Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2010 March 17; 132(10): 3612–3620. doi:10.1021/ja910804u.

On the Stereochemical Course of Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Allylic Silanolate Salts with Aromatic Bromides

Scott E. Denmark* and Nathan S. Werner

Roger Adams Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

Abstract

The stereochemical course of palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of an enantioenriched, α -substituted, allylic silanolate salt with aromatic bromides has been investigated. The allylic silanolate salt was prepared in high geometrical (*Z/E*, 94:6) and high enantiomeric (94:6 *er*) purity by a copper-catalyzed S_N2' reaction of a resolved allylic carbamate. Eight different aromatic bromides underwent cross-coupling with excellent constitutional site selectivity (γ) and with excellent stereospecificity. Stereochemical correlation established that the transmetalation event proceeds through a *syn* SE' mechanism which is interpreted in terms of an intramolecular delivery of the arylpalladium electrophile through a key intermediate that contains a discrete Si–O–Pd linkage.

Introduction

The ability of transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions to unify carbon atoms at various levels of hybridization in myriad organic substrates distinguishes it from other carbon–carbon bond forming reactions. The pair wise combinations of alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, aryl, heteroaryl and allyl organometallic donors and organic electrophiles encompass all hybridizations of the carbon atom (sp^3 , sp^2 , and sp).¹ However, a vast majority of transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions employ organic subunits of sp or sp^2 hybridization at the reactive carbon and commonly do not form chiral products. Nevertheless, a multitude of asymmetric cross-coupling reactions for the enantioselective synthesis of chiral compounds can be envisioned, but the extraordinary potential of these constructs has not been realized.

Those transformations in which a transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction creates a stereogenic element in the product can be classified into three general types (Figure 1).^{2–3} Type 1 represents the diastereoselective coupling of chiral, enantioenriched substrates by internal selection.⁵ Among the reactions of this type are palladium-catalyzed nucleophilic allylations (Type 1–A)^{6–7} including those reported in this work, and formation of atropisomeric biaryls (Type 1–B).⁹ Type 2 reactions employ chiral, configurationally labile substrates and afford enantiomerically enriched products through external selection⁵ by a chiral catalyst. Examples of this type include the coupling of secondary, benzylic Grignard reagents (Type 2–A),^{2c,d} and nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of secondary alkyl bromides with organometallic donors based on silicon, boron, zinc, and indium (Type 2–B).¹⁰ Finally, Type 3 couplings constitute the reactions of achiral substrates and afford chiral, enantiomerically enriched products via external selection with a chiral catalyst. The majority of known asymmetric cross-coupling reactions fall into this category and include: the Heck reaction,¹¹

Address Correspondence to: Professor Scott E. Denmark, 245 Roger Adams Laboratory, Box 18, Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, 600 S. Mathews Ave., Urbana, IL 61801, tel: (217) 333-0066, FAX: (217) 333-3984, sdenmark@illinois.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental procedures, full characterization of all products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

allylations of organic electrophiles with allylic organometallic donors (both Type 3–A),¹² arylation of enolates (Type 3–B)¹³ and formation of atropisomeric biaryls (Type 3–C).¹⁴

The organization of these reactions in this manner provides a clear view of the stereochemical landscape and makes apparent not only the significant achievements but also the opportunities for development of asymmetric transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Specifically, the lack of reports on allylic cross-coupling reactions of Type 1–A and Type 3–A represents a deficiency, and for good reason. These reactions require control over both constitutional site selectivity (i.e. α - vs. γ -coupling) in addition to either diastereo- or enantiodifferentiation.¹⁵ Moreover, a study of the stereodifferentiating steps in Type 1–A processes would provide important insights for the development of catalytic, enantioselective variants of Type 3–A.

In this context, we recently reported the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of allylic silanolate salts with aromatic bromides (Scheme 1).¹⁶ Sodium allyldimethylsilanolate $\text{Na}^+\mathbf{1}^-$ undergoes cross-coupling with aromatic bromides without the need for external activators (fluoride)^{6a,b} or added ligands (phosphine). In addition, the coupling of sodium 2-butenyldimethylsilanolate $\text{Na}^+\mathbf{2}^-$ affords the γ -coupled products **5** with high site-selectivity when the olefinic ligands dibenzylideneacetone (dba) and norbornadiene (nbd) are used. The results of these studies are interpreted in terms of a closed, S_{E}' transmetalation of the arylpalladium(II) silanolate **ii**, followed by facile reductive elimination from **iii** facilitated by the π -acidic dba ligand to afford **5** with high γ -selectivity.

The selective formation of the chiral, γ -coupled product **5** under the influence of dba and nbd naturally suggested the possibility of setting this center enantioselectively through the influence of chiral, olefinic ligands (i.e. a Type 3 reaction). However, the use of $\text{Na}^+\mathbf{2}^-$ in asymmetric catalysis represents a significant challenge because the chiral olefin ligand must not only control the enantioselectivity of the coupling,^{17,18} but also the site-selectivity of C–C bond formation. Thus, to achieve this goal we felt it prudent to first establish the geometrical details of the (putative) stereochemistry determining transmetalation step by investigating a diastereoselective reaction of Type 1. This paper describes the synthesis of enantioenriched α -substituted allylic silanolate salts and the determination of the stereochemical course of their cross-coupling with aromatic bromides.

Background

The stereochemical course of an analogous reaction, namely the addition of allylic silanes and allylic stannanes to aldehydes, has been thoroughly investigated in these and other laboratories.⁵ These studies established that the two stereochemically defining factors of the allylation reaction are: (1) the pair wise diastereoselection between the faces of the reacting double bonds (i.e. relative topicity), and (2) the disposition of the electrofuge (ML_n) with respect to the attacking electrophile (S_{E}' process). For the allylations studied herein, only the latter feature is relevant (Figure 2). To determine the orientation of ML_n during the electrophilic attack, two attributes of the product must be established: (1) the configuration of the resulting double bond and (2) the configuration of the newly created stereogenic center. The fundamental stereoelectronic requirement is that the C– ML_n bond be oriented such that overlap with the double bond is strong (i.e. $\pm 30^\circ$ from perpendicular to the atomic plane). To satisfy this stereoelectronic requirement and avoid $\text{A}^1\text{3}$ strain, the acyclic, allylic organometallic reagent reacts via a conformation in which the allylic hydrogen atom eclipses the double bond as shown in Figure 2.¹⁹ The electrophile can then approach the defined half-space which contains the electrofuge (*syn* S_{E}') or the half-space opposite to that occupied by the electrofuge (*anti* S_{E}'). The configurations of the new stereogenic center and carbon-carbon double bond are therefore dependent upon the conformation of the allylic moiety and the direction of electrophile attack

relative to the electrofuge. For allylmetal-aldehyde addition reactions promoted by Lewis acids, the stereochemical course is overwhelmingly *anti*-S_E',²⁰ though with other electrophiles in sterically biased systems, *syn*-S_E' processes are known.²¹

Of greater relevance to the reaction at hand, studies on the stereochemical course of palladium-catalyzed substitution of allylic organometallic reagents with aromatic electrophiles are far more scarce. In 1994, Hiyama reported that in the reaction of enantioenriched allyldifluorophenylsilanes with aryl tosylates under palladium catalysis, two mechanisms are operative and depend on the fluoride source and the solvent (Scheme 2).^{6a} For example, the combination of (*R*)-**6**, aryl tosylate **7**, tris(dimethylamino)sulfonium difluorotrimethylsilicate (TASF) in DMF leads to the formation of (*S*)-(*E*)-**8** via an *anti*-S_E' pathway. However, when CsF and THF are used (*R*)-(*E*)-**8** predominated (via a *syn*-S_E' pathway), albeit with attenuated enantiospecificity (*es*).²² These results are explained by the operation of an open mechanism for the reaction with TASF and a closed mechanism involving a Pd–F–Si interaction for reaction with CsF.

Miyaura and Yamamoto investigated the details of the transmetalation and enantioselection in the cross-coupling of crotyltrifluoroborates with aromatic bromides (Scheme 3).^{12c} Hammett studies displayed a small negative ρ -value (–0.50) for the reaction of five electronically-differentiated, preformed arylpalladium complexes **9** (containing bis(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)ferrocene) with (*E*)-crotyltrifluoroborate **10**. These results are interpreted to imply formation of a cationic palladium(II) species **iv** prior to transmetalation. DFT calculations suggest that this process occurs by an open S_E' process through transition state structure **v**, because the closed transition state structure **vi** was slightly higher energy (+2.0 kcal/mol) in THF. The position of the palladium electrophile relative to the orientation of the trifluoroborate electrofuge (*syn* or *anti* S_E') was not established.

A recent communication from Oshima and Yorimitsu describes the diastereoselective synthesis of (arylalkenyl)silanes by retroallylation of homoallylic alcohols such as (*S*)-**11** in the presence of arylpalladium halides (Scheme 4).⁷ The authors propose a closed, chair-like S_E' transition state structure with the C(2) methyl group oriented in a *pseudo*-equatorial position preventing unfavorable 1,3-diaxial steric interactions with the silicon substituent. However, only aryl bromides bearing *ortho*-substituents afforded products with high diastereoselectivities.

The goal of the current work was to determine the stereochemical course of the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of allylic silanolate salts with aromatic bromides. To this end, enantioenriched α -substituted allylic silanolate salts were synthesized, cross-coupled with aromatic bromides, and the stereochemical course of the cross-coupling reaction determined. These studies provide a refined picture of the geometric details of the critical transmetalation step.

Results

1. Synthetic Strategy to Access Enantiomerically Enriched α -Substituted Allylic Silanolate Salts

A flexible synthetic route to α -substituted allylic silanolate salts in enantiomerically pure form was required to establish the stereochemical course of their transmetalation in palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. In anticipation of the possible challenges that could be encountered in this endeavor (including, but not limited to, low enantiomeric purity of the silanolate, low site-selectivity of the coupling, and low asymmetric induction of the coupling reaction), a modular route was sought in which structural variation of the α -substituted silanolate would be facile. Specifically, the route should allow access to either geometry of the allylic double bond, various α -substituents, and high enantiomeric purity of the products

(Figure 3). Among several synthetic strategies considered, the copper-catalyzed S_N2' reaction of allylic carbamates²³ with various nucleophiles was identified to meet these criteria for several reasons: (1) the precursor to the S_N2' reaction can be synthesized in enantiomerically pure form from readily available starting materials, (2) both *E* and *Z* terminally substituted allylic silanes can be prepared from this precursor depending upon the organometallic nucleophile used, and (3) the S_N2' reaction permitted a variety of α -substituents to be installed with high enantiomeric purity at a late stage in the synthesis. However, in view of the strongly basic conditions needed to carry out the S_N2' reaction (organolithium base and organomagnesium nucleophile) a masked or protected silanol would be required. Ultimately, the silanol would be unveiled, converted to the sodium salt, and the stereochemical course of the cross-coupling reaction determined.

2. Synthesis of the α -Substituted Allylic Silane

The synthesis of the α -substituted allylic silane commenced with preparation of 4-trimethylsilyl-3-butyne-2-ol (**14**) by double deprotonation of 3-butyne-2-ol (**13**) with *n*-butyllithium, addition of TMSCl, and work-up with 3 M HCl to provide **14** in 90% yield (Scheme 5).²⁴ Lipase-catalyzed kinetic resolution of **14** provided (*S*)-**14** in 46% yield and >99:1 er.²⁵ The phenyl carbamate necessary for S_N2' displacement was installed by treatment of (*S*)-**14** with phenyl isocyanate and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) in hexane at room temperature. The TMS group, required for high selectivity in the kinetic resolution, was then cleaved in situ with potassium fluoride in methanol to provide (*S*)-**15** in 94% yield. Platinum-catalyzed hydrosilylation²⁶ of (*S*)-**15** with dimethylchlorosilane, followed by substitution of the chloride with potassium *tert*-butoxide afforded the protected vinylic silanol (*S*)-(*E*)-**16** (the precursor to the S_N2' reaction) in 88% yield over the two-step sequence.

The S_N2' reaction was carried out under conditions developed by Woerpel without further optimization.^{23d} *iso*-Butylmagnesium chloride was chosen as the nucleophile because it provides good yields and high geometrical purity of the allylic silane product.^{23c,d} Also, the steric bulk of the *iso*-butyl group should assure a high selectivity for the formation of an *E* double bond in the product of the cross-coupling reaction (later in the synthetic sequence) and thereby simplify the interpretation of the transmetalation. Thus, the S_N2' reaction was effected by deprotonation of the phenyl carbamate with methyllithium at -78°C , followed by addition of copper iodide and *i*-BuMgCl to provide the protected allylic silanol (*R*)-(*Z*)-**17** in good yield (79%), and high geometrical purity (94:6, *Z/E*) as determined by capillary gas chromatography.

3. Synthesis of the α -Substituted Allylic Silanolate Salt and Derivatization for Enantiomeric Analysis

At this point all batches of (*R*)-(*Z*)-**17** were combined for the synthesis of the silanol, derivatization for enantiomeric analysis, and to provide silanolate of uniform enantiomeric and geometrical purity for the cross-coupling reactions. The *tert*-butyl protecting group was readily removed with 0.2 M HCl to afford a 93% yield of the α -substituted allylic silanol (*R*)-(*Z*)-**18** (Scheme 6).²⁷ Chemical degradation of (*R*)-(*Z*)-**18** provided material suitable for determination of enantiomeric composition and absolute configuration.²⁸ This transformation was accomplished by diimide reduction,²⁹ Tamao-Fleming oxidation,³⁰ and esterification to afford the 3,5-dinitrobenzoate (DNB) of (*S*)-2-methylheptan-4-ol ((*S*)-**19**).³¹ The DNB (*S*)-**19** was determined to be 94:6 er by chiral stationary phase, super critical fluid chromatography (CSP-SFC) and the absolute configuration of the stereogenic center was assigned by comparison of optical rotation data.³² The correlation of the *R* configuration of **18** along with its enantiomeric and geometric composition is congruent with the stereochemical model proposed for the copper-catalyzed S_N2' reaction of Grignard reagents with allylic carbamates.^{23d} The remaining silanol (*R*)-(*Z*)-**18** was then deprotonated with washed sodium hydride in hexane to provide Na^+ (*R*)-(*Z*)-**18**[−] as a stable, free-flowing, powder in 97% yield.

4. Optimization of the Cross-Coupling Reaction

The cross-coupling of $\text{Na}^+(\pm)\text{-(Z)-18}^{33}$ was first attempted using the conditions optimized for the reaction of sodium 2-butenyldimethylsilanolate ($\text{Na}^+\text{2}^-$).¹⁶ Thus, $\text{Na}^+(\pm)\text{-(Z)-18}^-$, bromobenzene, $\text{Pd}(\text{dba})_2$, and *nbd* were combined in toluene and heated to 70 °C in a pre-heated oil bath (Table 1, entry 1). Surprisingly, these conditions provided the allylated product with only modest γ -selectivity.³⁴ Previous studies had established that increasing the π -acidity of the olefinic ligand increased the γ -selectivity of the coupling reaction.¹⁶ Gratifyingly, when only 5 mol % of (4,4'-trifluoromethyl)-dibenzylideneacetone³⁵ **22** was employed with allylpalladium chloride dimer (APC) as the pre-catalyst, an increase in γ -selectivity was observed (entry 2). Increasing the ligand loading to 20 mol % (4.0 equiv/Pd) further increased the γ -selectivity of the reaction (entry 3). Moreover, the loading of APC could be reduced to 0.5 mol % while maintaining high yield (entry 4). However, to preserve high γ -selectivity, the loading of **22** with respect to the limiting reagent (**3a**) needed to be kept at 20 mol % regardless of the palladium loading (entries 4 and 5).

5. Preparative Cross-Coupling Reactions of the α -Substituted Allylic Silanolate $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$

The optimized conditions for reaction of $\text{Na}^+(\pm)\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ [(1.5 equiv), aryl bromide **3** (1.0 equiv), APC (0.5–2.5 mol % w.r.t. **3**), and **22** (20 mol % w.r.t. **3**) in toluene at 70 °C] were then employed in the cross-coupling of enantioenriched allylic silanolate $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ (94:6 *er*) with a variety of aromatic bromides (Table 2). The substrates chosen for these experiments were selected to illustrate scope of compatible aryl bromides including unsubstituted, *ortho*-substituted, electron-rich, electron-poor, and heteroaromatic bromides. Bromobenzene (**3a**) (entry 1), along with electron-rich (entry 5) and electron-poor (entry 7 and 8) aryl bromides without sterically biasing substituents in the *ortho* position provided good yield and high isomeric purity favoring the *E*- γ coupled product (87–98% *E*- γ). Likewise, aromatic bromides with *ortho* substitution cross-coupled in good yield and isomeric purity (entries 2–4). In addition, a heteroaromatic bromide cleanly coupled to afford the *E*- γ coupled product selectively (entry 6).

6. Derivatization of Cross-Coupled Products for Enantiomeric Analysis

The products of the preparative cross-coupling reactions required derivatization for determination of enantiomeric composition and absolute configuration. For this purpose, the allylic arenes $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20a-e}$ and $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20g-h}$ were transformed to 2-arylpropanols $(\text{S})\text{-23a-e}$ and $(\text{S})\text{-23g-h}$ by ozonolysis followed by in situ ozonide reduction with sodium borohydride. The enantiomeric ratios of the 2-arylpropanols were then determined by CSP-SFC analysis. Significantly, five of the substrates examined were of 98:2 *er* (Table 3, entries 1–3, 5, and 7), and the other three were of $\geq 99:1$ *er* (entries 4, 6, and 8). In addition, derivatization produced five 2-arylpropanols with known optical rotations and assigned absolute configurations (entries 1, 3–5, and 7), and thus allowed for unambiguous assignment of the configuration of the benzylic stereogenic center.

Discussion

To determine the stereochemical course of the cross-coupling of allylic silanolate salts, enantioenriched α -substituted allylic silanolate $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ was synthesized and coupled with aromatic bromides under palladium catalysis. The starting silanol, $(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}$, and the crotylation products, $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20a-e}$ and $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20g-h}$, were degraded to known materials to establish their enantiomeric compositions and assign their absolute configurations. These synthetic sequences allowed the process of diastereodifferentiation¹⁵ and thus enantiospecificity of the cross-coupling reaction to be determined. The products of the cross-coupling reaction, $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20a-h}$, from enantioenriched $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-18}^-$ were of high enantiomeric

and geometrical purity. In addition, these compounds all possessed the same absolute configuration at the stereogenic center (*R*) and geometry of the double bond (*E*), suggesting a common pathway for the transmetalation of allylic silanolates and a direct relationship between the educt and the product.

1. Site-Selectivity of the Cross-Coupling of $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$

The cross-coupling $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ with aromatic bromides produced the *E*- γ coupled isomer with high selectivity, although the quantity and number of isomeric products varied depending upon the aryl bromide employed. For example, very high *E*- γ -selectivities were observed for bromobenzene (98% *E*- γ) and 4-bromoanisole (97% *E*- γ), and the lowest *E*- γ -selectivity was observed with 4-bromobenzotrifluoride (87% *E*- γ). These results are similar to those previously reported wherein aryl bromides bearing electron withdrawing substituents afforded lower γ -selectivity (i.e. increased α -coupling) when π -acidic olefin ligands were used to facilitate reductive elimination.¹⁶ Moreover, the reaction of bromobenzene with $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ produced only two isomers as determined by capillary GC. The minor isomer was the α -coupled product as determined by ¹H NMR analysis of a cross-coupling carried out under less γ -selective conditions ($\text{Pd}(\text{dba})_2$, nbd).⁴¹ Chemical degradation of the products (*S*)-**23a–e** and (*S*)-**23g–h** allowed for the removal of the α -coupled isomer(s), and therefore, their presence did not complicate the stereochemical analysis of the γ -coupled products.

2. Stereospecificity of the Cross-Coupling of $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$

The products of the cross-coupling reaction were degraded for determination of enantiomeric composition and absolute configuration. Five of the seven derivatives were known compounds with reported optical rotations and assigned absolute configurations. Therefore, an unambiguous assignment of *S* to the configuration of the benzylic stereogenic center of **23a**, **23c–23e**, and **23g** was possible by comparison of the sign of their optical rotations.⁴² The enantiomeric analysis of the 2-arylpropanols and (*R*)-(*E*)-**20f** by CSP-SFC revealed very high enantioenrichment (>98:2 er). Thus, the conversion of silanolates $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ to the cross-coupling products (*R*)-(*E*)-**20** occurred with complete enantiospecificity.^{22,43}

3. Mechanistic Considerations

Previous studies in these laboratories on the cross-coupling of alkenyl-44 and arylsilanolates,⁴⁵ have led to the formulation of a mechanism which involves the formation of an arylpalladium silanolate by attack of the starting silanolate salt on the arylpalladium(II)halide. The intermediacy of a discrete species containing an Si–O–Pd linkage has since been demonstrated in a number of different cross-coupling reactions.⁴⁶ Moreover, evidence for an Si–O–Pd containing intermediate in the cross-coupling of allylic silanolates was also forthcoming during optimization of the reactions with $\text{Na}^+\text{2}^-$.¹⁶ Specifically, when *t*-Bu₂P(2-biphenyl) was used in combination with $\text{Pd}(\text{dba})_2$ as the catalyst, only trace amounts of products resulting from carbon–carbon bond formation were observed; the major product of the reaction was the result of carbon–oxygen bond formation. This result is interpreted in terms of a direct reductive elimination of the arylpalladium(II) silanolate which precludes transmetalation.

4. Stereochemical Analysis

Four diastereomeric 1-methyl-*iso*-heptenyl arenes can be formed from a γ -selective coupling of $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ (Figure 4). These four products represent pair wise combinations of the two possible olefin geometries and the two possible configurations at the newly generated stereocenter which in turn are established by: (1) the reactive conformation of $\text{Na}^+(\text{R})\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ in the transmetalation and (2) the stereochemical course of the S_{E}' process (*syn* or *anti*). Figure 4 illustrates the consequences of these two variables operating independently. In limiting conformer **A**, the hydrogen on the stereogenic center is in the olefin plane which will

lead to the formation of an *E* double bond in the product. An intramolecular transmetalation corresponds to a *syn* S_E' pathway and leads to the formation (*R*)-(*E*)-**20**. Alternatively, an intermolecular transmetalation can proceed via both *syn* and *anti* S_E' pathways to afford both (*R*)-(*E*)-**20** and (*S*)-(*E*)-**20**, although the *anti* process is expected to be favored on the basis of extensive precedent (see Background section). In limiting conformer **B**, the *iso*-butyl group is *syn*-planar to the olefin which will lead to the formation of a *Z* double bond in the product. Application of the same analysis to conformer **B** predicts the formation of (*S*)-(*Z*)-**20** from an intramolecular transmetalation (*syn* S_E'), or both (*S*)-(*Z*)-**20** and (*R*)-(*Z*)-**20** from an intermolecular transmetalation, the latter arising from an *anti* S_E' pathway.

From the results described above, we established that the product from all cross-coupling reactions possessed the structure (*R*)-(*E*)-**20** and is thus believed to arise from an intramolecular transmetalation via limiting conformer **A** through a *syn* S_E' process. A more detailed view of a transition state structure that leads to (*R*)-(*E*)-**20** is illustrated in Scheme 7. Under the assumption that a *syn* S_E' pathway arises from an intramolecular transmetalation, a chair-like, transition state structure can be considered. In structure **viii**, the Si–O–Pd linkage controls the delivery of the palladium electrophile to the γ -terminus of the allylic silane. The palladium is tricoordinate and the alkene takes up the fourth coordination site in the square planar complex. Although a true chair is not realistically feasible, nevertheless, a critical feature is the *pseudo*-equatorial orientation of the *iso*-butyl group which assures high selectivity in the formation of an *E* double bond in the product. In addition, the allylic methyl group is positioned orthogonal to the ligand plane of palladium which also avoids unfavorable steric interactions.⁴⁷ An alternative transition state structure (**ix**) that also involves an intramolecular delivery of the palladium moiety suffers from severe 1,3-diaxial steric strain between the *iso*-butyl and allylic methyl group. This transition state structure would lead to the formation of (*S*)-(*Z*)-**20**, which is not observed. Thus, the *isobutyl* group played a key role in facilitating the interpretation of the transmetalation step.

A refined catalytic cycle can now be constructed for the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of allylic silanolate salts with aromatic bromides (Figure 5). The cycle begins with oxidative addition of the aromatic bromide onto a palladium(0) complex. Displacement of bromide by the allylic silanolate Na⁺(*R*)-(*Z*)-**18**[−] forms the palladium(II) silanolate **x**. Transmetalation through a *syn* S_E' process forges a bond between the γ -carbon of Na⁺(*R*)-(*Z*)-**18**[−] and palladium via transition structure **viii**. Facile reductive elimination of the σ -bound palladium species **xi** facilitated by the π -acidic olefin ligand preempts σ - π isomerization and produces the γ -coupled product (*R*)-(*E*)-**20** with excellent enantio- and geometrical specificity, and regenerates the palladium(0) catalyst.

Conclusion

An enantioenriched, α -substituted, allylic silanolate salt undergoes palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling with aromatic bromides with excellent stereospecificity. The transmetalation event proceeds through a *syn* S_E' mechanism in which the stereogenic center in the educt controls the configuration of the newly formed stereogenic center in the product. These studies allow the construction of a detailed model of the stereodetermining step of the coupling of allylic silanolate salts. In addition, the high enantioinduction of all aromatic bromides surveyed implies that this pathway is general for many substrate types. The combination of the crucial Si–O–Pd linkage and the intimate connectivity between the palladium moiety and the stereodetermining transmetalation event bodes well for the development of catalytic, enantioselective cross-coupling reactions. The results from these studies will be disclosed in due course.

General Experimental

See Supporting Information.

Preparation of (*R*)-((*E*)-1,5-Dimethyl-2-hexenyl)benzene ((*R*)-(*E*)-20a) from Bromobenzene Using Na⁺(*R*)-18[−]

To a 50-mL, single-necked, round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stir bar and equipped with a three-way argon inlet capped with a septum, was added APC (3.7 mg, 0.010 mmol, 0.0050 equiv) and dba(4,4'-CF₃) **22** (148 mg, 0.40 mmol, 0.20 equiv). The flask was then sequentially evacuated and filled with argon three times. Bromobenzene (314 mg, 2.0 mmol) was then added by syringe. The α -substituted allylic silanolate Na⁺(*R*)-18[−] (625 mg, 3.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv), pre-weighed into a 10-mL two-necked, round-bottomed flask in a dry box, was then dissolved in toluene (4.0 mL) and added by syringe. The reaction mixture was heated in a preheated oil bath to 70 °C with stirring under argon. After 24 h, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through silica gel (2 cm × 1 cm) in a glass-fritted funnel (coarse, 2 cm × 5 cm), and the filter cake washed with ether (3 × 15 mL). The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (30 mm × 20 cm, hexane), C-18 reverse phase chromatography (25 mm × 16 cm, MeOH/H₂O, 9:1→20:1), and Kugelrohr distillation (70 °C, 0.7 mm Hg, ABT) to afford 288 mg (76%) of (*R*)-(*E*)-**20a** as a clear, colorless oil. Data for (*R*)-(*E*)-**20a**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.35–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.27–7.20 (m, 3 H), 5.62 (ddt, *J* = 15.3, 6.7, 1.2, 1 H), 5.48 (dtd, *J* = 15.3, 7.1, 1.2, 1 H), 3.47 (ap, *J* = 7.0, 1 H), 1.96–1.93 (m, 2 H), 1.69–1.61 (m, 1 H), 1.38 (d, *J* = 7.0, 3 H), 0.92 (d, *J* = 3.5, 3 H), 0.91 (d, *J* = 3.5, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 146.5, 136.1, 128.3, 128.0, 127.2, 125.9, 42.3, 41.9, 28.5, 22.33, 22.27, 21.6; IR (film) 3027, 2959, 2927, 2870, 1602, 1492, 1452, 1384, 1367, 1017, 971, 758, 698, 537; MS (EI, 70 eV) 188, 145, 131, 118, 105, 91, 77; [α]_D²³ – 5.80(*c* = 2.1, CHCl₃) *R*_f 0.72 (hexane); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₀: C, 89.29; H, 10.71, Found: C, 89.06; H, 10.75.

Preparation of (*S*)- β -Methyl-benzeneethanol ((*S*)-23a) from (*R*)-((*E*)-1,5-Dimethyl-2-hexenyl)benzene

To a 25-mL, single-necked, round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stir bar, equipped with a three-way adapter and gas dispersion tube was combined (*R*)-((*E*)-1,5-dimethyl-2-hexenyl)benzene (161 mg, 0.857 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (17 mL). The solution was then cooled to –78 °C under nitrogen. Ozone (scrubbed with 2-propanol/dry ice) was then bubbled through the solution until it turned blue (approx. 2 min). Nitrogen was then bubbled through the solution until colorless. While still at –78 °C, a freshly prepared solution of sodium borohydride (324 mg, 8.57 mmol, 10 equiv) in ethanol (17 mL) was added dropwise by syringe. The contents were then allowed to warm to rt with stirring and without removal of the cooling bath. After 18 h, the mixture was diluted with ether (25 mL) and 0.2 M HCl (50 mL) was added slowly by pipette. The biphasic mixture was then stirred for 1 h. The aqueous phase was separated in a 250-mL separatory funnel and was extracted with ether (2 × 25 mL). The three separate organic extracts were sequentially washed with H₂O (2 × 25 mL) and brine (25 mL), then were combined, dried over MgSO₄ until flocculent, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue purified by silica gel chromatography (20 mm × 20 cm, pentane/EtOAc, 4:1→1:1 pentane/ EtOAc) followed by Kugelrohr distillation (90 °C, 1.5 mm Hg, ABT) to afford 77 mg (66%) of (*S*)-**23a** as a clear, colorless oil. Data for (*S*)-**23a**: ³⁶¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37–7.34 (m, 2 H), 7.27–7.25 (m, 3 H), 3.7 (d, *J* = 6.8, 2 H), 2.96 (sextet, *J* = 7.0, 1 H), 1.62 (br, 1 H), 1.30 (d, *J* = 7.0, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 143.7, 128.6, 127.4, 126.6, 68.6, 42.4, 17.5; IR (film) 3356, 3084, 3062, 3028, 2962, 2930, 2875, 1602, 1494, 1452, 1384, 1193, 1092, 1068, 1034, 1014, 911, 761, 700, 606; MS (EI, 70 eV) 136, 105, 91, 80; HRMS: Calcd for C₉H₁₂O: 136.0888, Found: 136.0887; [α]_D²³ – 14.2(*c* = 1.6, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.21 (hexanes/EtOAc, 4:1).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health for generous financial support (R01 GM63167) and Johnson-Matthey for the gift of Pd₂(dba)₃. N.S.W. thanks the Aldrich Chemical Co. for a Graduate Student Innovation Award and Eli Lilly and Co. for a Graduate Fellowship.

References

1. (a) de Meijere, A.; Diederich, F., editors. *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*. Second Ed.. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; 2004. (b) Negishi, E-I., editor. *Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis*. New York: Wiley-Interscience; 2002.
2. (a) Ojima, I., editor. *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*. Second Ed.. New York: Wiley-VCH; 2000. (b) Tietze LF, Ila H, Bell HP. *Chem. Rev* 2004;104:3453–3516. [PubMed: 15250747] (c) Hayashi TJ. *Organomet. Chem* 2002;653:41–45. (d) Hayashi, T. Chapt. 25. In: Jacobsen, EN.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H., editors. *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*. Vol. Vol. II. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag; 1999. (e) Blystone SL. *Chem. Rev* 1989;89:1663–1679.
3. The trivial case of cross-coupling of chiral subunits where the newly formed carbon-carbon bond is not a stereogenic unit are excluded.
4. By far the largest category of transition metal-catalyzed, carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom bond forming reactions involves the asymmetric capture of π -allyl-metals derived from palladium, molybdenum, rhodium and iridium with a wide range of nucleophiles. These powerful reactions have been thoroughly reviewed and therefore will not be categorized here, see: (a) Lu Z, Ma S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2008;47:258–297. (b) Trost BM, Crawley ML. *Chem. Rev* 2003;2921–2943. [PubMed: 12914486] (c) Pfaltz, A.; Lautens, M. Chapt. 24. In: Jacobsen, EN.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H., editors. *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*. Vol. Vol. II. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag; 1999.
5. For a definition of internal and external selection see: Denmark, SE.; Almstead, NG. *Allylation of Carbonyls: Methodology and Stereochemistry*. In: Otera, J., editor. *Modern Carbonyl Chemistry*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; 2000. p. 299–402.
6. (a) Hatanaka Y, Goda K-I, Hiyama T. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1994;35:1279–1282. (b) Hiyama T, Matsubashi H, Fujita A, Tanaka M, Hirabayashi K, Shimizu M, Mori A. *Organometallics* 1996;15:5762–5765. (c) Kalkofen R, Hoppe D. *Synlett* 2006:1959–1961.
7. Hayashi S, Hirano K, Yorimitsu H, Oshima K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007;129:12650–12651. [PubMed: 17902673]
8. Recently developed, transition metal-catalyzed additions of organic groups to aldehydes and imines are also not considered to be cross-coupling reactions. See: (a) Skukas E, Ngai M-Y, Komanduri V, Krische MJ. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2007;40:1394–1401. [PubMed: 17784728] (b) Patman RL, Bower JF, Kim IS, Krische MJ. *Aldrichimica Acta* 2008;41:95–104.
9. (a) Bringmann G, Mortimer AJP, Keller PA, Gresser MJ, Garner J, Breuning M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2005;44:5384–5427. (b) Bringmann G, Walter R, Wirich R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 1990;29:977–991.
10. (a) Glorius F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2008;47:8347–8349. (b) Rudolph A, Lautens M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2009;48:2656–2670.
11. (a) Shibasaki M, Vogl EM, Ohshima T. *Adv. Synth. Catal* 2004;346:1533–1552. (b) Bräse, S.; de Meijere, A. Chapt. 5. In: de Meijere, A.; Diederich, F., editors. *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*. Vol. Vol. 2. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; 2004. (c) Dounay AB, Overman LE. *Chem. Rev* 2003;103:2945–2963. [PubMed: 12914487]
12. (a) Yamamoto Y, Takada S, Miyaura N. *Chem. Lett* 2006;35:704–705. (b) Yamamoto Y, Takada S, Miyaura N. *Chem. Lett* 2006;35:1368–1369. (c) Yamamoto Y, Takada S, Miyaura N, Iyama T, Tachikawa H. *Organometallics* 2009;28:152–160.
13. Martín R, Buchwald SL. *Org. Lett* 2008;10:4561–4564. [PubMed: 18808127] (b) Culkin DA, Hartwig JF. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2003;36:234–245. [PubMed: 12693921]

14. (a) Kozłowski MC, Morgan BJ, Linton EC. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2009;38:3193–3207. [PubMed: 19847351] (b) Ogasawara M, Watanabe S. *Synthesis* 2009:1761–1785. (c) Bolm C, Hildebrand JP, Muñiz K, Hermanns N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2001;40:3284–3308.
15. Itzumi, Y.; Tai, A. *Stereo-Differentiating Reactions: The Nature of Asymmetric Reactions*. New York: Academic Press; 1975.
16. Denmark SE, Werner NS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2008;130:16382–16393. [PubMed: 18998687]
17. Chiral, olefinic ligands are widely employed in asymmetric, transition metal-catalyzed reactions. However, their use in asymmetric palladium-catalyzed reactions remains elusive, see: Defieber C, Grützmacher H, Carreira EM. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2008;47:4482–4502.
18. Trauner reported the preparation of a chiral diene-ligated palladium-catalyst, however the use of this catalyst in a palladium-ene reaction provided only racemic products, see: Grundl MA, Kennedy-Smith JJ, Trauner D. *Organometallics* 2005;24:2831–2833.
19. Kahn SD, Pau CF, Chamberlin AR, Hehre WJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1987;109:650–663.
20. (a) Denmark SE, Almstead NG. *J. Org. Chem* 1994;59:5130–5132. (b) Denmark SE, Hosoi S. *J. Org. Chem* 1994;59:5133–5135.
21. Fleming I, Au-Yeung B-W. *Tetrahedron* 1981;37:13–24.
22. The term enantiospecificity [e.s. = (ee_{product} / ee_{starting material}) × 100%] provides a convenient method of describing the conservation of configurational purity for a reaction.
23. (a) Gallina C, Ciattini PG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1979;101:1035–1036. (b) Goering HL, Kantner SS, Tseng CC. *J. Org. Chem* 1983;48:715–721. (c) Smitrovich JH, Woerpel KA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1998;120:12998–12999. (d) Smitrovich JH, Woerpel KA. *J. Org. Chem* 2000;65:1601–1614. [PubMed: 10814135]
24. Tan Z, Negishi E-I. *Org. Lett* 2006;8:2783–2785. [PubMed: 16774256]
25. Burgess K, Jennings LD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1991;113:6129–6139.
26. (a) Speier JL, Webster JA, Barnes GH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1957;79:974–979. (b) Chandra G, Lo PY, Hitchcock PB, Lappert MF. *Organometallics* 1987;6:191–192.
27. Denmark SE, Baird JD. *Tetrahedron* 2009;65:3120–3129. [PubMed: 19784400]
28. Woerpel has established the stereochemical outcome of the copper-catalyzed S_N2' reaction of Grignard reagents with allylic carbamates, see ref. ^{23d}. However, the stereochemical identity and purity of the α-substituted allylic silanol was too important to be assumed from analogous precedent.
29. (a) Corey EJ, Mock WL, Pasto DJ. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1961;11:347–352. (b) Hünig S, Müller HR. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1962;1:213–214.
30. (a) Fleming I, Henning R, Plaut H. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* 1984:29–31. (b) Tamao K, Ishida N, Tanaka T, Kumada M. *Organometallics* 1983;2:1694–1696.
31. Cho BT, Kim DJ. *Tetrahedron* 2003;59:2457–2462.
32. (*S*)-2-Methylheptan-4-ol: [α]_D²² + 11.5 (*c* = 1.1, MeOH), Lit. [α]_D²² + 13.3 (*c* = 1.1, MeOH)
Takenaka M, Takikawa H, Mori K. *Liebigs Ann* 1996:1963–1964.
33. Racemic Na⁺**18**[−] was used for cross-coupling optimization and was synthesized by a similar route using racemic **13**
34. The crotylation of bromobenzene with sodium 2-butenyldimethylsilanolate under these conditions provides high γ-selectivity (26:1) as determined by GC/MS analysis of the crude reaction mixture, see ref. ¹⁵
35. (a) Fairlamb IJS, Kapdi AR, Lee AF. *Org. Lett* 2004;6:4435–4438. [PubMed: 15548044] (b) Fairlamb IJS. *Org. Biomol. Chem* 2008;6:3645–3656. [PubMed: 18843391]
36. Kiyotsuka Y, Acharya HP, Katayama Y, Hyodo T, Kobayashi Y. *Org. Lett* 2008;10:1719–1722. [PubMed: 18396885]
37. Menicagli R, Piccolo O, Lardicci L. *Chimica e l'Industria* 1975;57:499–500.
38. Lebib J, Pelinski L, Maciejewski L, Brocard J. *Tetrahedron* 1990;46:6011–6020.
39. Spino C, Beaulieu C, Lafreniere J. *J. Org. Chem* 2000;65:7091–7097. [PubMed: 11031033]
40. Wipf P, Ribe S. *Org. Lett* 2000;2:1713–1716. [PubMed: 10880208]
41. Na⁺**18**[−] (1.5 equiv), **3a** (1.0 equiv, 1.0 mmol), Pd(dba)₂ (5 mol %), nbd (5 mol %), toluene, 70 °C, 69% yield (5.2:1 *E*-γ/α)

42. By analogy the absolute configuration of **23b**, **20f**, and **23h** are assigned as *S*, *R*, and *S* respectively.
43. The fact that the enantiospecificity of this process is greater than 100% is most likely caused by a hidden kinetic resolution. The minor component in Na⁺(*R*)-(Z)-**18**[−] is actually Na⁺(*S*)-(E)-**18**[−] which arises from the copper-catalyzed S_N' reaction. The lesser kinetic competence of Na⁺(*S*)-(E)-**18**[−] has the secondary effect of removing the contribution of the minor enantiomer to the product mixture; see ref. 23c and d.
44. Denmark SE, Sweis RF. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:4876–4882. [PubMed: 15080692]
45. Denmark SE, Smith RC. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010;132:1243–1245. [PubMed: 20058920]
46. Denmark SE. J. Org. Chem 2009;74:2915–2927. [PubMed: 19278233]
47. In other closed, transition state structures of palladium-catalyzed allylations the vinylic methyl group positioned coplanar with the square plane of palladium ligands was suggested to be disfavored. See: Iwasaki M, Hayashi S, Hirano K, Yorimitsu H, Oshima K. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:4463–4469. [PubMed: 17373791]

Type 1 – chiral substrate, diastereodifferentiation **Type 2 – chiral substrate, configurationally labile, enantiodifferentiation** **Type 3 – achiral substrate, enantiodifferentiation**

Figure 1.
General classification of asymmetric transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.

Figure 2.
Stereochemical control elements in S_E' reactions (CIP: $E > CH=CH > R^1$).

Attributes of the Proposed Synthetic Route:

1. Provide either *E* or *Z* double bond geometry
2. Late stage introduction of variable α -substituents
3. Products of high enantioenrichment

Figure 3.

Synthetic Strategy to Determine the Stereochemical Course of Transmetalation.

Figure 4. Possible pathways for the transmetalation of allylic silanolates. ($\text{R} = i\text{-Bu}$, RE = reductive elimination).

Figure 5.
Refined catalytic cycle.

^aOA = oxidative addition, Dis. = displacement, TM = transmetalation, RE = reductive elimination.

Scheme 1a.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Scheme 6.

^a*Si* denotes SiMe_2 and was abbreviated for clarity.

Scheme 7a.

Table 1

Cross-Coupling Reaction Optimization^a

entry	Pd source	loading, %	ligand	loading, %	yield, ^b %	(<i>E</i>)-20a/21a ^c
1 ^d	Pd(dba) ₂	5.0	nbd	5.0	76	6.2:1
2	APC	2.5	22	5.0	74	8.6:1
3 ^e	APC	2.5	22	20	75	33:1
4	APC	0.5	22	10	70	14:1
5	APC	0.5	22	20	84	32:1

^aReactions performed on 0.25 mmol scale.

^bYield of (*E*)-20a determined by GC analysis.

^cPeak area ratio of crude reaction mixture determined by GC analysis.

^d2.0 equiv Na⁺(±)-(Z)-18⁻ used.

^eAverage of 3 experiments.

Table 2

Preparative Cross-Couplings of $\text{Na}^+(R)\text{-(Z)-18}^-$ ^a

entry	product	time
1 ^d	<p>(R)-(E)-20a</p>	24
2 ^e	<p>(R)-(E)-20b</p>	6

entry	product	time,
3 ^e	 $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20c}$	6
4 ^e	 $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20d}$	12
5 ^e	 $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20e}$	6

entry	product	time,
6 ^e	 $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20f}$	6
7 ^e	 $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20g}$	6
8 ^e	 $(\text{R})\text{-(E)-20h}$	6

^aReactions performed on 2.0 mmol scale.

^bYield of isolated analytically pure material.

^cRatio of the *E*- γ isomer relative to *E*- α , *Z*- γ , and/or *Z*- α isomer(s) of purified products determined by GC analysis.

^d0.5 mol % APC used.

^e2.5 mol % APC used.

^fDetermined ^1H NMR analysis (103:1 S/N).

Table 3

Cross-Coupled Product Derivatization and Enantiomeric Analysis

entry	product	yield, ^a %	er ^b	[α] _D ^c	lit. [α] _D ^b
1	23a	66	98:2	-14.2	-11.7, (S) ^d
2	23b	59	98:2	-5.32	N/A
3	23c	72	98:2	+26.5	+19.2, (S) ^e
4	23d	53	99:1	+5.27	-4.1, (R) ^f
5	23e	75	98:2	-15.5	-15.4, (S) ^g
6 ^h	20f	N/A	>99:1	-10.9	N/A
7	23g	47	98:2	-14.1	+13.3, (R) ⁱ
8	23h	60	>99:1 ^j	-10.0	N/A

^aYield of isolated, purified material.

^bDetermined by chiral SFC analysis of silica gel chromatographed products.

^cSee Supporting Information for details.

^dRef. 36.

^eRef. 37.

^fRef. 38.

^gRef. 39.

^hDerivatization was not necessary for enantiomeric analysis.

ⁱRef. 40.

^jFurther derivatization of the alcohol to a 3,5-dinitrophenylcarbamate was necessary for enantiomeric analysis.