Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2009 August 20; 11(16): 3774–3776. doi:10.1021/ol901448n.

Olefinic-Amide and Olefinic-Lactam Cyclizations

Jie Zhou and Jon D. Rainier*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, 315 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Abstract

Olefinic-amide and olefinic-lactam cyclization reactions that result in the generation of cyclic enamides are described.

Small molecules that contain electron rich olefins (enol ethers and enamides) are both valuable in their own right and are interesting precursors to more elaborate substrates.^{1,2} Over the past few years we have been interested in the generation and use of cyclic enol ethers and have recently described their synthesis from olefinic-ester and olefinic-lactone cyclizations using an *in situ* generated Ti reagent that is presumed to be a Ti ethylidene.³ Although the corresponding olefinic-amide cyclizations would be synthetically useful,⁴ to the best of our knowledge there are only two reports of related reactions that employ amides. Takeda has described Ti(II) promoted cyclizations of dithianes having pendant amides and,⁵ in work more closely related to that proposed here, Bennasar and co-workers have successfully carried out two-step olefinic-amide cyclizations to enamides.⁶ The Bennasar chemistry involves the initial conversion of amides into mixtures of cyclic and acyclic enamides using dimethyltitanocene followed by the conversion of the acyclic enamides into the corresponding cyclic enamides using the 2nd generation Grubbs catalyst.⁷ With a limited number of substrates they observed a mixture of cyclic and acyclic products from the dimethyltitanocene reaction. Representative of their results was the generation of indole **2** in 40% overall yield from olefinic-amide **1**. In addition to synthesizing indoles, they also generated dihydroquinolines and dihydroisoquinolines using this chemistry. More problematic was the use of the two-step protocol to generate 7-membered ring substrates as olefin isomerization competed with cyclization. With some substrates they were able to overcome this problem by adding dihydroquinone to the reaction mixture.⁸

When combined with our experience with olefinic-ester and olefinic-lactone cyclizations, the Bennasar chemistry outlined above peaked our interest in studying olefinic-amide and olefinic-lactam cyclization reactions. Described here is the successful use of *in situ* generated titanium ethylidenes in this context.

In order to compare the titanium ethylidene reagent with Bennasar's two-step protocol, we decided to initially examine the cyclization of aromatic substrates **4-6**. Concerned about the

rainier@chem.utah.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

potential reaction of carbonyl protecting groups with the titanium reagent, i.e. Boc, CBz, etc., we opted to initially avoid this potential problem by employing a Ts group in this capacity. As illustrated in Table 1, the cyclization of ene-amide **5** gave a 78% yield of dihydroquinoline **8C** (entry 2). Bennasar's two-step protocol on a related substrate resulted in a 41% overall yield. The cyclization to indole **7C** was also successful giving it in 70% yield vs. 40% overall using the two-step procedure (entry 1 and Scheme 1). Finally, the use of ene-amide **6** resulted in a 58% yield of 7-membered ring substrate **9C** along with 18% of the corresponding acyclic enamide **9A**. Bennasar's procedure was more competitive here; they were able to isolate a 50% overall yield of the seven-membered ring substrate along with 7% of the corresponding dihydroquinoline from olefin isomerization and cyclization. Olefin isomerization does not appear to be a problem with the titanium reagent.

Having established the viability of the olefinic-amide cyclizations to aromatic substrates, we next explored the cyclization of non-aromatic olefinic-lactams. When ϵ -caprolactam substrates **10**, **11**, and **12** were subjected to the titanium ethylidene reagent we isolated cyclic enamides **14C**, **15C**, and **16C**, as the exclusive products (Table 2, entries 1-3). Noteworthy because it is unlikely that this substrate could be formed from an acyclic olefinic-enamide cyclization was that this chemistry was successful in the generation of 4-membered ring substrate **14C**.^{9,10} As with the aromatic substrate **6**, lactam **13** gave a mixture of the cyclized seven-membered enamide **17C** and an uncyclized product (entry 4).

Not surprisingly enamide **14C** was not stable to SiO₂ chromatography. For characterization purposes, we converted **14C** into cyclobutanone **18** by subjecting it to aqueous acid.¹¹ Additionally, bromoaminal **19** was generated from the treatment of **14C** with NBS in MeOH.¹²

The lactam cyclizations are not limited to ϵ -caprolactam substrates. Cyclic enamides **22C** and **23C** were the major products from the cyclization of 2-pyrrolidinone **20** and δ -valerolactam **21**, respectively (entries 2 and 3, Table 3). In contrast to the cyclization of ϵ -caprolactam substrate **12** to give **16C** however, these reactions did result in the formation of small amounts of acyclic enamide.

As a final test of the methodology, we examined the cyclization of methyl and ethyl substituted amides **24** and **25** (Scheme 3) When these substrates were subjected to the titanium ethylidene reagent, cyclic enamide was isolated in synthetically useful quantities but as a mixture with the corresponding acyclic enamides. As a mechanistic test, we did not observe the formation of **26C** when we resubjected acyclic enamide **26A** to the reaction conditions. This is consistent with the notion that cyclic enamide results from an olefin-metathesis, carbonyl-olefination pathway.¹³

In summary, we have demonstrated that titanium ethylenes can be utilized in olefinic-amide and olefinic-lactam cyclization reactions and that the efficiency of the reaction depends somewhat on the nature of the substrate. We plan to continue examining the scope of olefinic-carbonyl cyclizations and their use in total synthesis efforts.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health, General Medical Sciences (GM56677) for support of this work. We would like to thank the support staff at the University of Utah and especially Dr. Peter Flynn (NMR) and Dr. Jim Muller (mass spectrometry) for help in obtaining data.

References

1. For representative examples of the use of enol ethers in synthesis see (a) Castro AMM. *Chem Rev* 2004;104:2939–3002. [PubMed: 15186185] ; (b) Johnson HWB, Majumder U. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:848–849. [PubMed: 15656618] .
2. For representative examples of the use of enamides in synthesis see (a) Carbery DR. *Org Biomol Chem* 2008;6:3455–3460. [PubMed: 19082143] ; (b) Song Z, Lu T, Hsung RP, Al-Rashid ZF, Ko C, Tang Y. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:4069–4072.; (c) Huang Y, Iwama T, Rawal VH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:7843–7844.; (d) Harrison TJ, Patrick BO, Dake GR. *Org Lett* 2007;9:367–370. [PubMed: 17217306] .
3. (a) Iyer K, Rainier JD. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:12604–12605. [PubMed: 17900112] (b) Rohanna J, Rainier JD. *Org Lett* 2009;11:493–495. [PubMed: 19072700] (b) Zhang Y, Rainier JD. *Org Lett* 2009;11:237–239. [PubMed: 19046048]
4. For reviews on the generation of heterocycles using a combination of metathesis and alkylidenation see (a) Hartley RC, Li J, Main CA, McKiernan GJ. *Tetrahedron* 2007;63:4825–4864.; (b) Hartley RC, McKiernan GJ. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1* 2002:2763–2793..
5. (a) Takeda T, Saito J, Tsubouchi A. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2003;44:5571–5574. (b) Takeda T, Yatsumonji Y, Tsubouchi A. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2005;46:3157–3160.
6. (a) Bannasar ML, Roca T, Moneris M, García-Díaz D. *J Org Chem* 2006;71:7028–7034. [PubMed: 16930058] (b) Bannasar M-L, Roca T, Moneris M, Garcia-Díaz D. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2005;46:4035–4038.
7. For examples of RCM cyclizations of enamides generated using non-carbonyl olefination strategies see (a) Kinderman SS, van Maarseveen JH, Schoemaker HE, Hiemstra H, Rutjes PJT. *Org Lett* 2001;3:2045–2048. [PubMed: 11418045] ; (b) Arisawa M, Terada Y, Nakagawa M, Nishida A. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2002;41:4732–4734.; (c) Van Otterlo WAL, Pathak R, de Koning CB. *Synlett* 2003:1859–1861.; (d) Rosillo M, Dominguez G, Casarrubios L, Amador U, Pérez-Castells J. *J Org Chem* 2004;69:2084–2093. [PubMed: 15058956] ; (e) Katz JD, Overman LE. *Tetrahedron* 2004;60:9559–9568.; (f) Manzoni L, Colombo M, Scolastico C. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2004;45:2623–2625.; (g) Van Otterlo WAL, Morgans GL, Khanye SD, Aderibigbe BAA, Michael JP, Billing DG. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2004;45:9171–9175.; Liu G, Tai W-Y, Li Y-L, Nap F-J. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2006;47:3295–3298.; (h) Toumi M, Couty F, Evano G. *J Org Chem* 2008;73:1270–1281. [PubMed: 18205380] .
8. Hong SH, Sanders DP, Lee CW, Grubbs RH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:17160–17161. [PubMed: 16332044]
9. In their synthesis of capnellene the Grubbs group generated a cyclobutene from a related ring-opening metathesis, carbonyl olefination reaction. See (a) Stille JR, Grubbs RH. *J Am Chem Soc* 1986;108:855–856.; (b) Stille JR, Santarsiero BD, Grubbs RH. *J Org Chem* 1990;55:843–862..
10. For selected examples of the use of four-membered rings in ring-opening metathesis see: (a) Maughon BR, Grubbs RH. *Macromol* 1997;30:3459–3469.; (b) White BH, Snapper ML. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:14901–14904. [PubMed: 14640667] ; (c) Mori M, Wakamatsu H, Tonogaki K, Fujita R, Kitamura T, Sato Y. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:1066–1069. [PubMed: 15675873] .
11. (a) Marion F, Coulomb J, Courillon C, Fensterbank L, Malacria M. *Org Lett* 2004;6:1509–1511. [PubMed: 15101779] (b) Baktharaman S, Selvakumar S, Singh VK. *Org Lett* 2006;8:4335–4338. [PubMed: 16956220]
12. For related reactions of enamines see Hurley PB, Dake GR. *J Org Chem* 2008;73:4131–4138. [PubMed: 18444680] .
13. Allwein SP, Cox JM, Howard BE, Johnson HWB, Rainier JD. *Tetrahedron* 2002;58:1997–2009.

Scheme 1.
Bennasar and Co-Workers Synthesis of Indole **2** via RCM.

Scheme 2.
Enamide Derivatization

Scheme 3.
Olefinic-Amide Cyclizations

Table 1

Olefinic-Amide Cyclizations to Benzyl Fused Enamides

entry	amide	n	enamide	yield ^a	C:A ^b
1	4	0	7	70%	>95:5
2	5	1	8	78%	>95:5
3	6	2	9	76%	76:24

^aCombined yield of cyclic and acyclic enamides.^bFrom isolated cyclic and acyclic enamide.

Table 2

Olefinic-Lactam Cyclizations

entry	amide	n	enamide	yield ^d	C:A ^b
1		1		70%	>95:5 ^c
2		2		80%	>95:5
3		3		82%	>95:5
4		4		70%	86:14 ^d

^aCombined yield of cyclic and acyclic enamide.

^bFrom isolated cyclic and acyclic enamides.

^cCharacterized as the corresponding animal and/or ketone (see Scheme 2).

^dMinor product was the corresponding lactam in which the alkene had undergone metathesis (see Supporting Information).

Table 3

Olefinic-Lactam Cyclizations

entry	amide	n	enamide	yield ^a	C:A ^b
1		3		82%	>95:5
2		1		75%	87:13
3		2		78%	90:10

^a combined yield of cyclic and acyclic enamine^b ratio determined by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture