Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2010 March 31; 51(13): 1648–1650. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.12.095.

Relative substituent position on the strength of π - π stacking interactions

Benjamin W. Gung^a, Bright U. Emenike^a, Celeste N. Alvarez^a, John Rakovan^b, Kristin Kirschbaum^c, and Nirbhay Jain^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056

^bDepartment of Geology, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606

Abstract

It was observed that the relative position of the arene substituents have a profound influence on the strength of π - π stacking in the 9-benzyl substituted triptycene system. A new series of model compounds (**3a-i**) capable of revealing quantitatively π - π stacking interactions was studied. This series of compounds (**3a-i**) has an ortho substituted methyl group in one of the two interacting arenes and the syn/anti ratios were determined and compared to a series previously studied compounds (**4a-i**) that have a para methyl group on the corresponding arene. A greater than 50% increase in the strength of π - π stacking interactions was observed with the methyl group in the ortho position comparing to that in the para position. No difference in π - π stacking interactions was observed when the other aromatic ring was a pentafluorobenzoate group.

Noncovalent interactions play an increasingly important role in modern chemical research.¹⁻³ The concept of π -stacking interactions has been used in a wide ranging field of science including materials science,⁴ template-directed synthesis,⁵ and enzyme design.⁶ Currently there is a strong interest to understand the origins and the mechanism of substituent effects in π - π stacking interactions.⁷⁻⁹

It has been reported that a direct interaction between the aromatic ring hydrogens and the substituents themselves may influence the magnitude of arene-arene interaction.¹⁰ Theoretical studies also corroborated this observation using benzene dimers with multiple substituents. The substituent effects were found to be nearly additive in sandwich configurations, which would not be consistent with the traditional model of aromatic substituent effects.⁸ More recently, computational studies by Wheeler and Houk directly challenged the conventional concept of substituent effects.⁷ Their study suggests that substituents interact directly with another aromatic ring, rather than through the polarization of the arene. According to this study, the traditional concept of π - π interactions tuned by substituents through electron withdrawing or donating to the aromatic rings is flawed and should be corrected by direct interactions between the substituents and the unsubstituted ring. In this communication, we report experimental observations which show the relative position of the arene substituents has a

Correspondence to: Benjamin W. Gung.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

strong influence on π - π stacking strength, thus suggesting a direct interaction between a substituent and another aromatic ring.

The 1,9-disubstituted triptycene system has proven to be a valuable tool in our examination of arene-arene interactions, **1** (Figure 1).¹¹⁻¹³ The rotation of the C(9) benzyl group around the C_{sp3}-C_{sp3} bond gives rise to three rotational minima: one anti and two syn conformations. In each of these three conformations, the C(9) phenyl ring is fit snugly between the rigid triptycene skeleton aromatic rings, but has no forced contact. The rotation around the C(9)-benzyl carbon bond in **1** is hindered by the triptycene scaffold. When the rotation becomes sufficiently slow at below rt, the ¹H NMR signals for the *syn* and *anti* conformations of compound **1** decoalesce, with the former an *AB* quartet and the latter a singlet (see supporting information). The benzyl CH₂ group serves as an effective conformational reporter. A statistical 2:1 *syn*/*anti* ratio is expected when there is no interaction between the C(1) and the C(9) groups. Because the triptycene scaffold provides an otherwise identical environment for the *syn* and *anti* conformations, the *syn*/*anti* ratio represents the degree of preference for the interactions between the C1 and the C9 groups. In this study, we compare the *syn*/*anti* ratios on ortho-substituted benzene at the C(9) position (**3**) and the corresponding para-substituted model compounds (**4**).

The new series of triptycene compounds (**3a-3i**) were synthesized using the procedures similar to our previously reported methods.^{11-13,23} Crystal structures for compounds **3a** and **3e** were obtained, and X-ray structure analysis shows the parallel-displaced stacking conformation (i.e., *syn* conformation) is also preferred in the solid state (Figure 2). The X-ray structures of model compounds **3a** and **3e** displayed an inter-arene distance of ~3.5 Å and a vertical displacement of ~1.2 Å. This is consistent with our previous studies using the triptycene derived models, which showed similar parallel displaced conformation. While the model compounds used to study π stacking interactions such as **4a-4i** did not show clear signals for the *syn* and *anti* isomers until -40 °C, distinct *AB* quartet for compounds **3a-3i** could be observed at -10 °C (Figure 3). The ortho methyl substitution is believed to further raise the rotational barrier around the C-C bond linking the bridgehead C(9) and the benzyl group because a greater steric congestion is encountered when the ortho methyl substituted benzyl group rotates pass the triptycene blades in the rotational transition states.” This makes model compound **3** series more convenient conformational reporters in experimental measurements.

The experimentally observed *syn*/*anti* ratios for compounds **3a-i** and **4a-i** and the corresponding free energies (calculated using the equation: $\Delta G = -RT\ln K_{eq} = -RT\ln(\text{ratio of } 1/2 \cdot \text{syn/anti})$) are compiled in the Table. Electron-withdrawing groups increase the *syn*/*anti* ratios for both series. The ortho-methyl model compounds **3a-3i** universally favour the *syn* conformation, even with electron-donating groups on the C(1) benzoate group (**3a-c**). This is in contrast to what was observed for model compounds **4a-c**, which prefer the *anti* conformation.¹³ In addition, all of the compounds in the model **3** series show a greater preference for the stacked (*syn*) conformation except for the pentafluorobenzoate derivative, **3i**, which shows the same *syn*/*anti* ratio as compound **4i**.

For the triptycene model system, the preference for the *syn*/stacked conformation is either due to attractive interactions in the *syn* conformation and/or repulsions in the *anti* conformation. When the methyl substituent on the C(9) benzyl group is moved from the para position to the ortho position, either the attractions increased in the *syn* conformation or the repulsions increased in the *anti* conformation. The fact that model compounds **3i** and **4i** have the same preference for the *syn* conformation seems to support the notion that there is an increase in attractive interactions in the *syn* conformation. Both compounds **3i** and **4i** have the pentafluorobenzoate group at C(1). Because a pentafluorobenzoate group at C(1) sees no difference where the methyl group's position is on the C(9) benzene ring, the difference in π -

stacking interactions in the mono-substituted benzoate compounds (**3a-h** and **4a-h**) should be due to the relative positions of the substituents in the syn conformation. This conclusion points to the importance of the relative positions of the arene substituents on the strength of π - π stacking interactions. Because there is no apparent steric effect involved, the importance of the relative positions of the arene substituents lends support to the notion of direct interactions between substituents and the other aromatic ring.^{7,15}

Other factors to consider include local dipoles of the substituents and dispersion forces involving the substituents and the aromatic ring. Benzene and hexafluorobenzene are known to have opposite, large and permanent quadrupole moments.^{16,17} These facts led to the description of aromatic rings as polar groups for studies related to π - π interactions, and cation- π interactions.¹⁸⁻²⁰ However, when the aromatic ring is disubstituted, local dipoles of the substituents should become more important in the interactions with other aromatic rings. Model compounds **3** series can be considered to have a 1,2-disubstituted aromatic ring at C(9) considering the triptycene scaffold as a substituent. Similarly, model compounds **4** series can be considered to have the corresponding 1,4-disubstituted aromatic ring at C(9). Both series have 1,4-disubstituted benzoates at C(1) to assume a parallel displaced conformation with the C(9) aromatic ring. It could be argued that because both the substituents on the C(9) arene are electron-donating groups, 1,2-disubstitution should lead to a more polarized aromatic ring (**3**) than the more symmetrical 1,4-disubstituted compounds (**4**), and consequently a stronger interaction with the other aromatic ring. This line of analysis would support the traditional theory of substituent effects. However, we currently favour the hypothesis proposed by Wheeler and Houk, i.e., substituents interact directly with the other aromatic ring.^{7,15} Therefore, the position of the substituent on the aromatic ring is important with regard to π - π stacking interactions.

In summary, we have demonstrated the importance of the relative positions of the arene substituents on π - π stacking. It is currently not clear whether the increased preference for the syn conformation in the series of model compounds **3** is due to a direct interaction of the substituent with the other arene or through the polarization of the aromatic ring. Regardless of the origin of the enhancement in π -stacking interactions, the present findings have broad implications considering the use of substituted aromatic amino acid analogs in the characterization of ligand binding sites.^{21,22} Although studies of substituent effects in π - π stacking have been reported, few studies have focused on the relative positions of the substituents. Studies with additional substituents of different electronic properties are currently underway in our laboratories.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the National Institutes of Health (GM069441) is gratefully acknowledged. BWG thanks the CFR of Miami University for a summer stipend.

References

1. Lehn, JM. *Supramolecular Chemistry: Concepts and Perspectives*. VCH; New York: 1995.
2. Meyer EA, Castellano RK, Diederich F. *Angew Chem-Int Edit* 2003;42:1210–1250.
3. Cerny J, Hobza P. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2007;9:5291–5303. [PubMed: 17914464]
4. McNeil AJ, Muller P, Whitten JE, Swager TM. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:12426–12427. [PubMed: 16984187]

5. Raymo FM, Stoddart JF. Chem Rev 1999;99:1643–1663. [PubMed: 11849006]
6. Rothlisberger D, Khersonsky O, Wollacott AM, Jiang L, DeChancie J, Betker J, Gallaher JL, Althoff EA, Zanghellini A, Dym O, Albeck S, Houk KN, Tawfik DS, Baker D. Nature 2008;453:190–U4. [PubMed: 18354394]
7. Wheeler SE, Houk KN. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:10854. [PubMed: 18652453]
8. Ringer AL, Sinnokrot MO, Lively RP, Sherrill CD. Chem Eur J 2006;12:3821–3828.
9. Gung BW, Zou Y, Xu ZG, Amicangelo JC, Irwin DG, Ma SQ, Zhou HC. J Org Chem 2008;73:689–693. [PubMed: 18081348]
10. Rashkin MJ, Waters ML. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:1860–1861. [PubMed: 11866592]
11. Gung BW, Wekesa F, Barnes CL. J Org Chem 2008;73:1803–1808. [PubMed: 18266384]
12. Gung BW, Patel M, Xue XW. J Org Chem 2005;70:10532–10537. [PubMed: 16323868]
13. Gung BW, Xue XW, Reich HJ. J Org Chem 2005;70:3641–3644. [PubMed: 15845001]
14. Oki M. Acc Chem Res 1990;23:351–356.
15. Wheeler SE, Houk KNJ. Am Chem Soc 2009;131:3126.
16. Dennis GR, Ritchie GLD. J Phys Chem 1991;95:656–660.
17. Williams JH. Acc Chem Res 1993;26:593–598.
18. Hunter CA, Sanders JKM. J Am Chem Soc 1990;112:5525–5534.
19. Muller-Dethlefs K, Hobza P. Chem Rev 2000;100:143–167. [PubMed: 11749236]
20. Mecozzi S, West AP, Dougherty DA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;93:10566–10571. [PubMed: 8855218]
21. Dougherty DA. Chem Rev 2008;108:1642–1653. [PubMed: 18447378]
22. Dougherty DA. J Org Chem 2008;73:3667–3673. [PubMed: 18412391]
23. A representative benzoylation procedure and selected data for compound **3a**: To a solution of the triptycene precursor (0.1 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (4 ml) was added pyridine (0.5 ml) at 0°C under N₂. DMAP (0.01 mmol) and p-methyl benzoyl chloride (0.15 mmol) were subsequently added. The reaction mixture was stirred and monitored by TLC till all starting material have disappeared. The reaction was quenched with 15 ml of water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 ml). The combined organic phase was washed with 15 ml of sat. NaHCO₃ and 20 ml of brine. The solution was dried with anhydrous MgSO₄ and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to give the desired triptycene model compounds (**3a**) as a solid. A white solid, m.p 220–222°C. ¹H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃): δ ppm 1.61 (9H, d, J=13Hz), 2.12 (3H, s), 2.46 (3H, s), 4.02–4.47 (2H, s, br), 5.59 (1H, s), 6.69–7.45 (15H, m). ¹³C NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃): δ ppm 19.67, 21.76, 27.54, 32.73, 39.49, 48.72, 51.93, 120.08, 121.56, 123.67, 124.73, 125.37, 125.54, 125.58, 125.91, 126.09, 128.58, 129.43, 129.47, 129.97, 130.13, 130.74, 136.23, 136.55, 140.19, 143.11, 144.01, 144.14, 164.74, 176.56. IR (neat): 3065, 3022, 2912, 1749, 1732, 1474. HRMS Calcd. for C₄₁H₃₆O₄ + Na, 615.2511, found 615.2516.

Tetrahedron Letters

Figure 1. Sketches illustrating the *syn* and *anti* conformational isomers derived from 1,9-di-substituted triptycene derivative, **1**, (the sketch is a modified version of the drawing by Oki).¹⁴

Figure 2. X-ray structures of compounds **3a** and **3e** displayed as a stick models. The structure shows a syn conformation with a parallel π -stacking arrangement between the C(9) arene and the C(1) benzoate group. The inter-arene distance is ~ 3.5 Å and the vertical displacement is ~ 1.2 Å.

Figure 3. Temperature dependent ^1H NMR (300 MHz) signals for the C(9) benzyl protons of model compound **3a**.

Table

Relative position effect of the aromatic ring substituent on ratios of syn/anti isomers for arene-arene interactions (Experiments were performed in CDCl₃).

entry	Ar	syn/anti ratio (-50 °C)		$\Delta G_{\text{anti} \rightarrow \text{syn}}$ in CDCl ₃ (kcal/mol) ^a				$\Delta \Delta G$
		3	4 ^b	3	4	3	4	
1	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	3.4	1.3	-0.24	0.19	-0.24	0.19	0.43
2	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	3.5	1.4	-0.26	0.16	-0.26	0.16	0.42
3	C ₆ H ₅	4.1	1.6	-0.32	0.10	-0.32	0.10	0.42
4	4-FC ₆ H ₄	5.9	2.5	-0.48	-0.10	-0.48	-0.10	0.38
5	4-BrC ₆ H ₄	6.6	na	-0.53	na	-0.53	na	na
6	4-IC ₆ H ₄	6.2	3.3	-0.50	-0.22	-0.50	-0.22	0.28
7	4-NCC ₆ H ₄	10.7	6.4	-0.75	-0.52	-0.75	-0.52	0.23
8	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	13.4	6.7	-0.84	-0.54	-0.84	-0.54	0.30
9	F ₅ C ₆ ^c	14.4	14.4	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	0

^aErrors are estimated at ± 0.05 kcal/mol (from an average of two runs).

^bRef 13

^cDetermined at -15 °C.