Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2009 December 30; 131(51): 18415. doi:10.1021/ja907250j.

Loop and Backbone Modifications of PNA Improve G-Quadruplex Binding Selectivity

Sabrina Lusvardi[‡], Connor T. Murphy[†], Subhadeep Roy[‡], Farial A. Tanious[§], Iulia Sacui[‡], W. David Wilson[§], Danith H. Ly[‡], and Bruce A. Armitage[‡]

[‡] Department of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University, 4400 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

[†] Department of Biological Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, 4400 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

[§] Department of Chemistry, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303

Abstract

Targeting guanine (G) quadruplex structures is an exciting new strategy with potential for controlling gene expression and designing anticancer agents. Guanine-rich peptide nucleic acid (PNA) oligomers bind to homologous DNA and RNA to form hetero-G-quadruplexes but can also bind to complementary cytosine-rich sequences to form heteroduplexes. In this study, we incorporated backbone modifications into G-rich PNAs to improve the selectivity for quadruplex vs duplex formation. Incorporation of abasic sites as well as chiral modifications to the backbone were found to be effective strategies for improving selectivity as shown by UV-melting and surface plasmon resonance measurements. The enhanced selectivity is due primarily to decreased affinity for complementary sequences, since binding to the homologous DNA to form PNA-DNA heteroquadruplexes retains high affinity. The improved selectivity of these PNAs is an important step toward using PNAs for regulating gene expression by G quadruplex formation.

Introduction

Among the four natural DNA bases, guanine has been of widespread interest because of its strong tendency to form tetrameric planar structures in different contexts. Less than 10 years after the proposal that guanine (G) hydrogen bonds with cytosine in the double-helical DNA structure¹, Davies and coworkers² proposed that guanine can also assemble into tetrameric arrangements called G-quartets, in which the guanines are arranged in a coplanar structure stabilized by eight hydrogen bonds. It was later discovered that G-rich DNA sequences were able to fold into secondary structures where two or more G-quartets stack on top of each other.³ Interest in the biological significance of G-quartets was first stimulated by the discovery of repetitive sequences of guanines at the ends of the chromosomes^{4–8} with more recent work demonstrating the presence of G-quadruplex forming sequences in other parts of the chromosomes, particularly in promoters.^{9–12} The preference of quadruplex-forming sequences for genomic regions implicated in regulating gene expression has led to the hypothesis that quadruplexes are themselves transcriptional regulatory elements and are likely to be important in both cancer and the aging process.^{13–17} The biological interest in G quadruplexes extends to RNA, where their possible presence in introns¹⁸ and 5'-untranslated regions^{19,20} suggests roles in regulating alternative splicing and translation.

The growing awareness of G quadruplexes as potential biological regulators of gene expression has driven great effort to design and synthesize molecules that can bind to these motifs.^{21,22} A large majority of papers in this area describe small molecules that exhibit *shape recognition* of G quadruplexes. The planar tetrads, concave grooves and loop nucleotides in

quadruplexes offer distinctive surfaces to which small molecules can make hydrogen bonds, salt bridges or van der Waals interactions. The wealth of experience in the medicinal chemistry and pharmaceutical sciences fields with developing small-molecule drugs makes this approach appealing for in vivo applications.

An alternative strategy for quadruplex binding relies on *sequence recognition* and requires the quadruplex structure to be disrupted in order to access the sequence information encoded within the nucleobases. Inspired by work on antisense regulation of translation, researchers have successfully used high affinity DNA analogues such as locked nucleic acid (LNA)²³ and peptide nucleic acid (PNA)^{24–26} to form complementary heteroduplexes with the quadruplex target. The high percentage of G-C pairs in these duplexes should translate into high affinity.

Our groups have taken a different approach to sequence recognition of quadruplexes: G-rich PNAs can invade DNA and RNA quadruplexes to form PNA-DNA^{27,28} or PNA-RNA^{29,30} heteroquadruplexes. The PNAs exhibit low nanomolar K_d values for both model quadruplexes and biologically relevant targets. The ability to form PNA-DNA heteroquadruplexes has been further supported by reports from Appella³¹ and Ladame³². Recent advances in the intracellular delivery of PNAs and demonstrations of their biological effects^{33–36} have motivated us to continue developing quadruplex-targeted PNAs.

Any compound designed for targeting a specific G quadruplex, regardless of whether the 13 binding mode relies on shape-or sequence-recognition, faces a multitude of undesirable targets 14 within a cell, including RNAs, double-helical genomic DNA and other, structurally similar quadruplexes (both DNA and RNA). Small molecules that recognize specific structural features of quadruplexes might easily evade most cellular RNA, whereas sequence-recognizing molecules such as PNA are more likely to bind to such RNAs. In our case, G-rich PNAs targeted to a given DNA quadruplex are at risk of binding to a C-rich complementary RNA. Given the high G-C content of the resulting duplex, it is likely that mismatches would be tolerated at physiological conditions, significantly expanding the range of possible off-target effects.

In this report, we describe two strategies for improving selectivity of a G-rich PNA for binding to homologous, quadruplex targets versus complementary sequences. These approaches involve modification of the PNA structure in ways that destabilize Watson-Crick pairing to cytosine-rich sequences while retaining high affinity for the Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding needed to assemble G quadruplexes. In the first approach, bases that are not involved in G-tetrad formation (i.e. loop bases) are removed from the PNA, thereby eliminating potential Watson-Crick base pairs from forming with a C-rich target. The second strategy relies on a chiral backbone modification that induces a left-handed helical structure in the PNA. This selectively destabilizes Watson-Crick pairing versus G-tetrad formation, providing additional selectivity. The ability to independently tune quadruplex and duplex stability is a significant advantage for quadruplex-forming PNAs relative to traditional antisense agents that recognize quadruplexes by complementary base pairing.

Experimental Procedures

Materials

DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (www.idtdna.com) and used after standard desalting by gel filtration chromatography, except for biotinylated oligonucleotides for surface plasmon resonance experiments, which were purified by HPLC. Sequences employed include: **rComp** (RNA, 5'-AUUACCCCUCCCAUUA-3'), **Comp** (DNA, 5'-CCCACCC-3'). **Myc19** (DNA, 5'-AGGGTGGGAGGGTGGGGA-3') and **Myc19-comp** (DNA, 5'-TCCCCACCCTCCACCCCT-3'). γ -modified-t-Boc-protected peptide nucleic acid monomers

were synthesized as previously described³⁷, unmodified monomers were purchased from Applied Biosystems (PNA monomers are no longer available from this company) or ASM Research Chemicals. Peptide nucleic acids were synthesized using standard solid phase synthesis,^{38,39} purified using reverse phase HPLC and verified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Applied Biosystems, Voyager DE sSTR) using sinapinic acid as the matrix. Samples were run with linear detection and positive ionization. PNAs synthesized for these studies are shown in Table 1. The yield for coupling multiple guanines in a row can be low. We used two coupling rounds for all unmodified monomers on a PNA after the second guanine was added, but only one coupling for the γ -modified guanines. In the case of coupling γ -modified monomers, 2.5 eq were used instead of 5 eq in order to conserve material.

All DNA, RNA and PNA concentrations were determined by measuring the absorbance at 260nm at 85°C on a Cary 3 Bio spectrophotometer. At high temperature the bases are presumably unstacked, and the extinction coefficient can be calculated as the sum of the individual bases. The DNA base extinction coefficients were obtained from the literature⁴⁰, whereas the PNA extinction coefficients were obtained from Applied Biosystems.

UV Melting Experiments

UV measurements were performed on a Varian Cary 3 spectrophotometer equipped with a thermoelectrically controlled multicell holder. Samples were prepared in a buffer containing 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 7) and 0.1mM Na₂EDTA. For LiCl-containing samples, 0.1mM Li₂EDTA was used, instead of the sodium salt. Various concentrations of KCl, NaCl or LiCl were used. The solutions were heated to 95 °C and equilibrated for 5 min. Then a cooling gradient was applied at a rate of 1 °C/min up to 15 °C, when samples were equilibrated for 5 min before starting a heating gradient at the same rate up to 95 °C. The absorbance at 275 nm for complementary binding or 295 nm for homologous binding⁴¹ was recorded as a function of temperature every 0.5 °C.

Circular Dichroism (CD) Spectropolarimetry

CD measurements were performed on a Jasco J-715 CD spectropolarimeter equipped with a water-circulating temperature controller. Samples containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7) and 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA (or Li₂EDTA), and various concentrations of KCl or LiCl were prepared in microcentrifuge tubes, heated to 95 °C for 5 min, and slowly annealed to room temperature. All spectra were collected at 25 °C or 37 °C. Each spectrum is the average of 3 different samples (unless specified), baseline corrected. For CD melting temperature experiments samples were annealed first and then the CD signal was measured as a function of temperature every 0.5 °C while applying a heating gradient of 1 °C/min and acquiring full-spectrum scans every 10 °C.

Surface Plasmon Resonance Experiments

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) experiments to determine equilibrium binding constants in solution were performed by competition⁴² or direct⁴³ binding methods. Measurements were made using a Biacore 2000 system with a 4 channel sensor chip containing covalently bound streptavidin linked by a carboxymethylated dextran layer to a gold surface. For the competition assays, biotinylated **Myc19** was immobilized at >1000RU to maximize mass transfer in the determination of binding constants. The assays were performed in a Tris buffer (pH = 7). A range of PNA from 0–30 nM was used to obtain a standard curve. Following that, a pre-incubated mixture of free DNA (competitor, non-biotinylated) and PNA was injected over the immobilized DNA surface. The amount of response was used to determine the concentration of free PNA in the presence of the competitor DNA. In the direct binding experiments biotinylated **Myc19** and **Myc19-comp** were immobilized on separate flow cells to a total RU of 250–300 to minimize mass transfer effects. All PNA samples were prepared in filtered and degassed buffer by serial dilutions from stock solutions. PNA samples were injected over the

DNA surface at a flow rate of 50 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ followed by flow of running buffer. The sensor chip was regenerated by injection of 10 μL of 50 mM NaOH. Double referencing subtractions were used for data analysis. The first reference subtraction eliminates the bulk refractive index change and injection noise whereas the second subtraction of a blank buffer injection eliminates any systematic changes that are characteristic of a particular flow cell.⁴³

RESULTS

The goal of the following experiments was to improve the selectivity of a G-rich PNA probe for binding to a DNA target of homologous sequence over the corresponding complementary sequence. Based on our previous findings that hybridization of homologous PNA and DNA (or RNA) oligomers yielded high affinity, 2:1 heteroquadruplexes ($K_d < 10$ nM),²⁸ a strategy that selectively *destabilized* the competing Watson-Crick heteroduplex was appealing. The two approaches we used to achieve this objective are described below.

Incorporation of Abasic Sites

Rationale—An important difference between heteroduplexes and heteroquadruplexes is the presence of unpaired nucleobases in the latter. These bases form the loops linking adjacent tracts of guanines that form the G-tetrads which stabilize heteroquadruplexes, whereas they are used to make Watson-Crick base pairs in heteroduplexes. Thus, replacing the loop bases in the PNA probe with an abasic linker should destabilize the heteroduplex while having little or no impact on the heteroquadruplex stability (Scheme 1).

We previously reported that an 8-mer G-rich PNA sequence (**P_{myc}**, Table 1) formed an extremely stable PNA₂-DNA heteroquadruplex with a 19-mer target derived from the *MYC* proto-oncogene promoter region (**Myc19**, Table 1).²⁸ Given the PNA and DNA sequences, the quadruplex domains should consist of three stacked G-tetrads, implying that the central two bases on the PNA should be expendable. Since the loop lengths and sequences can affect the stability and folding topology of DNA quadruplexes,^{44,45} it was difficult to predict the impact of abasic loop residues on the stability of a PNA₂-DNA heteroquadruplex. However, it seemed unlikely that abasic residues would destabilize the heteroquadruplex by as much as the loss of two base pairs from a heteroduplex, since no inter-base hydrogen bonds are lost in the case of the quadruplex (Scheme 1).

To test this hypothesis, we synthesized a set of PNA oligomers featuring two G₃ tracts separated by abasic linkers consisting of one, two, or three commercially available mini-polyethylene glycol (miniPEG) monomers (**P_{egn}**, $n = 1, 2$ or 3 ; Table 1). As with our previous reports, these G-rich PNAs were readily prepared by solid-phase synthesis, purified by HPLC and gave satisfactory results by mass spectrometry.

Complementary Hybridization—We first compared the binding of the PNAs to an RNA sequence (**rComp**) that is complementary to **P_{myc}**. The RNA also contained overhanging regions to simulate natural RNA, which would be longer than the PNA probe. Prior reports showed that overhanging bases can significantly stabilize PNA-DNA²⁴ and PNA-RNA⁴⁶ duplexes. UV melting experiments were used to characterize the PNA-RNA duplexes that should result from hybridization. UV absorbances of solutions containing 1 μM **P_{egn}** or **P_{myc}** and 1 μM **rComp** were monitored at 275 nm while the temperature was increased at 1° C/min from 15°C to 95°C (Figure 1 for **P_{eg2}**; data for **P_{eg1}** and **P_{eg3}** shown in Figure S1). Under the conditions of the experiment, the transition of the **P_{myc}-rComp** hybrid was greater than 90°C, based on the beginning of a transition at the highest temperature. As expected, the **P_{egn}-rComp** hybrids yielded much lower melting temperatures ($\Delta T_m > 40^\circ\text{C}$), consistent with the loss of the central two base pairs in the duplex.

Homologous Hybridization—The 2:1 binding stoichiometry of **P_{myc}** to **Myc19** was previously determined through a fluorescence Job plot analysis that relied on thiazole orange (TO), an environmentally sensitive dye that was attached to the N-terminus of the PNA to act as a reporter. Similarly, **P_{eg2}** was synthesized with an N-terminal TO label and a Job plot was constructed to determine the binding stoichiometry of **P_{eg2}-TO** to **Myc19**. The total strand concentration was held constant at 100nM and the individual PNA and DNA strand concentrations were varied. Figure 2 represents a Job plot demonstrating a turnover between 2:1 and 3:1 (PNA:DNA) binding stoichiometry. The presence of only four G tracts in the DNA target makes a 3:1 binding ratio unlikely, whereas a 2:1 binding stoichiometry is consistent with previous observations for short PNAs. However, it is possible that the TO chromophore allows binding of a third PNA via an end-stacking mode to the expected 2:1 PNA-DNA heteroquadruplex. Alternatively, a minor error (ca. 10%) in the extinction coefficient used to determine the PNA concentration could account for the ambiguity, since the concentrations at 2:1 and 3:1 correspond to 67 and 75 nM, respectively.

Subsequently, UV melting experiments were performed to monitor the binding of the abasic PNAs to **Myc19**. G-quadruplexes typically demonstrate hypochromic transitions at 295 nm upon heating through the T_m . Figure 3 compares the melting curves recorded at this wavelength for samples containing 1 μ M **Myc19** in the presence or absence of 2 μ M **P_{eg2}** or 2 μ M **P_{myc}**. Although the shapes of the curves differ, nearly identical melting temperatures were obtained from first derivative plots for the two hybrid quadruplexes (76.1 ± 0.3 °C for **P_{myc}** and 75.6 ± 2.3 °C for **P_{eg2}**). Moreover, the PNAs having one or three miniPEG units in the linker yielded similar melting curves when combined with **Myc19** (Figure S2). These results indicate that substituting the central GA bases in the PNA with abasic miniPEG residues has little or no effect on the thermal stability of the hybrid PNA-DNA quadruplex formed with the **Myc19** target.

Thermodynamic parameters for hybrid quadruplex formation were estimated by curve-fitting of the melting data (Table S1). While the magnitude of the parameters should be treated with caution due to the likelihood that the melting does not follow a simple two-state pathway,⁴⁹ the relative values can be compared for the different quadruplexes. The ΔG of formation was found to be strongly exergonic (ca. -31 kcal/mol) and invariant, within the limits of error, for the four PNAs, verifying that replacement of the loop bases with abasic residues did not have an effect on the stability of the hybrid quadruplex. Based on the similar results obtained for the three abasic PNAs, further experiments were restricted to **P_{eg2}**.

G-quadruplexes are stabilized by coordination of cations within the electronegative interior of the structure created by the lone pair of electrons on the O6 atom of each guanine that participates in tetrad formation. A strong preference for potassium over sodium and lithium has been reported for DNA⁵⁰ and RNA homoquadruplexes^{20,51} as well as PNA-containing heteroquadruplexes^{27,30,42}. Melting curves of **P_{eg2}-Myc19** (2:1) in the presence of KCl, NaCl and LiCl are shown in Figure 4. The results clearly demonstrate the preference for K^+ . Interestingly, while the quadruplex is clearly stabilized in KCl, the substantially lower hypochromicity suggests that the tetrads are not as well stacked as in the other two salts.

Surface plasmon resonance experiments were next carried out to determine the affinity of **P_{eg2}** for **Myc19**. Briefly, a series of solutions with varying concentration of **P_{eg2}** were flowed over a chip with a high density of immobilized **Myc19**. The initial slope of the sensorgrams under these mass transport limited conditions is linearly dependent on the concentration of PNA in solution.²⁸ These slopes were used to build a calibration curve to quantify free **P_{eg2}**. Subsequently, solutions containing 15 nM **P_{EG2}** and varying amounts of **Myc19** were mixed, allowed to equilibrate at room temperature and then flowed over the same chip (Figure 5, left). As the soluble **Myc19** concentration increases, there is less free **P_{eg2}** available to bind to the

immobilized **Myc19**, leading to the progressively smaller signals in the SPR. The initial slopes obtained from the resulting sensorgrams yielded the free **P_{eg2}** concentrations enabling the construction of a binding isotherm. Separate control experiments demonstrated that free **Myc19** in solution does not interact with surface bound **Myc19** (data not shown). The binding isotherm was fitted to a two equivalent site binding model (Figure 5, right) yielding an average binding constant of $2.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$ per site ($K_d = 4.0 \text{ nM}$). Note that this value corresponds to quadruplex-formation in solution since the SPR is used only to report the unbound PNA concentration. For comparison, we previously found the association constant of **P_{myc}** to **Myc19** to be $2.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ($K_d = 5.0 \text{ nM}$).²⁸ The similar binding constants for the two PNAs further demonstrates that, in spite of replacing the linking bases with abasic miniPEG units, the high affinity of G-rich PNA for the homologous **Myc19** DNA target is preserved.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectropolarimetry is often used to obtain structural information about intramolecular and hybrid G-quadruplexes. CD spectra were recorded for **Myc19** alone as well as **Myc19** + **P_{eg2}** or **P_{myc}**. The CD spectrum for **Myc19** alone shows a peak at 260 nm and a minimum at 240 nm, consistent with a parallel G-quadruplex structure. As observed previously for **P_{myc}**,²⁸ addition of the homologous PNA to **Myc19** did not cause a significant change in the spectrum (Figure 6), even though the SPR, T_m and fluorescence results clearly demonstrate that the PNAs can bind to **Myc19** under these conditions. These results indicate that the **P_{myc}-Myc19** and **P_{eg2}-Myc19** heteroquadruplexes are structurally similar to each other and to the **Myc19** homoquadruplex.

Modifications of the PNA Backbone

Rationale—With the aim of improving even further the properties of the PNAs, modifications in the original backbone structures have been performed. While modifications at the alpha carbon of the PNA monomer have only modest effects on thermal stability,^{52,53} modifications that introduce chirality at the gamma carbon can have profound effects on hybridization. Ly and coworkers³⁷ demonstrated that modification in the gamma position induces a helical structure in the PNA: an L-modified backbone results in a right-handed helix, whereas a D-modified backbone gives rise to a left-handed helix. Since the L-modified structure matches the preferred right-handed helicity of DNA and RNA duplexes, binding of γ -PNA to complementary DNA and RNA is favored thermodynamically and results in a stronger binding than unmodified PNA³⁷. Appella and coworkers also reported enhanced thermal stability for γ -PNAs based on an L-lysine side chain.⁵⁴ Based on this we predicted that the corresponding D-modified PNAs would show weak binding towards the complementary sequence due to their left-handed helical conformation. The impact on quadruplex formation was difficult to predict, although the fact that quadruplexes are typically underwound relative to duplexes suggested that heteroquadruplexes involving D- γ -PNA might suffer lower destabilization than heteroduplexes. In addition, results from the Appella group showing that a PNA backbone constrained by a *trans*-cyclopentane ring enhanced PNA-DNA quadruplex stability³¹ indicated that the PNA could tolerate considerable modifications without compromising quadruplex recognition.

To test our hypotheses, we synthesized a series of PNAs having D-or L-alanine modified backbones and compared them to an unmodified PNA (Table 1). In addition, we synthesized a PNA having both a D-alanine-modified backbone and two miniPEG residues in the loop to combine the two types of modifications under investigation in this study.

PNA Characterization—The structures of the γ -PNAs in the absence of DNA were studied by CD spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 7A, the two fully modified PNAs exhibit exciton coupling between 250–290 nm in their CD spectra, indicating helical stacking of the nucleobases. The positive peak at 280 nm, the negative peak at 260 nm, and the strong negative

peak at 210 nm for **L-Ala-P_T** are consistent with earlier findings from the Ly group, which established the right-handed helicity of single-stranded L- γ -PNA oligomers by correlating the CD spectrum with 2D-NMR experiments.⁵⁵ The near-mirror image spectrum for **D-Ala-P_T** is then assigned to the desired left-handed helix. That the intensities of the respective peaks are not equal for the two PNAs is likely due to the fact that both PNAs have L-lysines at the C-terminus, i.e. the two PNAs are not true enantiomers.

When the KCl concentration is increased from 1 mM to 150 mM, the intensities of the peaks increase and the spectral profiles change, with four clearly resolved peaks (Figure 7B). The most significant change to the spectra is the inversion of the 260 nm peak, from positive-to-negative for **D-Ala-P_T** and negative-to-positive for **L-Ala-P_T**. However, repeating the experiment using 150 mM LiCl instead of KCl results in spectra that look like the low potassium spectra shown in Figure 7A (see supporting information, Figure S3). This result indicates that the spectra shown in Figure 7B are due to a PNA homoquadruplex, which is stabilized by higher potassium concentration but destabilized by lithium ions. Further characterization of these quadruplexes will be reported elsewhere. Other examples of PNA homoquadruplexes have been reported previously.⁵⁶

Complementary Hybridization—The destabilizing effect of the D- γ -modified PNAs was demonstrated by UV melting experiments with the complementary DNA oligonucleotide 5'-CCCACCC-3'. The shorter sequence and choice of DNA (rather than RNA) backbone for this oligonucleotide allowed us to observe the melting transition of even the most stable duplex, formed by **L-Ala-P_T** (Figure 8). Table 2 collects the T_m data and shows that the right-handed L-modification improves hybridization by 16.3°C, while the left-handed D-modification destabilizes hybridization by -26°C, consistent with earlier findings.³⁷ The difference in magnitude of the Δ T_m values for the L- and D- γ -PNAs reflects the fact that the two types of PNA-DNA duplexes are diastereomeric, rather than enantiomeric. The PNA that combines both D- γ and abasic residues, **D-Ala-P_{eg2}**, does not give an observable transition, indicating that complementary hybridization is completely eliminated under these conditions.

Homologous Hybridization—We next investigated heteroquadruplex formation between the γ -PNAs and **Myc19**. UV melting experiments were performed at a 2:1 PNA:DNA stoichiometry (Figure 9; actual melting curves are shown in Figure S4). There are several interesting results in this experiment. First, under the experimental conditions of 1 mM KCl, the **Myc19** homoquadruplex melted at 61 °C while all PNA-DNA heteroquadruplexes were significantly more stable (Δ T_m > 10 °C). Second, the fact that the unmodified **P_T**, which has only a single thymine between the two G₃ tracts rather than the GA segment of **P_{myc}**, still forms a stable heteroquadruplex provides further evidence that the linker between the G tracts in the PNA does not significantly affect heteroquadruplex stability. Third, while **P_T** and **L-Ala-P_T** show similar melting temperatures at the same KCl concentration, **D-Ala-P_T** and **D-Ala-P_{eg2}** show small decreases (2–6 °C), indicating that the left-handed helical structure of these PNAs has a modest destabilizing effect on the resulting heteroquadruplexes. Nevertheless, these heteroquadruplexes are still more stable than the **Myc19** homoquadruplex, consistent with results presented by our group⁴². In addition, the relatively weak effect of the change in chirality on heteroquadruplex formation contrasts favorably with heteroduplex formation, which was virtually eliminated for **D-Ala-P_{eg2}** (Figure 8).

CD spectropolarimetry experiments were performed to obtain information about the secondary structure of the complexes. As observed previously for **P_{myc}** and demonstrated above for the abasic PNAs **P_{EGn}**, hybridization of the γ -PNAs to Myc19 resulted in minimal perturbation of the CD spectrum, indicating minimal reorganization of the DNA structure upon heteroquadruplex formation (Figure S5).⁴²

Hybridization Selectivity—We next used SPR to further compare the ability of our PNAs to discriminate between complementary and homologous targets. In this experiment, **Myc19** was immobilized on the SPR chip to provide a homologous target for heteroquadruplex formation. On an independent flow cell, we immobilized the complement to **Myc19**, i.e. 5'-TCCCCACCCTCCCCACCCT-3' (**Myc19-comp**), where the underlined sequences are perfectly complementary to the unmodified PNA and the γ -PNAs, except for **D-Ala-P_{eg2}**. (We were unable to perform this experiment using immobilized RNA, which would be degraded during the denaturation wash with NaOH.) Sensorgrams for hybridization of 20 nM PNA to **Myc19** and **Myc19-comp** are shown in Figure 10A for the unmodified PNA and three of the γ -PNAs. All four of the PNAs bind reasonably well to the homologous target, consistent with the UV melting results described above. The incomplete association and dissociation phases complicate extraction of the kinetic parameters from the sensorgrams. However, there is less than a factor of two difference in the amount of PNA bound during the association phase for each PNA. These results further support the conclusion that γ -modifications to the PNA backbone are well tolerated when forming heteroquadruplexes with DNA.

Strikingly different results are obtained for hybridization to the complementary DNA target (Figure 10B). Hybridization of **P_T** is rapid and saturates the immobilized DNA whereas dissociation is very slow, presumably due to the high GC content of the resulting PNA-DNA duplex. Hybridization of **L-Ala-P_T** is somewhat slower than for the unmodified PNA, but once formed, the duplex also strongly resists dissociation. Inverting the chirality of the backbone to **D-Ala-P_T** significantly retards hybridization, as expected due to the left-handed helicity of this PNA. This PNA dissociates markedly faster than **L-Ala-P_T**. Most importantly, **D-Ala-P_{eg2}** exhibits very little hybridization to the immobilized complementary target followed by rapid and complete dissociation. These results illustrate the high quadruplex *versus* duplex selectivity that can be achieved by appropriately modified PNAs.

Finally, the promising results for **D-Ala-P_{eg2}** motivated us to determine its binding constant for **Myc19** using the SPR competition method. Figure 11 illustrates that free **Myc19** efficiently competes with surface-immobilized **Myc19** for hybridization to the PNA: there is essentially no free PNA once the concentration of **Myc19** in the solution reaches 55 nM. The binding plot shown in Figure 11 reveals an unexpected result: the value of r (i.e. ratio of bound PNA to total DNA) is less than 1 even when the PNA is completely bound, indicating that this 57 PNA preferentially forms a 1:1 complex with **Myc19**. (Future experiments will be directed to understanding the apparent change in binding stoichiometry.) The data in Figure 11B are fit to a 1:1 binding equation giving a value of $4.1 \pm 0.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ($K_d = 2.4 \text{ nM}$). While the fit is not ideal, particularly at low PNA concentration, the raw SPR data shown in Figure 11A indicate that 50% of the PNA is bound by <20 nM free **Myc19**, verifying that even with the D- γ -backbone modifications and abasic residues, heteroquadruplex formation by **D-Ala-P_{eg2}** is a favorable process.

DISCUSSION

Any G-quadruplex targeted compound faces challenges in achieving selective binding in cells. Structure-targeted compounds such as small molecules that recognize quadruplexes by end-stacking or groove binding must exhibit significantly higher affinity for quadruplexes than for double-helical DNA, which, because of its relatively high concentration, could be a formidable competitor. Additionally, the small molecule must avoid binding to cellular RNA, which can fold into complex three-dimensional structures that might provide suitable binding sites. Finally, if the goal is to target a single quadruplex, then the molecule must discriminate among several structurally similar DNA and RNA quadruplexes. The development of G-quadruplex-binding proteins^{57,58} using powerful selection schemes promises to yield higher selectivity,

but face the typical challenges of protein reagents, e.g. higher cost, lower stability and inefficient cell uptake.

Sequence-targeted approaches to G-quadruplex recognition face different obstacles. Complementary oligonucleotides such as PNA and LNA must exhibit a high degree of sequence selectivity in order to avoid binding to unintended DNA or RNA. This is particularly difficult when the target is highly enriched in guanine, since duplexes containing single mismatches might still be quite stable at physiological temperatures. In the homologous hybridization strategy, the probe must still avoid binding to a complementary competitor. The results presented above illustrate two ways in which this can be accomplished.

Our overall strategy was to modify the PNA in a way that would discourage binding to complementary sites without compromising the affinity for homologous sites. We first replaced the PNA loop residues with abasic, miniPEG units, since two potential Watson-Crick base pairs with a complementary competitor would be eliminated. This is similar to a report from Risitano and Fox, which demonstrated that similar ethylene glycol-based units inserted into a G-rich DNA oligonucleotide led to a strong preference for intramolecular folding into a quadruplex over intermolecular hybridization to a C-rich DNA.⁵⁹ As shown, **P_{myc}** binds tightly to a complementary RNA ($T_m > 90^\circ\text{C}$) but replacing the central GA with two miniPEG units depressed the melting temperature by over 40°C without compromising the affinity for the **Myc19** DNA quadruplex target.

We next used γ -modified PNAs to further weaken the affinity for complementary targets. γ -PNAs derived from L- or D-alanine feature methyl groups attached to the γ -carbon of the PNA backbone, which induce either right- or left-handed helicity, respectively. As shown in Table 2, the left-handed D- γ -PNA significantly weakened binding to a complementary competitor DNA, an observation that was reinforced by SPR experiments. Combining abasic and D- γ residues into the same PNA (**D-Ala-P_{eg2}**) almost completely eliminated hybridization to the complementary DNA (Figures 8 and 10), which was the main goal of this project.

While it was unsurprising to learn that abasic and D- γ -modified residues weakened hybridization of PNA to complementary RNA and DNA, it was less obvious what the impact would be on binding to a homologous DNA target. Ethylene glycol (EG)-like residues have been inserted into loops in various DNA hairpin^{60,61} and dumbbell⁶¹ constructs, but their use in quadruplex motifs has been less common. Risitano and Fox published two reports showing that such modifications were tolerated in motifs such as $G_3\text{-(X)-}G_3\text{-(X)-}G_3\text{-(X)-}G_3$.^{45,59} The resulting quadruplexes were less stable than a Myc-model quadruplex, but of similar or higher stability compared with a quadruplex modeled on the human telomere sequence. Meanwhile, Cevec and Plavec showed that EG residues inserted between two G_4 tracts accelerated formation of intermolecular dimeric quadruplexes, although the stability of the resulting quadruplex decreased.⁶²

To determine the impact of the modifications on the affinity for quadruplex DNA, we tested hybridization of the PNAs to **Myc19**, which was previously targeted with the unmodified PNA **P_{myc}**. Because of the high stability of the PNA-DNA heteroquadruplex structures, melting temperature experiments could only be performed at low KCl concentrations. Unlike the experiments with the complementary RNA, the right-handed L- γ -PNAs did not affect the stability of the heteroquadruplex, compared with the unmodified PNA (Figure 9). This suggests that the favorable entropic contribution of a pre-organized helical PNA strand is offset by an unfavorable enthalpic contribution if the helicity of the PNA does not match that of the final heteroquadruplex structure. (The methyl group on the modified PNA backbone is also likely to alter the effect of hydration on the PNA hybridization thermodynamics.) Meanwhile, the D-

γ -PNAs exhibit a modest destabilization ($\Delta T_m = 4\text{--}9^\circ\text{C}$), but stable heteroquadruplexes are formed in all three cases.

CD experiments were performed to evaluate the structure of the PNA-DNA heteroquadruplexes. Interestingly, in contrast to the inverted CD spectra exhibited by the chiral PNAs (Figure 7), all PNAs show similar CD spectra when bound to the **Myc19** DNA, with a maximum at 260nm and minimum at 240nm, similar to the characteristic CD signal of a parallel quadruplex. These results indicate that the helical secondary structures and intermolecular quadruplex tertiary structures adopted by the γ -PNAs are readily disrupted in order to form stable heteroquadruplexes with **Myc19**.

Final confirmation that the modified PNAs exhibit improved selectivity for homologous vs complementary targets was obtained from SPR experiments. The best PNA, **D-Ala-Peg2**, combines abasic and D- γ -modified residues, leading to low nanomolar affinity for **Myc19** with minimal binding to a complementary target. Such PNAs should be able to access their cellular quadruplex targets without significant off-target binding to complementary RNA or DNA.

CONCLUSIONS

The results presented above illustrate the ease with which quadruplex-targeted PNAs can be modified to avoid binding to complementary targets, addressing a major concern with using G-rich PNAs as gene-targeted agents. The success of the modified PNAs exploits the different binding modes for the PNAs with their homologous targets, i.e. heteroquadruplex formation based on G-tetrads, versus complementary competitors, i.e. heteroduplex formation based on Watson-Crick pairs. It would be much more difficult to avoid off-target effects for C-rich probes that targeted quadruplexes by duplex formation.

This report addresses only half of the selectivity problem for quadruplex-targeted PNAs. In order to successfully hybridize to a specific quadruplex, hybridization to all other quadruplexes (or, more generally, all putative quadruplex sequences) must be avoided. Given the large number of such sequences in genomic DNA and mRNA, this is a significant challenge. Successful strategies will likely rely on secondary recognition elements in the PNA that interact with the DNA loop and flanking nucleotides, which are the distinguishing features of similarly folded quadruplexes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

S.L. synthesized D- γ -PNAs and performed optical spectroscopy and thermal denaturation experiments for γ -PNAs, C.M. synthesized and performed optical spectroscopy and thermal denaturation experiments for miniPEG-containing PNAs, S. R. synthesized and performed optical spectroscopy and thermal denaturation experiments for **PEG2**, F. T. performed all SPR experiments and I.S. synthesized D- γ -PNA monomers. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM58547 to B.A.A. and R01 GM61587 to W.D.W.) Mass spectra were recorded in the Center for Molecular Analysis at Carnegie Mellon, supported by NSF CHE-9808188 and DBI-9729351. We thank Dr. Srinivas Rapireddy for synthesis of L- γ -PNA monomers and oligomers.

References

1. Watson JD, Crick FHC. Nature 1953;171:737–738. [PubMed: 13054692]
2. Gellert M, Lipsett MN, Davies DR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1962;48:2013–8. [PubMed: 13947099]
3. Arnott S, Chandrasekaran R, Marttila CM. Biochem J 1974;141:537–43. [PubMed: 4375981]
4. Blackburn EH. Nature 1991;350:569–73. [PubMed: 1708110]

5. Blackburn EH. *Science* 1990;249:489–90. [PubMed: 2200120]
6. Hardin CC, Henderson E, Watson T, Prosser JK. *Biochemistry* 1991;30:4460–72. [PubMed: 2021636]
7. Oka Y, Thomas CA Jr. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1987;15:8877–98. [PubMed: 3120149]
8. Sundquist WI, Klug A. *Nature (London, United Kingdom)* 1989;342:825–9. [PubMed: 2601741]
9. Huppert JL, Balasubramanian S. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005;33:2908–2916. [PubMed: 15914667]
10. Todd AK, Johnston M, Neidle S. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005;33:2901–2907. [PubMed: 15914666]
11. Huppert J, Balasubramanian S. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007;35:406–413. [PubMed: 17169996]
12. Zhao Y, Du Z, Li N. *FEBS Lett* 2007;581:1951–1956. [PubMed: 17462634]
13. Viglasky V. *FEBS J* 2009;276:401–409. [PubMed: 19054066]
14. Patel DJ, Phan AT, Kuryavyi V. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2007;35:7429–7455. [PubMed: 17913750]
15. Maizels N. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2006;13:1055–1059. [PubMed: 17146462]
16. Siddiqui-Jain A, Grand CL, Bearss DJ, Hurley LH. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:11593–11598. [PubMed: 12195017]
17. Qin Y, Hurley LH. *Biochimie* 2008;90:1149–1171. [PubMed: 18355457]
18. Gomez D, Lemarteleur T, Lacroix L, Mailliet P, Mergny JL, Riou JF. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:371–379. [PubMed: 14729921]
19. Kumari S, Bugaut A, Huppert J, Balasubramanian S. *Nature Chem Biol* 2007;3:218–221. [PubMed: 17322877]
20. Arora A, Dutkiewicz M, Scaria V, Hariharan M, Maiti S, Kurreck J. *RNA* 2008;14:1290–1296. [PubMed: 18515550]
21. Huppert J. *Chem Soc Rev* 2008;37:1375–1384. [PubMed: 18568163]
22. Monchaud D, Teulade-Fichou MP. *Org Biomol Chem* 2008;6:627–636. [PubMed: 18264563]
23. Kumar N, Patowary A, Sivasubbu S, Petersen M, Maiti S. *Biochemistry* 2008;47:13179–13188. [PubMed: 19053274]
24. Datta B, Armitage BA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:9612–9619. [PubMed: 11572682]
25. Green JJ, Ying L, Klenerman D, Balasubramanian S. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:3763–3767. [PubMed: 12656607]
26. Amato J, Oliviero G, De Pauw E, Gabelica V. *Biopolymers* 2009;91:244–255. [PubMed: 19065573]
27. Datta B, Schmitt C, Armitage BA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:4111–4118. [PubMed: 12670232]
28. Roy S, Tanious F, Wilson WD, Ly DH, Armitage BA. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:10433–10443. [PubMed: 17718513]
29. Marin VL, Armitage BA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:8032–8033. [PubMed: 15926825]
30. Marin VL, Armitage BA. *Biochemistry* 2006;45:1745–1754. [PubMed: 16460021]
31. Englund EA, Xu Q, Witschi MA, Appella DH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:16456–16457. [PubMed: 17177367]
32. Paul A, Sengupta P, Krishnan Y, Ladame S. *Chem Eur J* 2008;14:8682–8689.
33. Ivanova GD, Arzumanov A, Abes R, Yin H, Wood MJA, Lebleu B, Gait MJ. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008;36:6418–6428. [PubMed: 18842625]
34. Koppelhus U, Shiraishi T, Zachar V, Pankratova S, Nielsen PE. *Bioconjugate Chem* 2008;19:1526–1534.
35. Dragulescu-Andrasi A, Zhou P, He G, Ly DH. *Chem Commun* 2005:244–246.
36. Zhou P, Wang M, Du L, Fisher GW, Waggoner A, Ly DH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:6878–6879. [PubMed: 12783535]
37. Dragulescu-Andrasi A, Rapireddy S, Frezza BM, Gayathri C, Gil RR, Ly DH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:10258–10267. [PubMed: 16881656]
38. Christensen L, Fitzpatrick R, Gildea B, Petersen KH, Hansen HF, Koch T, Egholm M, Buchardt O, Nielsen PE, Coull J, Berg RH. *J Peptide Sci* 1995;3:175–183. [PubMed: 9222994]
39. Koch, T. *Peptide Nucleic Acids: Protocols and Applications*. 2. Nielsen, PE., editor. Horizon Bioscience; Norfolk: 2004. p. 37–60.
40. Dawson, RMC. *Data for Biochemical Research*. 3. Oxford University Press; New York: 1986.
41. Mergny JL, Phan AT, Lacroix L. *FEBS Lett* 1998;435:74–78. [PubMed: 9755862]

42. Roy S, Tanious FA, Wilson WD, Ly DH, Armitage BA. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:10433–10443. [PubMed: 17718513]
43. Nguyen B, Tanious FA, Wilson WD. *Methods* 2007;142:150–161. [PubMed: 17472897]
44. Bugaut A, Balasubramanian S. *Biochemistry* 2008;47:689–697. [PubMed: 18092816]
45. Risitano A, Fox KR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:2598–2606. [PubMed: 15141030]
46. Dias N, Sénamaud-Beaufort C, le Forestier E, Auvin C, Hélène C, Saison-Behmoaras TE. *J Mol Biol* 2002;320:489–501. [PubMed: 12096905]
47. Marin VL, Armitage BA. *Biochemistry* 2006;45:1745–1754. [PubMed: 16460021]
48. Svanvik N, Westman G, Wang D, Kubista M. *Anal Biochem* 2000;281:26–35. [PubMed: 10847607]
49. Haq I, Chowdhry BZ, Chaires JB. *Eur J Biophys* 1997;26:419–426.
50. Williamson JR. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 1994;23:703–730. [PubMed: 7919797]
51. Ramos A, Hollingworth D, Pastore A. *RNA* 2003;9:1198–1207. [PubMed: 13130134]
52. Püschl A, Sforza S, Haaiima G, Dahl O, Nielsen PE. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1998;39:4707–4710.
53. Haaiima G, Lohse A, Buchardt O, Nielsen PE. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 1996;35:1939–1942.
54. Englund EA, Appella DH. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:1414–1418.
55. Dragulescu-Andrasi A, Rapireddy S, Frezza BM, Gayathri C, Gil RR, Ly DH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:10258–10267. [PubMed: 16881656]
56. Datta B, Bier ME, Roy S, Armitage BA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:4199–4207. [PubMed: 15783201]
57. Fernando H, Rodriguez R, Balasubramanian S. *Biochemistry* 2008;47:9365–9371. [PubMed: 18702511]
58. Schaffitzel C, Berger I, Postberg J, Hanes J, Lipps HJ, Plückthun A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001;98:8572–8577. [PubMed: 11438689]
59. Risitano A, Fox KR. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:6507–6513. [PubMed: 12767234]
60. Rumney SI, Kool ET. *J Am Chem Soc* 1995;117:5635–5646.
61. McCullagh M, Zhang L, Karaba AH, Zhu H, Schatz GC, Lewis FD. *J Phys Chem B* 2008;112:11415–11421. [PubMed: 18707160]
62. Cevec M, Plavec J. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:15238–15246. [PubMed: 16285727]

Figure 1. UV melting curves monitored at 275 nm for rComp + PNA. Buffer = 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7), 150 mM KCl and 0.1 mM EDTA. [RNA] = [PNA] = 1 μ M.

Figure 2.

Fluorescence Job Plot binding of **P_{eg2}-TO** to **Myc19**. Total strand concentration (PNA + DNA) was 100 nM. Buffer contained 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7), 100 mM KCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA.

Figure 3. UV melting curves monitored at 295nm for 1μM Myc19 and 2μM of either P_{myc} or P_{eg2} in buffer containing 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 7), 1mM KCl, and 0.1mM EDTA.

Figure 4. UV melting curves monitored at 295nm for 1 μ M Myc19 + 2 μ M Peg2. Buffer contained 10mM Tris-HCl (pH7), and 1mM indicated ionic salt.

Figure 5. SPR analysis of P_{eg2} binding to **Myc19**. (Left) Sensorgrams obtained upon flowing solutions containing 15nM P_{eg2} and varying amounts of **Myc19** over a chip containing immobilized **Myc19**. Increasing **Myc19** concentration leads to decreased free P_{eg2} and smaller changes in RU. (Right) Fitting to a 2:1 model with two equivalent sites gives an average $K_a = 2.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$.

Figure 6. CD spectra of 2.5 μ M **Myc19** in the presence and absence of 5 μ M P_{eg2} or P_{myc} . Buffer contained 150 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 7), and 0.1 mM EDTA. Spectra were recorded at 37°C

Figure 7.

CD spectra of **L**- and **D**-Ala-**P_T** samples. Samples contained 2 μ M PNA, 10mM Tris HCl (pH 7), 0.1mM Na₂EDTA and either 1 mM KCl (A) or 150 mM KCl (B).

Figure 8.

UV melting experiments for the different PNAs with the complementary DNA. Samples contained 2 μ M PNA and DNA, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7), 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA and 150 mM KCl. The lack of a transition for D-egPNA is due to the failure of this PNA to hybridize to the complementary DNA.

Figure 9.

Histogram showing melting temperature for the **Myc19** DNA quadruplex alone or in a 2:1 heteroquadruplex with the indicated PNA. Samples contained 2 μ M PNA and 1 μ M DNA, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7), 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA and 1.0 mM KCl.

Figure 10.

SPR data for γ -PNAs with homologous (**Myc19; A**) or complementary (**Myc19-comp; B**) DNA. Samples contain 20nM PNA, 10mM Tris HCl (pH 7), 0.1mM Na₂EDTA and 100mM KCl.

Figure 11.

SPR competition experiment for measuring equilibrium constant for **D-Ala-P_{eg2} + Myc19** hybridization in solution. All samples contained 15 nM PNA, 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 7), 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA and 100 mM KCl with varying concentrations of **Myc19**. Left: SPR data for individual samples. Right: Binding isotherm where r values ($r = [\text{PNA bound}]/[\text{DNA total}]$) were calculated based on the amount of free PNA available to hybridize to the SPR surface. Line corresponds to fit based on 1:1 binding equation.

Scheme 1.

Table 1

Sequence of the different synthesized PNAs and their calculated and experimental m/z . Structures of backbone modified and abasic residues are shown below.

PNA	Sequence	Calc. M/Z	Exp. M/Z
P_{eg1}	H- G G G - (eg) - G G G - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2039.24	2039.85
P_{eg2}	H- G G G - (eg) ₂ - G G G - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2184.40	2188.72
P_{eg3}	H- G G G - (eg) ₃ - G G G - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2329.55	2332.06
P_{myc}	H- G G G - A G - G G G - ^L Lys-NH ₂	2460.20	2462.52
P_{eg2}-TO[†]	TO-G G G - (eg) ₂ - G G G - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2572.91	2571.12
P_T	H- G G G - T - G G G - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2160.98	2161.00
L-Ala-P_T	H- ^L -alaG ^L -alaG ^L -alaG - ^L -alaT - ^L -alaG ^L -alaG ^L -alaG - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2259.16	2259.31
D-Ala-P_T	H- ^D -alaG ^D -alaG ^D -alaG - ^D -alaT - ^D -alaG ^D -alaG ^D -alaG - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2259.16	2258.92
D-Ala-P_{EG2}	H- ^D -alaG ^D -alaG ^D -alaG - (eg) ₂ - ^D -alaG ^D -alaG ^D -alaG - ^L Lys - NH ₂	2268.28	2269.77

[†]TO = Thiazole Orange

Table 2

Melting temperature data for the different PNAs and the complementary DNA 5'-CCCACCC-3' (Figure 8).

PNA	T _m (°C)	ΔT _m (°C)
P _T	62.6 ± 0.4	-
L-Ala-P _T	78.9 ± 0.5	+16.3
D-Ala-P _T	36.6 ± 0.1	-26.0
D-Ala-P _{eg2}	-	-