Published in final edited form as:

J Subst Abuse Treat. 2007 March ; 32(2): 207–215. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2006.09.002.

Client and Counselor Attitudes Toward the Use of Medications for Treatment of Opioid Dependence

Traci Rieckmann, Ph.D.,
Oregon Health & Science University

Marilyn Daley, Ph.D.,
Brandeis University

Bret E. Fuller, Ph.D.,
Oregon Health & Science University

Cindy P. Thomas, Ph.D., and
Brandeis University

Dennis McCarty, Ph.D.
Oregon Health & Science University

Abstract

Attitudes, perceived social norms and intentions were assessed for 376 counselors and 1083 clients from outpatient, methadone and residential drug treatment programs regarding four medications used to treat opiate dependence: methadone, buprenorphine, clonidine, and ibogaine. Attitudes, social norms and intentions to use varied by treatment modality. Methadone clients and counselors had more positive attitudes toward the use of methadone, while their counterparts in residential and outpatient settings had neutral or negative assessments. Across modalities, attitudes, perceived social norms, and intentions toward the use of buprenorphine were relatively neutral. Assessments of clonidine and ibogaine were negative for clients and counselors in all settings. Social normative influences were dominant across settings and medications in determining counselor and client intentions to use medications, suggesting that perceptions about beliefs of peers may play a critical role in use of medications to treat opiate dependence.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Investments in the development of pharmacotherapies for the treatment of alcohol and drug dependence have begun to yield medications that enhance treatment effectiveness (Garbutt, West, Carey, Lohr, & Crews, 1999; Litten, 1999; O'Brien, 1997; Swift, 1999). Underutilization of these innovative pharmacotherapies, however, is a concern and points to the need for advancements in adoption and implementation strategies (Institute of Medicine, 1998). Speculation about resistance to the use of medications suggests that some patients and therapists believe that the use of medication to treat addiction is inconsistent with the experience of recovery (Institute of Medicine, 1995; Institute of Medicine, 1997). Unfortunately, there is

Corresponding Author: Traci Rieckmann, Ph.D., OHSU Dept. PHPM, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd. CB 669, Portland, OR 97239, 503-494-6739, rieckman@ohsu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

little empirical data on the attitudes and beliefs of clients and counselors toward using medication as part of a therapeutic plan for the treatment of drug dependence.

1.1 Attitudes Toward the Use of Medications for Opiate Dependence

Investigations conducted in the early 1970's found ambivalent attitudes and beliefs about the use of methadone in treating opiate dependence (Brown, 1975). More contemporary investigations used a measure of "abstinence orientation" (beliefs that methadone use should be time-limited) and assessed the opinions of staff in methadone programs in Australia (Caplehorn, Irwig, Saunders, 1996a; Caplehorn, Irwig & Saunders, 1996b) and New York City (Caplehorn, Hartel, & Irwig, 1997; Kang, Magura, Nwakeze, & Demsky, 1997). These studies found variations among programs in support for abstinence-oriented treatment and that an abstinent orientation had a negative effect on retention in care. Moreover, counselors with less education and specialization were more likely to support abstinence-only treatment models.

Treatment program staff ($n = 317$) participating in the Delaware Valley Node of the National Drug Abuse Treatment Clinical Trials Network supported increased use of research findings and new approaches in drug abuse treatment including the use of medications; support varied depending on the intervention, counselor education and experience (Forman, Bovasso, & Woody, 2001). Counselors with more education and experience had more positive attitudes toward the use of medications. Additional research on the effects of staff attitudes on client perceptions and behaviors seems advisable, especially in treatment settings where medications have not been used extensively.

In summary, prior research encourages a more systematic examination of practitioner and patient attitudes and beliefs. Clients and staff recognize both positive and negative aspects of the use of medications. Interventions to reduce negative opinions and increase positive assessments could lead to behavior change and greater use of medications. Overall, the investigations have been primarily atheoretical and have provided little direction for efforts to promote the use of medications. Additional guidance on the development of effective educational and persuasion initiatives can be found in the social psychology and marketing literature.

1.2 The Theory of Reasoned Action

The Theory of Reasoned Action and its modification the Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1985; Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980; Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975) have been applied to the analysis of health behaviors and the development of health promotion campaigns for more than two decades (Godin & Kok, 1996). The theories may provide a foundation for investigations focused on the use of medication in treatment for drug abuse. According to the model, the most direct cognitive determinant of a behavior (in this case, the use of a medication in drug abuse treatment) is the intention to perform that behavior. Intention is a joint function of the attitude toward the behavior and the perceived social expectations (norms) to perform the behavior. The relative influence of attitudes and social norms varies with changes in the behavior, the situation, and the individual. Model components are both proximal to the behavior and specific to the intention. Strong correlations between attitude and behavior are expected only when there is a high degree of correspondence. Measures should specify the behavior, object of the behavior, time and behavioral context. To predict a patient's intention to use clonidine for heroin detoxification, for example, an investigation should assess the attitude toward taking clonidine (behavior) for heroin detoxification (context).

The Theory of Reasoned Action and the Theory of Planned Behavior have found widespread application to health-related behaviors including HIV risk reduction and use of contraceptives (Albarracin, Fishbein, & Middlestadt, 1998; Fishbein & Guinan, 1996; McCarty, 1981), and

the use of alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (Bearden & Woodside, 1978; McCarty, Morrison, & Mills, 1983; Schlegel, Crawford, & Sanborn, 1977; Schlegel, D'Avernas, Zanna, DeCourville, & Manske, 1992). Reviews of applications of the model to health behaviors report that the model can explain 40 to 50 percent of the variation in intention (Godin & Kok, 1996; Sutton, 1998). The model has a strong base in theoretical and applied research and can guide the construction of initiatives that encourage patients and practitioners to use medications.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current investigation examines attitudes, beliefs, perceived social norms and intentions to use four medications (buprenorphine, clonidine, ibogaine and methadone) to treat opioid dependence. Clients and counselors were recruited from outpatient, methadone maintenance, and residential treatment programs in Massachusetts and Oregon. Clients and counselors completed different versions of the *Medications Opinions Survey* to assess attitudes, beliefs, social norms and intentions to use medications in the treatment of addiction.

2.1 Medication Opinions Survey

2.1.1 Survey Development—Five treatment programs participated in focus groups to assess client and counselor beliefs, knowledge of, and attitudes about the use of medications to assist individuals in recovery from opiate dependence. Separate groups were conducted with counselors ($n = 43$; 74% women; 86% white; 16.5 years of education) and clients ($n = 43$; 60% women, 84% white; 12.0 years of education). A qualitative analysis suggested that treatment modality (residential, outpatient, methadone), program philosophies, stage of treatment and experience with medication affected willingness to use medications. Content for statements on beliefs about medications were drawn from the focus groups and incorporated into a draft version of the Medications Opinions Survey. The instrument was piloted with 144 counselors and clients from methadone, outpatient and residential treatment settings. The pilot study documented the feasibility of study procedures. Belief statements that correlated most strongly with attitude measures were selected for the final version of the instrument. Item format and structure were based on the formats used in prior studies of the Fishbein-Ajzen model (e.g., McCarty, 1981; McCarty, Morrison & Mills, 1983).

2.1.2 Attitudes—Positive and negative affect toward the use of specific medications was assessed using three semantic differential items with seven-point scales: (bad-good, sad-happy, useless-useful); scales were scored -3 to $+3$. The attitude score was based on the sum of these three items and ranged from -9 to $+9$. The counselor attitude item read “For me, working with clients who take <insert medication> to treat heroin dependence is ...” and the client item read “For me, taking <insert medication> to treat heroin dependence is ...” Coefficients alpha for the three items measuring attitudes for each medication were all above .94.

2.1.3. Perceived social norms—Client and counselor perceptions of social norms were measured on a seven-point “unlikely” to “likely” scale (scored -3 to $+3$) that assessed the likelihood that individuals important to the respondent supported the use of medications. The counselor item read, “People who are important to me think I should work with clients who take <insert medication>.” The parallel item for clients read, “People who are important to me think I should take <insert medication> for heroin dependence.” Negative scores suggested less perceived social support for the use of the medication in the treatment of opiate dependence. This item correlated highly with other items measuring similar facets of social norms (i.e., other counselors, friends and family). The single item measure of social norms has been used widely in tests of the Fishbein-Ajzen model.

2.1.4 Intentions—Intention items were based on a seven-point scale scored unlikely to likely (−3 to +3) with negative scores indicating lower intentions to use opiate treatment medications. For counselors, the item assessed the extent to which the counselor was likely to use this medication in treating his or her patients - - “I intend to tell my clients who are dependent on heroin to take <insert medication>.” The item for clients read, “I intend to take <insert medication> for heroin dependence.

2.2 Participants

Data were collected in 2002 and 2003 (prior to and shortly after the Food and Drug Administration’s approval of buprenorphine for the treatment of opiate dependence). The counselor sample included 376 counselors (125 from methadone programs, 127 from residential, and 124 from outpatient programs) from Oregon ($n = 53$) and Massachusetts ($n = 323$) (one response with missing data on program type was excluded from the analysis). Two-thirds (66%) of the counselors were women and about one-in-five (22%) were persons of color (8% African-American, 7% multi-racial, 5% Hispanic, and 2% other). Four of ten counselors (42%) had a bachelors degree or higher, 34% had an associates degree or certificate and the remaining 24% had a high school diploma or less. More than one third (36%) of the counselors in the study self-identified as being in recovery.

Clients ($n = 1,083$) were recruited from methadone programs ($n = 367$), residential programs ($n = 361$), and outpatient programs ($n = 355$) located in Oregon ($n = 177$) and Massachusetts ($n = 906$). This study did not collect specific data on how clients were referred for treatment. Thus, participants in study likely reflect the range of client populations including those that were self-referred, court ordered into treatment, those from primary care referrals, and individuals referred from social service and mental health providers. Oregon and Massachusetts use the American Society of Addiction Medicine patient placement criteria to assign patients to level of care (i.e., outpatient, residential and methadone treatment); study participants met admissions criteria for the level of care where they were recruited. African Americans (9.6%), Hispanics (5%), and multi-racial clients (8%) were included in the study. Most clients had a high school education or less (82%), 16% had an associates degree and 2% had a bachelors or higher. Women (55%) and opiate users (81%) were intentionally over-represented in the study sample. State admissions data (fiscal year 2002) suggests that over two-thirds of the patients in Massachusetts (67%) and Oregon (69%) were men; 16% of the Massachusetts clients reported a primary heroin problem (Oregon data not available). Nearly two-thirds (63%) of the clients reported that heroin or other opiates were their primary drugs of choice. Opiate users reported prior experiences taking clonidine (77%), methadone (87%), buprenorphine (30%), and ibogaine (2%).

2.3 Procedures

2.3.1 Counselors—An investigator contacted the clinic director and invited the treatment program to participate in a project about attitudes and intentions to use pharmacological approaches in addictions treatment. The research team negotiated with the executive or clinical director to schedule thirty-minute small group sessions with counselors. Following a standardized introduction, counselors were provided an information sheet and completed the Medication Opinions Survey. Counselors were debriefed after completing the survey and participants received a \$25 gift card to a local department/grocery store.

2.3.2 Clients—To minimize intrusion on the clinical process, two or three counselors at each study site were trained to recruit clients. Counselors were paid \$100 to participate in training and to collaborate with the study team. At the beginning of individual therapy sessions, the counselor invited patients to participate by providing a flyer with details about the project and survey administration times. Clients were encouraged to inform the counselor of their interest

in participating and which session they preferred to attend. A log was maintained by participating therapists to track which clients received a flyer and their response. Counselors were not directly involved in data collection.

Researchers met with clients in small groups to complete informed consent forms and surveys. Participants were provided with a \$25 gift card to a local department/grocery store.

2.3.3 Human Subject Protections—Institutional Review Boards at Brandeis University and Oregon Health & Science University reviewed the study protocol and approved the use of information sheets to inform clients and counselors. Research staff complied with 42 CFR Part 2 (Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Patient Records) (Legal Action Center, 1991) and signed non-disclosure agreements with each participating program. Data were collected simultaneously at five sites in Oregon and 18 sites in Massachusetts. Client and counselor data were not linked in the analysis or presentation of findings. Individuals could decline to participate.

2.4 Data Analysis

A MANOVA tested means of attitudes, social norms and behavioral intentions by program modality (methadone, residential and outpatient). Program type was the independent variable and gender, education, and recovery status (for counselors only) were included as covariates. A variable defined as *opiates as primary drug of abuse* was a covariate in the analysis of client data. For each dependent variable (behavioral intentions, attitudes, and social norms), the univariate effects were examined to interpret multivariate effects. Differences between means were evaluated with Scheffe post-hoc comparisons (alpha level of $p < .05$). Multiple regressions tested the Theory of Reasoned Action to assess the influence of perceived social norms and attitudes on intentions to use selected medications to treat opiate dependence. Client and counselor data were analyzed by medication (methadone, buprenorphine, clonidine, and ibogaine) and level of care. Client and counselor data were not directly comparable because they responded to slightly different questions. Counselors were asked to assess use of medication with clients, and clients were asked to assess their use of medications to treat their personal dependence. It is instructive, nonetheless, to examine the patterns of responses in both samples.

3.0 RESULTS

3.0.1 Demographics

Table 1 presents selected demographic variables by program type. Statistical tests reveal that the three modalities differ. For counselors, the largest difference was that residential programs were much more likely to employ counselors in recovery (65%) than were outpatient programs (21%) or methadone programs (22%). Outpatient and methadone programs were also more likely to have a more educated workforce and residential programs were more likely to serve minority clients. More women, lesser educated clients and minorities attended residential programs. As expected, the majority of methadone recipients were primary heroin or opiate users.

3.1 Attitudes Toward the Use of Medications

3.1.1 Counselor attitudes—Mean counselor attitudes toward the use methadone, buprenorphine, clonidine and ibogaine for the treatment of opioid dependence are reported in Table 2. Multivariate effects on attitudes were observed for program modality ($\lambda = .73$, $df = 8/672$, $F = 14.30$, $p < .0001$) and counselors in recovery ($\lambda = .97$, $df = 4/336$, $F = 2.74$, $p < .03$). Attitudes toward the use of methadone differed significantly across modality; counselors working in methadone treatment programs had more positive attitudes ($M = 5.9$) compared to

outpatient counselors ($M = 2.8$) and counselors in residential settings ($M = 1.5$). Attitudes toward the use of buprenorphine were slightly less positive. Counselors in outpatient ($M = 3.7$) and methadone ($M = 3.4$) treatment had more positive attitudes toward buprenorphine than their colleagues from residential ($M = 1.4$) programs. Conversely, attitudes toward clonidine were more positive among outpatient ($M = 2.4$) and residential ($M = 1.6$) counselors than among counselors from methadone treatment ($M = -0.4$). Attitudes toward ibogaine were negative and did not differ by treatment modality. Counselors in recovery had more negative attitudes toward ibogaine ($M = -2.9$) than those not in recovery ($M = -1.6$); this difference was the primary influence on the multivariate effect for recovery status.

3.1.2 Client attitudes—Client attitudes differed by program type ($\lambda = .811$, $df = 8/1874$, $F = 25.89$, $p < .0001$), gender ($\lambda = .980$, $df = 4/937$, $F = 4.50$, $p < .0013$) and education ($\lambda = .971$, $df = 8/1874$, $F = 3.46$, $p < .0006$). Methadone clients ($M = 7.0$) had significantly more positive attitudes toward the use of methadone than outpatient clients ($M = 0.7$) or residential clients ($M = 1.1$) (See Table 2). For medications other than methadone (buprenorphine, clonidine and ibogaine) significant program differences were not observed. Education level affected attitudes toward buprenorphine (clients with college degrees had more positive attitudes) and clonidine (clients with college degrees had more negative attitudes). Women ($M = -1.1$) had less negative attitudes toward the use of ibogaine than men ($M = -2.6$). The variable *Opiates as the primary drug of choice* was not significant in this analysis.

3.2 Social Norms Related to the Use of Medication

3.2.1 Social Norms of Counselors—Table 3 presents the means for perceived social norms. The multivariate analysis of variance for perceived social norms found a significant multivariate effect for program type ($\lambda = .756$, $df = 8/674$, $F = 12.61$, $p < .0001$) and a weak recovery effect ($\lambda = .97$, $df = 4/337$, $F = 2.69$, $p < .03$). Counselors in methadone programs indicated that important people in their lives supported the use of methadone in the treatment of clients ($M = 1.5$). This contrasted significantly with neutral social norms found among counselors in outpatient ($M = 0.2$) and residential ($M = -0.3$) programs regarding the use of methadone. Social norms related to the use of buprenorphine were relatively neutral. Residential counselors reported a significantly negative social expectation ($M = -0.8$) compared to outpatient counselors ($M = 0.1$). Methadone counselors ($M = -1.2$) had the most negative normative expectations related to clonidine and differed significantly from outpatient counselors ($M = -0.2$) but not residential counselors ($M = -0.8$). All three groups of counselors perceived negative social expectations for ibogaine. Counselors in recovery perceived less social support for the use of ibogaine ($M = -2.9$) than counselors not in recovery ($M = -1.6$).

3.2.2 Social Norms of Clients—Clients in methadone, outpatient, and residential services differed significantly in perceived social norms for the use of methadone, ($\lambda = .696$, $df = 8/1922$, $F = 47.70$, $p < .0001$). Table 3 presents these means. Patients in methadone programs had stronger perceived social norms than outpatient or residential clients. Further, a significant multivariate effect was found for gender ($\lambda = .984$, $df = 4/961$, $F = 3.99$, $p < .0032$); men had significantly more negative attitudes for clonidine (Men: -1.54 ; Women -1.21) and ibogaine (Men: -1.71 ; Women: -1.25). Methadone clients reported strong positive social expectations toward the use of methadone ($M = 2.4$) and differed from their counterparts in residential ($M = -0.6$) and outpatient ($M = -0.6$) services. Methadone clients also had significantly more negative social expectations toward the use of clonidine ($M = -1.2$) than residential ($M = -0.2$) and outpatient ($M = -0.6$) clients. There was also a significant multivariate effect for primary opiate clients ($\lambda = .987$, $df = 4/961$, $F = 3.25$, $p < .0117$). Significant univariate effects suggested that clients whose primary drug was heroin or other opiates had more negative assessments of clonidine and ibogaine (Primary users = -1.3 clonidine, -1.38 ibogaine; non-primary users = -0.74 clonidine, -1.09 ibogaine).

3.3 Intentions to Use Medications

3.3.1 Counselor Intentions—Table 4 presents the means for behavioral intentions to use each medication. Significant multivariate effects were observed for program type ($\lambda = .46$, $df = 8/682$, $F = 40.08$, $p < .0001$), education ($\lambda = .92$, $df = 8/682$, $F = 3.44$, $p < .0007$) and recovery status of counselors ($\lambda = .97$, $df = 4/341$, $F = 2.86$, $p < .02$). Methadone counselors had more positive intentions to use methadone ($M = 2.4$) to treat heroin dependence compared with outpatient ($M = .3$) or residential counselors ($M = -1.0$). Intentions to use buprenorphine were relatively neutral among outpatient ($M = 0.5$) and methadone ($M = -0.3$) counselors and strongly negative among counselors in residential ($M = -1.2$) treatment. Use of clonidine showed significant differences between outpatient programs and their counterparts in methadone and residential programs. Intentions to use clonidine were neutral among outpatient counselors ($M = -0.5$) and negative for residential ($M = -1.5$) and methadone counselors ($M = -1.7$). A similar but more negative pattern was observed for ibogaine. Multivariate effects for education and recovery status resulted in differences in intentions to use ibogaine. More educated counselors had lower intentions to use ibogaine than did those with less education. Counselors in recovery ($M = -2.2$) were less likely to report intentions to use ibogaine in treatment plans than those not in recovery ($M = -1.7$).

3.3.2 Client Intentions—A multivariate analysis of variance for behavioral intentions showed a significant multivariate effect for program type ($\lambda = .61$, $df = 8/1922$, $F = 66.25$, $p < .0001$). Program modality affected intentions to use both methadone and clonidine. Clients in methadone treatment reported positive intentions toward the use of methadone ($M = 2.8$), while outpatient ($M = -0.8$) and residential ($M = -0.7$) clients held negative intentions (see Table 4). Methadone clients were significantly more negative ($M = -1.5$) than outpatient ($M = -1.3$) and residential ($M = -1.1$) clients in their intention to use clonidine. Clients in all three levels of care expressed negative intentions to use ibogaine and were ambivalent toward the use of buprenorphine. There were no significant program modality differences for these two medications. No significant effects were observed for gender or education. There was however, a significant multivariate effect for primary opiate clients ($\lambda = .999$, $df = 4/961$, $F = 2.75$, $p < .0271$). Clients who used heroin or opiates as their primary drug of choice were less likely to choose to use clonidine as a part of their care (primary opiate users: -1.5 ; non-opiate users -1.1).

3.4 Theory of Reasoned Action

Regression models assessed the relative influence of attitudes and social norms on intention to use each medication. The models were significant for the prediction of intentions to use medications for both clients and counselors. The percent of variance explained ranged from 40 percent (counselor intention to use clonidine) to 71 percent (client intention to use methadone). Table 5 summarizes the regression analyses for counselors and clients.

Both attitudes and social norms had a significant influence on intention to use specific medications and perceived social norms were the stronger influence in most cases. The use of medications to treat heroin dependence appears to be heavily influenced by both social expectations and attitudes toward using the medications.

For counselors, treatment modality influenced whether attitude or social norms had a greater impact on intention to use methadone. Subgroup analyses suggested that social norms were the dominant influence for methadone counselors (β for attitudes = .24; β for social norms = .45), while attitudes were more influential among outpatient counselors (β for attitudes = .48; β for social norms = .30). Attitudes and social norms had relatively equal influence on intentions to use methadone among residential counselors (β for attitudes = .34; β for social norms = .36).

4.0 DISCUSSION

Increased availability of medications for treatment of alcohol and drug disorders suggests that more attention must be directed toward cognitive influences on the use of medications among counselors and clients. The analysis of client and counselor attitudes, social norms, and intentions to use medications for the treatment of opiate dependence finds substantial variation by treatment setting. Clients and counselors in residential and outpatient settings had more neutral or slightly negative assessments of methadone. Surprisingly, residential programs, although historically opposed to the use of medications, were only slightly negative in their evaluation of methadone. The relatively neutral rating suggests that a broadened view may be emerging toward the use of methadone.

Across treatment settings, client and counselor attitudes, intentions and perceived social norms toward the use of buprenorphine tended to be neutral or slightly negative. The most consistent support for buprenorphine was found in the positive attitudes from clients and counselors in outpatient treatment programs. Overall, clients seemed to be less informed, or had less experience with, and knowledge of, buprenorphine (standard deviations were more variable). Because buprenorphine is a partial agonist with a ceiling effect on receptor activation, it has a greater margin of safety in dosing and the potential to be used in treatment programs across the U.S. As the 'gate-keepers' of clinical information and referrals, the attitudes and intentions of substance abuse counselors may affect the use of new medications. Findings from this study suggest that there may be significant opportunity to strengthen support for the use of buprenorphine through effective educational efforts.

Client and counselor assessments of clonidine were consistently negative. Clonidine is widely used to suppress opiate withdrawal symptoms during detoxification protocols, but it is also perceived as relatively ineffective. In this study, the most negative perceptions of clonidine came from counselors and clients in methadone programs. According to Ling and colleagues (2005), opiate dependent patients reported lower cravings and had significantly better treatment completion rates when using buprenorphine during detoxification as compared to those using clonidine. In addition, Kovas and her colleagues (in press), also reported better treatment engagement among patients seeking heroin detoxification who used buprenorphine as compared to the cohort of patients who received clonidine. Discharge evaluations from this study also confirmed better cooperation in treatment and aftercare planning for the buprenorphine cohort (Kovas, et al., in press). Our study found that clients who were primary opiate users were less likely to report intentions to use clonidine. This is consistent with the current literature regarding the dissatisfaction with clonidine and poor patient compliance with clonidine detoxification protocols.

Tests of the Theory of Reasoned Action documented its applicability to the assessment of attitudes toward the use of medications to treat opioid dependence. The simple model accounted for 40 to 70 percent of the variation in intentions to use specific medications. The model suggests that efforts to promote the use of medications for the treatment of opioid dependence need to incorporate a strong social normative component. Perceived social norms were a consistent determinant of intention to use the medications.

The findings from this study suggest that attitudes and social norms are influential for both clients and counselors as they consider treatment options in their personal recovery and assist others in addressing opioid dependence. Another important finding is the strength of the social normative influence in determining both counselor and client intentions to use medications. Overall, social expectations were the strongest influence across settings and medications. Thus, it appears that social interaction is the most significant communication channel for those considering the use of medications in their treatment. This finding is important in terms of

developing initiatives to promote the use of medications for treatment of opioid dependence, and suggests that we must address social expectations (normative influences) as well as attitudes and beliefs. This finding corresponds with Rogers' (1995) assertion that members of the social system are an important element in the diffusion process. In substance abuse treatment, the social system includes consumers, practitioners, and the community at large. If the social norms do not change, it will be difficult to foster greater use of medications to treat drug dependence. Thus, efforts to promote the use of medications should include a focus on the social environment.

Client and counselor attitudes and beliefs about medications may be amenable to change with well-developed interventions and are likely to influence adoption and use. More specifically, counselors may influence client use of medications. Informed by the therapeutic alliance and potential referrals, clients are likely to form opinions or ask questions about medications in the context of individual and group treatment. Most adoption decisions depend on the transfer of subjective information regarding the treatment from one member of a group who has already tried the innovation to another person within that group (Rogers, 1995). Further, the adoption of pharmacotherapies within drug abuse treatment may be particularly sensitive to the characteristics of the medication (compatibility, complexity, and observability), the use of people in recovery as change agents, analyses of the persuasion process, and the social and organizational systems found in drug treatment programs. Therefore, subsequent studies should develop and directly test interventions to modify attitudes and social norms and monitor impacts on intention and ultimately, the use of medications.

Acknowledgments

An award (R01 DA 14688) from the National Institute on Drug Abuse supported the collection and analysis of the data. Joy Camp, PhD, conducted the focus groups. Jane Moore assisted with data collection.

5.0 REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I. From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In: Kuhl, J.; Beckmann, J., editors. *Action Control: From Cognition to Behavior*. Heidelberg: Springer; 1985. p. 11-35.
- Ajzen, I.; Fishbein, M. *Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1980.
- Albaracin D, Fishbein M, Middlestadt S. Generalizing behavioral findings across times, samples, and measures: A study of condom use. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 1998;28:657–674.
- Amass L, Ling W, Freese TE, Reiber C, Annon JJ, Cohen AJ, et al. Bringing buprenorphine-naloxone detoxification to community treatment providers: the NIDA Clinical Trials Network field experience. *American Journal on Addictions* 2004;13(Suppl 1):S42 – 66. [PubMed: 15204675]
- Bearden WO, Woodside AB. Normative and attitudinal control as moderating influences on marijuana use. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 1978;19:199–204. [PubMed: 681734]
- Caplehorn JRM, Hartel DM, Irwig L. Measuring and comparing the attitudes and beliefs of staff working in New York Methadone Maintenance Clinics. *Substance Use & Misuse* 1997;32:399–413. [PubMed: 9090802]
- Caplehorn JRM, Irwig L, Saunders JB. Attitudes and beliefs of staff working in methadone maintenance clinics. *Substance Use & Misuse* 1996a;31:437–452. [PubMed: 8851811]
- Caplehorn JRM, Irwig L, Saunders JB. Physicians' attitudes and retention of patients in their methadone maintenance programs. *Substance Use & Misuse* 1996b;31:663–677. [PubMed: 8816115]
- Clark, TW.; Elliott, EA.; Soldz, S. *Drug Use Trends in Greater Boston and Massachusetts*. Boston, MA: Health & Addictions Research, Inc; 1999.
- Fishbein, M.; Ajzen, I. *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley; 1975.
- Fishbein M, Guinan M. Behavioral science and public health: A necessary partnership for HIV prevention. *Public Health Reports* 1996;111:5–10. [PubMed: 8862151]

- Forman RF, Bovasso G, Woody G. Staff beliefs about addiction treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 2001;21:1–9. [PubMed: 11516921]
- Garbutt JC, West SL, Carey TS, Lohr KN, Crews FT. Pharmacological treatment of alcohol dependence. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1999;281:1318–1325. [PubMed: 10208148]
- Godin G, Kok G. The theory of planned behavior: A review of its application to health related behaviors. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 1996;11:87–98. [PubMed: 10163601]
- Institute of Medicine. *The Development of Medications for the Treatment of Opiate and Cocaine Addictions: Issues for the Government and Private Sector*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1995.
- Institute of Medicine. *Dispelling the Myths About Addiction: Strategies to Increase Understanding and Strengthen Research*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1997.
- Institute of Medicine. *Bridging the Gap Between Practice and Research: Forging Partnerships with Community-Based Drug and Alcohol Treatment*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1998.
- Kang SY, Magura S, Nwakeze P, Demsky S. Counselor attitudes in methadone maintenance. *Journal of Maintenance in the Addictions* 1997;1:41–58.
- Kovacs AE, McFarland B, Boverman J, McCarty D, Thayer JA. Buprenorphine for acute heroin detoxification: Diffusion of research into practice. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. in press.
- Ling W, Amass L, Shoptaw S, Annon JJ, Hillhouse M, Babcock D, Bringham G, Harrer J, Reid M, Muir J, Buchan B, Orr B, Woody G, Krejci J, Ziedonis D. the Buprenorphine Study Protocol Group. A multi-center randomized trial of buprenorphine-naloxone versus clonidine for opioid detoxification: findings from the National Institute on Drug Abuse Clinical Trials Network. *Addiction* 2005;100:1090–1100. [PubMed: 16042639]
- Litten RZ, Allen JP. Medications for alcohol, illicit drug, and tobacco dependence: An update of research findings. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 1999;16:105–112. [PubMed: 10023607]
- McCarty D. Changing contraceptive usage intentions: A test of the Fishbein Model of Intention. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 1981;11:192–211.
- McCarty D, Morrison S, Mills KC. Attitudes, beliefs and alcohol use: An analysis of relationships. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol* 1983;44:328–341. [PubMed: 6645517]
- O'Brien CP. A range of research-based pharmacotherapies for addiction. *Science* 1997;278:66–70. [PubMed: 9311929]
- Rogers, EM. *Diffusion of innovations*. 4. New York: The Free Press; 1995.
- Schlegel RP, Crawford CA, Sanborn MD. Correspondence and mediational properties of the Fishbein model: An application to adolescent alcohol use. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 1977;13:421–430.
- Schlegel RP, D'Avernas J, Zanna M, DeCourville N, Manske S. Problem drinking: A problem for the Theory of Reasoned Action? *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 1992;22:358–385.
- Steenrod S, Brisson A, McCarty D, Hodgkin D. Effects of managed care on programs and practices for the treatment of alcohol and drug dependence. *Recent Developments in Alcoholism: Services Research in the Era of Managed Care* 2001;15:51–71.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS) 1992 – 1997: National Admissions to Substance Abuse Treatment Services*. Rockville, MD: Office of Applied Studies, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 1999. (Rep. No. DASIS: S-7)
- Sutton S. Predicting and explaining intentions and behavior: How well are we doing? *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 1998;28:1317–1338.
- Swift RM. Drug therapy for alcohol dependence. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1999;340:1482–1490. [PubMed: 10320388]
- Swift RM, Duncan D, Nirenberg T, Femino J. Alcoholic patients' experience and attitudes on pharmacotherapy for alcoholism. *Journal of Addictive Diseases* 1998;17:35–47. [PubMed: 9789158]
- Thomas CP, Wallack SS, Lee S, McCarty D, Swift R. Research to practice: Factors affecting adoption of naltrexone in alcoholism treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 2003;24(1):1–11. [PubMed: 12646325]

Thomas, CP.; McCarty, D. Adoption of drug abuse treatment technology in specialty and primary care settings. In: Harwood, HR.; Myers, TG., editors. *New Treatments for Addiction: Behavioral, Ethical, Legal, and Social Questions*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2004. p. 141-173.

Table 1

Demographics by Program Type

COUNSELORS				
Type of program	Outpatient	Methadone	Residential	Chi-Square Tests
Women	70.7%	65.0%	72.2%	$\chi^2 (2) = 2.06$
Minorities	8.1%	15.6%	27.0%	$\chi^2 (2) = 6.14^{**}$
Hispanic	3.3%	13.0%	4.7%	$\chi^2 (2) = 10.60^*$
In Recovery	21.0%	22.3%	64.5%	$\chi^2 (2) = 5.73^{**}$
% with Masters Degree	54.5%	56.1%	15.9%	$\chi^2 (2) = 53.2^{**}$
CLIENTS				
Type of program	Outpatient	Methadone	Residential	Chi-Square Tests
Women	45.4%	59.3%	60.9%	$\chi^2 (2) = 6.07^{**}$
Minorities	12.9%	15.7%	24.4%	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.63^{**}$
Hispanic	5.6%	9.6%	5.6%	$\chi^2 (2) = 5.93$
Heroin or Opiates Primary Drug	36.83%	94.8%	57.8%	$\chi^2 (2) = 268.2^{**}$
% with H.S. Diploma	81.7%	77.8%	76.1%	$\chi^2 (2) = 3.44$

*
p < .05

**
p < .005

Table 2

Attitudes of Counselors and Clients toward Four Medications by Program Modality

COUNSELORS		Medication			
Type of program	Univariate F's (2, 339)	Methadone	Buprenorphine	Clonidine	ibogaine
Methadone		31.40**	7.93**	9.40**	2.62
Outpatient		5.92	3.43	-0.04	-2.43
Residential		2.84	3.71	2.41	-1.51
Differences by program		1.50	1.41	1.60	-2.83
		M-O O-R M-R	M-O M-R	M-O M-R	n. s.
CLIENTS		Medication			
Type of program	Univariate F's (2, 945)	Methadone	Buprenorphine	Clonidine	ibogaine
Methadone		95.17**	0.79	0.45	0.32
Outpatient		6.98	3.04	0.20	-0.90
Residential		0.69	2.57	0.63	-1.25
Differences by program		1.08	3.17	0.62	-1.23
		M-O M-R	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

* Significant at $p < .01$ ** Significant at $p < .0001$

M = Methadone R = Residential O = Outpatient n.s. = not significant

Table 3
Perceived Social Norms of Counselors and Clients toward Four Medications by Program Modality

COUNSELORS		Medication			
Type of program	Univariate F's (2, 340)	Methadone	Buprenorphine	Clonidine	ibogaine
Methadone		22.05**	4.81*	7.75*	7.99*
Outpatient		1.48	-0.21	-1.72	-2.00
Residential		0.16	0.11	-0.24	-1.24
Differences by program		-0.28	-0.77	-0.76	-1.85
		M-O M-R	O-R	M-O	M-O O-R
CLIENTS		Medication			
Type of program	Univariate F's (2, 970)	Methadone	Buprenorphine	Clonidine	ibogaine
Methadone		155.32**	3.58	2.90	2.17
Outpatient		2.37	0.17	-1.23	-1.07
Residential		-0.55	-0.37	-0.94	-1.42
Differences by program		-0.56	-0.18	-0.83	-1.21
		M-O M-R	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

* Significant at $p < .01$

** Significant at $p < .0001$

M = Methadone R = Residential O = Outpatient n.s. = not significant

Table 4
Behavioral Intentions of Counselors and Clients toward Four Medications by Program Modality.

COUNSELORS		Medication			
Type of program	Univariate F's (2, 344)	Methadone	Buprenorphine	Clonidine	ibogaine
Methadone		114.16**	18.79**	15.19**	6.84**
Outpatient		2.36	-0.26	-1.74	-2.06
Residential		0.03	0.48	-0.52	-1.52
Differences by program		-0.99	-1.16	-1.45	-2.19
		M-O O-R M-R	M-O O-R M-R	M-O R-O	R-O
CLIENTS					
	Univariate F's (2, 970)	243.96**	1.44	2.37	1.45
Methadone		2.76	-0.73	-1.46	-1.46
Outpatient		-0.76	-0.24	-1.33	-1.75
Residential		-0.73	-0.23	-1.11	-1.57
Differences by program		M-O M-R	n.s.	M-R	n.s.

* Significant at $p < .01$

** Significant at $p < .0001$

M = Methadone R = Residential O = Outpatient n.s. = not significant

Table 5
Parameters from the Regressions Testing the Theory of Reasoned Action for Each Opiate Treatment Medication

COUNSELORS					
Medication	F (2,365) = 180.30	b*	R ² = .50		
			SE	t	B
		<i>Attitude</i>	.213	.020	10.90
		Social Norms	.363	.043	8.49
					.36
Buprenorphine	F (2,359) = 150.20				R ² = .46
		<i>Attitude</i>	.150	.019	7.90
		Social Norms	.47	.043	10.87
					.46
Clonidine	F (2,361) = 121.97				R ² = .40
		<i>Attitude</i>	.114	.019	5.90
		Social Norms	.473	.047	10.10
					.46
Ibogaine	F (2,355) = 181.46				R ² = .51
		<i>Attitude</i>	.067	.015	4.57
		Social Norms	.542	.040	13.68
					.59
CLIENTS					
Methadone	F (2,1054) = 1269.94				R ² = .50
		<i>Attitude</i>	.081	.009	9.11
		Social Norms	.736	.022	33.57
					.71
Buprenorphine	F (2,1007) = 765.69				R ² = .60
		<i>Attitude</i>	.067	.009	7.39
		Social Norms	.711	.024	29.51
					.68
Clonidine	F (2,1028) = 852.73				R ² = .62
		<i>Attitude</i>	.060	.007	7.96
		Social Norms	.699	.021	33.41
					.70
Ibogaine	F (2,1003) = 892.02				R ² = .64
		<i>Attitude</i>	.060	.007	8.13
		Social Norms	.719	.023	31.90
					.70

Note: b = unstandardized regression weight; se = standard error; t = t value; B = standardized regression coefficient

All t va