Published in final edited form as:

J Comb Chem. 2010 March 8; 12(2): 278–285. doi:10.1021/cc900172u.

A Diverse Methyl Sulfone-Containing Benzo[*b*]thiophene Library via Iodocyclization and Palladium-Catalyzed Coupling

Chul-Hee Cho[†], Benjamin Neuenswander[‡], and Richard C. Larock^{†,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

[‡]University of Kansas NIH Center of Excellence in Chemical Methodologies and Library Development, Lawrence, Kansas 66047

Abstract

Parallel solution-phase methods for the synthesis of a 72-membered benzo[*b*]thiophene library are reported. Medicinally-interesting, drug-like, methyl sulfone-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes have been prepared by the palladium-catalyzed substitution of 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes by Suzuki-Miyaura, Sonogashira, Heck, carboalkoxylation, and aminocarbonylation chemistry. The key intermediates for library generation, methyl sulfone-containing 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes, are readily prepared by iodocyclization and oxidation methodologies from readily available alkynes.

Introduction

Benzo[*b*]thiophenes are of interest because of their frequent occurrence in nature and their wide range of biological and physiological effects.^{1–3} Benzo[*b*]thiophene derivatives currently in pharmaceutical use or development include selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs),^{4–8} tubulin-binding agents,^{7,9–10} modulators of multidrug resistance,¹¹ and anti-inflammatory¹² agents to name but a few.

Traditional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) represent one of the most prescribed medications, although the chronic use of such pharmacological agents is commonly associated with numerous side effects. The use of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) selective or preferential inhibitors has opened up new horizons in the search for safer drugs for the management of inflammation.¹³ Cyclooxygenases exist as two isoforms called COX-1 and COX-2.^{14–15} In many systems, COX-1 is a constitutively expressed isoform and is responsible for the maintenance of physiological homeostasis, such as renal function and gastrointestinal integrity,¹⁶ whereas COX-2 is induced in response to inflammatory stimuli and is responsible for the progression of inflammation.¹⁷ In addition to its role in inflammatory disorders, COX-2 is also implicated in a variety of other pathologies, such as cancer, cardiac and cerebral ischemia, and Parkinson's^{18–22} and Alzheimer's^{22–24} diseases. Thus, the search for specific inhibitors of COX-2 by modifications of well known non-selective agents has been actively pursued and increasing attention has been devoted to the synthesis of diaryl heterocyclic compounds.^{25–26}

Biological evaluation of known compounds has shown that insertion of the coxib-like scaffold gives rise to very potent and selective COX-2 inhibitors. All structures possess 1,2-diaryl

* Corresponding author: phone: (515) 294-4660. larock@iastate.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic methods, spectral assignments and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all previously unreported starting materials and products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

substitution on a central hetero- or carbocyclic ring system with a characteristic sulfonyl group on one of the aryl rings that plays a crucial role in COX-2 selectivity. To date highly selective COX-2 inhibitors have been reported to contain the following functional groups: (i) methyl sulfonyl- or sulfonamide-substituted tricyclic compounds, such as DuP-697,²⁷⁻³⁰ rofecoxib, 27,31-³² etoricoxib,^{27,33} SC-57666,³⁴ valdecoxib,^{27,35} celecoxib,^{27,36} SC-558,³⁶⁻³⁷ and SC-58125²⁷; (ii) acidic methanesulfoanilides, such as nimesulide,^{27,38-39} NS-398,^{27,29-30, 40-41}; and (iii) modifications of classical NSAIDs, such as substituted 2-phenylsulfonyl-3-phenylindole analogues **I**,⁴²⁻⁴⁴ and substituted 2-phenylsulfonyl-3-phenylbenzofuran analogues **II**.⁴⁵ Interestingly, the drug CH₃SO₂-desmethyloxifen (LY2066948,⁴⁶ CH₃SO₂-DMA, **III**) was found to bind with high affinity to estrogen receptors α (ER α) and β (ER β) by a different mode from the other SERMs and is a potent uterine antagonist with minimal effects on the ovaries as determined by serum biomarkers and histological evaluation (Figure 1).^{8,46-48} Most importantly, substitution at the *para* position of one of the aromatic systems with a methanesulfonyl or a sulfonamide group is essential for COX inhibition.

The pharmacophore of these diarylheterocyclic inhibitors is characterized by a central carbocyclic or heterocyclic ring system bearing two vicinal aryl moieties with one benzene ring being substituted with a methanesulfonyl or aminosulfonyl group at the *para* position (Figure 1; see **I**, **II**, **III**). For these reasons, 2,3-diarylsubstituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes with a methanesulfonyl group at the *meta* and/or *para* position have been the subject of extensive experimental studies.

In a continuation of our library efforts to adapt heterocyclization chemistry to a high-throughput synthesis format,⁴⁹⁻⁵⁴ we here report the preparation of a methyl sulfone-containing library of multi-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes **6** by electrophilic cyclization chemistry. We demonstrate the significance of this methodology by elaborating the resulting sulfur-containing 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4/5** via various palladium-catalyzed couplings, such as Suzuki-Miyaura, Sonogashira, Heck, carboalkoxylation and aminocarbonylation chemistry, to methyl sulfone-containing multi-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes **6**.

Results and Discussion

We have previously developed a general synthesis of 2,3-disubstituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes by the palladium/copper-catalyzed cross-coupling of various *o*-iodoanisoles and terminal alkynes, followed by electrophilic cyclization under mild conditions.⁵⁵ Very recently, a simple and efficient method for the parallel synthesis of multi-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes has also been described *via* known palladium-catalyzed couplings for generation of a diverse set of building blocks starting from 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes (Scheme 1).⁵⁴

The strategy for library production is outlined in Scheme 2. For generation of the first methyl sulfone-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophene library, we decided to explore strategies using iodocyclization and oxidation methodologies that would allow us considerable flexibility to introduce a diversity of functionalities for medicinally-interesting, drug-like methyl sulfone-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophenes **6** in a high-throughput manner.

A sequence of reactions involving Sonogashira coupling of the bromo-iodoarenes **1**, and subsequent lithiation of compounds **2** {*I*-7}, followed by methylthiolation with dimethyl disulfide afforded the corresponding disulfide products **3**. Initially, the electron-rich substituted dihaloarenes **1** (see Table 1) were chosen as the starting materials, because it was envisioned that the oxygen-containing groups would provide desirable polarity in the resulting library members. The starting materials, dihalobenzenes **1**, are easily prepared through regioselective bromination and iodination.⁵⁴ In general, the requisite precursors bearing a dibromo-substituted alkyne moiety (**2**) can be easily prepared from bromophenylacetylenes (*para*- and

meta- positions) by palladium/copper-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling of the corresponding dihaloarenes in good yields (Table 1).⁵⁴ *Ortho*-Bromophenylacetylene was not chosen as a suitable to avoid competing reactions during iodocyclization. The dibromo-substituted alkynes **2**{1-6} provided the corresponding products **3**{1-6} in modest yields (Table 1, entries 1-6). In a similar manner, the bromo-containing alkyne **2**{7} also afforded the corresponding product **3**{7} in modest yields (Table 1, entry 7).

The key cyclization step efficiently generates the methyl sulfide-substituted 3-iodo[*b*]benzothiophenes **4** in 10 min at room temperature by electrophilic cyclization of the corresponding disulfide-containing alkynes **3**{1-6} and sulfide alkyne **3**{7} using I₂ in CH₂Cl₂ at ambient temperature (Table 2). In all cases, good to excellent yields of methyl sulfide-substituted 3-iodo[*b*]benzothiophenes **4** have been obtained, except for **4**{2}. The methyl sulfides **4** were immediately converted to the corresponding sulfones **5** by oxidation in good to excellent yields by KMnO₄ supported on MnO₂ under heterogeneous conditions at room temperature.⁵⁶ Attempts to oxidize species **4** using standard organic solvents, e.g. methylene chloride or acetonitrile, individually under the same reaction conditions proved unsuccessful. Both methylene chloride and acetonitrile must be used as co-solvents for this reaction to afford decent yields. All of the reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography and the products purified by column chromatography (see the Supporting Information for the experimental details).

Subsequently, we proceeded to prepare a diverse library of methyl sulfone-substituted benzothiophenes **6** by a variety of palladium-catalyzed processes, such as Suzuki-Miyaura coupling, Sonogashira coupling, Heck coupling, carboalkoxylation, and aminocarbonylation. The Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of the 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4** or **5** with appropriate boronic acids **7** afforded the desired products **6**{1-21} (Table 3). Sonogashira coupling of the 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4** or **5** with appropriate terminal alkynes **8** provides the corresponding alkynes **6**{22-38} under microwave irradiation (Table 4). Moreover, methyl sulfone-substituted, olefin-containing benzothiophenes **6**{39-45} have been prepared by Heck coupling of the 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4** or **5** with a small styrene sublibrary **9** (Table 5). Methyl sulfone-substituted, ester-containing benzothiophenes **6**{46-56} have been prepared by carboalkoxylation of the 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4** or **5** using one atmosphere of carbon monoxide and primary alcohols **10** (Table 6). In addition, aminocarbonylation of the 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4** or **5** using one atmosphere of carbon monoxide in the presence of a palladium catalyst with appropriate primary and secondary amines **11** afforded the desired amide-containing products **6**{57-72} (Table 7). The sublibraries, e.g. boronic acids **7**, terminal alkynes **8**, styrenes **9**, alcohols **10**, and amines **11**, were chosen for their ability to provide the requisite diversity and drug-like properties to the scaffold (Figure 2).

Our goal in synthesizing these relatively low molecular weight heterocycles was to examine their biological properties by high-throughput screening. The overall synthetic process as a whole is quite functional group tolerant and efficient. These reactions have been performed in parallel on approximately a 35-50 mg scale, starting from the 3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophenes **4** or **5**. All of the crude compounds **6**{1-72} were isolated by either column chromatography or preparative HPLC. The purity of the reaction mixtures has been analyzed by TLC, LC-MS, and HPLC.

The selected 72 sulfone-substituted benzo[*b*]thiophene template **6** has been evaluated computationally for its drug-like properties on the basis of Lipinski's rule of five.⁵⁷ Lipinski calculations have been performed based on the commercially available boronic acids **7**, terminal alkynes **8**, styrenes **9**, alcohols **10**, and amines **11**. The molecular weight (less than 500), clogP (less than 5), number of hydrogen bond donors (less than 5 H) and acceptors (less than 10 H), and the number of rotatable bonds (less than 10) were calculated for each of the

library members using the SYBYL⁵⁸ program. Most of the desired sulfone-substituted benzo[b]thiophene library members were highly Lipinski compliant. A small subset of this virtual library, namely 72 compounds, follows Lipinski's rules with no violations.

Conclusions

We have successfully produced a 72-member library of sulfone-substituted benzo[b]thiophenes **6** via palladium-catalyzed couplings, such as Suzuki-Miyaura, Sonogashira, Heck, carboalkoxylation, and aminocarbonylation chemistry, starting from key intermediate sulfur-containing 3-iodobenzo[b]thiophenes **5**. The 72 member sulfone-substituted benzo[b]thiophene library **6** has been added to the Kansas University NIH Center for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development (KU CMLD) collection and will be submitted to the National Institutes of Health Molecular Library Screening Center Network (MLSCN) for evaluation by a broad range of assays. We expect this basic methodology to find extensive application in the fields of combinatorial chemistry, diversity-oriented synthesis and drug discovery.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Gerald H. Lushington of Kansas University for all calculations for the compounds. We thank the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM070620 and GM079593) and the National Institutes of Health Kansas University Chemical Methodologies and Library Development Center of Excellence (GM069663) for support of this research; Johnson Matthey, Inc. and Kawaken Fine Chemicals Co., Ltd. for donations of palladium catalysts; and Frontier Scientific and Synthonix for donations of boronic acids.

References

1. Zhang TY, O'Toole J, Proctor CS. *Sulfur Rep* 1999;22:1–47.
2. Russell RK, Press JB. *Compr Heterocycl Chem II* 1996;2:679–729.
3. Pelkey ET. *Prog Heterocycl Chem* 2003;15:116–139.
4. Jones CD, Jevnikar MG, Pike AJ, Peters MK, Black LJ, Thompson AR, Falcone JF, Clemens JA. *J Med Chem* 1984;27:1057–1066. [PubMed: 6431104]
5. Palkowitz AD, Glasebrook AL, Thrasher KJ, Hauser KL, Short LL, Phillips DL, Muehl BS, Sato M, Shetler PK, Cullinan GJ, Pell TR, Bryant HU. *J Med Chem* 1997;40:1407–1416. [PubMed: 9154963]
6. Schopfer U, Schoeffter P, Bischoff SF, Nozulak J, Feuerbach D, Floersheim P. *J Med Chem* 2002;45:1399–1401. [PubMed: 11906280]
7. Romagnoli R, Baraldi PG, Carrion MD, Lopez Cara C, Preti D, Fruttarolo F, Pavani MG, Tabrizi MA, Tolomeo M, Grimaudo S, Di Antonella C, Balzarini J, Hadfield JA, Brancale A, Hamel E. *J Med Chem* 2007;50:2273–2277. [PubMed: 17419607]
8. Overk CR, Peng KW, Asghodom RT, Kastrati I, Lantvit DD, Qin Z, Frasor J, Bolton JL, Thatcher GRJ. *ChemMedChem* 2007;2:1520–1526. [PubMed: 17654759]
9. Pinney KG, Bounds AD, Dingeman KM, Mocharla VP, Pettit GR, Bai R, Hamel E. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 1999;9:1081–1086. [PubMed: 10328289]
10. Zhang SX, Bastow KF, Tachibana Y, Kuo SC, Hamel E, Mauger A, Narayanan VL, Lee KH. *J Med Chem* 1999;42:4081–4087. [PubMed: 10514278]
11. Norman BH, Dantzig AH, Kroin JS, Law KL, Tabas LB, Shepard RL, Palkowitz AD, Hauser KL, Winter MA, Sluka JP, Starling JJ. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 1999;9:3381–3386. [PubMed: 10612603]
12. Bleavins MR, de la Igelsia FA, McCay JA, White L, Kimber L Jr, Munson AE. *Toxicology* 1995;98:111–123. [PubMed: 7740540]
13. Vane JR. *Nature* 1971;231:232–235.

14. Allison MC, Howatson AG, Torrance CJ, Lee FD, Russell RI. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:749–754. [PubMed: 1501650]
15. O'Banion MK, Sadowski HB, Winn V, Young DA. *J Biol Chem* 1991;266:23261–23267. [PubMed: 1744122]
16. Perkins DJ, Kniss DA. *Biochem J* 1997;321:677–681. [PubMed: 9032453]
17. Hoffmann C. *Curr Med Chem* 2000;7:1113–1120. [PubMed: 11032961]
18. Wang Y, Zhang Y, Wei Z, Li H, Zhou H, Zhang Z, Zhang Z. *J Neurol Sci* 2009;285:172–177. [PubMed: 19604516]
19. Etminan M, Carleton BC, Samii A. *J Clin Neurosci* 2008;15:576–577. [PubMed: 18343119]
20. Teismann P, Tieu K, Choi DK, Wu DC, Naini A, Hunot S, Vila M, Jackson-Lewis V, Przedborski S. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2003;100:5473–5478. [PubMed: 12702778]
21. de Vries EF. *J Curr Pharm Design* 2006;12:3847–3856.
22. Katori M, M. M. *Inflamm Res* 2000;49:367–392.
23. Aisen PS, Schafer KA, Grundman M, Pfeiffer E, Sano M, Davis KI, Farlow MR, Jin S, Thomas RG, Thal LJ. *JAMA* 2003;289:2819–2826. [PubMed: 12783912]
24. Liang X, Wu L, Wang Q, Hand T, Bilak M, McCullough L, Andreasson K. *J Mol Neurosci* 2007;33:94–99. [PubMed: 17901552]
25. Dannhardt G, Kiefer W. *Eur J Med Chem* 2001;36:109–126. [PubMed: 11311743]
26. Sondhi SM, Singhal N, Johar M, Narayan Reddy BS, Lown W. *Curr Med Chem* 2002;9:1045–1074. [PubMed: 12733983]
27. Zambre AP, Ganure AL, Shinde DB, Kulkarni V. *J Chem Inf Model* 2007;47:635–643. [PubMed: 17256838]
28. Gans KR, Galbraith W, Roman RJ, Haber SB, Kerr JS, Schmidt WK, Smith C, Hewes WE, Ackerman NR. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1990;254:180–187. [PubMed: 2366180]
29. Habeeb G, Praveen PN, Knaus EE. *J Med Chem* 2001;44:2921–2927. [PubMed: 11520200]
30. Dannhardt G, Laufer S. *Curr Med Chem* 2000;7:1101–1112. [PubMed: 11032960]
31. Prasit P, Wang Z, Brideau C, Chan CC, Charleson S, Cromlish W, Ethier D, Evans JF, Ford-Hutchinson AW, Gauthier JY. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 1999;9:1773–1778. [PubMed: 10406640]
32. Nicoll-Griffith DA, Yergey JA, Trimble LA, Silva JM, Li C, Chauret N, Gauthier JY, Grimm E, Leger S, Roy P, Therien M, Wang Z, Prasit P, Zamboni R, Young RN, Brideau C, Chan CC, Mancini J, Riendeau D. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2000;10:2683–2686. [PubMed: 11128651]
33. Riendeau D, Percival MD, Brideau C, Charleson S, Dube D, Ethier D, Falgoutyret JP. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2001;296:558–566. [PubMed: 11160644]
34. Reitz DB, Li JJ, Norton MB, Reinhart EJ, Collins JT, Anderson GD, Gregory SA, Koboldt CM, Perkins WE, Seibert K, Isakson PC. *J Med Chem* 1994;37:3878–3881. [PubMed: 7966148]
35. Talley JJ, Brown DL, Carter JS, Graneto MJ, Koboldt CM, Masferrer JL, Perkins WE, Rogers RS, Shaffer AF, Zhang YY, Zweifel BS, Seibert K. *J Med Chem* 2000;43:775–777. [PubMed: 10715145]
36. Penning T, Talley J, Bertenshaw S, Carter J, Collins P, Docter S, Graneto M, Lee L, Malecha J, Miyashiro J, Rogers R, Rogier D, Yu S, Anderson G, Burton E, Cogburn J, Gregory S, Koboldt C, Perkins W, Seibert K, Veenhuizen A, Zhang Y, Isakson P. *J Med Chem* 1997;40:1347–1365. [PubMed: 9135032]
37. Sai Ram KVVM, Rambabu G, Sarma JARP, Desiraju GR. *J Chem Inf Model* 2006;46:1784–1794. [PubMed: 16859310]
38. Tavares IA, Bishai PM, Bennett A. *Arzneim-Forsch* 1995;45:1093–1095. [PubMed: 8595066]
39. Taniguchi Y, Yokoyama K, Inui K, Deguchi Y, Furukawa K, Noda K. *Euro J Pharm* 1997;330:221–229.
40. Nogawa S, Zhang F, Ross ME, Iadecola C. *J Neurosci* 1997;17:2746–2755. [PubMed: 9092596]
41. Futaki N, Yashikawa K, Hamasaka Y, Arai I, Higuchi S, Iizuka H, Otomo S. *Gen Pharmacol* 1993;24:105–110. [PubMed: 8482483]
42. Gupta AK, Gupta RA, Soni LK, Kaskhedikar SG. *Euro J Med Chem* 2008;43:1297–1303.
43. Hu W, Guo Z, Chu F, Bai A, Yi X, Cheng G, Li J. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2003;11:1153–1160. [PubMed: 12628642]

44. Khoshneviszadeh M, Edraki N, Miri R, Hemmateenejad B. *Chem Biol Drug Des* 2008;72:564–574. [PubMed: 19090923]
45. Huang HC, Chamberlain TS, Selbert K, Koboldt CM, Isakson PC, Reitz DB. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 1995;5:2377–2380.
46. Hummel CW, Geiser AG, Bryant HU, Cohen IR, Dally RD, Fong KC, Frank SA, Hinklin R, Jones SA, Lewis G, McCann DJ, Rudmann DG, Shepherd TA, Tian H, Wallace OB, Wang M, Wang Y, Dodge JA. *J Med Chem* 2005;48:6772–6775. [PubMed: 16250633]
47. Qin Z, Kastrati I, Chandrasena REP, Liu H, Yao P, Petukhov PA, Bolton JL, Thatcher GRJ. *J Med Chem* 2007;50:2682–2692. [PubMed: 17489582]
48. Qin Z, Kastrati I, Ashgodom RT, Lantvit DD, Overk CR, Choi Y, van Breemen RB, Bolton JL, Thatcher GRJ. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2009;37:161–169. [PubMed: 18936111]
49. Waldo JP, Mehta S, Neuenswander B, Lushington GH, Larock RC. *J Comb Chem* 2008;10:658–663. [PubMed: 18671435]
50. Cho CH, Neuenswander B, Lushington GH, Larock RC. *J Comb Chem* 2008;10:941–947. [PubMed: 18937516]
51. Worlikar SA, Neuenswander B, Lushington GH, Larock RC. *J Comb Chem* 2009;11:875–879. [PubMed: 19746991]
52. Cho CH, Neuenswander B, Lushington GH, Larock RC. *J Comb Chem* 2009;11:900–906. [PubMed: 19569714]
53. Roy S, Roy S, Neuenswander B, Hill D, Larock RC. *J Comb Chem* 2009;11:1061–1065. [PubMed: 19728736]
54. Roy S, Roy S, Neuenswander B, Hill D, Larock RC. *J Comb Chem* 2009;11:1128–1135. [PubMed: 19817453]
55. Yue D, Larock RC. *J Org Chem* 2002;67:1905–1909. [PubMed: 11895409]
56. Shaabani A, Mirzaei P, Naderi S, Lee DG. *Tetrahedron* 2004;60:11415–11420.
57. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominay BW, Feeney PJ. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev* 1997;23:3–25.
58. SYBYL, version 8.0. The Tripos Associate; St. Louis, MO: 2007.

Figure 1.
 Chemical structures of known selective COX-2 inhibitors and synthetic benzothiophene SERMs, such as CH₃SO₂-DMA (**III**)

Figure 2.
Sublibraries of boronic acids **7**, terminal alkynes **8**, styrenes **9**, alcohols **10**, and amines **11**

Scheme 1.
Parallel Synthesis of Multi-substituted Benzo[*b*]thiophenes

Scheme 2.

Library Design for a Diverse Methyl Sulfone-substituted Benzo[*b*]thiophene Library (**6**)

Table 1

Library Data for Compounds **2**{1-7} and **3**{1-7}

entry	1		2		3 ^b	
	R ¹	R ²	X	Y	yield (%) ^a	yield (%) ^a
1	MeO	H	4-Br	Br	87	78
2	MeO	H	3-Br	Br	77	70
3	H	MeO	4-Br	Br	72	68
4	MeO	MeO	4-Br	Br	86	73
5	MeO	MeO	3-Br	Br	72	71

entry	R^1	R^2	X	2	yield (%) ^a	3 ^b	yield (%) ^a
6		Br	4-Br	2 (6)	83	3 (6)	58

entry	1						
	R ¹	R ²	X	Y	2	3 ^b	yield (%) ^a
7	H	H	4-Br	SiMe ₃	2{7}	3{7}	87
							62

Isolated yields after column chromatography. All compounds **2** have been characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

For **3**{1-6}, *n*-BuLi (3.6 equiv) and Me₂S₂ (2.4 equiv) were used. For **3**{7}, *n*-BuLi (1.5 equiv) and Me₂S₂ (1.2 equiv) were used.

yield (%)^c3-iodobenzothienophene^b 5

91

yield (%)^c

92

yield (%)^c

3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophene^b 5

88

91

yield (%)^c3-iodobenzo[*b*]thiophene^d 5

86

yield (%)^c

93

J Comb Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 8.

yield (%)^c

3-iodobenzothienothiopyran^b 5

87

91

J Comb Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 8.

unless otherwise indicated.

2.

MIR spectroscopy.

recovered.

Table 3

Library Data for Compounds **6**{1-21}^a

product 6	7	R ³	yield(%) ^c	purity(%) ^e	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6{1}	7{4}	2,3-dihydrobenzo[1,4]dioxin-6-yl	69	98	M ⁺	420.0854	ND ^f
6{2}	7{5}	4-hydroxyphenyl ^b	65 ^d	>99	M ⁺	378.0748	378.0753
6{3}	7{8}	2-bromo-5-pyridinyl	58	58	M+H ⁺	441.9935	441.9931
6{4}	7{9}	4-carbamoylphenyl	61	99	M+H ⁺	376.0830	376.0830
6{5}	7{11}	4-methoxyphenyl	72 ^d	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	442.1147	442.1169
6{6}	7{12}	4-(methoxymethyl)phenyl	72 ^d	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	456.1303	456.1303
6{7}	7{4}	2,3-dihydrobenzo[1,4]dioxin-6-yl	77	98	M+MeOH ⁺	484.1014	485.1102
6{8}	7{9}	4-carbamoylphenyl	57	95	M+H ⁺	438.0834	438.0842
6{9}	7{11}	4-(methylthio)phenyl	71	>99	M+MeOH ⁺	472.0837	473.0939
6{10}	7{12}	2-morpholinopyrimidin-5-yl	65	>99	M+H ⁺	482.1208	482.1201
6{11}	7{13}	4-sulfamoylphenyl	47	>99	M+MeOH ⁺	505.0687	506.0761
6{12}	7{6}	4-acetylphenyl	57	99	M+H ⁺	437.0881	437.0874
6{13}	7{11}	4-(methylthio)phenyl	73 ^d	>99	M+MeOH ⁺	472.0837	473.0920
6{14}	7{12}	2-morpholinopyrimidin-5-yl	57 ^d	>99	M+H ⁺	482.1208	482.1192

product 6	7	R ³	yield(%) ^c	purity(%) ^e	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6{15}	7{13}	4-sulfamoylphenyl	38	99	M+MeOH ⁺	505.0687	506.0775
6{16}	7{3}	benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl	78 ^d	>99	M+MeOH ⁺	500.0963	501.1049
6{17}	7{13}	4-sulfamoylphenyl	18	96	M+MeOH ⁺	535.0793	536.0887
6{18}	7{11}	4-(methylthio)phenyl	69	98	M+MeOH ⁺	502.0942	503.1037
6{19}	7{13}	4-sulfamoylphenyl	34	95	M+MeOH ⁺	535.0793	536.0949
6{20}	7{7}	3-fluoro-4-methoxyphenyl	67 ^d	>99	M+MeOH ⁺	488.0764	489.0853
6{21}	7{11}	4-(methylthio)phenyl	53	98	2M+Na ⁺	931.0632	ND ^f

^aSuzuki-Miyaura reaction: 5 mol % Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃ (2.5 equiv), R³B(OH)₂ 7 (1.5 equiv), toluene/EtOH/H₂O (20/5/1), reflux. See the Supporting Information for the experimental details.

^bThe THP ether-protected boronic acid was deprotected *in situ* using aq. HCl in THF at room temperature.

^cIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.

^dIsolated yield after column chromatography. Isolated desired products 6 were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

^eUV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

^fNot determined by HRMS. Identified by NMR spectroscopy.

Table 4

Library Data for Compounds 6{22-38}^a

product 6	8	R ³	yield (%) ^b	purity (%) ^d	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6[22]	8{1}	hydroxymethyl	57 ^c	98	M ⁺	378.0748	ND ^e
6[23]	8{3}	(1-hydroxy-1-methyl)ethyl	77	>99	2M+NH ₄ ⁺	754.2153	754.2177
6[24]	8{6}	2-pyridinyl	19	>99	M+H ⁺	388.0830	388.0836
6[25]	8{1}	hydroxymethyl	37 ^c	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	390.0834	390.0843
6[26]	8{3}	(1-hydroxy-1-methyl)ethyl	58	99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	418.1147	418.1146
6[27]	8{4}	1-hydroxy-1-cyclohexyl	48 ^c	98	2M+NH ₄ ⁺	898.2576	898.2602
6[28]	8{5}	1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl	45	>99	M+H ⁺	423.0837	423.0826
6[29]	8{2}	2-hydroxyethyl	57	>99	M+H ⁺	387.0725	387.0701
6[30]	8{5}	1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl	43	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	440.1103	440.1188
6[31]	8{7}	3-thiophenyl	55	97	M+NH ₄ ⁺	442.0605	442.0596
6[32]	8{1}	hydroxymethyl	66	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	420.0939	420.0949
6[33]	8{4}	1-hydroxy-1-cyclohexyl	47 ^c	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	488.1565	488.1577
6[34]	8{4}	1-hydroxy-1-cyclohexyl	38	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	472.1252	472.1266
6[35]	8{3}	(1-hydroxy-1-methyl)ethyl	56 ^c	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	432.0939	432.0947

product 6	8	R ³	yield (%) ^b	purity (%) ^d	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6{36}	8{2}	2-hydroxyethyl	65	99	M+H ⁺	357.0619	357.0609
6{37}	8{4}	1-hydroxy-1-cyclohexyl	53	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	428.1354	428.1372
6{38}	8{5}	1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl	37 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	393.0731	393.0716

^a Sonogashira reaction: 3 mol % PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, 3 mol % CuI, Et₃NH, R³C≡CH (1.2 equiv), DMF, 80 °C, 20 min, using microwave irradiation. See the Supporting Information for the experimental details.

^b Isolated yield after preparative HPLC.

^c Isolated yield after column chromatography. Isolated desired products 6 were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

^d UV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

^e Not determined by HRMS. Identified by NMR spectroscopy.

Table 5

Library Data for Compounds **6**{39-45}^a

product 6	9	R³	yield (%) ^b	purity (%) ^d	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6 {39}	9 {2}	3,4-dimethoxyphenyl	64 ^c	95	M ⁺	448.1167	448.1181
6 {40}	9 {1}	4-methoxyphenyl	77 ^c	84	M+H ⁺	450.0960	451.1040
6 {41}	9 {2}	3,4-dimethoxyphenyl	68	>99	M+H ⁺	481.1143	ND ^e
6 {42}	9 {1}	4-methoxyphenyl	57	93	M+H ⁺	451.1038	451.1074
6 {43}	9 {2}	3,4-dimethoxyphenyl	62	97	M+H ⁺	495.0936	495.0936
6 {44}	9 {3}	4-pyridinyl	61	97	M+H ⁺	436.0677	436.0691
6 {45}	9 {3}	4-pyridinyl	66	96	M+H ⁺	392.0779	392.0794

^a Heck reaction: 5 mol % $Pd(OAc)_2$, $n-Bu_4NI$ (1.0 equiv), Na_2CO_3 (2.5 equiv), $R^3-CH=CH_2$ **9** (1.2 equiv), DMF, 80 °C. See the Supporting Information for the experimental details.^b Isolated yield after preparative HPLC.^c Isolated yield after column chromatography. Isolated desired products **6** were characterized by 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy.^d UV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.^e Not determined by HRMS. Identified by NMR spectroscopy.

Table 6

Library Data for Compounds **6**{46-56}^a

product 6	10	R³	yield (%) ^b	purity (%) ^d	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6 {46}	10 {1}	methyl	71 ^c	>99	2M+Na ⁺	711.0979	711.0962
6 {47}	10 {3}	3,4-dimethoxybenzyl	55	98	M+NH ₄ ⁺	498.1409	498.1409
6 {48}	10 {1}	methyl	83	>99	2M+NH ₄ ⁺	646.1214	646.1214
6 {49}	10 {1}	methyl	81 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	377.0517	377.0511
6 {50}	10 {1}	methyl	74 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	377.0517	377.0491
6 {51}	10 {2}	propyl	47	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	422.1096	422.1108
6 {52}	10 {1}	methyl	41 ^c	98	M+H ⁺	407.0623	407.0617
6 {53}	10 {1}	methyl	62 ^c	>99	M+NH ₄ ⁺	424.0889	424.0886
6 {54}	10 {1}	methyl	57	>99	M+H ⁺	391.0310	391.0312
6 {55}	10 {1}	methyl	45	99	M+H ⁺	347.0412	347.0421
6 {56}	10 {3}	3,4-dimethoxybenzyl	49	>99	M+H ⁺	483.0936	483.0944

^aCarboxylation: CO (1 atm), 3 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 5 mol % dppe, TEA (2.0 equiv), R³OH **10** (1.5-5.0 equiv), DMF, 70 °C. See the Supporting Information for the experimental details.

^bIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.

^cIsolated yield after column chromatography. Isolated desired products **6** were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

d_{UV} purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

Table 7

Library Data for Compounds 6{57-72}^a

product 6	11	N(H)R ³	yield (%) ^b	purity (%) ^d	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6{57}	11{1}	2-pyridylethylamino	56	62	M+H ⁺	473.1569	473.1548
6{58}	11{8}	4-hydroxybutylamino	27	98	M+H ⁺	434.1096	434.1100
6{59}	11{10}	1-amino-4-methylpiperazino	67 ^c	87	M+H ⁺	460.1365	460.1360
6{60}	11{12}	3-(2-oxo-1-pyrrolidinyl)propylamino	48	99	M+H ⁺	487.1361	487.1365
6{61}	11{5}	3,4-(methylenedioxy)benzylamino	65 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	496.0889	496.0889
6{62}	11{7}	1-methyltryptamino	71	>99	M+H ⁺	505.1256	505.1248
6{63}	11{8}	4-hydroxybutylamino	72 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	434.1096	434.1098
6{64}	11{3}	1-methyl-2-pyrrolidineethanamino	48	84	M+H ⁺	503.1674	503.1679
6{65}	11{4}	5-methyl-2-furanmethanamino	61	>99	M+H ⁺	486.1045	486.1057
6{66}	11{8}	4-hydroxybutylamino	58 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	464.1202	464.1190
6{67}	11{2}	1 <i>H</i> -imidazole-1-ethanamino	17	>99	M+H ⁺	500.1314	500.1323
6{68}	11{8}	4-hydroxybutylamino	51	>99	M+H ⁺	464.1202	ND ^e

product 6	11	N(H)³	yield (%) ^b	purity (%) ^d	ion HRMS	calcd for HRMS	found HRMS
6 {69}	11 {6}	4-morpholinepropanamino	84 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	503.1311	503.1308
6 {70}	11 {9}	4-morpholinamino	62 ^c	>99	M+H ⁺	461.0841	461.0833
6 {71}	11 {6}	4-morpholinepropanamino	68	>99	M+H ⁺	459.1412	459.1398
6 {72}	11 {11}	1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazino	57	99	M+H ⁺	495.1212	495.1205

^a Aminocarbonylation: CO (1 atm), 10 mol % Pd(OAc)_2 , 20 mol % PPh_3 , TEA, R^3NH_2 or $(\text{R}^3)_2\text{NH}$ **11** (1.5 equiv), DMF, 80 °C. See the Supporting Information for the experimental details.

^b Isolated yield after preparative HPLC.

^c Isolated yield after column chromatography. Isolated desired products **6** were characterized by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy.

^d UV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

^e Not determined by HRMS. Identified by NMR spectroscopy.