Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2009 November 21; 7(22): 4582–4585. doi:10.1039/b916744g.

Efficient synthesis of the C₇–C₂₀ subunit of amphidinolides C and F†

Subham Mahapatra and Rich G. Carter

Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, 153 Gilbert Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA.

Fax: +1 541-737-9496; Tel: +1 541-737-9486

Subham Mahapatra ; Rich G. Carter: rich.carter@oregonstate.edu

Abstract

Synthesis of the C₇–C₂₀ subunit of amphidinolides C and F has been accomplished utilizing a Me₃Al-mediated ring opening of a vinyl iodide/allylic epoxide to establish the C_{12,13} *anti* stereochemistry, an organolithium coupling/olefination sequence to construct the C₉–C₁₁ diene moiety and a sulfone alkylation/hydroxylation strategy to join the C₇–C₁₄ and C₁₅–C₂₀ fragments.

The amphidinolide natural products have generated considerable attention since their initial discovery in the 1980's by Kobayashi and co-workers.¹ Two of the most complicated members of this family are amphidinolides C (**1**) and F (**2**) (Scheme 1).² While most of the amphidinolides have attracted sizable synthetic interest from numerous researchers, macrolides **1** and **2** have been significantly underexplored and remain unconquered synthetic targets.³ We were drawn particularly to amphidinolide C (**1**) as it is one of the most potent members of this natural product family against a range of cancer cell lines.² Additionally, the macrocyclic core of both **1** and **2** possesses significant synthetic challenges: (a) 11 stereogenic centers, (b) two separate substituted THF rings, (c) the sensitive C₁₅,C₁₈-diketone moiety and (d) the C₉–C₁₁ highly substituted diene. Herein, we detail a unified synthesis of the entire C₇–C₂₀ western portion of amphidinolides C and F.

Our retrosynthetic strategy for macrolides **1** and **2** is shown in Scheme 1. The three main disconnections are at the C_{25,26} alkene sidearm, the C–O bond of the macrolactone and the C_{14,15} bond. The C₂₅–C₂₆ alkene should be accessible *via* a Julia-Kocienski olefination—thereby allowing access to both natural products **1** and **2** through a common intermediate. The C–O linkage of the macrolactone could be constructed *via* standard Yamaguchi-type cyclization.^{4,5} The most difficult of these three dissection points is the C₁₄–C₁₅ bond. The proposed route requires a challenging alkylation of an α -branched halide⁶ followed by hydroxylation of the resultant sulfone coupled product with *in situ* decomposition to the corresponding ketone.⁷ While these types of oxidative desulfurizations have been known for some time, this transformation has found only limited application in complex molecule synthesis.^{8,9} Any strategy must also take care to avoid furan formation between the C₁₅ and C₁₈ carbonyl motifs. Finally, the C₉–C₁₁ diene moiety should be accessible *via* an organolithium addition of vinyl iodide **6** to Weinreb amide **7** followed by methylenation.

The C₉–C₁₁ diene motif is worthy of additional comment. These types of highly substituted dienes have proven challenging to construct. One illustration of this point is the fact that no

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Complete experimental procedures are provided, including ¹H and ¹³C spectra, of all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b916744g

Correspondence to: Rich G. Carter, rich.carter@oregonstate.edu.

method for preparing the C₉–C₁₁ diene in amphidinolides C and F has been reported. A structurally related diene is present in amphidinolides B, G and H. While these compounds have attracted significantly more synthetic attention,^{10–12} proportionally limited success has been achieved for accessing the key diene motif—likely due to the challenging nature of the metal-mediated cross coupling reaction (*e.g.* Suzuki or Stille reaction) commonly envisioned to form dienes.^{12,13} While both Fürstner and Nelson have separately disclosed the ability to construct highly substituted dienes *via* Suzuki couplings, it is important to note that these conditions require extremely high catalyst loadings (up to 70 mol% Pd).^{11m,12,14} Consequently, our group has invested considerable effort to develop alternate pathways for constructing these types of structures.¹⁰

The synthesis of the Weinreb amide subunit is shown in Scheme 2. Amide **7** was readily accessible from the known Ley ester **10**, which in turn was constructed from D-mannitol (**8**).¹⁵ Ley has shown that these diacetal derivatives of glyceraldehyde are significantly more robust than traditional acetonide analogues. We did find that the order of addition (LDA was added to the ester **9**) for the key epimerization of equatorial ester **9** into axial ester **10** was critical to the success of the experiment—use of the alternate order of addition led to a significant reduction in yield (<20%).

Synthesis of the C₇–C₁₄ subunit is shown in Scheme 3. The vinyl iodide **12** was available from diester **11** through a known procedure.¹⁶ After Sharpless epoxidation to cleanly provide the epoxide **13**,¹⁷ the first major challenge in this sequence was selective opening of the epoxide at C₁₂ with inversion by a methyl nucleophile. Despite the wealth of research on the reactivity of allylic electrophiles, surprisingly few examples of this type of transformation have been reported.¹⁸ Furthermore, no reported examples of accomplishing this transformation on an allylic vinyl iodide have been disclosed. After some experimentation, we were pleased to find that Me₃Al-mediated epoxide ring opening¹⁹ at C₁₂ cleanly provided product **15** in good diastereoselectivity (>20:1 dr) at –78 °C. The temperature proved to be critical to the success of this transformation. If the epoxide opening was conducted at –50 °C, significant erosion in the C₁₂ stereochemistry was observed (3.5:1 dr).²⁰ The absolute stereochemistry of **15** was established by degradation to a known compound and by matching the optical rotation data.²¹ With the key vinyl halide **15** in hand, silylation followed by halogen-metal exchange and addition to the Weinreb amide **7** cleanly generated the enone **16**. Methylenation of ketone **16** using the Petasis reagent generated the diene. Alternate methylenation conditions (*e.g.* Wittig, Lombardo's reagent) were unsuccessful. Finally, selective desilylation at C₁₄ OTBS ether followed by conversion to the iodide generated the key coupling subunit **5**.

The synthesis of the sulfone subunit **4** is detailed in Scheme 4. Starting from the known iodide **18**,²² halogen/metal exchange followed by addition of the aldehyde **19**²³ generated the alcohol **20** as an inconsequential mixture of isomers at C₁₈. TPAP oxidation²⁴ of the C₁₈ alcohol followed by Noyori ketalization²⁵ generated the dimethyl ketal **21**. Careful debenzoylation with Freeman's LiDBB reagent²⁶ followed by sulfide formation provided compound **23**. Finally, sulfide oxidation using TPAP²⁷ provided the C₁₅–C₂₀ subunit **4**.

With the subunits in hand, efforts turned towards the critical coupling sequence (Scheme 5). Treatment of sulfone **4** with LiHMDS followed by the addition of iodide **5** smoothly provided the C_{14,15} coupled material.⁶ Next, treatment of sulfone **24** under our previously developed hydroxylation conditions²⁸ (NaHMDS, TMSOOTMS, THF) led to no reaction. Fortunately, modification of the base to LDA led to clean formation of the ketone **3** *via* the presumed intermediate **25**. Key to these reactions is the relative stability of silyloxy sulfone **25** to decomposition to the ketone **3**. This two-step sequence (sulfone alkylation/oxidation) circumvents any problematic furan formation (between C₁₅ and C₁₈) and can be viewed as a viable alternative to traditional dithiane chemistry.²⁹

In conclusion, synthesis of the C₇–C₂₀ fragment of amphidinolides C and F has been disclosed. A diastereoselective ring opening of vinyl iodide/allylic epoxide provided access to the *anti*-stereochemistry. An efficient Weinreb amide coupling/methylenation sequence was used to access the key C₉–C₁₁ diene motif. Sulfone alkylation was used to join the C₇–C₁₄ and C₁₅–C₂₀ subunits. Finally, a hydroxylation/desulfurization process incorporated the C₁₅ ketone. Further application towards the synthesis of amphidinolides will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (GM63723). National Science Foundation (CHE-0722319) and the Murdock Charitable Trust (2005265) are acknowledged for their support of the NMR facility. Mr. Jun Xie (OSU) is acknowledged for his early work towards the synthesis of amide 7. The authors would like to thank Professor Max Deinzer and Dr. Jeff Morré (OSU) for mass spectra data. Finally, the authors are grateful to Dr. Roger Hanselmann (Rib-X Pharmaceuticals) for their helpful discussions.

Notes and references

1. For a recent review, see: Kobayashi J. *J Antibiot* 2008;61:271–284. [PubMed: 18653992]
2. (a) Kobayashi J, Tsuda M, Ishibashi M, Shigemori H, Yamasu T, Hirota H, Sasaki T. *J Antibiot* 1991;44:1259–1261. [PubMed: 1761423] (b) Kobayashi J, Ishibashi M, Wälchli NR, Nakamura H, Yamasu T, Hirata Y, Sasaki T, Ohizumi Y. *J Am Chem Soc* 1988;110:490–494. (c) Kubota T, Tsuda M, Kobayashi J. *Org Lett* 2001;3:1363–1366. [PubMed: 11348235]
3. (a) Ishiyama H, Ishibashi M, Kobayashi J. *Chem Pharm Bull* 1996;44:1819–1822. (b) Kubota T, Tsuda M, Kobayashi J. *Tetrahedron* 2003;59:1613–1625. (c) Shotwell JB, Roush WR. *Org Lett* 2004;6:3865–3868. [PubMed: 15469369] (d) Mohapatra DK, Rahaman H, Chorghade MS, Gurjar MK, Synlett 2007:567–70. (e) Bates RH, Shotwell JB, Roush WR. *Org Lett* 2008;10:4343–4346. [PubMed: 18783230] (f) Armstrong A, Pyrkotis C. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2009;50:3325–3328.
4. Parenty A, Moreau X, Campagne JM. *Chem Rev* 2006;106:911–939. [PubMed: 16522013]
5. (a) Inanaga J, Hirata K, Saeki H, Katsuki T, Yamaguchi M. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn* 1979;52:1989–1993. (b) Dhimitruka I, SantaLucia J Jr. *Org Lett* 2006;8:47–50. [PubMed: 16381564]
6. Fuwa H, Okamura Y, Natsugari H. *Tetrahedron* 2004;60:5341–5352.
7. (a) Hwu JR. *J Org Chem* 1983;48:4432–5533. (b) Chemica F. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1* 2002:275–299. (c) Pohlmann J, Sabater C, Hoffmann HMR. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 1998;37:633–635. (d) Caldwell JJ, Craig D, East SP. *Synlett* 2001:1602–1604. (e) Raeppl S, Toussaint D, Suffert J. *Synlett* 1997:1061–1062. (f) Nájera C, Yus M. *Tetrahedron* 1999;55:10547–10658.
8. (a) Arjona O, Menchaca R, Plumet J. *J Org Chem* 2001;66:2400–2413. [PubMed: 11281781] (b) Fujishima H, Takeshita H, Toyota M, Ihara M. *J Org Chem* 2001;66:2394–2399. [PubMed: 11281780] (c) Romo D, Johnson DD, Plamondon L, Miwa T, Schreiber SL. *J Org Chem* 1992;57:5060–5063.
9. (a) Paquette LA, Barriault L, Pissarnitski D, Johnston JN. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:619–631. (b) Barco A, Bassetti L, Benetti S, Bertolasi V, De Risi C, Marchetti P, Pollini GP. *Tetrahedron* 2002;58:8553–8558. (c) Williams DR, Robinson LA, Amato GS, Osterhout MH. *J Org Chem* 1992;57:3740–3744.
10. (a) Zhang W, Carter RG, Yokochi AFT. *J Org Chem* 2004;69:2569–2572. [PubMed: 15049660] (b) Zhang W, Carter RG. *Org Lett* 2005;7:4209–4212. [PubMed: 16146389] (c) Zhang, W. PhD Dissertation. Oregon State University; 2006. (d) Lu L, Zhang W, Carter RG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:7253–7255. [PubMed: 18489095] Addition/Correction: Lu L, Zhang W, Carter RG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:11834.
11. (a) Chakraborty TK, Thippewamy D, Suresh VR, Jayaprakash S. *Chem Lett* 1997:563–564. (b) Chakraborty TK, Suresh VR. *Chem Lett* 1997:565–566. (c) Lee DH, Lee SW. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1997;38:7909–7910. (d) Ohi K, Shima K, Hamada K, Saito Y, Yamada N, Ohba S, Nishiyama S. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn* 1998;71:2433–2440. (e) Ohi K, Nishiyama S. *Synlett* 1999:571–572. (f) Ohi K,

23. Kopecky DJ, Rychnovsky SD. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:8420–8421. [PubMed: 11516301]
24. Ley SV, Norman J, Griffith WP, Marsden SP. *Synthesis* 1994:639–666.
25. Tsunoda T, Suzuki M, Noyori R. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1980;21:1357–1358.
26. Freeman PK, Hutchinson LL. *J Org Chem* 1980;45:1924–1930.
27. Guertin KR, Kende AS. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1993;34:5369–5372.
28. Zhou XT, Carter RG. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2006;45:1787–1790.
29. Smith AB III, Adams CM. *Acc Chem Res* 2004;37:365–377. [PubMed: 15196046]

Scheme 1.
Retrosynthesis of amphidinolides C and F.

Scheme 2.
Synthesis of the Weinreb amide.

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of the C7–C14 subunit

Scheme 4.
Synthesis of the C15–C20 subunit

Scheme 5.
Completion of the C₇–C₂₀ fragment of amphidinolides C and F.