Published in final edited form as:

Am J Psychiatry. 2008 September ; 165(9): 1172–1178. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07081308.

Factors Associated with Remission from Alcohol Dependence in an American Indian Community Group

David A Gilder, MD, Philip Lau, MA, Linda Corey, RN, MS, and Cindy L Ehlers, PhD

From the Molecular and Integrative Neurosciences Department, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 USA

Abstract

Objective—This study identified factors associated with remission from DSM-III-R alcohol dependence in an American Indian community group.

Method—Participants were assessed using the Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism (SSAGA).

Results—Five hundred and eighty participants were assessed for alcohol use and alcohol use symptoms; 254 participants were found to have alcohol dependence. The rate of remission in this sample was 59%. Thirty-four percent of remitters and 39% of non-remitters had received treatment for alcohol problems. Remission from alcohol dependence was associated with being female, older, and married; an earlier age of onset of alcohol dependence; and self-reported depression symptoms from drinking. Absence of remission was associated with continuing to drink despite knowing one had medical problems from drinking and self-reported anxiety symptoms from drinking.

Conclusion—Attention to factors associated with remission from alcohol dependence may be important in designing more effective treatment and prevention programs in this high risk population.

Keywords

American Indian; alcohol dependence; remission

INTRODUCTION

Native Americans, as a group, have the highest alcohol related death rates of any U.S. ethnic group (1), and rates of alcohol dependence in the small number of tribes studied have been reported as 20–70% (2–6), higher than the epidemiological rate of DSM-IV (7) alcohol dependence of 13% in the U.S. general population (8). Although research has delineated factors posing increased risk for the development of alcohol dependence in Native Americans (9–13), little is known about factors associated with remission from alcohol dependence in this high-risk group.

In the U.S. general population, the rate of remission from alcohol dependence is substantial. Dawson and colleagues (14), using data from the 2001–2002 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) found that 75% of adults with prior to past year DSM-IV alcohol dependence were currently in remission. Forty-eight percent were in complete remission, showing no symptoms of alcohol dependence for the preceding year. Thirty-six percent were in recovery, defined as showing no signs of alcohol dependence and

either abstinent or drinking at levels below those considered to constitute risk drinking in the preceding year. These rates of remission are similar to those found in other epidemiologic studies of remission and recovery from alcohol dependence in the United States (15), Canada (16), and Germany (17).

Over the past two decades, a large body of research has focused on identifying factors associated with remission from alcohol dependence. Despite using different variables of interest, diagnostic criteria, diagnostic instruments, interview and sampling techniques, generally consistent themes have emerged from these studies. Female gender, older age at assessment, current marriage, more robust social support, and younger age at onset of alcohol dependence, less quantity of drinking, and less severity of drinking all tend to be associated with remission (14,18–19). In contrast to studies of treatment samples, which have generally shown less sustained remission in individuals with co-morbid anxiety and affective disorders (20–22), epidemiologic samples have not shown an association of those disorders with absence of remission (14,17). Much of the literature on remission from alcohol dependence has focused on the role of treatment in remission; however, recent data from the NESARC (14) has demonstrated that abstinent and non-abstinent recovery from alcohol dependence are similar in those individuals who have received treatment and those who have not.

The available evidence suggests that rates of remission from alcohol dependence are also substantial in Native American communities (3–5,23). Ehlers and colleagues (3), in a study of the first 243 American Indian adults who participated in the present study, found a remission rate of 61% from DSM-III-R alcohol dependence. Factors associated with remission were not examined in that study. This report is an effort to identify factors associated with remission in this American Indian group.

METHODS

Participants

American Indian participants were recruited from eight geographically contiguous reservations with a total population of about 3,000 individuals. Participants were recruited using a combination of a venue-based method for sampling hard-to-reach populations (24–25) and a respondent-driven procedure (26) which has been described elsewhere (10). To be included in the study, participants had to be at least 1/16th Native American Heritage (NAH), be between the ages of 18 and 70 years, and be mobile enough to be transported from his or her home to the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) of The Scripps Research Institute (TSRI). The protocol for the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of TSRI, the Scientific Advisory Committee of the GCRC, and the Indian Health Council, a tribal review group overseeing health issues for the reservations where recruitment was undertaken. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant after the study was fully explained.

Measures

Each participant completed an interview with the Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism (SSAGA) (27), which gathers demographic and onset and recency information on alcohol use, drug use, and psychiatric disorder symptoms. The SSAGA is a fully structured, poly-diagnostic psychiatric interview that generates DSM-III-R diagnoses and has undergone both reliability and validity testing (27–28). The SSAGA interviews were administered by trained research assistants, and all best final diagnoses were made by a research psychiatrist/addiction specialist (DAG).

In this study, a lifetime diagnosis of alcohol dependence was made if a participant met three or more dependence criteria for DSM-III-R (29) alcohol dependence with the added condition

that three or more criteria had to occur or “cluster” during the same month or longer in the participant’s life. One-month clustering exceeds the DSM-IV (7) criterion of one year clustering. Remission was defined as having no symptom of alcohol dependence for six months or longer at the time of the interview (6-month full remission).

Other DSM-III-R diagnostic criteria were defined as follows: having any lifetime DSM-III-R anxiety disorder was defined as having any one or more of the following disorders: panic, agoraphobia without panic, social phobia, and obsessive-compulsive disorders. Having any lifetime affective disorder was defined as having any one or more of the following disorders: major depressive, bipolar I, bipolar II, and dysthymic disorders. Two types of anxiety and affective disorders were considered: those independent of substance use and those considered to be substance induced. To be considered substance induced, the anxiety or affective disorder had to occur in the context of persistent and heavy use of one or more substances, either as an intoxication or withdrawal syndrome. Criteria for diagnosing substance induced disorders were derived from Schuckit and colleagues (30), Hesselbrock and colleagues (31), and DSM-IV-TR (32). These criteria have been reported elsewhere (10,33).

Childhood conduct disorder was defined as having three or more criteria for conduct disorder before the age of 15 years without meeting criteria for adult antisocial personality disorder (ASPD). The SSAGA does not make the DSM-IV diagnosis of nicotine dependence, but is able to generate information which allows for the lifetime ascertainment of persistent smoking, defined as smoking 10 or more cigarettes per day over one year or more during a participant’s lifetime.

In addition to collecting information sufficient to make DSM-III-R disorder diagnoses, the SSAGA collects information on self-reported alcohol induced symptoms. The SSAGA also asks whether a participant felt anxious or depressed during alcohol withdrawal. In contrast to questions which gathered information to generate anxiety and affective disorder (independent and substance-induced) diagnoses by DSM-III-R criteria, these questions asked participants to report significant anxiety and depressive symptoms which the participants themselves identified (i.e. self-reported) as caused by alcohol intoxication and withdrawal.

Other information collected by the SSAGA and other questionnaires included demographic information, number of religious (both traditional American Indian and EuroAmerican origin) services attended in the past year, drinking history, and dependence symptoms. Number of standard drinks consumed per month during the period of heaviest drinking since the participant began to drink regularly was collected using a drinking history questionnaire that employs a time-line follow-back procedure, and is administered with the SSAGA. Legal or endangering (to self or others) problems from drinking, alcohol use severity, and continued drinking despite medical problems were taken from SSAGA questions used to establish dependence criteria. The number of lifetime alcohol dependent criteria (3-9 criteria) was also collected. Duration of dependence was considered the number of years between the age of onset of one month clustered alcohol dependence and the most recent age at which the participant had any symptom of alcohol dependence, whether or not the participant had not used alcohol for a portion of that period or had failed to meet full criteria for dependence at anytime during those years.

Data Analysis

Demographic and clinical variables putatively associated with remission from and survival duration of alcohol dependence for the sample as a whole are listed in Table 1. Table 2 describes each variable in the remitter and non-remitter groups. Each variable was compared in the remitter vs. the non-remitter group in univariate comparisons using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and the Fisher Exact test for categorical variables.

Subsequent data analyses focused on the two aims of the study. The first aim of the study was to determine the significance of variables putatively associated with remission. A multivariate logistic regression analysis for remission from alcohol dependence (yes or no) as the outcome variable using independent variables putatively related to remission, which included variables examined in the NESARC dataset (14). Logistic regression was carried out using the forward stepwise likelihood ratio approach of Hosmer and Lemeshow (34). In this approach, P entry is 0.15 and P removal is 0.20. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$ for variables in the final model, which is shown in Table 3.

The second aim of the study was to examine factors affecting the survival of alcohol dependence in this sample. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to determine the impact of the same variables on survival of alcohol dependence from age of onset of alcohol dependence to age of recency in the presence of censored cases. In the Cox regression analysis, the P entry criterion of 0.15 and P removal criterion of 0.20 were used in a forward stepwise likelihood ratio approach. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$ in the final model, which is shown in Table 4.

RESULTS

Five hundred and eighty participants were assessed for alcohol use and alcohol use symptoms. Two hundred and fifty-four participants met criteria for lifetime DSM-III-R alcohol dependence with the added condition that three or more symptom criteria of dependence had to cluster during the same month or more in the participant's life and had complete data for all variables used in the logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards analyses. Of these, 150 (59%) participants had complete remission from alcohol dependence.

Demographic characteristics of the sample as a whole resemble those of U.S. Census data (35). Descriptive statistics for all demographic and clinical variables used in the logistic and Cox regression analyses are shown in Table 1. The results of univariate comparisons of each variable in the remitter vs. non-remitter groups are shown in Table 2.

Stepwise logistic regression analysis of factors putatively associated with remission resulted in the final model shown in Table 3. Being older, female, married, having an earlier age of onset of alcohol dependence, and having self-reported depression symptoms from drinking were significantly associated with remission. Continuing to drink despite medical problems and having self-reported anxiety symptoms from drinking were significantly associated with absence of remission. None of the other variables, including years of education, income, employment, first degree family history of alcohol dependence, Native American Heritage, age of first intoxication, drinking daily for a week or more, having gone on benders of 2 days or more, quantity of drinking during the period of heaviest drinking, number of criteria of alcohol dependence, childhood conduct disorder, ASPD, independent or substance induced anxiety and affective disorders, cannabis or amphetamine dependence, persistent smoking (tobacco), having more legal/endangering or alcohol use severity problems associated with drinking, and ever having had treatment for problems related to alcohol use, was associated with remission. The final model accounted for 30.2% of the variance in remission from alcohol dependence.

Evaluation of factors associated with survival time of alcohol dependence following diagnosis using stepwise Cox regression resulted in the final model shown in Table 4. Being younger, married, having an older age of onset of alcohol dependence were associated with a shorter duration of alcohol dependence. Continuing to drink (despite medical problems) and self-reported anxiety symptoms from drinking were associated with longer duration of alcohol dependence. Other factors, including gender, independent and substance induced anxiety and

affective disorders, childhood conduct disorder, ASPD, cannabis or amphetamine dependence, other problems related to alcohol use, and treatment for alcohol problems, were not associated with survival of alcohol dependence.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the rate of six month full remission from DSM-III-R alcohol dependence with one-month clustering of 59% is comparable to the U.S. epidemiological rate of one year full remission from prior to past year DSM-IV alcohol dependence of 48% (14), the one year partial remission rate of 64% in a German representative population sample (17), and the one year full remission rate from alcohol abuse and dependence of 53% found in Ontario, Canada (16). Despite the high rate of one month clustered DSM-III-R alcohol dependence of 49% in this sample, the rate of full remission is comparable to studies of predominantly European ancestry populations.

Several factors associated with full remission in this American Indian sample are consistent with factors associated with full remission in the general U.S. population. Our findings are consistent with Dawson and colleagues' (14) findings that abstinent and non-abstinent recovery in the general U.S. population are associated with female gender, increasing age, being married, but not with tobacco use, dependent use of illicit drugs, and having any lifetime anxiety or affective disorder. Our findings are also consistent with Schuckit and colleagues (30) who found that episodes of three-month abstinence in a mixed treatment and non-treatment sample were associated with female gender, older age, ever having been married, and younger age of onset of alcohol dependence, but not with a primary diagnosis of ASPD.

Increasing likelihood of remission with advancing age in this American Indian sample is consistent with the "aging out" phenomenon described in the Navajo (5,23). Why "aging out," occurs in American Indians and other ethnicities is unclear. Alcohol dependence may biologically run its course in a proportion of alcohol dependents, and/or the accumulating psychosocial burden of alcohol dependence may prompt increasingly successful attempts at cutting back on drinking. In addition, as age advances, individuals with alcohol dependence as well as those without, may develop new work, social, and family networks (19) which play a role in reducing drinking. The consistent association of remission with marriage (14,18,36) suggests that the social support and limit-setting that can come from a spouse are important factors in promoting remission.

This study is also noteworthy for the factors which were not associated with remission. Several demographic variables, including current employment, years of education, economic status, frequency of attendance at religious services, first degree family history of alcohol dependence, and Native American Heritage were not significantly associated with remission. Treatment for alcohol problems was not associated with remission in the sample, a finding which may suggest that alcohol dependent individuals find non-treatment as well as treatment related pathways out of dependence and/or individuals with more refractory illness are those most likely to seek treatment.

In contrast to Dawson and colleagues (14), we did not find that either the amount of alcohol use (as measured by quantity X frequency during period of heaviest use), the severity of dependence (as measured by total lifetime dependence symptoms), legal/endangering problems from drinking, or alcohol use severity was associated with remission. These findings suggest that, at least in this sample, increasing quantity of alcohol use and severity of dependence does not militate against remission. Unlike other symptoms of alcohol dependence, such as legal and severity problems which occur because of persistent drinking in the face of those problems, we found that that continuing to drink despite medical problems was associated

with absence of remission. Continuing to drink despite medical problems may be associated with a more refractory form of alcohol dependence because the groups of individuals who continue to drink over extended periods tend to develop medical problems.

Of particular note is our finding that co-morbid disorders, including independent anxiety and affective, substance-induced anxiety and affective, childhood conduct, adult antisocial, and cannabis or amphetamine dependence disorders, were not associated with a greater or lesser likelihood of remission from alcohol dependence. In general, these findings are consistent with epidemiologic studies of the general U.S. (14) and north German (17) populations. In treatment populations, co-morbid disorders have generally been associated with increased likelihood of relapse following treatment (20–22). While co-morbid disorders are etiologically associated with alcohol dependence, the results of this study, taken together with those of Dawson and colleagues (14) and Bischof and colleagues (17), suggest that co-morbidity per se is not etiologically related to remission from alcohol dependence in both predominantly EuroAmerican and American Indian samples. This finding, as well as the findings that severity of use and dependence, are not associated with remission status, may justify some optimism that co-morbidity and severity of illness do not militate against remission, at least in non-treatment seeking populations.

A surprising and novel finding of this study is that self-reported depression and anxiety symptoms do play a significant role in increasing and decreasing, respectively, the likelihood of remission. These are not depression and anxiety symptoms occurring in the context of alcohol withdrawal because a similar question asking whether withdrawal had ever caused depression or anxiety symptoms did not appear in the final logistic regression model. Why self-reported depression and anxiety caused by drinking should be significantly associated with remission, especially in light of the absence of association of independent or substance induced anxiety and affective disorders with remission, is unclear. It may be that insight about the nature of the relationship between drinking and depression and anxiety is a critical element in promoting or inhibiting remission. Why self-reported alcohol induced depression would increase while self-reported alcohol induced anxiety would decrease the likelihood of remission is also unclear. The former is consistent with findings that insight promotes increased compliance with treatment and remission of other psychiatric disorders (37–39). The latter is consistent with the hypothesis that individuals with alcohol dependence and alcohol induced anxiety symptoms are more likely to continue drinking, perhaps as a means to self-medicate those symptoms. Both raise the possibility that education about the depression and anxiety effects of alcohol and alternative ways of dealing with anxiety symptoms that accompany alcohol dependence may have an important role in treatment and community prevention programs in this high-risk population.

We also tested whether factors associated with remission of alcohol dependence were also associated with the survival of alcohol dependence. Two factors significantly associated with remission (being married and having self-reported depression symptoms from drinking) were also significantly associated with a shorter duration of alcohol dependence and two factors associated with non-remission (continuing to drink despite medical problems and having self-reported anxiety symptoms from drinking) were also significantly associated with a longer duration of alcohol dependence. Advancing age and younger age at onset of alcohol dependence were both associated with increased likelihood of remission. However, both advancing age and younger age at onset of alcohol dependence were associated with increased duration of alcohol dependence. These data are consistent with previous findings in this sample that alcohol dependence runs a typical clinical course which includes duration as well as symptomatology (3). These data are not consistent with the notion that, from the standpoint of remission, there may be two groups of alcohol dependent individuals: those with early onset

who have a shorter course of alcoholism and those with later onset who have a longer course that has been suggested for other populations (16,40).

The results of this study should be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, our findings may not generalize to other American Indians or be representative of all American Indians. Second, we used cross-sectional and retrospective data. Cross sectional data includes individuals who will remit during their lifetimes but have not yet remitted, and so the odds ratios for factors associated with remission may be higher or lower than they would otherwise be. Retrospective data suffers from recall bias, which may include a reporting bias that results from having remitted or not remitted, advancing age, and other variables used in the logistic regression analysis. A prospective study of the development of alcohol dependence and its clinical course would provide a more powerful model for remission in this population. Third, comparisons to other populations of alcoholics may be limited by differences in sampling techniques as well as varying genetic and environmental variables in different populations. Fourth, in this study we chose to examine six month full remission from DSM-III-R alcohol dependence with the added condition that 3 or more criteria of alcohol dependence had to “cluster,” that is occur in the same month or longer in the participant’s life. In this sample, 17.1% of the sample had a lifetime diagnosis of DSM-III-R alcohol abuse. If we had chosen another definition of remission, had examined DSM-III-R alcohol dependence without one month clustering, or included alcohol dependence and alcohol abuse as a unitary alcohol use disorder diagnosis, we might have found that other variables were associated with remission from and survival of the alcohol use disorder. Fifth, we did not assess two important anxiety disorders, Generalized Anxiety Disorder and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. If we had information on those diagnoses, our findings on the association of remission and survival of alcohol dependence with anxiety disorders might have been different. Finally, a higher mortality rate among non-remitters than remitters may have skewed variables associated with non-remission, rendering them more or less associated with non-remission and survival of alcohol dependence than they would be if potential participants who had died prior to being interviewed could have been sampled. Despite these limitations, this report represents an important first step in identifying factors associated with remission from alcohol dependence in this high-risk group.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Grant AA10201, the National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NCMHD), and the Stein Endowment Fund.

Other acknowledgements: The authors thank Michelle Dixon, Lilach Harris, Susan Lopez, Evelyn Phillips, Shirley Sanchez, Gina Stouffer, and Derek Wills for assistance in data collection and analysis and in manuscript preparation.

Reference List

1. Shalala, DE.; Trujillo, MH.; Hartz, PE.; Paisano, EL. Trends in Indian health 1998-99. Washington, D.C.: United States Department Health and Human Services; Indian Health Service; Division of Program Statistics; 1999.
2. Beals J, Novins DK, Whitesell NR, Spicer P, Mitchell CM, Manson SM. Prevalence of mental disorders and utilization of mental health services in two American Indian reservation populations: mental health disparities in a national context. *Am J Psychiat* 2005;162(9):1723–1732. [PubMed: 16135633]
3. Ehlers CL, Wall TL, Betancourt M, Gilder DA. The clinical course of alcoholism in 243 Mission Indians. *Am J Psychiat* 2004;161(7):1204–1210. [PubMed: 15229052]
4. Leung PK, Kinzie JD, Boehnlein JK, Shore JH. A prospective study of the natural course of alcoholism in a Native American village. *J Stud Alcohol* 1993;54(6):733–738. [PubMed: 8271810]
5. Kunitz SJ. Life-course observations of alcohol use among Navajo Indians: natural history or careers? *Med Anthropol Q* 2006;20(3):279–296. [PubMed: 16937618]

6. Robin RW, Long JC, Rasmussen JK, Albaugh B, Goldman D. Relationship of binge drinking to alcohol dependence, other psychiatric disorders, and behavioral problems in an American Indian tribe. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 1998;22(2):518–523. [PubMed: 9581662]
7. American Psychiatric Association. Task Force on DSM-IV: Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-IV). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
8. Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Ogburn E, Grant BF. Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2007;64(7):830–842. [PubMed: 17606817]
9. Ehlers CL, Slutske WS, Gilder DA, Lau P, Wilhelmsen KC. Age at first intoxication and alcohol use disorders in Southwest California Indians. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2006;30(11):1856–1865. [PubMed: 17067349]
10. Gilder DA, Wall TL, Ehlers CL. Comorbidity of select anxiety and affective disorders with alcohol dependence in Southwest California Indians. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2004;28(12):1805–1813. [PubMed: 15608596]
11. Kunitz SJ, Gabriel KR, Levy JE, Henderson E, Lampert K, McCloskey J, Quintero G, Russell S, Vince A. Alcohol dependence and conduct disorder among Navajo Indians. *J Stud Alcohol* 1999;60(2):159–167. [PubMed: 10091952]
12. Wall TL, Carr LG, Ehlers CL. Protective association of genetic variation in alcohol dehydrogenase with alcohol dependence in Native American Mission Indians. *Am J Psychiatr* 2003;160(1):41–46. [PubMed: 12505800]
13. Whitbeck LB, Chen X, Hoyt DR, Adams GW. Discrimination, historical loss and enculturation: culturally specific risk and resiliency factors for alcohol abuse among American Indians. *J Stud Alcohol* 2004;65(4):409–418. [PubMed: 15376814]
14. Dawson DA, Grant BF, Stinson FS, Chou PS, Huang B, Ruan WJ. Recovery from DSM-IV alcohol dependence: United States, 2001–2002. *Addiction* 2005;100(3):281–292. [PubMed: 15733237]
15. Dawson DA, Archer LD, Grant BF. Reducing alcohol-use disorders via decreased consumption: a comparison of population and high-risk strategies. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1996;42(1):39–47. [PubMed: 8889402]
16. Cunningham JA, Lin E, Ross HE, Walsh GW. Factors associated with untreated remissions from alcohol abuse or dependence. *Addict Behav* 2000;25(2):317–321. [PubMed: 10795959]
17. Bischof G, Rumpf HJ, Meyer C, Hapke U, John U. Influence of psychiatric comorbidity in alcohol-dependent subjects in a representative population survey on treatment utilization and natural recovery. *Addiction* 2005;100(3):405–413. [PubMed: 15733254]
18. McAweeney MJ, Zucker RA, Fitzgerald HE, Puttler LI, Wong MM. Individual and partner predictors of recovery from alcohol-use disorder over a nine-year interval: findings from a community sample of alcoholic married men. *J Stud Alcohol* 2005;66(2):220–228. [PubMed: 15957673]
19. Moos RH, Moos BS. Protective resources and long-term recovery from alcohol use disorders. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007;86(1):46–54. [PubMed: 16769181]
20. Dodge R, Sindelar J, Sinha R. The role of depression symptoms in predicting drug abstinence in outpatient substance abuse treatment. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2005;28(2):189–196. [PubMed: 15780549]
21. Hasin D, Liu X, Nunes E, McCloud S, Samet S, Endicott J. Effects of major depression on remission and relapse of substance dependence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002;59(4):375–380. [PubMed: 11926938]
22. Rounsaville BJ, Dolinsky ZS, Babor TF, Meyer RE. Psychopathology as a predictor of treatment outcome in alcoholics. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987;44(6):505–513. [PubMed: 3579499]
23. Quintero G. "The lizard in the green bottle": "aging out" of problem drinking among Navajo men. *Soc Sci Med* 2000;51(7):1031–1045. [PubMed: 11005391]
24. Kalton G, Anderson DW. Sampling rare populations. *J Royal Stat Society* 1986;149:65–82.
25. Muhib FB, Lin LS, Stueve A, Miller RL, Ford WL, Johnson WD, Smith PJ. A venue-based method for sampling hard-to-reach populations. *Public Health Rep* 2001;116 Suppl 1:216–222. [PubMed: 11889287]

26. Heckathorn DD. Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden populations. *Soc Problems* 1997;44:174–199.
27. Bucholz KK, Cadoret R, Cloninger CR, Dinwiddie SH, Hesselbrock VM, Nurnberger JI Jr. Reich T, Schmidt I, Schuckit MA. A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: a report on the reliability of the SSAGA. *J Stud Alcohol* 1994;55(2):149–158. [PubMed: 8189735]
28. Hesselbrock M, Easton C, Bucholz KK, Schuckit M, Hesselbrock V. A validity study of the SSAGA--a comparison with the SCAN. *Addiction* 1999;94(9):1361–1370. [PubMed: 10615721]
29. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnosis and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-III-R)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1987.
30. Schuckit MA, Tipp JE, Bucholz KK, Nurnberger JI Jr. Hesselbrock VM, Crowe RR, Kramer J. The life-time rates of three major mood disorders and four major anxiety disorders in alcoholics and controls. *Addiction* 1997;92(10):1289–1304. [PubMed: 9489046]
31. Hesselbrock VM, Segal B, Hesselbrock MN. Alcohol dependence among Alaska Natives entering alcoholism treatment: a gender comparison. *J Stud Alcohol* 2000;61(1):150–156. [PubMed: 10627109]
32. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
33. Gilder DA, Lau P, Dixon M, Corey L, Phillips E, Ehlers CL. Co-morbidity of select anxiety, affective, and psychotic disorders with cannabis dependence in Southwest California Indians. *J Addict Dis* 2006;25(4):67–79. [PubMed: 17088227]
34. Hosmer, DW.; Lemeshow, S. *Applied Logistic Regression*. 2nd ed.. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
35. United States Bureau of the Census. *Characteristics of American Indians by Tribe and Language*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration; 1990.
36. Humphreys K, Moos RH, Cohen C. Social and community resources and long-term recovery from treated and untreated alcoholism. *J Stud Alcohol* 1997;58(3):231–238. [PubMed: 9130214]
37. Caton CL, Hasin DS, Shrout PE, Drake RE, Dominguez B, Samet S, Schanzer B. Predictors of psychosis remission in psychotic disorders that co-occur with substance use. *Schizophr Bull* 2006;32(4):618–625. [PubMed: 16873441]
38. Ko NY, Yeh ML, Hsu ST, Chung HH, Yen CF. Investigation of insight formation using narrative analyses of people with schizophrenia in remission. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2006;194(2):124–127. [PubMed: 16477191]
39. Smith TE, Hull JW, Huppert JD, Silverstein SM, Anthony DT, McClough JF. Insight and recovery from psychosis in chronic schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder patients. *J Psychiatr Res* 2004;38(2):169–176. [PubMed: 14757331]
40. Moss HB, Chen CM, Yi HY. Subtypes of alcohol dependence in a nationally representative sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007;91(2–3):149–158. [PubMed: 17597309]

Table 1

Variables entered into stepwise logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards analyses to assess their association with remission and survival of alcohol dependence in this American Indian community sample (n=254).

Continuous Variables	Range	Mean	SD
Age	18-64	31.3	11.2
Yrs. education	3-16	11.3	1.6
Current religious attendance	0-365	18.9	45.3
Drinks per month during heaviest drinking period	6-4320	420.1	476.4
Number of alcohol dependence symptoms	2-9	5.8	1.8
Age onset alcohol dependence	12-49	20.2	6.1
Age of first intoxication	4-37	14.5	3.4
Legal problems from drinking	0-5	1.4	1.1
Severity of drinking	0-4	2.3	1.2
Drinking despite medical problems	0-3	1.0	1.0
Categorical Variables ^a	n	Percent	
Male	113	44.5	
Annual income $\geq$ 20K	126	49.6	
Current employment	108	42.5	
Currently married	42	16.5	
Native American heritage $\geq$ 50%	137	53.9	
Family history of alcohol dependence	185	72.8	
Drank daily for $\geq$ 1 week	203	79.9	
Bender (drank continuously for $\geq$ 2 days) without sobering up	193	76.0	
Ever treated for alcohol problem	92	36.2	
Self-reported alcohol-induced depression	99	39.0	
Self-reported alcohol-induced anxiety	52	20.5	
Alcohol withdrawal-induced anxiety or depression	93	36.6	
Any substance-induced affective disorder	47	18.5	
Any substance-induced anxiety disorder	6	2.4	
Any independent affective disorder	62	24.4	
Any independent anxiety disorder	42	16.5	
Childhood conduct disorder	21	8.3	
ASPD	48	18.9	
Persistent smoking (tobacco)	105	41.3	
Cannabis or amphetamine dependence	174	68.5	

^aReference categories are not shown

Table 2

Comparison of variables describes in Table 1 in the Remitter and Non-Remitter Groups (n=254)

Continuous variables	Remitter (n=150) Mean (SD)	Non-Remitter (n=104) Mean (SD)	Mann-Whitney U p-value
Age (years)	33.4 (11.2)	28.2 (10.4)	0.000*
Years of education	11.5 (1.6)	11.1 (1.6)	0.067
Current religious attendance	25.3 (56.5)	9.5 (16.5)	0.038*
Drinks per month during heaviest drinking period	430.1 (517.7)	405.7 (411.5)	0.698
Number of alcohol dependence symptoms	5.8 (1.8)	5.9 (1.9)	0.698
Age onset alcohol dependence	20.0 (5.5)	20.0 (6.6)	0.421
Age of first intoxication	14.7 (3.6)	14.2 (3.0)	0.399
Legal problems from drinking	1.4 (1.1)	1.5 (1.2)	0.772
Severity of drinking	2.4 (1.2)	2.3 (1.3)	0.616
Drinking despite medical problems	0.9 (0.9)	1.2 (1.1)	0.036*
Dichotomous variables	n (%)	n (%)	Fisher p-value
Male	58 (51)	55 (49)	0.029*
Annual income ≥ \$20,000	79 (63)	47 (37)	0.253
Any employment	69 (64)	39 (36)	0.198
Married	36 (86)	6 (14)	0.000*
Native American heritage ≥ 50%	83 (61)	54 (39)	0.611
Family history of alcohol dependence	107 (58)	78 (42)	0.568
Drank daily for ≥ 1 week	118 (58)	85 (42)	0.63
Bender	114 (59)	79 (41)	1.000
Ever treated for alcohol problem	51 (55)	41 (45)	0.426
Self-reported alcohol-induced depression	61 (62)	38 (38)	0.516
Self-reported alcohol-induced anxiety	24 (46)	28 (54)	0.040*
Alcohol withdrawal-induced anxiety or depression	50 (54)	43 (46)	0.233
Any substance-induced affective disorder	29 (62)	18 (38)	0.744
Any substance-induced anxiety disorder	3 (50)	3 (50)	0.691
Any independent affective disorder	40 (65)	22 (35)	0.373
Any independent anxiety disorder	21 (50)	21 (50)	0.230
Childhood conduct disorder	13 (62)	8 (38)	0.822
ASPD	23 (48)	25 (52)	0.103
Persistent smoking (tobacco)	67 (64)	38 (36)	0.244
Cannabis or amphetamine dependence	103 (59)	71 (41)	1.000

The remitter group versus the non-remitter group were compared using Fisher's Exact Test for dichotomous variables and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables.

*
p < .05

Table 3

Final logistic regression model for factors associated with remission from alcohol dependence in this American Indian community sample (n=254)

Factor	Odds ratio	p-value
Male	0.498	0.022
Age	1.090	0.000
Currently married	3.456	0.03
Current religious attendance	1.007	0.182
Age onset alcohol dependence	0.899	0.001
Any independent lifetime anxiety disorder	0.498	0.091
Drinking despite medical problems	0.627	0.013
Self-reported depression from drinking	2.491	0.014
Self-reported anxiety from drinking	0.307	0.009

Table 4

Final Cox regression model of survival duration of alcohol dependence to remission (n=254).

Factor	Odds ratio	p-value
Male	0.739	0.112
Age	0.931	0.000
Currently married	1.824	0.007
Income $\geq$ 20K	1.381	0.086
Age onset of alcohol dependence	1.070	0.002
Drank daily for $\geq$ 1 week	0.677	0.085
Drinking despite medical problems	0.753	0.011
Self-reported depression from drinking	1.504	0.063
Self-reported anxiety from drinking	0.516	0.013