Published in final edited form as:

J Dev Orig Health Dis. 2010 February 1; 1(1): 26–34. doi:10.1017/S2040174409990031.

Lingering prenatal effects of the 1918 influenza pandemic on cardiovascular disease

B. Mazumder¹, D. Almond², K. Park³, E. M. Crimmins⁴, and C. E. Finch^{4,*}

¹ Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

² Department of Economics and SIPA, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

³ Harris School of Public Policy, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

⁴ Andrus Gerontology Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

Prenatal exposure to the 1918 influenza pandemic (Influenza A, H1N1 subtype) is associated with $\geq 20\%$ excess cardiovascular disease at 60 to 82 years of age, relative to cohorts born without exposure to the influenza epidemic, either prenatally or postnatally (defined by the quarter of birth), in the 1982–1996 National Health Interview Surveys of the USA. Males showed stronger effects of influenza on increased later ischemic heart disease than females. Adult height at World War II enlistment was lower for the 1919 birth cohort than for those born in adjacent years, suggesting growth retardation. Calculations on the prevalence of maternal infections indicate that prenatal exposure to even uncomplicated maternal influenza may have lasting consequences later in life. These findings suggest novel roles for maternal infections in the fetal programming of cardiovascular risk factors that are independent of maternal malnutrition.

Keywords

1918 influenza; cardiovascular disease; maternal infection; prenatal

Introduction

The 1918–1919 influenza pandemic (Influenza A, H1N1 subtype) was notoriously virulent, causing symptomatic infections in one-third of the USA across all ages and killing about 0.6% of the total population.^{1–3} If uncomplicated, the flu was a mild ‘3-day fever’, with full recovery and low mortality.¹ The lethality came from secondary bacterial infections that caused severe pneumonias, particularly among pregnant women.^{1,2}

In addition, there are indications of long-term impairments in those exposed prenatally. Cohorts born in and around the pandemic incurred lifetime socioeconomic and physical consequences, as shown by Almond.⁴ From US Census data, those born in early 1919 who were exposed prenatally to the most virulent phase in the Fall of 1918, had lifetime deficits in economic productivity and in education, as well as excess work disability, which suggests developmental impairments or lifetime health issues. Subsequent influenza pandemics and epidemics, while

*Address for correspondence: C. E. Finch, Andrus Gerontology Center, University of Southern California, 3715 McClintock Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA. (cefinch@usc.edu).

Statement of Interest

None.

less virulent, have been specifically associated with neurodevelopmental defects, for example, schizophrenia risk was three-fold higher in US cohorts exposed prenatally to influenza during 1959 to 1966.^{5,6} Developmental deficits from influenza have been modeled in rodent maternal infections during pregnancy with H1N1 viral strain, which caused abnormal brain development and adult cognitive dysfunctions.^{6,7} Thus, the 1919 influenza birth cohort may have incurred a range of developmental impairments with consequences to adult health and mental and physical capacities.

Prenatal pathophysiology can accelerate chronic diseases of aging as discussed in the Barker theory of developmental origins of adult disease (fetal programming). Maternal health, nutrition and stress are linked to subsequent development of cardiovascular disease, stroke and diabetes in prenatally exposed offspring.^{8–11} We therefore hypothesized that the 1918–1919 influenza cohorts also incurred increased adult cardiovascular and other diseases.

Several mechanisms are indicated from experimental studies. First is a link of influenza infections to interleukin-6 (IL-6) elevations during pregnancy that are related to increased adult blood pressure, a major risk factor in cardiovascular disease. In human and laboratory animals, influenza infections activate innate immunity and elevate blood IL-6 and other cytokines.^{12, 13} Although IL-6 during maternal influenza has not been reported for humans, injection of pregnant mice with high doses of IL-6 caused the offspring to develop progressive increases in systolic pressure after puberty.¹⁴ Moreover, maternal IL-6 injection caused brain developmental abnormalities like those from gestational influenza.¹⁵ Injection of bacterial endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide, LPS) in later gestation as a model for systemic infection increased IL-6 and other cytokines.¹⁶ Influenza-related maternal corticosteroid elevations are another candidate risk factor in adult hypertension: the offspring of pregnant rats injected with dexamethasone (synthetic corticosteroid) also developed postmaturational systolic blood hypertension and kidney deterioration (glomerulosclerosis), with a stronger effect in males.¹⁷ Thus, rodent models of pathophysiological changes during gestational influenza predict increased adult hypertension and cardiovascular sequelae.

We therefore examined cohorts born in and around the 1918–1919 pandemic for evidence of excess cardiovascular and other chronic disease. Seasonal effects were statistically controlled because the season of birth influences the later prevalence of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes.^{18,19} We also evaluated the size of the effects in relation to the proportion of pregnancies that survived influenza in relation to complication by pneumonia. Adult height was considered because of its association with early infections that can impair growth.^{11,20}

Materials and methods

The 1918–1919 birth cohorts are represented at 63 to 78 years of age in the 1982–1996 National Health Interview Surveys (NHIS), conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (USA). This annual survey of about 100,000 individuals collects data on the health of the civilian US non-institutionalized population. Individuals report on the presence of various medically important conditions including diabetes and heart disease, including subcomponents of rheumatic, ischemic and hypertensive conditions.

This analysis evaluates the later presence of heart disease and diabetes in the birth cohorts born in and around the period of the 1918–1919 influenza pandemic. The analysis is confined to a sample of 101,068 NHIS respondents born between 1915 and 1923, and surveyed from 1982 to 1996. Besides evaluating disease prevalence by annual birth cohort, we also estimated the effect of specific quarters of birth in 1918 and 1919 on the presence of cardiovascular disease, using multiple regression to control for various factors that might affect time trends in disease. We estimate the prevalence of heart disease, types of heart disease and diabetes relative to

quarter of birth during the influenza pandemic v. being born before or after the pandemic. As outlined in Figure 1, births before 1918: Q4 were not exposed to influenza *in utero*, but as infants and small children. Those born in 1918: Q4 and 1919: Q1–Q2 comprise the vast majority of those *in utero* during the peak of the influenza mortality pandemic. Births in 1919: Q3 should have had minimal exposure *in utero* and no exposure postnatally to the worst phase of the epidemic. Births in 1919: Q4 and later should have had little-to-no exposure to the 1918 pandemic. The regressions include indicators of the timing of birth, for those born from 1915 through 1918: Q3, 1918: Q4, 1919: Q1, 1919: Q2 and 1919: Q3. The omitted category is of those with no exposure, born in 1919: Q4 or later. We controlled for seasonality, common across all birth years in the statistical model by including indicators of calendar quarter of birth for all years. Regression estimates were made using linear regression in SAS statistical software (version 9.13); logistic and probit specifications gave similar results (not shown). The difference in the estimated disease prevalence for persons in a specified birth period relative to those born in 1919: Q4 and later was determined by the estimated coefficients.

Because growth retardation is associated with arterial and metabolic disease, we examined height at World War II army enlistment for 2.7 million men who were born between 1915 and 1922; data on birthweight are lacking for these cohorts. Enlistment data for 1941 and 1942 from the National Archives and Records Administration with heights at 19 to 27 years of age; we did not use height at older ages because shrinkage in height is common during later adulthood. As we know only the year of birth, these data do not allow analysis by birth quarter for effects as found for heart disease and diabetes. Height was regressed on a set of age categories and a dummy indicating birth in 1919 to determine the effect of year of birth. An equation was also estimated which included dummy variables for month of enlistment to account for any changes in army selection criteria over time.

Results

The monthly deaths from influenza in the USA were concentrated in October 1918 through April 1919 (Fig. 1). Below the deaths are shown the periods of pregnancy, births and early infancy by quarter of birth (the overlap of these periods is not shown).

Figure 2a plots the prevalence of reported heart disease (per 1000) by birth year for 101,068 individuals in the 1982 to 1996 NHIS at 60 to 82 years of age. Note the spike in heart disease rates for 1919 births above the level of adjacent cohorts. The downward trend by birth year is expected because younger individuals, and those in more recent cohorts, have progressively less heart disease. The 1919 birth cohort, most of which was exposed prenatally to influenza and co-infections, shows accelerated cardiovascular aging with 5% excess cardiovascular disease at the ages of 63 to 77 years (unadjusted) and deviates from the declining trend during the four birth years before and after 1919. These effects remained after controlling for period and age, specifically by a separate cubic function of age in each year of the NHIS (Fig. 2a).

Men born in 1919 were shorter by about 0.05 inches, relative to surrounding cohorts (Fig. 2b). This effect, while small, is highly significant and aligns precisely with the period of increased heart disease.

Estimates of the effect of the timing of birth relative to being born in the fourth quarter of 1919 (1919: Q4) up through 1923 are shown for the entire sample ages 60–82 years in Table 1a. Those born during the first quarter of 1919 (1919: Q1), who were more likely to be exposed to the pandemic during the second trimester of pregnancy, had 10.9% excess heart disease; restricting the analysis to 60 to 75 years of age increased the effect to 12.6%. Analyzing by disease type, the 1919: Q1 cohort had 25.4% excess ischemic heart disease; this effect was similar when the sample was limited to those aged 60 to 75 years (25.2%). Rheumatic or

hypertensive heart disease did not show these effects, however, there was excess diabetes in those born from 1915 to 1918: Q3 (14.9%) and those born in 1919: Q2 (36.7%).

Because the season-of-birth has known effects on later life disease,^{18,19} we also estimated seasonal effects in the above analysis. Heart disease was elevated for Q2 births in all years ($b=9.03$; $P=0.021$) and reduced for Q3 births ($b=-8.89$; $P=0.020$) relative to Q1 births in all years. Thus, the transient increase in heart disease prevalence in the birth cohort of 1919: Q1 described above remained significant after controlling for season-of-birth, with an effect size 2.5-fold ($b=22.09$, $P=0.013$) above the effect of being born in the Spring.

Gender differences for ages 60 to 82 years also showed differential birth quarter effects (Table 1b). Only men had significant excess heart disease for 1919:Q1 – total heart disease (23.1%) and ischemic heart disease (32.7%). Men born in 1919:Q1 also have more hypertensive heart disease (21.6%). A possible gender difference in timing of the effect is the 17% excess heart disease for women born in 1919: Q2. However, both sexes show excess diabetes for births in 1919:Q2; effects for 1915 to 1918:Q3 were marginally significant for women.

The inclusion of an interaction term for gender and cohort in the model for both men and women indicated that the differences in heart disease prevalence related to birth in 1919: Q1 and 1919: Q2 were highly significant (P -values of 0.01 and 0.014, respectively). For ischemic heart disease, the interaction term indicating a differential effect of 1919: Q1 birth was marginally significant ($P=0.076$). For hypertensive heart disease, the difference in 1919: Q1 exposure was marginally significant at the 0.056 level. Finally, for diabetes, we found no statistically significant difference in any exposure period.

These effects of timing of birth on later life health may be underestimated for two reasons. If the pandemic effect is a ‘culling’ of the weakest fetuses then, all else equal (i.e. if there were no exposure effects on health), the average surviving newborn would be in better health and not worse health and therefore, the selection of surviving children works against our finding long-term adverse health effects during adulthood. Some evidence for this is the fact that infant mortality in 1919 was below that in 1917 and 1918.²⁴ This effect was addressed by Almond in a latent variable model which incorporated both the threshold effect and a shift in unobserved health because of influenza exposure; it was concluded that if early life mortality during the pandemic occurred among those in the very weakest health, then long-term health outcomes are likely to be underestimated.⁴ Second, the expected higher mortality before the age of 60 years, from premature heart disease, in our sample may attenuate effects at older ages.

Lastly, we inquired if the excess cardiovascular disease could be accounted for by the fraction of pregnancies that involved influenza complicated by pneumonia. Maternal health during the pandemic peak in the present sample is likely to have varied widely from no clinical infection, mild uncomplicated flu or flu with severe secondary pneumonia that still permitted normal birth. Most flu cases were described as mild with little mortality, with most mortality ensuing from pneumonias caused by secondary bacterial infections.^{1–3} Pregnancy markedly increased the risk of secondary infections: about half of the 1918 influenza pandemic pregnancies developed secondary pneumonias which, in this pre-antibiotic era, increased maternal mortality and still-births.^{1·21·22} Assuming that the incidence of influenza infection (33% of the adult population) was not affected by pregnancy, about 33% of all births should have experienced maternal influenza. Of these, pneumonia developed in about 50%. Thus the fraction of pregnancies exposed to both influenza and pneumonia approximates 0.5×0.33 , or 16%, but only 8% of all births because 50% of mothers with both flu and pneumonia died before giving birth in a major hospital.²¹ Because this fraction (8%) is much smaller than the total excess of heart disease (24%), the other 50% of flu pregnancies with low mortality could comprise at least 16% of all infected by flu. Thus, a total of $8\% + 16\%$, or about 24% of the

surviving births were exposed prenatally to influenza. This proportion approximates the 25% excess prevalence observed in cardiovascular disease for births in 1919: Q1 that were in their second and/or third trimester during the peak pandemic (Table 1a). Thus, it appears that uncomplicated flu can explain most of the excess heart disease and diabetes. The remaining 75% of the births not affected by maternal influenza were still at risk for childhood infections, both from influenza and from other pathogens that were not related to this epidemic, throughout their childhood. Our estimate of higher levels of chronic disease among those exposed to influenza is thus not related to the lifetime level of infection, but to the prenatal timing of this maternal infection. These calculations must be considered a first approximation because of the use of subnational estimates for maternal mortality and for the proportion of pregnancies that developed both influenza and pneumonia. In subsequent epidemics, influenza during pregnancy also increased vulnerability to secondary bacterial infections.²³

Discussion

Prenatal exposure to the 1918 influenza pandemic was associated with $\geq 20\%$ excess ischemic heart disease after the age of 60 years, as compared to birth cohorts with little or no prenatal exposure to influenza. In contrast, there was no excess heart disease in earlier born cohorts and those born in periods with only postnatal exposure to influenza or with both late fetal and postnatal exposure. Men showed markedly greater risk of heart disease from prenatal exposure.

These effects may be underestimated because of expected higher mortality from premature heart disease before 60 years of age. A caveat is that our analysis is based on self-reported data. Nonetheless, several studies using medical records show that self-reported data on heart conditions such as these are accurate,^{25–28} particularly for ischemic heart disease.²⁹ The NHIS data on diabetes have also been validated.³⁰

We hypothesize that these far-reaching associations of arterial disease with time of birth are causally linked to pathophysiological maternal disturbances. The cardiovascular associations of older adults with the birth quarter correspond to the stage of the epidemic in the USA at that time. After the mild 1918 Spring influenza wave, mortality from influenza nearly vanished, but soon resurged to an unprecedentedly virulent October–December peak, and then eventually vanished after June 1919.^{1–3} The surge of excess cardiovascular disease is generally associated with gestational exposure during the hyper-virulent wave. About 80% of prenatal exposures during the worst wave were born in 1918: Q4 and 1919: Q1–Q2, which corresponds to exposure in early- to mid-gestation. Diabetes also showed marked excess in two birth periods (1915–1918: Q3, 14.9% and 1919: Q2, 36.7%), which notably did not show increased heart disease. The increase of diabetes cannot be easily explained with shared risk factors in cardiovascular disease. The small but significant effect on height for all males born in 1919 could not be further resolved by birth quarter with available data. These findings suggest the 1918–1919 influenza pandemic cohort had persistent impairments of multiple organ systems from an early age.

Postnatal exposure to influenza was not associated with later heart disease risk, because those born before and during the Fall peak mortality had lower disease than those born 3 to 6 months later. This is somewhat surprising because infant mortality surged by about 10% in 1918 relative to the trend for progressive declines across 1916–1923.³¹ While this increased mortality implies that some surviving infants would have had clinical flu, effects of the postnatal exposure appear statistically weaker than those for prenatal exposure. As noted above, infant mortality was lower in 1919 than 1918 or even 1917. Passive immunization from maternal antibodies to influenza would be expected from recent studies of maternal immunization with H1N1-like antigens during pregnancy: the evoked fetal adaptive immune responses are expected to be protective.³² Therefore, we focus our discussion on prenatal pathways.

Prenatal flu exposure is likely to involve multiple paths to adult health. Low birthweight, which is a risk factor of later heart disease and diabetes,^{8,9,11} could not be examined because data for US births before the mid-20th century are lacking.³³ Recent influenza epidemics of less-virulent strains were associated with a risk of lower birthweight from maternal infection in some studies,^{34,35} but not in another.³⁶ Although fever can cause transient anorexia, there should be little effect on fetal growth during uncomplicated infections if the mother was well nourished, as were most US civilians. Reproductive tract infections (e.g. bacterial vaginosis, chorioamnionitis), which were more common in this pre-antibiotic era, are also associated with lower birthweight.³⁷

There is ample evidence of the effects of prenatal nutrition, stress of maternal infection and immunity. Seasonal effects are relevant to the associations described here. In early 20th-century cohorts from Europe and Australia, the Spring births for that hemisphere had shorter life expectancy by 0.6 months, with corresponding excesses in heart and cerebrovascular disease ($P<0.001$), which are attributed to seasonal variations in stresses from poor nutrition and infection.¹⁸ These findings on adult heart disease were confirmed and extended in a rural Puerto Rican population for seasonal exposure to infections and poor nutrition during gestation; postnatal exposure and gender effects were not significant.¹⁹ The present study controlled for the season of birth in the statistical model. The significantly higher heart disease among Spring births is similar to findings in Europe. The longest-lived European cohorts were born in Q4; however, the US cohorts showed the lowest level of heart disease among those born in Q3. This difference could reflect differences in urbanization, timing of harvest or timing of infections, as the effect of season of birth varies with climate.^{18,19}

Our findings also correspond to some prenatal effects of the Dutch Hunger Winter of 1944 to 1945, which caused 10% lower mean birthweight.^{11,38,39} By the age of 50 to 58 years, prenatal famine exposure early in gestation was associated with 13% excess ischemic heart disease (three-fold more), while low birthweight had a marginal association ($P=0.13$).³⁹ There was also increased risk of schizophrenia and major affective disorders.^{39,40} Low birthweight, as expected,^{8,9} increased the risk of impaired glucose tolerance at 50 and 58 years of age.⁴¹ Additionally, those exposed peri-conceptually had hypermethylation of the *Igf2* gene,⁴² which is implicated in epigenetic mechanisms in fetal programming of cardiovascular and metabolic disease.⁴³ Prenatal exposure in the Dutch Hunger Winter and the 1918 influenza pandemic thus share increased risk of cardiovascular disease and metabolic dysfunction.

There may be a further shared factor of infections in the 1918–1919 influenza and the Dutch Hunger Winter. Diverse infections were rampant in war-time Holland, in association with malnutrition and deteriorated hygiene.^{11,37,44,45} However, maternal infections have not been studied in relation to adult disease in these populations. The stress of maternal infections can elevate cortisol, at least transiently, with transplacental effects on fetal cortisol and persisting effects on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis that are linked to adult vascular health^{12–14,17} (Introduction). Maternal IL-6 elevations in association with endotoxin (LPS) treatment in rodent models can also impair development.¹⁶ Moreover, in mice, maternal infections can also alter placental *Igf2* expression and promoter methylation,⁴⁶ which, as noted above, can alter fetal growth and later health. Transplacental immune interactions are also implicated because maternal immunity can influence postnatal immune responses which are also implicated in atherosclerosis.^{8,11,47} While none of these mechanisms can be adequately tested in the few survivors of the 1918 influenza, recent influenza birth cohorts could be considered.

The educational attainment deficits associated with the 1918 maternal influenza infections⁴ may also be due to impaired brain development. Mice exposed to maternal H1N1 infections have postnatal behavioral and neuroanatomical abnormalities.^{6,48,49} Although fetal brains did not have detectable viral RNA from maternal infection, H1N1 was found occasionally in the

placenta, suggesting sporadic viral escape from the respiratory system.⁴⁹ Feto-placental infection may not be required for developmental defects, because sterile activation of maternal immunity in the absence of pathogens causes similar brain damage.⁵⁰

Finally, we consider the greater male vulnerability to cardiovascular disease from prenatal influenza exposure. This example adds to the huge literature of excess male vulnerability to vascular disease, which is multi-factorial. Human arteries at birth already have microscopic lesions with activated macrophages and oxidized lipids,^{11,51} this may differ by fetal sex or maternal pathophysiology, but data are lacking. Male behaviors, such as the higher levels of smoking, may also synergize more adversely with early damage.

In conclusion, the lingering influences from the 1918–1919 influenza pandemic extend the hypothesized roles of inflammation and infections in cardiovascular disease^{11,20,52,53} to prenatal infection by influenza. We cannot, of course, know the range of inflammatory responses in the 1918–1919 prenatal exposure to influenza and secondary infections that may have triggered inflammatory responses of varying duration and severity. Nonetheless, some maternal stress responses during influenza that promote later vascular aging and metabolic disorders are modeled by experimental elevations of glucocorticoids and IL-6, and sterile inflammation. The influence of maternal infections on brain development, which has been separately recognized for autism and schizophrenia,^{48,53} could have contributed to the education and health deficits of the 1919 influenza birth cohort. Future studies may also consider the relationships of maternal infections to gene imprinting in the fetal programming of adult disease.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the support extended by the National Institute on Aging (R03 AG023939 to D.A.; P30 AG17265 to E.M.C.; P01 AG026572 to C.E.F.), the Ellison Medical Foundation (C.E.F.) and the Ruth Ziegler Fund (E.M.C. and C.E.F.). Bernard Steinman generously constructed the final graphs.

References

1. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. Predominant role of bacterial pneumonia as a cause of death in pandemic influenza: implications for pandemic influenza preparedness. *J Infect Dis* 2008;198:962–970. [PubMed: 18710327]
2. Brundage JF, Shanks GD. Deaths from bacterial pneumonia during 1918–19 influenza pandemic. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008;4:1193–1199. [PubMed: 18680641]
3. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. Persistent legacy of the 1918 influenza virus. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:225–229. [PubMed: 19564629]
4. Almond D. Is the 1918 Influenza pandemic over? Long-term effects of *in utero* influenza exposure in the post-1940 US population. *J Polit Econ* 2006;114:672–712.
5. Brown AS, Begg MD, Gravenstein S, et al. Serologic evidence of prenatal influenza in the etiology of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004;61:774–780. [PubMed: 15289276]
6. Patterson PH. Immune involvement in schizophrenia and autism: etiology, pathology and animal models. *Behav Brain Res* 2009;313–321. [PubMed: 19136031]
7. Fatemi SH, Earle J, Kanodia R, et al. Prenatal viral infection leads to pyramidal cell atrophy and macrocephaly in adulthood: implications for genesis of autism and schizophrenia. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2002;22:25–33. [PubMed: 12064515]
8. Barker DJ. The origins of the developmental origins theory. *J Intern Med* 2007;261:412–417. [PubMed: 17444880]
9. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Beedle AS, Raubenheimer D. Fetal and neonatal pathways to obesity. *Front Horm Res* 2008;36:61–72. [PubMed: 18230894]
10. Lynch J, Davey Smith G. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2005;26:1–35. [PubMed: 15760279]

11. Finch, CE. The Biology of Human Longevity: Inflammation, Nutrition, and Aging in the Evolution of Lifespans. Academic Press; San Diego: 2007.
12. Hampton T. Virulence of 1918 influenza virus linked to inflammatory innate immune response. JAMA 2007;297:580. [PubMed: 17299188]
13. Heltzer ML, Coffin SE, Maurer K, et al. Immune dysregulation in severe influenza. J Leukoc Biol 2009;85:1036–1043. [PubMed: 19276177]
14. Samuelsson AM, Ohrn I, Dahlgren J, et al. Prenatal exposure to interleukin-6 results in hypertension and increased hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity in adult rats. Endocrinology 2004;145:4897–4911. [PubMed: 15284195]
15. Smith SE, Li J, Garbett K, Mirnics K, Patterson PH. Maternal immune activation alters fetal brain development through interleukin-6. J Neurosci 2007;27:10695–10702. [PubMed: 17913903]
16. Gayle DA, Beloosesky R, Desai M, Amidi F, Nuñez SE, Ross MG. Maternal LPS induces cytokines in the amniotic fluid and corticotropin releasing hormone in the fetal rat brain. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2004;286:R1024–R1029. [PubMed: 14988088]
17. Ortiz LA, Quan A, Zarzar F, Weinberg A, Baum M. Prenatal dexamethasone programs hypertension and renal injury in rat. Hypertension 2003;41:328–334. [PubMed: 12574103]
18. Doblhammer G, Vaupel JW. Lifespan depends on month of birth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:2934–2939. [PubMed: 11226344]
19. McEniry M, Palloni A, Dávila AL, Gurucharri AG. Early life exposure to poor nutrition and infectious diseases and its effects on the health of older Puerto Rican adults. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2008;63:S337–S348. [PubMed: 19092043]
20. Crimmins EM, Finch CE. Infection, inflammation, height, and longevity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:498–503. [PubMed: 16387863]
21. Harris JW. Influenza occurring in pregnant women: a statistical study of thirteen hundred and fifty cases. JAMA 1919;72:978–980.
22. Titus P, Jamison JM. Pregnancy complicated by epidemic influenza. JAMA 1919;72:1665–1668.
23. Freeman DW, Barno A. Deaths from Asian influenza associated with pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1959;78:1172–1175. [PubMed: 13824729]
24. Linder, FE.; Grove, RD. Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–1940. United State Public Health Service, National Office of Vital Statistics; Washington: 1947. Retrieved from http://www.nber.org/vital-stats-books/vsrates1900_40.CV.pdf
25. Almond D, Mazumder B. The 1918 influenza pandemic and subsequent health outcomes: an analysis of SIPP data. Am Econ Rev 2005;95:258–262.
26. Bergmann MM, Byers T, Freedman DS, Mokdad A. Validity of self-reported diagnoses leading to hospitalization: a comparison of self-reports with hospital records in a prospective study of American adults. Am J Epidemiol 1998;147:969–977. [PubMed: 9596475]
27. Colditz GA, Martin P, Stampfer MJ, et al. Validation of questionnaire information on risk factors and disease outcomes in a prospective cohort study of women. Am J Epidemiol 1986;123:894–900. [PubMed: 3962971]
28. Engstad T, Bønaa KH, Viitanen M. Validity of self-reported stroke. The Tromso Study. Stroke 2000;31:1602–1607. [PubMed: 10884460]
29. Kehoe R, Wu S-Y, Leske MC, Chylack LT Jr. Comparing self-reported and physician-reported medical history. Am J Epidemiol 1994;139:813–818. [PubMed: 8178794]
30. Edwards, WS.; Winn, DM.; Kurlantzick, V., et al. Vital and Health Statistics. Vol. 2. Hyattsville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics; 1994. Evaluation of National Health Interview Survey diagnostic reporting. 2(12)
31. Luk J, Gross P, Thomson WW. Observations on mortality during the 1918 influenza pandemic. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:1375–1378. [PubMed: 11565078]
32. Rastogi D, Wang C, Mao X, Lendor C, Rothman PB, Miller RL. Antigen-specific immune responses to influenza vaccine *in utero*. J Clin Invest 2007;117:1637–1646. [PubMed: 17549258]
33. Drevonstedt GL, Crimmins EM, Vasunilashorn S, Finch CE. The rise and fall of excess male infant mortality. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008;105:5016–5021. [PubMed: 18362357]

34. Ramsey PS, Ramin KD. Pneumonia in pregnancy. *Obstet Gyneco Clin North Am* 2001;28:553–569.
35. Goodnight WH, Soper DE. Pneumonia in pregnancy. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33:S390–S397. [PubMed: 16215363]
36. Ács N, Bánhidly F, Puhó E, Czeizel AE. Pregnancy complications and delivery outcomes of pregnant women with influenza. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2006;19:135–140. [PubMed: 16690505]
37. Svare JA, Schmidt H, Hansen BB, Lose G. Bacterial vaginosis in a cohort of Danish pregnant women: prevalence and relationship with preterm delivery, low birthweight and perinatal infection. *BJOG* 2006;113:1419–1425. [PubMed: 17010117]
38. Stein, Z.; Susser, M.; Saenger, G.; Marolla, F. *Famine and Human Development. The Dutch Hunger Winter of 1944–1945.* Oxford University Press; 1975.
39. Painter RC, de Rooij SR, Bossuyt PM, et al. Early onset of coronary artery disease after prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;84:322–327. [PubMed: 16895878]
40. Hoek HW, Brown AS, Susser E. The Dutch famine and schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1998;33:373–379. [PubMed: 9708024]
41. de Rooij SR, Painter RC, Roseboom TJ, et al. Glucose tolerance at age 58 and the decline of glucose tolerance in comparison with age 50 in people prenatally exposed to the Dutch famine. *Diabetologia* 2006;49:637–643. [PubMed: 16470406]
42. Heijmans BT, Tobi EW, Stein AD, et al. Persistent epigenetic differences associated with prenatal exposure to famine in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;105:17046–17049. [PubMed: 18955703]
43. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Buklijas T, Low FM, Beedle AS. Epigenetic mechanisms that underpin metabolic and cardiovascular diseases. *Natl Rev Endocrinol* 2009;5:401–408.
44. Burger, GCE.; Drummond, JC.; Sandstead, HR. *Malnutrition and starvation in Western Netherlands.* General State Publishing Office; The Hague: 1948.
45. Hemmes, GD. *Besmettelijke Ziekten. Epidemiologie en praeventieve maaatregelen.* In: Boerma, I., editor. *Medische Ervaringen in Nederland Tijdens de Bezetting 1940–1945.* JB Wolters; Groningen: 1945. p. 105-129. Translated for C.E.F. by Paulus van Noord; see ref 11, p. 285
46. Bobetsis YA, Barros SP, Lin DM, et al. Bacterial infection promotes DNA hypermethylation. *J Dent Res* 2007;86:169–174. [PubMed: 17251518]
47. Yamashita T, Freigang S, Eberle C, et al. Maternal immunization programs postnatal immune responses and reduces atherosclerosis in offspring. *Circ Res* 2006;99:E51–E64. [PubMed: 16946133]
48. Fatemi SH, Earle J, Kanodia R, et al. Prenatal viral infection leads to pyramidal cell atrophy and macrocephaly in adulthood: implications for genesis of autism and schizophrenia. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2002;22:25–33. [PubMed: 12064515]
49. Shi L, Tu N, Patterson PH. Maternal influenza infection is likely to alter fetal brain development indirectly: the virus is not detected in the fetus. *Int J Dev Neurosci* 2005;23:299–305. [PubMed: 15749254]
50. Shi L, Smith SE, Malkova N, Tse D, Su Y, Patterson PH. Activation of the maternal immune system alters cerebellar development in the offspring. *Brain Behav Immun* 2009;23:116–123. [PubMed: 18755264]
51. Napoli C, D’Armiento FP, Mancini FP, et al. Fatty streak formation occurs in human fetal aortas and is greatly enhanced by maternal hypercholesterolemia. Intimal accumulation of low density lipoprotein and its oxidation precede monocyte recruitment into early atherosclerotic lesions. *J Clin Invest* 1997;100:2680–2690. [PubMed: 9389731]
52. Franceschi C, Capri M, Monti D, et al. Inflammaging and anti-inflammaging: a systemic perspective on aging and longevity emerged from studies in humans. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2007;128:92–105. [PubMed: 17116321]
53. Ward JR, Wilson HL, Francis SE, Crossman DC, Sabroe I. Translational mini-review series on immunology of vascular disease: inflammation, infections and Toll-like receptors in cardiovascular disease. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2009;156:386–394. [PubMed: 19309349]
54. Fatemi SH, Folsom TD, Reutiman TJ, Huang H, Oishi K, Mori S. Prenatal viral infection of mice at E16 causes changes in gene expression in hippocampi of the offspring. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2009;19:648–653. [PubMed: 19501487]

Fig. 1. US influenza deaths by month and periods of pregnancy, birth, and infancy for birth cohorts. Influenza deaths, redrawn from Almond and Mazumder²⁵ (top), with schema for pregnancy and birth in the corresponding year and birth quarter (bottom).

Fig. 2.

Cardiovascular disease (1982–1996) and mean height (1941–1942) by birth year: (a) National Health Interview Surveys (NHIS) of 1982–1996 (USA), shown as unadjusted, or adjusted for cohort trend and year for sample aged 60 to 82 years. (b) Male height at ages 19 to 27 years, by birth year at enlistment in 1941 and 1942; from the National Archives and Records Administration (numbers of registrants in parenthesis).

Table 1

Effect of birth date on prevalence of heart disease and diabetes

(a) Total NHIS sample: % difference from sample prevalence										
Ages 60–82 years (<i>n</i> =101,068)						Ages 60–75 years (<i>n</i> =68,938)				
Outcome	1915–1918:Q3	1918:Q4	1919:Q1	1919:Q2	1919:Q3	1915–1918:Q3	1918:Q4	1919:Q1	1919:Q2	1919:Q3
Heart disease	1.8 (–4.1, 7.7)	4.6 (–4.3, 13.5)	10.9** (2.3, 19.6) (Prevalence =0.202)	6.4 (–2.2, 15.1)	0.2 (–8.2, 8.6)	0.1 (–7.1, 7.2)	2.3 (–7.0, 11.7)	12.6*** (3.6, 21.7) (Prevalence =0.206)	8.0* (–1.0, 17.0)	–3.7 (–12.4, 5.0)
Ischemic	5.0 (–7.5, 17.5)	12.7 (–6.2, 31.5)	25.4*** (7.2, 43.7) (Prevalence =0.054)	2.6 (–15.8, 20.9)	0.3 (–17.4, 18.0)	–2.5 (–17.8, 12.8)	14.0 (–6.1, 34.1)	25.2** (5.7, 44.6) (Prevalence =0.055)	–6.8 (–26.2, 12.6)	–4.0 (–22.7, 14.6)
Hypertensive	1.4 (–6.5, 9.2)	–1.1 (–12.9, 10.7)	6.0 (–5.4, 17.4) (Prevalence =0.125)	3.9 (–7.6, 15.4)	1.4 (–9.6, 12.5)	1.2 (–8.2, 10.6)	–4.3 (–16.6, 8.0)	6.4 (–5.6, 18.3) (Prevalence =0.128)	6.9 (–5.0, 18.8)	–3.4 (–14.9, 8.0)
Rheumatic	–9.2 (–50.7, 32.4)	–35.1 (–97.6, 27.5)	12.6 (–47.9, 73.1) (Prevalence =0.005)	33.9 (–27.0, 94.8)	–28.8 (–87.6, 29.9)	–36 (–85.7, 13.7)	–35.8 (–101.1, 29.4)	16.3 (–46.9, 79.5) (Prevalence =0.005)	28.7 (–34.2, 91.6)	–40.9 (–101.4, 19.7)
Diabetes	14.9** (2.8, 27.1)	7.7 (–10.6, 25.9)	–5.2 (–22.9, 12.5) (Prevalence =0.056)	36.7*** (18.9, 54.4)	10.1 (–7.0, 27.3)	17.0** (2.3, 31.9)	6.7 (–12.7, 26.2)	–5.2 (–24.0, 13.7) (Prevalence =0.056)	33.4*** (14.6, 52.2)	8.7 (–9.4, 26.7)

(b) Gender differences: % effect relative to sample prevalence

Men (*n* =44,452)

Outcome	1915–1918:Q3	1918:Q4	1919:Q1	1919:Q2	1919:Q3	1915–1918:Q3	1918:Q4	1919:Q1	1919:Q2	1919:Q3
Heart disease	–1.4 (–10.1, 7.4)	10.1 (–3.2, 23.4)	23.1*** (10.3, 35.9) (Prevalence =0.208)	–5.2 (–17.7, 7.4)	4.9 (–7.5, 17.2)	4.6 (–3.5, 12.6)	0.2 (–11.9, 12.2)	1.0 (–10.7, 12.7) (Prevalence =0.197)	17.0*** (5.0, 29.0)	–3.6 (–15.0, 7.8)
Ischemic	0.2 (–15.7, 16.1)	22.2* (–2.0, 46.3)	32.7*** (9.3, 56.0) (Prevalence =0.073)	–5.9 (–28.7, 16.9)	3.9 (–18.6, 26.3)	12.9 (–7.5, 33.4)	–0.6 (–31.2, 30.0)	15.4 (–14.2, 45.1) (Prevalence =0.038)	16.3 (–14.2, 46.9)	–4.5 (–33.5, 24.5)
Hypertensive	–1.4 (–14.1, 11.4)	–0.3 (–19.7, 19.0)	21.6** (2.9, 40.3)	–7.4 (–25.6, 10.9)	4.0 (–14.0, 22.0)	3.0 (–6.8, 12.9)	–1.5 (–16.3, 13.3)	–3.4 (–17.7, 10.9)	11.5 (–3.2, 26.3)	0.0 (–14.0, 14.0)

Women (*n* =56,616)

J Dev Orig Health Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 March 1

(b) Gender differences: % effect relative to sample prevalence

Outcome	Men (n =44,452)					Women (n =56,616)				
	1915–1918:Q3	1918:Q4	1919:Q1	1919:Q2	1919:Q3	1915–1918:Q3	1918:Q4	1919:Q1	1919:Q2	1919:Q3
Rheumatic	-22.1 (-93.9, 49.7)	-52.0 (-161, 57)	25.4 (-80, 130.8) (Prevalence =0.108)	49.0 (-53.9, 152)	22.2 (-79.1, 123.5)	-3.0 (-53.6, 47.6)	-25.4 (-101, 50.3)	6.4 (-67, 79.7) (Prevalence =0.006)	26.0 (-49.6, 101.6)	-55.9 (-127.6, 15.7)
Diabetes	14.0 (-4.6, 32.7)	11.8 (-16.6, 40.1)	-10.9 (-38.3, 16.6) (Prevalence =0.004)	32.0 ** (5.2, 58.8)	4.6 (-21.8, 30.9)	15.1 * (-0.8, 31.0)	4.6 (-19.2, 28.4)	-1.2 (-24.2, 21.9) (Prevalence =0.058)	40.1 *** (16.4, 63.9)	13.9 (-8.6, 36.5)

NHIS, National Health Interview Surveys.

* $P < 0.10$,

** $P < 0.05$,

*** $P < 0.01$.

95 % confidence intervals are shown in brackets; prevalence rates for omitted group are shown in parentheses.

Sample from the NHIS of 101,068 births 1915–1923, surveyed 1982–1996. Columns show specific birth quarters, except for combined quarters of 1915–1918:Q3. Row entries are estimated from separate regressions of each outcome (0/1 indicators) on indicator variables for birth date in 1915 through 1918:Q3, 1918:Q4, 1919:Q1–Q3. Regressions also include indicators of quarter of birth to control for seasonality, age, age squared, and survey year. Estimates in panel (a) also include an indicator for females. Estimates were made using linear regression in SAS; logistic and probit formulations yielded similar results. Effect sizes were estimated using birth quarter coefficients to indicate the change in prevalence from that in the omitted group of those born after the third quarter of 1919. For example, the prevalence of heart disease for men aged 80–82 years (Table 1b) is 0.208 (208 out of 1000); the coefficient on the indicator variable for birth in 1919:Q1 is 0.0480, yielding a 23.1% effect relative to the omitted group.