Published in final edited form as:

J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010 January ; 36(1): 127. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2009.07.046.

Anterior capsulotomy with a pulsed-electron avalanche knife

Daniel Palanker, PhD¹, Hiroyuki Nomoto, MD, PhD¹, Philip Huie, MA¹, Alexander Vankov, PhD², and David F. Chang, MD³

¹ Department of Ophthalmology and Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford

² Peak Surgical, Inc, Palo Alto

³ Private practice, Los Altos, California, USA

Abstract

PURPOSE—To evaluate a new pulsed-electron avalanche knife (PEAK) design for creating a continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis (CCC) and compare the CCC with a mechanical capsulorhexis.

SETTING—Department of Ophthalmology, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.

METHODS—In this study, CCCs were created in freshly enucleated bovine eyes and in rabbit eyes in vivo. The cutting velocity was adjusted by controlling the burst repetition rate, voltage amplitude, and burst duration. Tissue samples were fixed and processed for histology and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) immediately after surgery.

RESULTS—The study included 50 bovine eyes and 10 rabbit eyes. By adjusting the electrosurgical waveforms, gas-bubble formation was minimized to permit good surgical visualization. The optimum voltage level was determined to be ± 410 V with a burst duration of 20 μ s. Burst repetition rate, continuously adjustable from 20 to 200 Hz with footpedal control, allowed the surgeon to vary linear cutting velocity up to 2.0 mm/second. Histology and SEM showed that the pulsed-electron avalanche knife produced sharp-edged capsule cutting without radial nicks or tears.

CONCLUSIONS—The pulsed-electron probe duplicated the surgical feel of a 25-gauge cystotome and created a histologically smooth capsule cut.

The continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis (CCC) is one of the most important steps in cataract surgery. A properly sized capsulorhexis enhances surgical safety, hydrodissection, cortical cleanup, and intraocular lens (IOL) centration and inhibits posterior capsule opacification.^{1–10}

¹⁰ The introduction of newer refractive IOLs has increased the importance of consistently achieving a symmetrically round and properly sized capsulorhexis.

Corresponding author: Daniel Palanker, PhD, Stanford University, 452 Lomita Mall, Stanford, California 94305-4085, USA. palanker@stanford.edu.

Drs. Palanker and Vankov hold patents to the pulsed electron avalanche knife technology, which are licensed to PEAK Surgical by Stanford University. Drs. Palanker and Chang are consultants to Peak Surgical. Dr. Vankov is an employee of PEAK Surgical. Neither of the other authors has a financial or proprietary interest in any material or method mentioned.

Scott Taylor and Paul Davison, PEAK Surgical, Inc., help fabricate the capsulotomy probes. Roopa Dalal, Department of Ophthalmology, Stanford University, performed the histologic preparations and photography.

Financial Disclosures: Drs. Palanker and Vankov hold patents to the pulsed electron avalanche knife technology, which are licensed to PEAK Surgical by Stanford University. Drs. Palanker and Chang are consultants to Peak Surgical. Dr. Vankov is an employee of PEAK Surgical. Neither of the other authors has a financial or proprietary interest in any material or method mentioned.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Beginning resident surgeons usually rate the capsulorhexis as the most difficult step in cataract surgery.¹¹ However, even for experienced surgeons, achieving a properly sized capsulorhexis is more difficult in the presence of common surgical risk factors, including a small pupil, a shallow anterior chamber, weak zonules, pediatric eyes, and poor visibility or a poor red reflex. It is ironic, therefore, that the capsulorhexis is the rare step in cataract surgery that has not been enhanced by technology. The maneuvers are still performed free hand, and the surgeon must rely on visual clues, such as the diameter of the pupil and the cornea. A precise way to cut or trace a capsulorhexis or to safely enlarge a small diameter capsulorhexis after IOL implantation would be beneficial.

A pulsed-electron avalanche knife (PEAK-fc, Carl Zeiss Meditec) was initially designed for vitreoretinal surgery and has been successful in clinical testing.^{12,13} The system was developed for “cold” and traction-free dissection of soft tissue^{14,15} We describe a modified system and probe configuration that is optimized for creating CCCs and report the test results and histologic findings in porcine and rabbit eyes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study evaluated the PEAK anterior segment system (PEAK Surgical, Inc.) for creating CCCs and compared the CCCs with those created mechanically. As opposed to conventional radiofrequency electrosurgery, in which continuous waveforms are applied for tissue cutting, the system produces plasma-mediated discharges driven by radiofrequency bursts lasting several tenths of microseconds and are applied at a repetition rate of 10 to 1000 Hz.^{15,16} Because of the short duration of the radiofrequency bursts, heat diffusion into the surrounding tissue is limited to a distance of approximately 10 μm . This restricts thermal damage at the edge of the cut tissue to the cellular scale, in contrast to a much larger damage zone (typically hundreds of micrometers) produced by conventional electrosurgical devices. The duty cycle of the system’s waveform, defined as the ratio of the “power on” duration to the total application time, typically does not exceed 1%. These low average power settings significantly reduce heat generation over that generated by conventional continuous radiofrequency instruments; this technology is referred to as cold cutting.

The cutting tip of the system’s capsulotomy probe is a protruding tungsten wire with a diameter of 75 μm (Figure 1). The shaft of the probe is identical to that of a 25-gauge needle (0.51 mm in diameter) and curved into a slight arc to accommodate the convexity of the lens capsule. The insulating material at the tip of the probe is transparent to allow visualization of the cutting wire, which extends 250 to 300 μm from the insulator.

The cutting probe was used to create anterior CCCs in freshly enucleated bovine eyes, and after initial optimization, in rabbit eyes in vivo. The corneal incisions were created using a 15-degree keratome (Alcon, Inc.), and the anterior chamber was kept inflated with sodium hyaluronate 1.0% (Provisc).

Regarding the cutting parameters, the pulse repetition rate was adjusted continuously (range 20 to 200 Hz) by the surgeon using a footpedal, the voltage amplitude was adjusted in the range of ± 350 to ± 450 V, and burst duration was 20 μs , or 40 cycles at a radiofrequency of 2 MHz. The cutting probe was slowly moved along the capsule in a circular manner with a velocity of approximately 1.0 mm/second to avoid tractional forces and minimize pressure on the lens capsule. The cutting velocity was adjusted by controlling the pulse repetition rate with the footpedal.

For light microscopy, specimens were fixed in 10% formaldehyde dehydrated with a graded series of ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Semithin sections (8 μm) were stained with hematoxylin–eosin. For scanning electron microscopy, treated eyes were fixed in 2.5%

glutaraldehyde in sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in a series of methanols, and critical point dried. The samples were plasma coated with gold–palladium and evaluated with a scanning electron microscope.

RESULTS

The study included 50 bovine eyes and 10 rabbit eyes. During initial experimentation with porcine eyes, 2 parameters of the electrosurgical waveforms (voltage and burst repetition rate) were optimized for capsule cutting. After multiple experiments, an optimum voltage level of ± 410 V was determined. The optimum range of burst repetition rate was 20 to 200 Hz, with a maximum linear cutting velocity of approximately 2.0 mm/second. To allow surgeon control of the cutting speed, the burst repetition rate was kept adjustable under footpedal control.

Figure 2, A, is a video frame showing a CCC nearing completion. Although the gas bubbles seen could not be eliminated, they were minimized by adjusting the electrosurgical waveforms until they no longer impaired the surgeon's visualization. Depending on the cutting rate, capsulotomy completion took approximately 12 to 20 seconds in porcine eyes and up to 25 seconds in rabbit eyes.

Figure 2, B, shows the typical histology of a porcine lens after CCC. The reduced osmolarity of the anterior chamber was likely responsible for the bulging of lens tissue through the capsulotomy. Figure 3 shows a higher magnification histological comparison of anterior porcine capsulotomy edges created by a forceps tear and edges after a probe cut. Both techniques resulted in a sharply cut edge to the capsule. After mechanical capsulorhexis, the capsule was detached from the lens near the edge while after probe cutting, it was fully attached to the lens cortex to the edge.

Figure 4 shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the edge of a capsulorhexis that was mechanically torn with a forceps. Figure 5 shows SEM of a probe capsulotomy. Both methods produced a sharp edge without radial nicks or tears. The cut face of the mechanically torn capsule edge was smoother on SEM than the probe-created edge because the cutting probe left behind residual microstructures.

DISCUSSION

Creating an anterior CCC has many intraoperative and postoperative advantages in cataract surgery.^{1–10} A symmetric, properly sized capsulorhexis is even more important for implantation of refractive IOLs, such as multifocal and accommodating models, for which excellent optic centration is critical. The effective axial lens position may also vary depending on whether the capsulorhexis completely or incompletely overlaps the optic, thereby affecting accuracy of the IOL power calculation. Due to their different designs, most accommodating IOLs should not be implanted if the capsulorhexis is torn. For example, the Synchrony dual-optic accommodating IOL is a bag-filling lens designed to produce forward movement of the anterior optic during accommodative effort.^{17,18} This requires a completely overlapping capsulorhexis to prevent partial prolapse of the anterior optic out of the bag.

Successfully creating a CCC is more difficult under challenging circumstances, such as poor capsule visibility, a small pupil, a shallow anterior chamber, weak zonules, a thickened and fibrotic capsule, or an elastic pediatric anterior capsule. Particularly when it is difficult to control the path of the flap, the ability to cut rather than tear the capsular margin would be advantageous. Another challenge is rescuing the errant tear, which is typically due to one of the aforementioned risk factors. Again, the precise control of a cutting probe might allow surgeons to better redirect the path of the tear. Finally, secondarily enlarging a smaller than

desired CCC would be advantageous once the IOL has been implanted. A precise and highly maneuverable capsule-cutting instrument would facilitate this step.

Several other methods to allow surgeons to trace or draw a capsulorhexis have been tried.^{19–21} The high-frequency capsulotomy²² has been associated with a higher risk for capsule tears and intraoperative complications than the conventional mechanical CCC.^{19,22} Histologic examination of high-frequency capsulotomy cuts show thermal tissue damage that decreases the biomechanical stability of the anterior capsular edge.^{19,20,22} The vitrectorhexis, a mechanized anterior capsulotomy technique combined with an IOL implantation in pediatric cataract surgery, was first described in the 1990s.²³ In a retrospective analysis of 208 eyes,²⁴ the incidence of radial tears was as high as 7.7%. This technique has not been used for adult anterior capsulotomy. The Fugo blade was introduced several years ago for resistance-free incision of the anterior lens capsule.^{21,25} The instrument is bulkier and heavier than a conventional cystotome, which creates a substantial surgical learning curve. A higher percentage of radial tears with this method were found in a clinical study comparing different methods of making a CCC.²⁴ Although it is U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved, surgeons have not adopted the Fugo blade for creating the anterior capsulotomy to a significant degree.

The original pulsed-electron avalanche knife system was used in a few human eyes to create a capsulotomy for cataract surgery^{26,27}; however, the system's retinal probe was not properly configured for creating capsulotomy, and no histological evaluation of the cut capsule edge was performed. In addition, the system's power supply did not have continuous control of the burst repetition rate and the relatively low radio frequency (400 kHz) generated a noticeable amount of gas bubbles.^{26,27}

We evaluated a modification of the pulsed-electron avalanche knife system; this new anterior segment system has an optimized probe shape, continuous footpedal control of cutting rate, and a higher radiofrequency (2 MHz) to reduce the amount of gas bubbles. The new probe configuration for anterior capsule cutting incorporates a lighter, more maneuverable handle and an intraocular design that emulates a bent 25-gauge cystotome or capsulotomy needle. This makes the tip easy to insert through a 1.0 mm paracentesis and easy to maneuver in the anterior chamber.

Our study using the new pulsed-electron avalanche knife system showed that it consistently created a histologically smooth continuous capsule edge without evidence of collateral thermal damage or weakening nicks. In addition, the electrosurgical parameters were successfully optimized for cutting without excessive view-impairing bubbles.

In conclusion, the new pulsed-electron capsulotomy instrument has the potential to provide cataract surgeons with a more precise and reproducible way to create a CCC. This might improve successful completion of this step in the face of surgical risk factors, such as weak zonules or poor visibility. Finally, better surgical control may improve proper capsulorhexis sizing and centration, the consistent attainment of which will be a prerequisite for the next generation of accommodating and refractive IOLs. The successful application and evaluation of CCC creation using the pulsed-electron probe in porcine cadaver eyes and in live rabbits, particularly given the difficulty of completing a rabbit anterior capsulorhexis, provides promise for appropriately designed human clinical trials.

Acknowledgments

Funded in part by the National Institutes of Health grant (NEI 2R01-EY12888) and by Stanford Photonics Research Center, Stanford, California, USA.

References

1. Neuhann T. Theorie und Operationstechnik der Kapsulorhexis. [Theory and surgical technic of capsulorhexis]. *Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd* 1987;190:542–545. [PubMed: 3626415]
2. Gimbel HV, Neuhann T. Development, advantages, and methods of the continuous circular capsulorhexis technique. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1990;16:31–37. [PubMed: 2299571]
3. Assia EI, Apple DJ, Tsai JC, Lim ES. The elastic properties of the lens capsule in capsulorhexis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991;111:628–632. [PubMed: 2021174]
4. Krag S, Thim K, Corydon L. Strength of the lens capsule during hydroexpression of the nucleus. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1993;19:205–208. [PubMed: 8487161]
5. Peng Q, Apple DJ, Visessook N, Werner L, Pandey SK, Escobar-Gomez M, Schoderbek R, Guindi A. Surgical prevention of posterior capsule opacification. Part 2: enhancement of cortical cleanup by focusing on hydrodissection. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2000;26:188–197. [PubMed: 10683786]
6. Wasserman D, Apple DJ, Castaneda VE, Tsai JC, Morgan RC, Assia EI. Anterior capsular tears and loop fixation of posterior chamber intraocular lenses. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98:425–431. [PubMed: 2052295]
7. Ram J, Apple DJ, Peng Q, Visessook N, Auffarth GU, Schoderbek RJ Jr, Ready EL. Update on fixation of rigid and foldable posterior chamber intraocular lenses. Part I. Elimination of fixation-induced decentration to achieve precise optical correction and visual rehabilitation. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:883–890. [PubMed: 10328385]
8. Hollick EJ, Spalton DJ, Meacock WR. The effect of capsulorhexis size on posterior capsular opacification: one-year results of a randomized prospective trial. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1999;128:271–279. [PubMed: 10511019]
9. Assia EI, Apple DJ, Tsai JC, Morgan RC. Mechanism of radial tear formation and extension after anterior capsulectomy. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98:432–437. [PubMed: 2052296]
10. Aasuri MK, Kompella VB, Majji AB. Risk factors for and management of dropped nucleus during phacoemulsification. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2001;27:1428–1432. [PubMed: 11566527]
11. Dooley IJ, O'Brien PD. Subjective difficulty of each stage of phacoemulsification cataract surgery performed by basic surgical trainees. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2006;32:604–608. [PubMed: 16698480]
12. Priglinger SG, Haritoglou C, Mueller A, Grueterich M, Strauss RW, Alge CS, Gandorfer A, Palanker D, Kampik A. Pulsed electron avalanche knife in vitreoretinal surgery. *Retina* 2005;25:889–896. [PubMed: 16205569]
13. Priglinger SG, Haritoglou C, Palanker DV, Alge CS, Gandorfer A, Kampik A. Pulsed electron avalanche knife (PEAK-fc) for dissection of retinal tissue. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2005;123:1412–1418. [PubMed: 16219733]
14. Palanker, DV.; Miller, JM.; Marmor, MF.; Sanislo, SR.; Huie, P.; Blumenkranz, MS. Pulsed electron avalanche knife (PEAK) for intraocular surgery; *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2001 [Accessed September 24, 2009]. p. 2673-2678. Available at: <http://www.iovs.org/cgi/reprint/42/11/2673>
15. Palanker DV, Vankov A, Huie P. Electrosurgery with cellular precision. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2008;55:838–841. [PubMed: 18270030]
16. Palanker, D.; Vankov, A.; Jayaraman, P. On mechanisms of interaction in electrosurgery; *New J Phys*. 2008 [Accessed September 24, 2009]. p. 123022 Available at: http://www.iop.org/EJ/article/1367-2630/10/12/123022/njp8_12_123022.pdf?request-id=3cfc83be-97ed-4254-a9d3-07f7758e5c94
17. McLeod SD, Vargas LG, Portney V, Ting A. Synchrony dual-optic accommodating intraocular lens. Part 1: optical and biomechanical principles and design considerations. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2007;33:37–46. [PubMed: 17189791]
18. Ossma IL, Galvis A, Vargas LG, Trager MJ, Vagefi MR, McLeod SD. Synchrony dual-optic accommodating intraocular lens. Part 2: pilot clinical evaluation. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2007;33:47–52. [PubMed: 17189792]
19. Morgan, JE.; Ellingham, RB.; Young, RD.; Trmal, GJ. The mechanical properties of the human lens capsule following capsulorhexis or radiofrequency diathermy capsulotomy; *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1996 [Accessed September 24, 2009]. p. 1110-1115. Available at: <http://archophth.ama-assn.org/cgi/reprint/114/9/1110>

20. Krag S, Thim K, Corydon L. Diathermic capsulotomy versus capsulorhexis: a biomechanical study. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1997;23:86–90. [PubMed: 9100113]
21. Singh D. Use of the Fugo blade in complicated cases [letter]. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2002;28:573–574. [PubMed: 11955880]
22. Kruger A, Amon M, Nepp J. Intraoperative and postoperative complications of high-frequency capsulotomy and continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1997;23:429–432. [PubMed: 9159689]
23. Wilson ME, Bluestein EC, Wang X-H, Apple DJ. Comparison of mechanized anterior capsulectomy and manual continuous capsulorhexis in pediatric eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1994;20:602–606. [PubMed: 7837068]
24. Wilson, ME, Jr. Anterior lens capsule management in pediatric cataract surgery; *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 2004 [Accessed September 24, 2009]. p. 391-422. Available at: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/picrender.fcgi?artid=1280111&blobtype=pdf>
25. Izak AM, Werner L, Pandey SK, Apple DJ, Izak MGJ. Analysis of the capsule edge after Fugo plasma blade capsulotomy, continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis, and can-opener capsulotomy. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2004;30:2606–2611. [PubMed: 15617932]
26. Priglinger SG, Haritoglou C, Palanker D, Kook D, Grueterich M, Mueller A, Alge CS, Kampik A. Pulsed electron avalanche knife for capsulotomy in congenital and mature cataract. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2006;32:1085–1088. [PubMed: 16857491]
27. Priglinger, SG.; Palanker, D.; Alge, CS.; Kreutzer, TC.; Haritoglou, C.; Grueterich, M.; Kampik, A. Pulsed electron avalanche knife: new technology for cataract surgery; *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2007 [Accessed September 24, 2009]. p. 949-954. Available at: <http://bj.o.bmj.com/content/91/7/949.full.pdf>

Biography

Author Photograph

Figure 1.
The capsulotomy probe. *Inset:* The cutting wire.

Figure 2.

A: Video frame showing a nearly completed CCC. The remaining uncut capsule is shown by the asterisk. Tiny residual gas bubbles are seen along the cutting path (*arrows*). This video frame was taken at 15 seconds, and the capsulotomy was completed in 18 seconds. **B:** Typical histology of a porcine lens after a CCC created with the cutting probe.

Figure 3. Histologic sections of a porcine capsule after mechanical (A) and cutting probe (B) CCC creation. The arrows show the surface of the cut edge.

Figure 4. Scanning electron micrographs of the porcine capsule after mechanical capsulorhexis. The scale bar in the upper image is 500 µm and in the lower image, 50 µm (1 = iris; 2 = lens capsule; 3 = lens cortex).

Figure 5. Scanning electron micrographs of the porcine capsule after a CCC created with the probe. Note the very sharp and continuous edges, with some cellular-scale texture on the cut side surface. The scale bar in the upper image is 500 µm and in the lower image, 50 µm (1 = iris; 2 = lens capsule; 3 = lens cortex).