Published in final edited form as:

Supramol Chem. 2010 February 1; 22(2): 135.

Bis-amidopyrrolyl Receptors Based on Anthracene and Carbazole

Dustin E. Gross, Vinay Mikkilineni, Vincent M. Lynch, and Jonathan L. Sessler*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, 1 University Station-A5300, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712-0165, USA

Abstract

A new set of diamide receptors containing anthracene and carbazole bridging subunits and either pyrrole or phenyl substituents were synthesized. The four systems produced in this way were shown to bind representative anions in DMSO-*d*₆ solution and in the solid state. A higher relative affinity for two test oxoanions, namely dihydrogen phosphate and benzoate, over chloride anion was seen in solution, with the anions in question being studied in the form of their respective tetrabutylammonium salts. However, the specifics of the anion recognition process were seen to depend on structure, with the pyrrole-containing systems displaying higher relative affinities than their corresponding phenyl-containing congeners, and the carbazole receptors proving more effective than the anthracene analogues. Such observations provide support for the notion that both the carbazole NH and the pyrrolic NH protons play an important role in stabilizing the receptor-bound anions in solution. Structural analyses of several anion complexes of the diamidopyrrole carbazole receptor reveal that this is not necessarily the case in the solid state; specifically, the pyrrole NH protons are seen to interact with the amide oxygen of another molecule. The net result is an extended a one-dimensional coordinaton polymer.

Keywords

Supramolecular Chemistry; Anion Recognition; Carbazole; Pyrrole; Amides

Considerable effort has been devoted to the synthesis and studies of new open chain anion receptors in recent years.¹⁻³ Many of these receptors, including several of our own,⁴ have taken advantage of the basic pyridine biscalboxamide strategy first pioneered by Crabtree,^{5, 6} and then exploited so effectively by a number of research groups.⁷⁻¹⁴ Noteworthy in this context are the contributions of by Gale and co-workers who have extensively studied 2,5-diamidopyrrole based receptors.¹⁵ Separate from this carbazole and indole, “pyrrole-like” binding motifs, have emerged recently as a new scaffolds¹⁶ for the construction of various anion receptors.¹⁷⁻³⁴ The group of Jurczak introduced diaminocarbazoles, such as 1,8-diamino-3,6-dichlorocarbazole, as promising building blocks for preparing various diamide anion receptors,¹⁸ which in separate work were further elaborated to incorporate a diazo chromophore.²⁰ In this report, we detail the combination of pyrrole amides with carbazole to produce the bisamidopyrrole carbazole derivative **1**, and show that it acts as a new anion receptor. Also included in this study is a description of the synthesis and anion recognition behavior of the bisamidobenzene derivative **2**, as well as the bisamidopyrrole anthracene receptor **3** and its phenyl derivative **4**. This series provides a congruent set of analogues that contain binding pockets of similar geometry and size but which provide a varying the number of NH-bond donors. All systems of this study were found to display good selectivity for test

carboxylate and phosphate anions relative to chloride anion; however, the absolute and relative binding affinities were found to vary with the specific structure.

Amidocarbazole receptors **1** and **2** were synthesized via condensation of the key precursor 1,8-diamino-3,6-dibutylcarbazole **5** with excess pyrrolyl chloride and benzoyl chloride, respectively. Diamine **5** was synthesized in four steps from carbazole. First acylation using butyryl chloride in 1,2-dichloroethane afforded 3,6-dibutyrylcarbazole **6** in an unoptimized yield of 42%. Subsequent reduction afforded dibutylcarbazole **7** in 50% yield. Nitration in acetic acid and acetic anhydride provided the dinitro compound **8** along with minor amounts of the mononitro derivative, which could be separated by tedious column chromatography. Finally, palladium catalyzed hydrogenation of **8** led to diamine **5**. It was subsequently found that the mixture of mono- and dinitro products could be subjected to hydrogenation conditions to yield **5** containing minor quantities of monoamine **9**. Since this latter mixture that could be readily separated using column chromatography, we currently favor this alternative sequence. The resulting diamine was then reacted with the appropriate acid chloride to yield receptors 1,8-di(pyrrolylamido)-3,6-dibutylcarbazole **1** and 1,8-di(benzoylamido)-3,6-dibutylcarbazole **2**. Receptors **1** and **2** were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the resulting structures are shown in Figure 1.

Additionally, the isolated monoamine product **9** was reacted with pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid chloride to yield 1-pyrrolylamido-3,6-dibutylcarbazole **10**. Receptors **3** and **4** were synthesized by the reaction of 1,9-diaminoanthracene³⁵ with excess pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid chloride and benzoyl chloride, respectively.

NMR spectroscopic analyses were used to quantify the interaction of receptors **1-4** and anions. For these studies, DMSO-*d*₆ was chosen as the solvent due to the poor solubility of the anthracene derivatives in other aprotic media. The anions were used in the form of their respective tetrabutylammonium salts.

Receptor **3** was found to bind chloride anion weakly, but bind the two test oxoanions of this study, namely benzoate and dihydrogen phosphate, with greater affinity (see Table 1). In the case of dihydrogen phosphate, the measured K_a proved to be 2600 M^{-1} , a value that was almost 10 times stronger than that of benzoate. This phosphate-over-benzoate (and chloride) selectivity was reduced when the pyrroles were replaced by phenyl groups, i.e., in the case of **4**; here, lower affinities were seen across the board with dihydrogen phosphate displaying a K_a that was ca. twice that for chloride, whereas the latter was bound with essentially the same affinity as benzoate within error. On this basis, we conclude that replacing the more acidic pyrrolic NH proton by a CH proton serves to reduce not just the affinity (the K_a of dihydrogen phosphate was reduced from 2600 M^{-1} to 160 M^{-1}), but the anion selectivity, as well. This leads us to propose that the presence of the pyrrolic NH is important in stabilizing the bound form of the two test oxoanions (benzoate and dihydrogen phosphate), at least in DMSO-*d*₆ solution.

The carbazole-containing receptors **1** and **2** displayed binding trends similar to those seen for the anthracene receptors; however, the binding affinities were generally greater in the case of the oxoanions and reduced in the case of chloride. In the case of **1** vs. **2**, the effect of switching from pyrrole to phenyl substitution on the K_a values was not as great as for **3** and **4**. For instance, when the pyrrole groups were replaced with benzene (i.e., passing from **1** to **2**), the affinity for benzoate was reduced by a factor of ca. 2 ($K_a = 1600\text{ M}^{-1}$ vs. 790 M^{-1}), whereas the corresponding reduction in the K_a for phosphate was even less ($K_a = 2000\text{ M}^{-1}$ vs. 1400 M^{-1}). In addition, receptor **1** displayed a reduced selectivity for dihydrogen phosphate over benzoate than did receptor **3**. Nevertheless, it is important to underscore that for both **1** and **2**, dihydrogen phosphate proved to be the most strongly bound of the three test anions considered in this study.

The trends seen in the case of the diamide receptors **1-4** are not reproduced completely in the case of the monoamidopyrrolyl functionalized receptor **10**. This control system showed an increased affinity for chloride compared to receptor **1**, as well as a decreased affinity for the two test oxoanions. This relative increase in chloride affinity may reflect the more open binding pocket present in receptor **10** relative to the more constrained system **1**, which is expected to be characterized by a less flexible anion binding cavity.

X-ray quality crystals of **1**•acetate (Figure 2) and **1**•chloride (Figure 3) were obtained by slow evaporation of ethyl acetate solutions containing **1** with excess tetrabutylammonium fluoride (a species that may have promoted the hydrolysis of ethyl acetate and production of the acetate anion) and chloride, respectively. As evidenced by the crystal structure, the receptor binds these two anions in a 1:1 stoichiometry. However, interestingly, the pyrrolic NH protons do not participating in the anion binding in the solid state. Instead, these protons are involved in the formation of a hydrogen bonded network with the amide oxygen of another molecule of **1** (see Figures 2 and 3). This results in a one-dimensional coordination polymer, a geometric motif that was noted rather early on in the study of pyrrole amide compounds.³⁶ However, in contrast with at least some of the antecedent pyrrole amide systems recorded in the literature, we do not believe that the X-ray structures of **1**•acetate and **1**•chloride are representative of the binding mode operative in DMSO-*d*₆ solution for these or other typical anions. This conclusion is based on the fact that demonstrable downfield shifts in the pyrrolic NH proton resonance are seen for benzoate and dihydrogen phosphate (0.34 ppm and 1.67 ppm, respectively.)

In conclusion, a set of new amidopyrrole and amidophenyl receptors based on anthracene and carbazole were synthesized and shown to bind anions in DMSO-*d*₆ solution and in the solid state. These receptors demonstrate a higher relative affinity for oxoanions over chloride anion in solution. An analysis of the specific *K*_a values, which are seen to vary with structure, provides support for the notion that the carbazole NH and the pyrrolic NH protons play an important role in stabilizing the receptor-bound anions in solution. However, in the case of the carbazole-based receptor, the “loss” of the pyrrolic NH protons (i.e., moving from **1** to **2**) does not affect the affinity for dihydrogen phosphate all that significantly, a result that serves to make receptor **2**, where phenyl subunits replace the pyrroles, more selective than its pyrrole-containing congener, **1**. Nevertheless, as would be expected for a system containing a greater number of available NH donor groups, this latter system (i.e., **1**) actually displays a higher for-anion affinity than **2**. Similar overall anion affinity effects were also seen in the case of **3** vs. **4**. In contrast, the monoamide control, **10**, displays a different selectivity pattern than is seen for any of the bisamides **1-4**.

Experimental

¹H NMR spectroscopic titrations

A Varian Mercury 400 MHz NMR spectrometer was used to measure the ¹H NMR shifts of the NH proton of the amides. Solutions of **1-4** and **10** were titrated with tetrabutylammonium salts of chloride, dihydrogen phosphate, and benzoate salt at 25 °C in DMSO-*d*₆. The titration data were plotted as Δppm versus concentration of the guest and fit to a 1:1 binding equation developed by Wilcox,³⁷ using the nonlinear curve-fitting procedure available in the Origin software package.

X-ray crystallographic data

Crystal Data for **1** CCDC 736624 C₃₀H₃₃N₅O₂, *M*_r = 495.61, *T* = 153(2) K, monoclinic, space group = *P*2₁/*c*, *a* = 21.3540(4), *b* = 12.9300(5), *c* = 9.1350(9) Å, β = 95.084(2)°, *V* = 2512.3(3) Å³, ρ_{calc} = 1.310 Mg/m³, μ = 0.084 mm⁻¹, *Z* = 4, reflections collected: 7850, independent

reflections: 7852, final R indices [$I > 2\sigma I$]: $R_1 = 0.1205$, $wR_2 = 0.2284$, R indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.2027$, $wR_2 = 0.2655$.

Crystal Data for **2** CCDC 736625 $C_{34}H_{35}N_3O_2$, $M_r = 517.65$, $T = 153(2)$ K, triclinic, space group = $P-1$, $a = 9.5944(8)$, $b = 9.9023(10)$, $c = 14.8310(15)$ Å, $\beta = 99.086(4)^\circ$, $V = 1385.6(2)$ Å³, $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 1.241$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.077$ mm⁻¹, $Z = 2$, reflections collected: 9412, independent reflections: 6133 ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0448$), final R indices [$I > 2\sigma I$]: $R_1 = 0.0687$, $wR_2 = 0.1134$, R indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.1576$, $wR_2 = 0.1433$.

Crystal Data for **1**•OAc CCDC 736626 $C_{48}H_{72}N_6O_4$, $M_r = 797.12$, $T = 153(2)$ K, triclinic, space group = $P-1$, $a = 8.8867(6)$, $b = 15.0233(10)$, $c = 17.1840(12)$ Å, $\beta = 87.019(3)^\circ$, $V = 2236.5(3)$ Å³, $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 1.184$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.076$ mm⁻¹, $Z = 2$, reflections collected: 15930, independent reflections: 9967 ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0527$), final R indices [$I > 2\sigma I$]: $R_1 = 0.0830$, $wR_2 = 0.1450$, R indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.1952$, $wR_2 = 0.1815$.

Crystal Data for **1**•Cl CCDC 736627 $C_{46}H_{69}ClN_6O_2$, $M_r = 773.52$, $T = 153(2)$ K, triclinic, space group = $P-1$, $a = 12.6727(3)$, $b = 14.9621(6)$, $c = 25.2379(12)$ Å, $\beta = 75.550(2)^\circ$, $V = 4493.5(3)$ Å³, $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 1.143$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.128$ mm⁻¹, $Z = 4$, reflections collected: 30522, independent reflections: 20063 ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0383$), final R indices [$I > 2\sigma I$]: $R_1 = 0.0717$, $wR_2 = 0.1340$, R indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.1645$, $wR_2 = 0.1742$. Portions of two *n*-butyl groups were disordered. One group was on a host molecule and one was on a cation. The disorder was modelled separately but in the same manner for both groups. The site occupancy factor for one component of the disordered group was assigned the variable x , while the site occupancy factor for the other component was assigned to $(1-x)$. A common isotropic displacement parameter was refined for the methylene atoms and a second isotropic displacement parameter was refined for the methyl carbon atoms. The geometry of the two groups was restrained to be equivalent throughout the refinement. In this way, the site occupancy for the major component of the disorder on the host molecule refined to 55(2)%. The site occupancy factor for the major component of the cation refined to 65(2)%. The atoms of the disordered groups were refined anisotropically with their displacement parameters restrained to be approximately isotropic.

3,6-Dibutylcarbazole (4)

To a mixture of carbazole (30 g, 0.179 mol) and aluminum chloride (53 g) in 1,2-dichloroethane (500 mL), butyryl chloride (43 mL) was added slowly. The reaction was then stirred at 65°C for 5 hrs and then quenched with water and aqueous HCl solution. The organic solvents removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in warm chloroform and subsequently crystallized upon cooling. This afforded **4** in the form of colorless crystals in 42% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 2H), 8.68 (bs, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.11 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 4H), 1.86 (m, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 4H), 1.07 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 6H), ¹³C NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 199.9 (C=O), 142.7 (CH), 130.1 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 121.6 (CH), 110.8 (CH), 40.5 (CH₂), 18.2 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₀H₂₀NO₂ [M-H]⁻: 306.1493, found: 306.1500.

3,6-Dibutylcarbazole (5)

Zinc (200g) and mercuric chloride (20 g) were added to 400 ml water containing concentrated hydrochloric acid (26 mL). After stirring for 20 minutes, additional concentrated hydrochloric acid was added slowly (400 mL), followed by 3,6-dibutylcarbazole (16 g, 52 mmol). Toluene (200 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, which was then heated at reflux for 96 hrs. The toluene layer was separated off and all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting light brown solid was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using 2:1 hexanes/DCM as the eluent; this produced **5** in a yield of 50%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.89 (s, 2H), 7.77 (bs, 1H), 7.28 (dd, $J = 8$ Hz and 0.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (dd, $J = 8$ Hz and 1.2

Hz), 2.81 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 1.74 (m, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.44 (m, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H), ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 138.2 (CH), 133.7 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 110.2 (CH), 35.7 (CH_2), 34.5 (CH_2), 22.4 (CH_2), 14.0 (CH_3). HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}$ $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$: 278.1912, found: 278.1914.

3,6-Dibutyl-1,8-dinitrocarbazole (7)

3,6-Dibutylcarbazole (204 mg, 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in acetic anhydride (25 mL) and acetic acid (10 mL). Nitric acid (0.19 mL, 4.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was then heated at 65 °C for 2 hr, 75 °C for 1 hr, and 100 °C for 1 hr. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated off under reduced pressure, and the product was purified via column chromatography over silica gel using CH_2Cl_2 as the eluent; this yielded 3,6-dibutyl-1,8-dinitrocarbazole **7** in 55% yield. ^1H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 11.20 (bs, 1H), 8.24 (s, 2H), 8.22 (s, 2H), 2.88 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.74 (m, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.43 (m, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H), ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 135.9 (CH), 132.5 (CH), 132.3 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 35.2 (CH_2), 33.9 (CH_2), 22.2 (CH_2), 13.9 (CH_3). HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_3\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$: 368.1609, found: 368.1616.

1,8-Diamino-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (8)

To a solution of 3,6-dibutyl-1,8-dinitrocarbazole (200 mg, 0.54 mmol) in acetonitrile (200 mL), 10% palladium on carbon was added. The air in the flask was evacuated, and the reaction was exposed to H_2 . The reaction was allowed to proceed overnight and the yellow solution turned black. The resulting solution was filtered through celite and the solvent was evaporated off under reduced pressure. The crude product obtained in this way was purified via column chromatography over silica gel using 1-2% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2 as the eluent. This gave **8** in 79% yield (132 mg). ^1H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 7.87 (bs, 1H), 7.33 (s, 2H), 6.13 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 3.13 (bs, 4H), 2.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.66 (m, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.38 (m, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H), ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 134.7 (CH), 130.1 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 113.3 (CH), 111.67 (CH), 35.7 (CH_2), 34.4 (CH_2), 22.4 (CH_2), 14.0 (CH_3). HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_3$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 310.2284, found: 310.2277.

1-Amino-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (9)

1-Nitro-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (side product from nitration of **5**) was dissolved in acetonitrile and 10% palladium on carbon was added. The air in the flask was evacuated, and the reaction was exposed to H_2 . The reaction was allowed to proceed overnight and the yellow solution turned black. The solution was filtered through celite and the solvent was evaporated off under reduced pressure. ^1H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 7.8 (s, 1H), 7.71 (bs, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 3.16 (bs 2H), 2.76 (t, J = 7.6 Hz 2H), 7.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz 2H), 1.68 (m, 4H), 1.40 (m, 4H), 0.95 (m, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (90 MHz; CDCl_3) δ 138.5 (CH), 135.0 (CH), 134.0 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 119.7 (CH), 113.6 (CH), 111.5 (CH), 110.7 (CH), 35.8 (CH_2), 35.7 (CH_2), 34.5 (CH_2), 34.4 (CH_2), 22.4 (CH_2), 22.4 (CH_2), 14.1 (CH_3), 14.1 (CH_3). HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_2$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 295.2171, found: 295.2169.

1,8-Diamidopyrrolyl-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (1)

A solution of 1,8-diamino-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (500 mg, 1.62 mmol) and pyrrolyl chloride (800 mg) in CH_2Cl_2 was stirred overnight. The solvent was evaporated and the product purified via column chromatography with 1% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2 . The product was precipitated from CH_2Cl_2 with a 78 %. ^1H NMR (400 MHz; $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 11.73 (bs, 2H), 10.20 (bs, 1H), 9.92 (bs, 2H), 7.77 (s, 2H), 7.44 (s, 2H), 7.15 (s, 2H), 7.00 (s, 2H), 6.22 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 2H), 2.76 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 1.68 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.39 (m, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz; $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 158.3 (C=O), 132.8 (CH), 131.7 (CH), 126.0 (CH),

124.3 (CH), 122.46 (CH), 122.43 (CH), 120.7 (CH), 115.9 (CH), 111.7 (CH), 108.9 (CH), 34.9 (CH₂), 33.8 (CH₂), 21.8 (CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₀H₃₃N₅O₂Na [M + Na]⁺: 518.2538, found: 518.2527.

1-Amidopyrrolyl-3,6-dibutylcarbazole(10)

A solution of 1-amino-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (90 mg) and pyrrolyl chloride in CH₂Cl₂ was stirred overnight. The solvent was evaporated off and the product purified via column chromatography over silica gel, using CH₂Cl₂ as the eluent (yield 85%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 9.70 (bs, 1H), 9.24 (bs, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (m, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (bs, 1H), 6.26 (m, 1H), 2.77 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 1.69 (m, 4H), 1.41 (m, 4H), 0.96 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 158.9 (CH), 138.9 (CH), 133.9 (CH), 133.8 (CH), 131.5 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 122.7 (CH), 120.9 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 118.6 (CH), 117.2 (CH), 111.1 (CH), 110.5 (CH), 110.3 (CH), 35.7 (CH₂), 35.4 (CH₂), 34.5 (CH₂), 34.3 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₅H₂₈N₃O [M-H]⁻: 386.2234, found: 386.2238.

1,8-Diphenylamido-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (2)

A solution of 1,8-diamino-3,6-dibutylcarbazole (90 mg, 0.3 mmol) and benzoyl chloride in CH₂Cl₂ was stirred overnight. The solvent was evaporated off under reduced pressure to give the product. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.32 (bs, 3H), 8.02 (d, J = 7.2, 4H), 7.79 (s, 2H), 7.61-7.51 (m, 8H), 2.74 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 1.67 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.37 (m, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) δ 165.7 (CH), 134.8 (CH), 132.9 (CH), 132.0 (CH), 131.7 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 122.6 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 116.4 (CH), 35.0 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH₂), 21.9 (CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₄H₃₅N₃O₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 540.2634, found: 540.2622.

1,9-Diamidopyrrolylanthracene (3)

1,9-Diaminoanthracene³⁵ (710 mg, 3.4 mmol) and pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid chloride (1.4 g) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 15 hours and then quenched with methanol (40 mL). All volatile solvents were removed under vacuum yielding a green solid. The solid was washed with consecutive aliquots of methanol and water to yield a light green solid, which was dried under vacuum to afford product 3 in 71% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) δ 11.70 (bs, 2H), 10.04 (s, 2H), 9.00 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 6.8, 2H), 7.81 (d, J = 5.6, 2H), 7.56 (dd, J = 6.8 and J = 1.2, 2H), 7.21 (m, 2H), 6.99 (m, 2H), 6.19 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) δ 159.8 (C=O), 133.5 (CH), 131.8 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 122.4 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 117.1 (CH), 111.8 (CH), 108.9 (CH). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₄H₁₉N₄O₂ [M+H]⁺: 395.1509, found: 395.1503; calcd for C₂₄H₁₈N₄O₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 417.1327, found: 417.1322.

1,9-Diphenylamidoanthracene (4)

1,9-Diaminoanthracene³⁵ (110 mg, 0.53 mmol), benzoyl chloride (350 uL) and pyridine (200 uL) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 15 hours then quenched with water (50 mL) causing a white precipitate. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed successively with water and methanol. The resulting white solid was dried under vacuum to afford 4 in 93% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.60 (bs, 2H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.06-8.01 (m, 6H), 7.70 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.61-7.57 (m, 4H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) δ 166.3 (C=O), 134.8 (CH), 133.9 (CH), 131.8 (CH), 131.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 117.97 (CH). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₈H₁₉N₂O₂ [M-H]⁻: 415.1445, found: 415.1452.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grant GM 58907 to J.L.S.) and The University of Texas at Austin (undergraduate fellowship to V.M.).

References

1. Gale PA. Acc Chem Res 2006;39:465–475. [PubMed: 16846210]
2. Gale PA, Garcia-Garrido SE, Garric J. Chem Soc Rev 2008;37:151–190. [PubMed: 18197339]
3. Bondy CR, Loeb SJ. Coord Chem Rev 2003;240:77–99.
4. Sessler JL, Barkey NM, Pantos GD, Lynch VM. New J Chem 2007;31:646–654.
5. Kavallieratos K, Bertao CM, Crabtree RH. J Org Chem 1999;64:1675–1683. [PubMed: 11674235]
6. Kavallieratos K, de Gala SR, Austin DJ, Crabtree RH. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:2325–2326.
7. Kang SO, Llinares JM, Powell D, VanderVelde D, Bowman-James K. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:10152–10153. [PubMed: 12926920]
8. Bowman-James K. Acc Chem Res 2005;38:671–678. [PubMed: 16104690]
9. Zhang Y, Yin Z, He J, Cheng JP. Tetrahedron Lett 2007;48:6039–6043.
10. Zhang Y, Yin Z, Li Z, He J, Cheng JP. Tetrahedron 2007;63:7560–7564.
11. Chen CL, Lin TP, Chen YS, Sun SS. Eur J Org Chem 2007;2007:3999–4010.
12. Zielinski T, Jurczak J. Tetrahedron 2005;61:4081–4089.
13. Schmuck C, Schwegmann M. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:3373–3379. [PubMed: 15755155]
14. Zyryanov GV, Palacios MA, Anzenbacher P. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:7849–7852.
15. Gale PA. Chem Commun 2005:3761–3772.
16. Gale PA. Chem Commun 2008:4525–4540.
17. Piatek P, Lynch VM, Sessler JL. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:16073–16076. [PubMed: 15584742]
18. Chmielewski MJ, Charon M, Jurczak J. Org Lett 2004;6:3501–3504. [PubMed: 15387533]
19. Wang T, Bai Y, Ma L, Yan XP. Org Biomol Chem 2008;6:1751–1755. [PubMed: 18452009]
20. Thangadurai TD, Singh NJ, Hwang IC, Lee JW, Chandran RP, Kim KS. J Org Chem 2007;72:5461–5464. [PubMed: 17564465]
21. Curiel D, Cowley A, Beer PD. Chem Commun 2005:236–238.
22. Suk JM, Jeong KS. J Am Chem Soc 2008;129 ASAP.
23. Sessler JL, Cho DG, Lynch V. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:16518–16519. [PubMed: 17177398]
24. Chae MK, Lee JI, Kim NK, Jeong KS. Tetrahedron Lett 2007;48:6624–6627.
25. Chang KJ, Chae MK, Lee C, Lee JY, Jeong KS. Tetrahedron Lett 2006;47:6385–6388.
26. Chang KJ, Kang BN, Lee MH, Jeong KS. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:12214–12215. [PubMed: 16131186]
27. Chang KJ, Moon D, Lah MS, Jeong KS. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:7926–7929.
28. He X, Hu S, Liu K, Guo Y, Xu J, Shao S. Org Lett 2006;8:333–336. [PubMed: 16408908]
29. Bates GW, Gale PA, Light ME. Chem Commun 2007:2121–2123.
30. Bates GW, Triyanti, Light ME, Albrecht M, Gale PA. J Org Chem 2007;72:8921–8927. [PubMed: 17939724]
31. Hiscock JR, Caltagirone C, Light ME, Hursthouse MB, Gale PA. Org Biomol Chem 2009;7:1781–1783. [PubMed: 19590771]
32. Caltagirone C, Hiscock JR, Hursthouse MB, Light ME, Gale PA. Chem Eur J 2008;14:10236–10243.
33. Caltagirone C, Gale PA, Hiscock JR, Brooks SJ, Hursthouse MB, Light ME. Chem Commun 2008:3007–3009.
34. Pfeffer FM, Lim KF, Sedgwick KJ. Org Biomol Chem 2007;5:1795–1799. [PubMed: 17520149]

35. Sessler JL, Mody TD, Ford DA, Lynch V. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 1992;31:452–455.
36. Sessler JL, Berthon-Gelloz G, Gale PA, Camiolo S, Anslyn EV, Anzenbacher P Jr, Furuta H, Kirkovits G, Lynch V, Maeda H, Morosini P, Scherer M, Shriver J, Zimmerman RS. *Polyhedron* 2003;22:2963–2983.
37. Wilcox, CS. *Frontiers in Supramolecular Organic Chemistry and Photochemistry*. Schneider, HJ.; Dürr, H., editors. VCH; Weinheim: Germany: 1991. p. 123-143.

Figure 1. Ball and stick representation of single crystal X-ray diffraction structures for **1** (left) and **2** (right).

Figure 2.
Single crystal X-ray diffraction structure of **1•OAc**; most hydrogen atoms and the tetrabutylammonium cation have been removed for clarity.

Figure 3. Single crystal X-ray diffraction structure of **1•Cl**; most hydrogen atoms and the tetrabutylammonium cation have been removed for clarity.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Table 1

Association constants (K_a 's) for receptors **1-4** and **10** with selected anions in dimethylsulfoxide- d_6 .^a

	1	2	3	4	10
Chloride	<50	<50	80	83	280
Benzoate	1600	790	310	65	190
Dihydrogen phosphate	2000	1400	2600	160	880

^a K_a 's in units of M^{-1} ; anions used in the form of their tetrabutylammonium salts; host concentration = 4-5 mM.