Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2010 February 5; 12(3): 572. doi:10.1021/ol902764k.

Multicomponent Reaction Discovery: Three-Component Synthesis of Spirooxindoles

Bo Liang, Srinivas Kalidindi, John A. Porco Jr., and Corey R. J. Stephenson*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Chemical Methodology and Library Development (CMLD-BU), Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Abstract

Protocol A: SnCl_4 (10 mol%), DCE, 60 °C

Protocol B: $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (10 mol%), μW , DCE, 80 °C

The Lewis acid catalyzed, three-component reaction of isatin and two 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds is reported. Reactions proceed with high efficiency under mild reaction conditions and with good functional group tolerance to afford spirooxindole pyranochromenedione derivatives.

High-throughput screening is an efficient method for discovery of new reactions and synthesis of complex chemotypes.¹ We have recently reported several novel Rh(I)-catalyzed transformations identified using reaction screening in which 1,3-dicarbonyl substrates exhibited diversified reactivities as nucleophiles with electrophiles derived from *o*-alkynylbenzaldehydes.² To further explore modes of reactivity of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds under Rh(I) catalysis, we have screened their reactions with a diverse set of electrophiles. Our analysis led to the discovery of the selective, catalyzed condensation of *N*-methylisatin **1** with two molecules of 1,3-cyclohexanedione **2** to give spirooxindole^{3,4,5} pyranochromenedione **3** in 46% yield (Scheme 1).

Similarly, reaction of 4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyrone **4** with *N*-methylisatin **1** resulted in the production of condensation product **5** in 36% yield (dr = 5:1). The structure of **5** (major diastereoisomer shown) was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis.⁶ Unexpectedly, when **1**, **2**, and **4** were added in a ~1:1:1 ratio in the presence of 10 mol % of $\text{Rh}(\text{cod})_2\text{BF}_4$ in $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$ at 60 °C, the three-component coupling product **6** was obtained in 32% after 24 h.⁷ Only trace amounts of **3** and **5** were detected along with recovered starting materials. While

crjsteph@bu.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all new compounds. These materials are available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

we were delighted by the selectivity of this three-component reaction, the reaction proceeded very slowly. The isatin was only fully consumed after prolonged reaction time (3 days) affording spirooxindole **6** in 78% yield.

In order to accelerate the three-component reaction, we next examined additives including Ag (I) salts and observed a significant rate acceleration. Upon further examination, we discovered that the Rh(I) catalyst was not required for this reaction; when AgBF₄ was employed as the catalyst, the yield of **6** was improved to 62% (Table 1, entry 2). Consequently, we suspected that the reaction was operating under Lewis acid catalysis. After screening numerous Lewis acids, we found that SnCl₄⁸ provided the three-component product in good yield after 12 h (Table 1, entry 6), while other catalysts including CuOTf, BF₃•Et₂O and TiCl₄ gave low to moderate yields (Table 1, entries 3-5).⁹ Other Sn(IV) catalyst systems and desiccants (4Å molecular sieves and MgSO₄) did not improve the overall efficiency of the transformation.

Subsequent experiments led to the finding that we could further accelerate the three-component reaction to produce spirooxindoles using microwave irradiation. In the event, irradiation of **1**, **2**, and **4** in DCE at 80 °C with 10 mol % of SnCl₄•5H₂O as catalyst afforded an 80% yield of **6** in only 80 min (Table 2, entry 2). As a result of these studies, we examined the reaction with a broad range of substrates to determine the reaction specificity and scope. Various substituted isatins were reacted with 1,3-cyclohexanedione **2** and 4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyrone **4** under both thermal and microwave conditions (Table 2). From the results, it is evident that most of the reactions provided the desired spirooxindole products in good to excellent yields employing both electron-deficient (Table 2, entry 6) and electron-rich (Table 2, entry 4) isatins as substrates. Bromo-substitution on the isatin was tolerated including the sterically demanding 4-bromo derivative. In addition, unprotected isatins also participated in the reaction affording the desired coupling product **7** in moderate yield (Table 2, entry 1).

We next examined a number of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds in the three-component reaction with *N*-methylisatin **1** and 4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyrone **4**. The results listed in Table 3 show that good to excellent yields of coupling products were obtained under the optimized conditions using dimedone, 1,3-cyclopentanedione, 1,3-indanedione, and 1-oxaspiro[5.5]undecane-2,4-dione as coupling partners (Table 3, entries 1-5). In addition, different 1,3-dicarbonyl reagents, substituted pyrone and 4-hydroxycoumarin were reacted with *N*-methylisatin **1** and 1,3-cyclohexanedione **2**. Cyclic substrates afforded the desired spirooxindole products in moderate to good yields (Table 4, entries 1-5). However, reaction of 2,4-pentanedione catalyzed by SnCl₄ (10 mol %) did not result in formation of the desired product. When Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %) was used as catalyst, product **26** was obtained in 50% yield (Table 4, entry 6). The less reactive 4-hydroxycoumarin also gave a good yield of the three component coupling product (Table 4, entry 5). However, when 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione was reacted with *N*-methylisatin and 1,3-cyclohexanedione, the yield of the three-component product was low (Table 4, entry 3), due to increased production of the corresponding two-component reaction product.⁶ The yield of the three-component product was diminished when the 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds are similar in pK_a.¹¹

On the basis of these results, we propose two plausible pathways for the reaction (Scheme 2). *N*-Methylisatin **1** may coordinate with SnCl₄ and react with **2** to afford the aldol adduct **27**.¹² Dehydration of **27** by SnCl₄ affords indolenium intermediate **29**¹³ which may be subsequently attacked by **4** to furnish **31** (path A).¹⁴ Alternatively, the reaction may be initiated by aldol reaction of **4** with **1** to afford **28**, followed by dehydration and nucleophilic attack of **2**, to afford intermediate **31** (path B). Prior to addition of SnCl₄, mixing of **1**, **2** and **4** provided an equilibrium mixture of **1**:**27**:**28** (5:3:2). In addition, in the absence of SnCl₄, mixing **1** and **2** affords a 1:1 equilibrium mixture (**1**:**27**) while mixing **1** and **4** affords only an 4:1 mixture (**1**:**28**).⁶ The position of the complex equilibrium during the three-component reaction appears

to be related to the pKa of the corresponding dicarbonyl compounds such that the aldol adduct of the weaker carbon acid is favored, thereby biasing the reaction towards path A.¹⁵ In combination with the enhanced nucleophilicity of the more acidic 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (*vide infra*), the three-component reaction pathway thus predominates. Nucleophilic addition of the hydroxyl group of **31** to the adjacent carbonyl group, followed by dehydration catalyzed by SnCl₄, would provide the desired coupling product **6**.

To gain insight into the reaction pathways, we independently prepared two of the proposed intermediates along the mechanistic pathway. Intermediates **27** and **32** were independently prepared and converted to **6** under the three-component coupling conditions.⁶ In addition, compound **33**, used as a model of **27**, was treated with **4** and **2** respectively under the three-component reaction conditions (Scheme 3). The products of this reaction support the formation of indolenium intermediate **36** (similar to the proposed intermediate **29** in Scheme 2). The reaction of pyrone afforded the desired product **34** in good yield, while cyclohexandione afforded only trace amounts of **35**. These results suggest that hydroxypyronone **4** is a much better nucleophile for indolenium electrophiles such as **36** in comparison to **2**. By analogy, indolenium ions formed in our three-component reaction should react more quickly with **4** than **2**.

In addition, time course experiments indicate that intermediate **27** is formed as the primary product upon mixing **1**, **2**, and **4**.⁶ Upon addition of SnCl₄, disappearance of **27** corresponds to the appearance of the three-component product **6**. On the basis of these results, in combination with the indolenium reactivity profile (Scheme 3), we propose that Path A (Scheme 2) is the major path for the formation of the three-component reaction products such as **6**. By monitoring the reaction using UPLC, kinetic profiles of the model reaction were obtained and clearly demonstrate the predominance of three-component product **6** compared to two-component products **3** and **5** throughout the reaction (Figure 1).

In summary, using a reaction screening approach we have identified the Lewis acid-catalyzed, three-component coupling of substituted isatins and two pKa differentiated 1,3-dicarbonyls to synthesize various spirooxindoles bearing a pyranochromenedione ring system. Mechanistic studies indicate the likely involvement of an indolenium ion derived from the isatin and one 1,3 dicarbonyl component. Further studies to intercept this intermediate are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the NIGMS (P50-GM067041) is gratefully acknowledged. NMR (CHE-0619339) and MS (CHE-0443618) facilities at Boston University are supported by the NSF. We thank Professor Aaron Beeler (Boston University) and Professor Scott Schaus (Boston University) for helpful discussions and Dr. Emil Lobkovsky (Cornell University) for x-ray crystallographic analysis.

References

1. For examples of new reaction development using high-throughput screening, see: (a) Weber L, Illgen K, Almstetter M. Synlett 1999;366. (b) Kana WM, Rosenman MM, Sakurai K, Snyder TM, Liu DR. Nature 2004;431:545. [PubMed: 15457254] (c) Miller SJ. Nat. Biotechnol 2004;22:1378. [PubMed: 15529161] (d) Ganem B. Acc. Chem. Res 2009;42:463. [PubMed: 19175315]
2. Beeler AB, Su S, Singleton CA, Porco JA Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:1413. [PubMed: 17263426]

3. For selected recent examples, see: (a) Castaldi MP, Troast DM, Porco JA Jr. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:3362. [PubMed: 19719184] (b) Zhang Y, Panek JS. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:3366. [PubMed: 19719185] (c) Shintani R, Hayashi S.-y. Murakami M, Takeda M, Hayashi T. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:3754. [PubMed: 19637858]
4. Galliford CV, Scheidt KA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2007;46:8748.
5. Numerous biologically active natural products contain the spirooxindole moiety. For selected recent examples in total synthesis, see: (a) Ashimori A, Bachand B, Overman LE, Poon DJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1998;120:6477. (b) Matsuura T, Overman LE, Poon DJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1998;120:6500. (c) Edmondson S, Danishefsky SJ, Sepp-Lorenzino L, Rosen N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1999;121:2147. (d) Alper PB, Meyers C, Lerchner A, Siegel DR, Carreira EM. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1999;38:3186. (e) Sebahar PR, Williams RM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000;122:5666. (f) Onishi T, Sebahar PR, Williams RM. *Org. Lett* 2003;5:3135. [PubMed: 12917000] (g) Onishi T, Sebahar PR, Williams RM. *Tetrahedron* 2004;60:9503.
6. See the Supporting Information for complete details.
7. For the synthesis of spirooxindoles *via* three-component reactions, see: (a) Zhu S, Ji S, Zhang Y. *Tetrahedron* 2007;63:9365. (b) Elinson MN, Ilovaisky AI, Dorofeev AS, Merkulova VM, Stepanov NO, Miloserdov FM, Ogibin YN, Nikishin GI. *Tetrahedron* 2007;63:10543. (c) Jadidi K, Ghahremanzadeh R, Bazgir A. *J. Comb. Chem* 2009;11:341. [PubMed: 19239200]
8. Franz AK, Dreyfuss PD, Schreiber SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007;129:1020. [PubMed: 17263369]
9. We also examined a number of alternative solvents in this reaction, however no improvement over $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$ was observed.
10. Under the reaction conditions, decomposition of 1,3-indanedione is competitive with the three-component coupling, presumably *via* self-condensation. The two-component product is not observed in appreciable quantities.
11. We have characterized all byproducts for the reactions in Table 3 (entries 2 and 4), and Table 4 (entries 3 and 6). Two-component products of 1,3-diketones (such as **2**) are not observed, while the products incorporating two molecules of E-keto esters or hydroxypyrones were found as major byproducts. See the Supporting Information for further details.
12. The structure of **7** was confirmed by X-ray analysis of the corresponding enol mesylate. See the Supporting Information for details.
13. (a) England DB, Merey G, Padwa A. *Org. Lett* 2007;9:3805. [PubMed: 17711291] (b) England DB, Merey G, Padwa A. *Heterocycles* 2007;74:491.
14. The product of E1_{CB} elimination of **27** (an isatylidene) cannot be ruled out as a reaction intermediate. On the basis of non-bonding interactions and angle strain involved in such an intermediate, we currently favor a mechanism involving an indolenium intermediate.
15. The experimentally measured pK_a of **2** in DMSO is 10.3 (15a), while the pK_a of **4** in 80% DMSO/ H_2O is 6.83 (15b). (a) Arnett EM, Harrelson JA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1987;109:809. (b) Tan S-F, Ang K-P, Jayachandran H. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* 1983:472.

Scheme 1. Reactions of *N*-methylisatin and Various 1,3-Dicarbonyls

Scheme 2.
Proposed Mechanism

Scheme 3.
Reactions *via* an Indolenium Intermediate

Figure 1.
Kinetic Plot of the Three-Component Reaction
^a UPLC yields with biphenyl as internal standard ($\lambda = 254$ nm).

Table 1Optimization of Reaction Conditions for the Formation of **6** from **1**, **2** and **4**

entry ^a	catalyst ^b	time (h)	yield (%) ^c
1	Rh(cod) ₂ BF ₄ (10 mol %)	24	32
2	AgBF ₄ (10 mol %)	24	62
3	(CuOTf)•C ₆ H ₆ (10 mol %)	24	38
4	BF ₃ •OEt ₂ (10 mol %)	24	65
5	TiCl ₄ (10 mol %)	24	61
6	SnCl ₄ (10 mol %)	12	76

^a Conditions: Cl(CH₂)₂Cl, 60 °C; **1** (1.0 equiv), **2** (1.1 equiv), **4** (1.1 equiv)^b The three-component product is not observed in the absence of catalyst, only **27** (*vide infra*) is observed^c Yield (UPLC analysis) using biphenyl as an internal standard.

Table 2

Three-Component Reaction with Different Isatins

entry	R	R'	protocol	time	product	yield (%) ^a
1	H	H	A B	16 h 80 min	7	63 78
2	H	Me	A B	12 h 80 min	6	75 80
3	H	Ph	A B	20 h 80 min	8	81 56
4	5-OMe	Me	A B	18 h 80 min	9	81 65
5	5-Me	Me	A B	18 h 80 min	10	89 77
6	5-NO ₂	Me	A B	18 h 80 min	11	62 85
7	4-Br	Me	A B	24 h 80 min	12	75 ^b 52 ^c
8	5-Br	Me	A B	18 h 80 min	13	90 80
9	6-Br	Me	A B	18 h 80 min	14	93 84
10	7-Br	Me	A B	18 h 80 min	15	65 67

Protocol A: SnCl₄ (10 mol %), Cl(CH₂)₂Cl, 60 °C. Protocol B: SnCl₄•5H₂O (10 mol %), Cl(CH₂)₂Cl, μW, 80 °C.

^a Isolated yields of compounds purified by chromatography on SiO₂

b_{20} mol % SnCl_4 at 80 °C

c_{20} mol % $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

Table 3

Reaction of **4** with Different 1,3-Dicarbonyl Reagents

entry	1,3-dicarbonyl			product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
1					A B	12 h 80 min	93 93
2					A B	16 h 80 min	71 70

entry	1,3-dicarbonyl	product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
3			A	12 h	60 ^{b,c}
			B	80 min	39 ^{b,c}
4			A	16 h	68
			B	80 min	65

entry	1,3-dicarbonyl	product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
			A	16 h	70
			B	80 min	41

Protocol A: SnCl_4 (10 mol %), $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$, 60 °C. Protocol B: $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (10 mol %), $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$, μW , 80 °C.

^a Isolated yields of compounds purified by chromatography on SiO_2

^b 2.0 equiv 1,3-indanediol

^c See reference 10.

Table 4

product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
	A	16 h	75
	B	80 min	70

product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
	A	16 h	60 ^e
	B	80 min	41 ^e

product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
	A	16 h	51
	B	80 min	47

	product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
		A	16 h	63 ^{b,f}
		B	80 min	47 ^{b,f}

product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
 25	A	48 h	84 ^c
	B	4h	65 ^c

product	protocol	time	yield (%) ^a
	A	24 h	50 ^{b,d}
	B	2.5h	32 ^{b,d}

Protocol A: SnCl_4 (10 mol %), $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$, 60 °C. Protocol B: $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (10 mol %), $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$, μW , 80 °C.

^a Isolated yields of compounds purified by chromatography on SiO_2

^b 2.0 equiv 1,3-dicarbonyl reagent was used

^c Solvent: $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$ /1,4-dioxane, 3:1; 2.5 equiv 4-hydroxycoumarin

^d $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ (10 mol %) was used as catalyst

^e 1.5 equiv of each reagent was used.

^f See reference 10.