH size is smaller in both captive female groups compared to both male groups. TelH size did not differ among female groups.

Figure 4.

Mean hippocampus (Hp) volume plotted against telencephalon (Tel) volume (minus Hp volume) for groups studied ($R^2 = 0.45$, $p < .0001$). CF = captive females; CM = captive males; ISO = isolated females; WCF = wild-caught females; WCM = wild-caught males.

Figure 5.

Hippocampal volume (Hp Vol) controlling for the effects of telencephalon volume (Tele Vol; minus Hp Vol). Hp Vol is significantly smaller in captive females than in wild-caught females. ISO = isolated females; CF = captive females; WCF = wild-caught females; CM = captive males; WCM = wild-caught males.

Table 1**Range Size and Morphology of Populations of Eastern and Western Cowbird Subspecies**

	Western male	Western female	Eastern male	Eastern female
Feeding range	550 ha ^a	220 ha ^a	< Western subspecies ^{a,b}	
Commute feeding to breeding	7 km or > ^{a,e}	7 km or > ^{a,e}	< Western subspecies ^{a,b}	
	Feeding may overlap breeding ^{a,b}			
Feeding sites visited	Males > females ^a		Males = females ^c	
Breeding range	56 ha ^a	78 ha ^a	6.6 ha ^b	4.5 ha ^b
			≥7.9 ha ^c	7.9 ha ^c
			20.4 ha ^d	20.4 ha ^d
Nest searching	No	Yes	No	Yes
Hippocampus	9–10.6 mm ³	10.3–12.4 mm ³	3.5–9.5 mm ³	5–10 mm ³
	<i>M</i> = 10.4 mm ³	<i>M</i> = 11.0 mm ³	<i>M</i> ≈ 5.5 mm ^{3f}	<i>M</i> ≈ 6.8 mm ^{3f}
Telencephalon	220–276 mm ³	222–246 mm ³	110–290 mm ³	125–240 mm ³
	<i>M</i> = 250 mm ³	<i>M</i> = 235 mm ³	<i>M</i> ≈ 160 mm ^{3f}	<i>M</i> ≈ 170 mm ^{3f}
Weight	41.3 g	28.3 g	43.9 g	35.5 g

Note. For Western subspecies, behavioral data refer to two western subspecies *M.a. obscurus* and *M.a. artemisiae*, while neuroanatomical data are from *M.a. obscurus* only. All Eastern subspecies data are from *M.a. ater*.

^aRothstein et al., 1984, 1986.

^bDarley, 1968.

^c(Darley, 1983.

^dDuffy, 1982.

^eCurson, Goguen, & Mathews, 2000.

^fMeasurements approximated from single study presented in Figure 2 (Sherry et al., 1993).