Published in final edited form as:

J Organomet Chem. 2009 February 15; 694(4): 571–575. doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2008.11.058.

Gold(I)-Catalyzed Hydroarylation of Allenes with Indoles

Kristina L. Toups, Gordon T. Liu, and Ross A. Widenhoefer*

Department of Chemistry, French Family Science Center, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708-0346

Abstract

Reaction of a monosubstituted, 1,3-disubstituted, or tetrasubstituted allene with various indoles catalyzed by a 1:1 mixture of a gold(I) *N*-heterocyclic carbene complex and AgOTf at room temperature leads to hydroarylation with formation of 3-allyl-indoles in modest to good yield.

Keywords

intermolecular hydroarylation; gold(I)-catalysis; allene; indole

1. Introduction

The transition metal-catalyzed addition of the C–H bond of an arene across a C–C multiple bond is an attractive, atom-economical approach to the synthesis of functionalized arenes [1]. Although most of the effort in this area has been directed toward the hydroarylation of alkenes [2] and alkynes [3], cationic gold(I) complexes have been identified recently as effective catalysts for the intramolecular hydroarylation of allenes with electron-rich arenes, [4,5] including the enantioselective intramolecular hydroarylation of allenes with indoles [6]. Lacking, however, are examples of the intermolecular hydroarylation of electronically unactivated allenes with arenes [7]. Only recently has Gagné disclosed the intermolecular hydroarylation of allenes catalyzed by a cationic gold(I) phosphite complex that was restricted to di- and trimethoxybenzenes [8].

We have recently reported that the gold(I) *N*-heterocyclic carbene complex (**1**)AuCl [**1** = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene] is an effective precatalyst for the intermolecular hydrofunctionalization of allenes with oxygen [9] and nitrogen [10] nucleophiles (eq 1). We have also demonstrated the effective intramolecular hydroarylation of indoles catalyzed by cationic gold(I) phosphine complexes [5]. On the basis of these precedents, we considered that (**1**)AuCl might also function as an effective precatalyst for the intermolecular hydroarylation of allenes with indoles. Indeed, here we report that mixtures of (**1**)AuCl and AgOTf catalyze the intermolecular hydroarylation of allenes with various indoles to form 3-allylic indoles resulting from attack of the indole C3 carbon atom at the allenyl sp² carbon atom(s).

*Corresponding author. Tel: (919) 660-1533; fax: (919) 660-1605; ross.widenhoefer@duke.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

eq 1

2. Results and discussion

The conditions optimized for the catalytic intermolecular hydroalkoxylation and hydroamination of allenes proved effective for the intermolecular hydroarylation without further optimization [9,10]. Typically 1.5 equivalents of allene relative to indole were employed in these gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation reactions to offset any loss of allene under reaction conditions, although a number of reactions proceeded to completion with only 1.05 equivalents of allene. As an example of gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation, reaction of 1,2-dimethylindole with dimethyl 2,3-butadienyldimaleonate (**2**; 1.05 equiv) catalyzed by a 1:1 mixture of (**1**)AuCl and AgOTf (5 mol %) at room temperature for 48 h led to isolation of (*E*)-3-allylic indole **3** in 82% yield as a single regio- and stereoisomer (Table 1, entry 1).

1,3-Disubstituted allenes **4–7** also underwent gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation to form the corresponding (*E*)-3-allylic indoles **8–11** in 48–89% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entries 2–5). In the case of differentially disubstituted allenes, the regioselectivity of hydroarylation was affected by both the electronic and steric nature of the allenyl substituents. As examples, hydroarylation of ethyl 5-cyclohexyl-3,4-pentadienoate (**5**) formed **9** with exclusive attack of indole at the more electron-rich cyclohexyl-bound allenyl carbon atom (Table 1, entry 3), whereas hydroarylation of 4-methyl-1-phenyl-1,2-pentadiene (**6**) formed **10** with exclusive attack of indole at the less sterically encumbered phenyl-bound allenyl carbon atom (Table 1, entry 4). In comparison, 1-phenyl-1,2-butadiene (**7**) underwent hydroarylation to form a 1:1.2 mixture of regioisomeric 3-allylic indoles **11a** and **11b** in good yield (Table 1, entry 5). Although 1,1-disubstituted and trisubstituted allenes failed to undergo efficient gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation, the tetrasubstituted allene 2,4-dimethyl-2,3-pentadiene (**12**) underwent gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation with 1,2-dimethylindole to form the 3-(1,1,3-trimethyl-2-butenyl) indole derivative **13** in 56% yield (Table 1, entry 6).

1,2-Dimethylindole was initially targeted as a nucleophile for the hydroarylation of allenes owing to the enhanced nucleophilicity of *N*-alkylindoles relative to *N*-unsubstituted indoles and because substitution at the indole C2 carbon precludes the formation of multiple addition products [11]. Nevertheless, indoles that lacked substitution at the N1 and/or C2 position or that possessed an electron-withdrawing group at the C5 position underwent efficient gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation, albeit at higher catalyst loading (Table 2). For example, treatment of 1-methylindole with allene **2** (1.05 equiv) catalyzed by a 1:1 mixture of (**1**)AuCl and AgOTf (10 mol %) at room temperature for 24 h led to isolation of (*E*)-3-allylic indole **14** in 61% yield as a single regio- and stereoisomer (Table 2, entry 1). Similarly, gold(I)-catalyzed reaction of allene **2** with 2-methylindole, 5-methylindole, or 5-chloro-1,2-dimethylindole at room temperature for 48 h led to isolation of (*E*)-3-allylic indoles **15–17** in 53–77% yield as single isomers (Table 2, entries 2–4).

The mechanism of gold(I)-catalyzed allene hydroarylation likely mirrors that of the related hydroalkoxylation and hydroamination processes [8,9]. Halide abstraction from (**1**)AuCl with AgOTf generates the active catalyst (**1**)AuOTf [12] that presumably undergoes displacement of the triflate ligand with allene to generate an equilibrating mixture of gold π -allene complexes **I** and **Ia** (Scheme 1). Outer-sphere attack of the indole on the gold allene complex **I** in which gold is positioned cis to the proximal alkyl group would form iminium ion **II**. Deprotonation of **II** followed by protonolysis of the Au–C bond of neutral gold vinyl species **III** would release the alkylated indole and regenerate the cationic gold NHC complex.

Despite the apparent similarity of the mechanisms, gold(I)-catalyzed allene hydroarylation displayed lower regioselectivity than did allene hydroalkoxylation or hydroamination. In particular, both the hydroalkoxylation and hydroamination of 1-phenyl-1,2-propadiene (**7**) led to exclusive attack of the nucleophile at the more electron-rich methyl-bound allene terminus [8,9] as compared to the nonselective hydroarylation of **7**. We have previously invoked nucleophile-dependent kinetic trapping of equilibrating gold(I) π -allene complexes such as **I** and **Ia** under Curtin-Hammett conditions to account for the dependence of the nucleophile on the regioselectivity of gold(I)-catalyzed allene hydrofunctionalization [9], although, the origins of this nucleophile-dependent selectivity have not been fully delineated.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a gold(I)-catalyzed protocol for the hydroarylation of monosubstituted, 1,3-disubstituted, and tetrasubstituted allenes with indoles at room temperature. We continue to work toward the elucidation of the mechanisms of gold(I)-catalyzed hydrofunctionalization and toward the development of more effective allene hydroarylation processes.

4. Experimental

4.1 General methods

Reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere employing standard Schlenk and drybox techniques unless specified otherwise. NMR spectra were obtained on Varian spectrometers operating at 400 MHz for ^1H NMR and 101 MHz for ^{13}C NMR in CDCl_3 at 25 °C unless noted otherwise. IR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet Avatar 360-FT IR spectrometer. Gas chromatography was performed on a Hewlett-Pakard 5890 gas chromatograph equipped with a 15 m or 25 m polydimethylsiloxane capillary column and FID detector. Column chromatography was performed employing 230–400 mesh silica gel (Silicycle). Catalytic reactions were performed in sealed glass tubes under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen unless noted otherwise. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (EMD Chemicals Inc.). Room temperature is 23 °C.

All solvents were purchased from Aldrich or Acros in anhydrous form and used as received. All reagents, 2,4-dimethyl-2,3-pentadiene (**12**), 1-methylindole, 2-methylindole, and 5-methylindole were purchased from major suppliers and used as received. Dimethyl 2,3-butadienylmalonate (**2**) [10], 6,7-tridecadiene (**4**) [13], ethyl 5-cyclohexyl-3,4-pentadienoate (**5**) [14], 4-methyl-1-phenyl-1,2-pentadiene (**6**) [15], and 1-phenyl-1,2-butadiene (**7**) [10] were prepared employing published procedures.

4.1. Hydroarylation products

(E)-Dimethyl 2-(4-(1,2-dimethyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-2-enyl)malonate (3)—A mixture of (**1**)AuCl (9.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) and AgOTf (3.9 mg, 0.015 mmol) was treated with a solution of 1,2-dimethylindole (24 mg, 0.31 mmol), **2** (58 mg, 0.31 mmol), and *n*-hexadecane (25 μL , 0.08 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.6 mL) and the resulting suspension was stirred at room

temperature for 48 h. Column chromatography of the reaction mixture (hexanes–EtOAc = 4:1) gave **3** (79 mg, 82%) as a pale yellow oil. TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.21. ^1H NMR: δ 7.49 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.72 (td, J = 6.9, 15.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.46 (td, J = 7.4, 15.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (s, 6 H), 3.68 (s, 3 H), 3.44 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.43 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.61 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 169.6, 136.7, 133.2, 133.0, 127.8, 125.1, 120.7, 118.8, 118.3, 108.8, 108.6, 52.6, 52.1, 32.0, 29.6, 27.8, 10.3. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2952, 1749, 1733, 1615, 1435, 1231, 740. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{23}\text{O}_4\text{N}$ (M^+): 329.1627 (329.1626).

The E-configuration of the C=C bond of **3** and of hydroarylation products **8–11** was established by the large ($J_{\text{HH}} \approx 15\text{Hz}$) coupling constant of the olefinic hydrogen atoms.

(E)-1,2-Dimethyl-3-(7-tridecen-6-yl)-1H-indole (8)—TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 20:1): R_f = 0.62. ^1H NMR: δ 7.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (dd, J = 0.8, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.79 (dd, J = 6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.44 (td, J = 5.6, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.64 (s, 3 H), 3.46 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 1.97 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.83 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.36–1.16 (m, 12 H), 0.89–0.81 (m, 6 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 133.8, 132.5, 129.4, 126.8, 120.3, 119.8, 118.4, 114.0, 108.7, 40.4, 35.4, 32.8, 32.1, 31.7, 29.7, 29.5, 28.0, 22.9, 22.8, 14.3, 10.8. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2924, 2854, 1469, 1407, 965, 735. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{35}\text{N}$ (M^+): 325.2770 (325.2765).

(E)-Ethyl 5-cyclohexyl-5-(1,2-dimethyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pent-3-enoate (9)—TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.38. ^1H NMR: δ 7.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.01 (dd, J = 8.4, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (td, J = 7.3, 14.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.09 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.62 (s, 3 H), 3.18 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.04–2.93 (m, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 2.04–0.67 (m, 11 H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 172.2, 136.8, 136.2, 132.7, 126.4, 121.5, 120.2, 119.4, 118.3, 112.5, 108.6, 60.4, 47.7, 41.0, 38.1, 32.4, 21.5, 29.7, 29.5, 26.6, 26.4, 14.2, 10.7. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2918, 2848, 1730, 1471, 1445, 1368, 1300, 1161, 1126, 1098, 964, 739. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_2\text{N}$ (M^+): 353.2355 (353.2362).

(E)-1,2-Dimethyl-3-(4-methyl-1-phenylpent-2-enyl)-1H-indole (10)—TLC (hexanes– CH_2Cl_2 = 9:1): R_f = 0.36. ^1H NMR: δ 7.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.28–7.08 (m, 7 H), 6.94 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.98 (dd, J = 6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.45 (dd, J = 6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.61 (s, 3 H), 2.37–2.27 (m, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (125.7 MHz): δ 144.6, 138.6, 136.8, 133.2, 128.9, 128.2, 128.1, 127.1, 125.8, 120.4, 119.6, 118.7, 113.0, 108.6, 45.0, 31.1, 29.8, 29.6, 22.7, 10.8. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2955, 1600, 1492, 1470, 1367, 971, 736, 699. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}$ (M^+): 303.1987 (303.1987).

Compounds 11a and 11b (1:1.2 mixture of regioisomers)—TLC (hexanes– CH_2Cl_2 = 9:1): R_f = 0.36. ^1H NMR (**11a**): δ 7.65–6.94 (m, 9 H), 6.09 (qdd, J = 1.2, 7.4, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.51 (dq, J = 1.2, 7.4, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.95 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 1.74–1.72 (m, 3 H). ^1H NMR (**11b**): δ 7.65–6.94 (m, 9 H), 6.62 (dd, J = 5.6, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.45 (dd, J = 1.6, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.99–3.91 (m, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H), 1.59 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz; **11a** + **11b**): δ 144.4, 138.0, 136.8, 135.4, 133.0, 132.2, 128.5, 128.1, 127.7, 126.9, 126.8, 126.5, 126.1, 126.0, 125.8, 120.4, 119.5, 119.3, 119.3, 118.7, 118.6, 114.1, 112.9, 108.7, 60.4, 45.2, 45.1, 22.9, 29.4, 21.1, 20.5, 18.0, 14.2, 10.6. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3024, 2927, 1612, 1470, 1367, 966, 736, 696. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ (M^+): 275.1674 (275.1673).

3-(2,4-Dimethylpent-3-en-2-yl)-1,2-dimethyl-1H-indole (13)—TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 5:1): R_f = 0.48. ^1H NMR: δ 7.81 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.09 (t, J =

7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.62–5.60 (m, 1 H), 3.61 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 3 H), 1.58 (s, 6 H), 1.17 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 136.6, 136.3, 131.9, 131.0, 121.1, 119.6, 118.0, 108.4, 36.9, 31.9, 29.7, 29.3, 26.7, 18.2, 12.4. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2924, 1465, 1367, 1071, 735, 669, 650. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (M^+): 241.1831 (241.1831).

(E)-dimethyl 2-(4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-2-enyl)malonate (14)—TLC

(hexanes–EtOAc = 4:1): $R_f = 0.28$. ^1H NMR: δ 7.53 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1 H), 7.29–7.16 (m, 2 H), 7.08 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (s, 1 H), 5.78 (td, $J = 7.0, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (td, $J = 7.4, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.69 (s, 6 H), 3.44 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.43 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H), 2.63 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 169.7, 137.4, 132.8, 127.9, 126.6, 126.1, 121.7, 119.3, 118.9, 113.3, 109.3, 52.7, 52.1, 32.8, 32.0, 28.7. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2953, 1733, 1435, 1231, 1152, 971, 740. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{21}\text{NO}_4$ (M^+): 315.1471 (315.1473).

(E)-dimethyl 2-(4-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-2-enyl)malonate (15)—TLC

(hexanes–EtOAc = 7:3): $R_f = 0.30$. ^1H NMR: δ 7.85 (s, 1 H), 7.46 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (dd, $J = 1.0, 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (dt, $J = 1.2, 7.0$ Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (dt, $J = 1.0, 6.7$ Hz, 1 H), 5.71 (dtd, $J = 1.2, 6.2, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.45 (td, $J = 7.3, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 6 H), 3.42 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1 H), 3.40 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H), 2.61 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 169.7, 135.4, 132.9, 131.4, 128.8, 125.3, 121.1, 119.3, 118.4, 110.4, 109.6, 52.6, 52.2, 32.0, 27.6, 11.7. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3399, 2953, 2360, 1731, 1435, 1232, 1154, 970, 742. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{21}\text{NO}_4$ (M^+): 315.1471 (315.1473).

(E)-dimethyl 2-(4-(5-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-2-enyl)malonate (16)—TLC

(hexanes–EtOAc = 4:1): $R_f = 0.19$. ^1H NMR: δ 7.95 (s, 1 H), 7.38 (s, 1 H), 7.28 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.06 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 5.84 (td, $J = 7.1, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.58 (td, $J = 7.4, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.74 (s, 6 H), 3.50 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 3.47 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2 H), 2.69 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H), 2.51 (s, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 169.7, 135.0, 132.8, 128.6, 127.8, 126.1, 123.8, 122.0, 118.9, 114.4, 111.0, 52.7, 52.2, 32.1, 28.8, 21.7. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3409, 2953, 2358, 1731, 1434, 1228, 1153, 971, 794. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{21}\text{NO}_4$ (M^+): 315.1471 (315.1473).

(E)-dimethyl 2-(4-(5-chloro-1,2-dimethyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-2-enyl)malonate (17)

—TLC (hexanes–EtOAc = 7:3): $R_f = 0.29$. ^1H NMR: δ 7.39 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.13 (dd, $J = 0.8, 8.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (dd, $J = 1.6, 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 5.64 (ttd, $J = 1.2, 6.0, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.39 (ttd, $J = 1.6, 7.2, 15.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.66 (s, 6 H), 3.62 (s, 3 H), 3.39 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1 H), 3.35 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 2 H), 2.58 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 169.6, 135.2, 134.8, 132.6, 128.9, 125.5, 124.6, 120.8, 117.7, 109.7, 108.7, 52.6, 52.1, 32.0, 29.9, 27.7, 10.4. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2952, 1734, 1476, 1435, 1231, 1152, 792. HRMS calcd (found) for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{22}\text{NO}_4\text{Cl}$ (M^+): 363.1237 (363.1238).

4.2. Control Experiments

Control experiments established that both (1)AuCl and AgOTf were required for effective hydroarylation catalysis and ruled out the presence of acid-, silver-, or ligand-catalyzed pathways for allene hydroarylation. Treatment of a mixture of 1,2-dimethylindole (29 mg, 0.20 mmol) and **6** (48 mg, 0.37 mmol) with 1) (1)AuCl (6.2 mg, 0.010 mmol), 2) **1** (3.9 mg, 0.010 mmol) and AgOTf (2.6 mg, 0.010 mmol), or 3) **1** (3.9 mg, 0.010 mmol) and triflic acid (1.5 mg, 0.010 mmol) in each case resulted in no consumption of 1,2-dimethylindole after 2 hours at room temperature.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the NSF (CHE-0555425), NIH (GM-080422), and Johnson & Johnson for support of this research. KLT was supported in part through a Pharmacological Sciences Training Program fellowship. We thank Prof. Michael Gagné (UNC–Chapel Hill) for disclosing his results regarding the gold(I)-catalyzed hydroarylation of allenes prior to publication.

References

1. Goj LA, Gunnoe TB. *Curr Org Chem* 2005;9:671–685.
2. For lead references and recent examples see: (a) Oxgaard J, Periana RA, Goddard WA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:11658–11665. [PubMed: 15366913] (b) Kakiuchi F, Murai S. *Acc Chem Res* 2002;35:826–834. [PubMed: 12379135] (c) Foley NA, Lail M, Lee JP, Gunnoe TB, Cundari TR, Petersen JL. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:6765–6781. [PubMed: 17488072] (d) Youn SW, Pastine SJ, Sames D. *Org Lett* 2004;6:581–584. [PubMed: 14961628] (e) Lenges CP, Brookhart M. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:6616–6623. (f) Tan KL, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:13964–13965. [PubMed: 12440873] (g) Tan KL, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:3202–3203. [PubMed: 11916392] (h) Wiedemann SH, Lewis JC, Ellman JA, Bergman RG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:2452–2462. [PubMed: 16478202] (i) Liu C, Han X, Wang X, Widenhoefer RA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:3700–3701. [PubMed: 15038708] (j) Zhang Z, Wang X, Widenhoefer RA. *Chem Commun* 2006:3717–3719. (k) Karshtedt D, Bell AT, Tilley TD. *Organometallics* 2004;23:4169–4171. (l) Cucciolito ME, D'Amora A, Tuzi A, Vitagliano A. *Organometallics* 2007;26:5216–5223. (m) Karshtedt D, McBee JL, Bell AT, Tilley TD. *Organometallics* 2006;25:1801–1811. (n) Rueping M, Nachtsheim BJ, Scheidt T. *Org Lett* 2006;8:3717–3719. [PubMed: 16898800] (o) Kischel J, Jovel I, Mertins K, Zapf A, Beller M. *Org Lett* 2006;8:19–22. [PubMed: 16381557] (p) Jordan RF, Taylor DF. *J Am Chem Soc* 1989;111:778–779.
3. For lead references and recent examples see: (a) Jia C, Lu W, Oyamada J, Kitamura T, Matsuda K, Irie M, Fujiwara Y. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:7252–7263. (b) Jia C, Piao D, Oyamada J, Lu W, Kitamura T, Fujiwara Y. *Science* 2000;287:1992–1995. [PubMed: 10720319] (c) Viciu MS, Stevens ED, Petersen JL, Nolan SP. *Organometallics* 2004;23:3752–3755. (d) Ahlquist M, Fabrizi G, Cacchi S, Norrby PO. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:12785–12793. [PubMed: 17002373] (e) Chernyak N, Gevorgyan V. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:5636–5637. [PubMed: 18357988] (f) Nevado C, Echavarren AM. *Synthesis* 2005;167:167–182. (g) Mamane V, Hannen P, Fürstner A. *Chem—Eur J* 2004;10:4556–4575. (h) Nevado C, Echavarren AM. *Chem—Eur J* 2005;11:3155–3164. (i) Reetz MT, Sommer K. *Eur J Org Chem* 2003:3485–3496. (j) Shi Z, He C. *J Org Chem* 2004;69:3669–3671. [PubMed: 15152995] (k) Pastine SJ, Youn SW, Sames D. *Org Lett* 2003;5:1055–1058. [PubMed: 12659572] (l) Zhang L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:16804–16805. [PubMed: 16316224] (m) Amijs CHM, Lopez-Carrillo V, Echavarren AM. *Org Lett* 2007;9:4021–4024. [PubMed: 17764193]
4. Zhang Z, Liu C, Kinder RE, Han H, Qian H, Widenhoefer RA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:9066–9073. [PubMed: 16834380]
5. (a) Tarselli MA, Gagne MR. *J Org Chem* 2008;73:2439–2441. [PubMed: 18278940] (b) Watanabe T, Oishi S, Fujii N, Ohno H. *Org Lett* 2007;9:4821–4824. [PubMed: 17924641] (c) Liu Z, Wasmuth AS, Nelson SG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:10352–10353. [PubMed: 16895385] (d) Marion N, Díez-González S, de Frémont P, Noble AR, Nolan SP. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2006;45:3647–3650.
6. Liu C, Widenhoefer RA. *Org Lett* 2007;9:1935–1938. [PubMed: 17428061]
7. Hashmi has reported the Au(III)-catalyzed conjugate addition of furans to electron-deficient allenes: Hashmi ASK, Schwarz L, Choi JH, Frost TM. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2000;39:2285–2288.
8. Tarselli MA, Liu A, Gagné MR. *Tetrahedron*. submitted.
9. Zhang Z, Widenhoefer RA. *Org Lett* 2008;10:2079–2081. [PubMed: 18412355]
10. Kinder RE, Zhang Z, Widenhoefer RA. *Org Lett* 2008;10:3157–3159. [PubMed: 18570376]
11. Zhang Z, Wang X, Widenhoefer RA. *Chem Commun* 2006:3717–3719.
12. Ricard L, Gagosz F. *Organometallics* 2007;26:4704–4707.
13. Ng S, Jamison TF. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:7320–7321. [PubMed: 15898774]
14. Myers AG, Zheng B. *J Am Chem Soc* 1996;118:4492–4493.
15. Pyo S, Skowron JF III, Cha JK. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1992;33:4703–4706.

l mixture of (1)AuCl and AgOTf in 1,4-dioxane at room temperature.

allylic indole (s)	allene equiv	time (h)	yield (%) ^d
3	1.05	48	82
8	2.0	24	64

allene equiv	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
1.5	48	48

9

allene equiv	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
1.5	2	82

10

allene equiv	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
1.5	2	89 (~1:1.2) ^b

11a (R¹ = Ph, R² = Me)
11b (R¹ = Me, R² = Ph)

allylic indole (s)	allene equiv	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
13	1.5	48	56

Table 2

Intermolecular hydroarylation of substituted indoles with dimethyl 2,3-butadienylmalonate (**2**; 1.05 equiv) catalyzed by a 1:1 mixture of (**1**)AuCl (10 mol %) and AgOTf (10 mol %) in 1,4-dioxane at room temperature for 48 h.

entry	indole	allylic indole ^a	yield (%) ^b

1 ^c	R ¹ = Me, R ² = R ³ = H	14	61
2	R ¹ = H, R ² = Me, R ³ = H	15	67
3	R ¹ = R ² = H, R ³ = Me	16	53
4	R ¹ = R ² = Me, R ³ = Cl	17	77

^aE = CO₂Me.

^bIsolated yields for materials of >95% purity.

^cReaction time = 24 h.