Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2010 February 3; 132(4): 1273–1275. doi:10.1021/ja909718f.

Carboxylate as the Protonation Site in (Peroxo)diiron(III) Model Complexes of Soluble Methane Monooxygenase and Related Diiron Proteins

Loi H. Do[†], Takahiro Hayashi[§], Pierre Moënne-Loccoz^{*,§}, and Stephen J. Lippard^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

[§]Department of Science and Engineering, School of Medicine, Oregon Health and Science University, Beaverton, OR 97006

Abstract

Addition of H^+ to a synthetic (μ -1,2-peroxo)diiron(III) model complex results in protonation of a carboxylate rather than the peroxo ligand. This conclusion is based on spectroscopic evidence from UV-vis, ^{57}Fe Mössbauer, resonance Raman, infrared, and $^1\text{H}/^{19}\text{F}$ NMR studies. These results suggest a similar role for protons in the dioxygen activation reactions in soluble methane monooxygenase and related carboxylate-bridged diiron enzymes.

Dioxygen activation by carboxylate-bridged diiron enzymes is involved in essential biological processes^{1,2} ranging from DNA synthesis and hydrocarbon metabolism to cell proliferation.³ The carboxylate-bridged diiron superfamily of proteins includes ribonucleotide reductase (RNR),⁴ Δ^9 desaturase,⁵ bacterial multicomponent monooxygenases (BMMs),^{6,7} and most recently human deoxyhypusine hydroxylase (hDOHH).³ In all of these systems, the O_2 reduction step proceeds through a (peroxo)diiron(III) intermediate in which the resulting peroxo ligand is proposed to bridge two iron atoms in a μ -1,2 or μ - $\eta^2 \eta^2$ coordination mode.^{8–10} Extensive studies of soluble methane monooxygenase (sMMO), a BMM family member that oxidizes methane to methanol, reveal that the generation and activation of Fe_2O_2 units requires protons.^{11,12} Given the complexity of protein environments, identifying the sites involved in such proton translocation processes and their effect on O_2 activation is not a trivial undertaking.

To shed light on the possible role of protons in the dioxygen activation chemistry at carboxylate-bridged diiron enzyme active sites, we investigated the reaction of H^+ with a

plocco@ebs.ogi.edu; lippard@mit.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Synthesis and characterization of diiron(II) complexes, experimental procedures, and spectroscopic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

well-characterized synthetic (μ -peroxo)(μ -carboxylato)diiron(III) complex, $[\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2)(N\text{-EtHPTB})(\mu\text{-PhCO}_2)]^{2+}$ (**1a**-O₂).^{13,14} The dinucleating *N*-EtHPTB ligand provides kinetic stabilization of the Fe₂O₂ core and the benzoate group serves as a good mimic of the Asp and Glu carboxylate side chains in the protein diiron centers. By application of several spectroscopic methods, we show that the reaction of H⁺ with **1a**-O₂ results in protonation at the carboxylate unit rather than the peroxo ligand (Scheme 1). This work provides experimental support for recent theoretical studies suggesting that (hydroperoxo)-diiron(III) species of non-heme diiron enzymes are too reactive to be isolable protein intermediates.¹⁵

To aid spectral interpretation of results obtained during studies of the parent $[\text{Fe}_2(N\text{-EtHPTB})(\mu\text{-PhCO}_2)]^{2+}$ complex (**1a**), two related diiron(II) precursors were synthesized (Supporting Information). One is $[\text{Fe}_2(N\text{-EtHPTB})(\mu\text{-Ph}^{13}\text{CO}_2)]^{2+}$ (**1b**), which contains a ¹³C-enriched carboxylate ligand, and the other is $[\text{Fe}_2(N\text{-EtHPTB})(\mu\text{-C}_6\text{F}_5\text{CO}_2)]^{2+}$ (**2**), in which the benzoate ring is fluorinated.

Exposure of **1a** to O₂ in CH₃CN at -30 °C generates a deep blue-green solution (**1a**-O₂) with λ_{max} at 590 nm.¹³ Addition of an acetonitrile solution of $[\text{H}(\text{OEt}_2)_2][3,5\text{-(CF}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4\text{B}](\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4])$ to **1a**-O₂ red-shifts the peroxo-to-iron(III) charge transfer band to ~600 nm (Fig. 1). This absorption is assigned to the formation of a new $[\text{1a}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ species that maximizes with addition of ~1.5 equiv of $\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$. The spectrum of **1a**-O₂ is restored upon addition of 2.0 equiv of NEt₃ (Fig. 1, inset), indicating that protonation does not lead to irreversible decomposition of the **1a**-O₂ unit. Reaction of **2** with O₂ affords $[\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2)(N\text{-EtHPTB})(\mu\text{-C}_6\text{F}_5\text{CO}_2)]^{2+}$ (**2**-O₂), which exhibits a broad absorption feature centered at ~600 nm. When $\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$ was titrated into a solution of **2**-O₂, a small bathochromic shift to ~610 nm occurs (Fig. S1). Unlike **1a**-O₂, **2**-O₂ requires ~3.0 equiv of $\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$ to fully generate the protonated species $[\text{2}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$. Given that pentafluorobenzoate, for which the acid has a pK_a of 1.2, is more electron deficient than benzoate (acid pK_a = 4.6), the greater amount of H⁺ necessary to produce $[\text{2}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ from **2**-O₂ compared to $[\text{1a}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ from **1a**-O₂ suggests either that the carboxylate ligand influences the basicity of the protonation site or that it is itself the proton acceptor.

To determine whether a (hydroperoxo)diiron(III) species may form upon addition of H⁺ to **1a**-O₂ or **2**-O₂, ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer and resonance Raman (RR) spectra were recorded to examine possible changes in the Fe₂O₂ core. In the absence of H⁺, the Mössbauer spectrum of a frozen solution of **1a**-O₂ in CH₃CN could be fit to a single iron site, with δ = 0.53(2) mm/s and ΔE_Q = 0.71(2) mm/s (Fig. S2A). Addition of $\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$ to **1a**-O₂ gives $[\text{1a}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ having the same isomer shift (δ = 0.53(2) mm/s) and a slightly larger quadrupole splitting parameter (ΔE_Q = 0.80(2) mm/s) (Fig. S2B). For comparison, the Mössbauer spectra of **2**-O₂ and $[\text{2}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ were also recorded. The (peroxo)diiron(III) complex of **2** has δ = 0.53(2) mm/s and ΔE_Q = 0.77(2) mm/s (Fig. S2C), whereas the protonated $[\text{2}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ form exhibits parameters of δ = 0.54(2) mm/s and ΔE_Q = 0.84(2) mm/s (Fig. S2D). The similar isomer shifts obtained for **1a**-O₂, $[\text{1a}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$, **2**-O₂, and $[\text{2}\cdot\text{O}_2]\text{H}^+$ are indicative of iron(III) centers and the small increase in ΔE_Q values for the protonated forms implies that only minor changes occur in the coordination environment.

To investigate more directly the nature of the peroxo moiety, Fe–O and O–O vibrations were measured by RR spectroscopy for species generated with both ¹⁶O₂ and ¹⁸O₂. As previously reported,¹³ **1a**-O₂ exhibits Fe–O and O–O stretching vibrations with Fermi splitting (hereafter “/”) centered at 470 and 897 cm⁻¹, respectively (Fig. S3). Also observed are weaker bands at 513/532 cm⁻¹ that downshift to 500 cm⁻¹ with ¹⁸O₂, which we therefore assign to the asymmetric Fe–O stretch of the Fe₂O₂ core. Addition of H⁺ to **1a**-O₂ only marginally affects its RR spectrum, with small upshift in Fe–O and downshift in O–O vibrations (Fig. S3, Table 1). These shifts in RR frequencies upon H⁺ addition may reflect

subtle changes in Fe–O–Fe angles,¹⁶ but are too small to support the conclusion that a (μ -1,2-peroxo)diiron(III) unit has been converted to a (hydroperoxo)diiron(III) species. The RR spectrum of **2**·O₂ is practically identical to that of **1a**·O₂, with symmetric and asymmetric Fe–O modes at 466/475 and 513/532 cm⁻¹, respectively, and Fermi-coupled O–O stretches centered at 897 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Addition of up to 2.0 equiv of H[BAr^F₄] to generate [2·O₂]H⁺ primarily affects the symmetric Fe–O stretch, which upshifts only a few wavenumbers compared to the spectrum of **2**·O₂ (Table 1). From the RR data and Mössbauer parameters for **1a**·O₂, [**1a**·O₂]H⁺, **2**·O₂, and [2·O₂]H⁺, we conclude that protonation does not lead to formation of a (hydroperoxo)diiron(III) species.

Since the benzimidazole and propoxy groups of *N*-EtHPTB are kinetically inaccessible due to the multidentate nature of the ligand, we assign the carboxylate unit as the site of protonation. To test this hypothesis, we examined the carboxylate stretches of **1a** and **1b**, and their peroxo complexes by FTIR spectroscopy. The assignment of frequencies in terms of coordination geometry are complicated by mixing of the COO⁻ symmetric stretch with the O–C–O bend and C–C stretch.¹⁷ Nevertheless, if the asymmetric and symmetric COO⁻ stretches can be identified, the binding geometry of the carboxylate ligand can be derived from the difference in the two, $\Delta\nu_{\text{as-s}}$.^{18–20} Specifically, $\Delta\nu_{\text{as-s}}$ should be close to that of the free ionic form, 150 cm⁻¹ for PhCOO⁻, for carboxylates bridging two metal ions, larger in unidentate coordination geometry, and smaller in bidentate mononuclear complexes. As expected, **1a** and **1b** exhibit $\Delta\nu_{\text{as-s}}$ values of 149 and 166 cm⁻¹, respectively, consistent with μ -1,3 bridging carboxylate groups (Fig. S4B). In **1a**·O₂, ν_{as} and ν_{s} are at 1572/1607 and 1358 cm⁻¹, respectively, and in **1b**·O₂ are at 1550 and 1329 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 3A). These frequencies give $\Delta\nu_{\text{as-s}} > 200$ cm⁻¹ and suggests a switch from bridging to unidentate coordination for the carboxylate groups in **1a**·O₂ and **1b**·O₂. A bridging carboxylate geometry was demonstrated by X-ray crystallography in a similar (μ -peroxo)diiron(III) species,²¹ but facile conversion in carboxylate geometry might occur in solution. Generation of [**1a**·O₂]H⁺ and [**1b**·O₂]H⁺ is associated with downshift of the ν_{as} modes by at least 20 cm⁻¹, whereas ν_{s} modes are not observed, possibly shifting below 1300 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 3B). Most importantly, the FTIR spectra show no evidence of free carboxylic acid vibrations, i.e. $\nu_{\text{C=O}} > 1700$ cm⁻¹.

A comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of the benzoate and pentafluorobenzoate diiron complexes allows the phenyl ring protons of the former to be identified in **1a**·O₂ as paramagnetically broadened peaks at 7.0, 8.7, and 11.4 ppm (Fig. S5). Upon addition of H[BAr^F₄], these resonances shift to 7.5, 8.0, and 9.8 ppm (Fig. S9), in support of the protonation of the benzoate ligand. This conclusion is confirmed by analysis of the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of **2**·O₂ and [2·O₂]H⁺. The fluorine resonances of the pentafluorobenzoate ring in **2**·O₂ occur at -134.4, -154.4, and -159.0 ppm (Fig. 4A) and shift to -111.3, -143.0, and -154.8 ppm upon addition of 3 equiv of H[BAr^F₄] (Fig. 4B). These results demonstrate that the C₆F₅CO₂H ligand is bound to iron, since the resonances of free pentafluorobenzoic acid appear at -139.5, -150.3, and -162.0 ppm.

In conclusion, the spectroscopic evidence (Table 1) clearly indicate that the carboxylate is preferred over the peroxo ligand as the site of protonation in these (peroxo)diiron(III) model complexes, a possible structure for which is depicted in Scheme 1. Our results suggest that, during the O₂ activation steps in the catalytic cycle of sMMO and related enzymes, protons might generate and/or transform the (peroxo)diiron(III) core by inducing a carboxylate shift,^{22,23} possibly increasing the electrophilicity of the diiron unit and facilitating substrate access to the active site. Future work with synthetic analogs will address these important questions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants GM032134 (S.J.L.) and GM74785 (P.M.-L.) from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

References

1. Feig AL, Lippard SJ. *Chem. Rev.* 1994; 94:759.
2. Wallar BJ, Lipscomb JD. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:2625. [PubMed: 11848839]
3. Vu VV, Emerson JP, Martinho M, Kim YS, Münck E, Park MH, Que L Jr. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2009; 106:14814.
4. Nordlund P, Reichard P. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2006; 75:681. [PubMed: 16756507]
5. Fox BG, Lyle KS, Rogge CE. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004; 37:421. [PubMed: 15260504]
6. Merckx M, Kopp DA, Sazinsky MH, Blazyk JL, Müller J, Lippard SJ. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 2001; 40:2782. [PubMed: 11500872]
7. Leahy JG, Batchelor PJ, Morcomb SM. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2003; 27:449. [PubMed: 14550940]
8. Liu KE, Wang D, Huynh BH, Edmondson DE, Salifoglou A, Lippard SJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:7465.
9. Moënné-Loccoz P, Baldwin J, Ley BA, Loehr TM, Bollinger JM Jr. *Biochemistry.* 1998; 37:14659. [PubMed: 9778340]
10. Murray LJ, Naik SG, Ortillo DO, García-Serres R, Lee JK, Huynh BH, Lippard SJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:14500. [PubMed: 17967027]
11. Lee S-K, Lipscomb JD. *Biochemistry.* 1999; 38:4423. [PubMed: 10194363]
12. Tinberg CE, Lippard SJ. *Biochemistry.* 2009 In press.
13. Dong Y, Ménage S, Brennan BA, Elgren TE, Jang HG, Pearce LL, Que L Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993; 115:1851.
14. Dong Y, Yan S, Young VG Jr, Que L Jr. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1996; 35:618.
15. Jensen KP, Bell CB III, Clay MD, Solomon EI. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:12155. [PubMed: 19663382]
16. Brunold TC, Tamura N, Kitajima N, Moro-oka Y, Solomon EI. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:5674.
17. Nara M, Torii H, Tasumi M. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1996; 100:19812.
18. Deacon GB, Phillips RJ. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1980; 33:227.
19. Nakamoto, K. Part B: Applications in Coordination, Organometallic, and Bioinorganic Chemistry. 5th ed.. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 1997.
20. Costas M, Cady CW, Kryatov SV, Ray M, Ryan MJ, Rybak-Akimova EV, Que L Jr. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003; 42:7519. [PubMed: 14606847]
21. Ookubo T, Sugimoto H, Nagayama T, Masuda H, Sato T, Tanaka K, Maeda Y, Kawa H, Hayashi Y, Uehara A, Suzuki M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:701.
22. Dunitz BD, Beachy MD, Cao Y, Whittington DA, Lippard SJ, Friesner RA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:2828.
23. Rinaldo D, Philipp DM, Lippard SJ, Friesner RA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:3135.

Figure 1. UV-vis absorption spectra of $[\mathbf{1a}\cdot\text{O}_2](\text{BF}_4)_2$ ($112\ \mu\text{M}$ in CH_3CN , -30°C , downward arrow) when up to 2.0 equiv of $\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$ were added. Inset: restoration of initial spectrum (orange trace, upward arrow) upon treatment with 2.0 equiv of NEt_3 .

Figure 2. RR spectra of 2-¹⁶O₂ (black) and 2-¹⁸O₂ (red) after addition of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 equiv of H[BAr^F₄]. Each spectrum was normalized based on the solvent CH₃CN bands at 392, 400, and 920 cm⁻¹.

Figure 3. Solution FTIR spectra of **1a**·O₂ (black) and **1b**·O₂ (red) before (**A**, top) and after (**B**, bottom) the addition of 1.5 equiv of H[BarF₄]. The spectra were acquired in CH₂Cl₂ at approx. -30 °C with a diiron concentration of ~55 mM. The intense peaks at 1356 and 1420 cm⁻¹ are due to the [BarF₄]⁻ anion and solvent, respectively.

Figure 4. ^{19}F NMR spectra (470 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , -30°C) of $[\mathbf{2}\cdot\text{O}_2](\text{OSO}_2\text{CF}_3)_2$ (A); and $[\mathbf{2}\cdot\text{O}_2](\text{OSO}_2\text{CF}_3)_2/\text{H}[\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$ (1:3) (B).

Scheme 1.

Reaction of dioxxygen with **1a** and H^+ . A possible structure for **[1a·O₂]H⁺** is depicted.

Table 1

UV-Vis, Mössbauer, RR, and FTIR data for **1a**·O₂, [**1a**·O₂]⁺, **1b**·O₂, [**1b**·O₂]⁺, **2**·O₂, and [**2**·O₂]⁺.

Complex	λ_{max} , nm (ϵ , M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	δ , mm/s	ΔE_Q , mm/s	ν (Fe-O), cm ⁻¹ ($\Delta^{18}\text{O}$)	ν (O-O), cm ⁻¹ ($\Delta^{18}\text{O}$)	$\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{COO}^-)$, cm ⁻¹ ($\Delta^{13}\text{C}$)	$\nu_s(\text{COO}^-)$, cm ⁻¹ ($\Delta^{13}\text{C}$)	$\Delta\nu_{\text{as-s}}$, cm ⁻¹
1a ·O ₂	590 (3100)	0.53(2)	0.71(2)	466/474 (-18)	897 (-50)	1607/1572 (>-22)	1358 (-29)	>200
[1a ·O ₂] ⁺	600 (2360)	0.53(2)	0.80(2)	467/478 (-20)	896 (-53)	1553 (-32)	-	-
2 ·O ₂	600 (3300)	0.53(2)	0.77(2)	466/475 (-18)	897 (-50)	-	-	-
[2 ·O ₂] ⁺	610 (2700)	0.54(2)	0.84(2)	478 (-19)	897 (-50)	-	-	-