Published in final edited form as:

Addict Behav. 2010 February ; 35(2): 161–163. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2009.09.002.

Personality Traits and Mental Health States of Methamphetamine-Dependent and Methamphetamine Non-Using MSM

Todd M. Solomon, Mathew V. Kiang, Perry N. Halkitis, Robert W. Moeller, and Molly K. Pappas
Center for Health, Identity, Behavior, and Prevention Studies, The Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development, New York University, 726 Broadway, Suite 525, New York, New York 10003

Abstract

This analysis considers the relation between personality traits, mental health states and methamphetamine (MA) use in 60 men who have sex with men (MSM). Thirty MA-dependent and 30 MA non-using MSM were assessed on the *Neo Five Factor Inventory*, the *Brief Symptom Inventory*, the *Perceived Stress Scale*, and the *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist – Civilian Version* tests. Our results indicate differences between groups on a variety of measures of personality traits and mental states. Specifically, MA-dependent participants were found to be more Neurotic, less Open, less Agreeable, and less Conscientious. Further, MA-dependent participants were found to have higher levels of Paranoid Ideation and lower levels of Interpersonal Sensitivity. Given the high prevalence of MA use in the MSM community and the association between MA use and sexual risk taking, our findings provided a clearer understanding of how individual personality traits may be a factor in the continued use of this drug among MSM. Further research should seek to incorporate individual personality traits into the development of efficacious MA-specific treatment interventions.

Keywords

MSM; Methamphetamine; Personality Traits; HIV

1. Introduction

Substance use, although not solely mediated by personality traits, has been shown to be associated with individual differences in personality. Several studies have established a relation between personality traits such as impulsivity, constraint, sensation seeking, social deviance, and the use of certain substances (Allen, Moeller, Rhoades, & Cherek, 1998; Fisher, Elias, & Ritz, 1998; Grekin, Sher, & Wood, 2006; Liraud & Verdoux, 2000; McGue, 1999). Specific traits such as neuroticism and disinhibition have also been associated with substance use in both cross-sectional (Trull & Sher, 1994) and longitudinal investigations (Sher, Bartholow, & Wood, 2000). The link between personality traits and substance use has been demonstrated in a variety of studies which have incorporated an array of both study samples (McGue, 1999;

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosures

All authors have contributed fully to the preparation of this manuscript. The protocol described has been approved by our local IRB. No competing financial interests exist for all authors.

Sher et al., 2000; Sher & Trull, 1994; Trull, Waudby, & Sher, 2004), and diverse cultures (Evren, Evren, Yancar, & Erkiran, 2007; Hosák & Hosak, 2004; Moran, Coffey, Mann, Carlin, & Patton, 2006). However, little research has focused on the relation between substance use and personality traits in men who have sex with men (MSM) despite this group's elevated rate of substance use as compared to their heterosexual counterparts (Colfax & Shoptaw, 2005). This is of particular concern as prior research has shown that MSM are more likely to use substances such as GHB, cocaine, and methamphetamine (MA) (Halkitis, 2009). MA use, in particular, has reached epidemic rates and has been associated with high risk sexual behavior in MSM (Halkitis, Parsons, & Stirratt, 2001). MA users have reported a greater number of total, casual, and anonymous sexual partners, as well as higher rates of unprotected intercourse (Semple, Patterson, & Grant, 2002; Shoptaw, Reback, & Freese, 2002; Kalichman, Tannerbaum, & Nachimson, 1998.) The ensuing analyses seek to bridge an important gap in the literature by examining the possible link between personality traits and MA use in MSM. A clearer understanding of the interaction between personality differences and MA use is of paramount importance in a population that is already at increased risk for HIV transmission, and will help to provide further knowledge of how to best serve this often marginalized population.

2. Methods

The sample consisted of 60 gay, bisexual, or other MSM. Potential participants were screened for eligibility via the telephone. Inclusion criteria included 1) identifying as biologically male; 2) the ability to read and communicate in English; 3) reporting sex with another man in the previous year; 4) being 18 years of age or above; and 5) qualifying as either a "dependent" or "non-using" of MA based on the *MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview* (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998; Plankey et al., 2007) and not being categorized as "dependent" on any other substance (excluding alcohol) according to the MINI. Individuals who met eligibility criteria and were successfully classified into one of the two study groups (MA-dependent or MA non-user), based on their substance use, were then scheduled for an appointment. Of these, 30 were classified as MA-dependent and 30 were classified as MA non-using. Each participant received a comprehensive battery of assessments (for a full description of methods see Halkitis et al., 2009). Assessments undertaken for this analysis included the *NEO Five Factor Inventory* (Costa & McCrae, 1992), the *Brief Symptom Inventory* (BSI) (Derogatis, 1993), the *Perceived Stress Scale* (PSS; Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983) and the *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist – Civilian Version* (PTSD; Weathers, Litz, Herman, Huska, & Keane, 1993). Participants were given a \$100 incentive for their participation. The authors' local Internal Review Board approved all procedures.

3. Results

Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. The MA-dependent participants had a mean age of 41 years ($SD = 7.85$) and were equivalent in age to the MA non-using participants who were, on average, 38 years old ($SD = 12.54$). The two groups were similar in terms of employment status and annual income. However, differences were noted with regard to HIV status ($\chi^2(1) = 3.73, p < .05$), educational attainment ($\chi^2(3) = 9.89, p = .02$), and race ($\chi^2(3) = 12.39, p < .01$). In terms of race, there were equal proportions of Black, Latino, and White participants in the MA-dependent group. However, the MA non-using group was predominantly White. Further, a significant difference was found between race in terms of HIV status ($\chi^2(3) = 8.59, p = .03$), with 32% ($n = 10$) of Black participants, 23% ($n = 7$) of Latino participants, and 10% ($n = 3$) of Mixed participants indicating an HIV-positive status, while only 36% ($n = 11$) of White participants indicated they were HIV-positive. In terms of educational attainment, 30.0% ($n = 9$) of the MA non-using participants had attained a graduate degree, while only 3.3% ($n = 1$) of the MA-dependent participants had attained this level of education.

To determine whether MA use was related to personality traits, we ran a MANCOVA with HIV status as a covariate. Of the five major personality traits (Neuroticism, Openness, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, and Extraversion) found on the *NEO Five Factor Inventory*, significant differences between the MA non-using participants and MA-dependent participants were found across four of the five domains. Specifically, MA-dependent participants were found to be more Neurotic ($F(1, 57) = 5.17, p < .05$), less Open ($F(1, 57) = 3.95, p = .05$), less Agreeable ($F(1, 57) = 10.89, p < .01$) and less Conscientious ($F(1, 57) = 6.89, p < .05$). No effect for HIV status was noted. Further we ran a MANCOVA with the subscales of the BSI. On the BSI, MA-dependent participants indicated higher levels of Interpersonal Sensitivity ($F(1, 57) = 5.89, p < .05$) and higher levels of Paranoid Ideation ($F(1, 57) = 10.09, p < .01$). No effect was found for HIV status. For the PTSD and PSS, we ran two separate ANOVAs using a Bonferroni correction set at $p = .025$. No significance was noted. A comparison of mean scores on each of the measures between MA dependent and MA non-using are shown in Table 2.

We then built a multivariate model using binary logistic regression to test the cumulative effects of the personality traits and mental health states and to differentiate the MA non-using participants from the MA-dependent participants. Only those variables found to be statistically significant based on the previous analyses were included in the regression. We used a one block forced entry to determine the extent to which Neuroticism, Openness, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, (personality traits) and Paranoid Ideation and Interpersonal Sensitivity (mental health states) differentiated the MA-dependent group from the MA non-using group. The model fit well ($\chi^2(6) = 19.52, p = .003$) with a Correct Classification = 80.0% and Nagelkerke $R^2 = 37.0\%$. Micro level fit indices for the individual variables demonstrate that Conscientiousness ($b = -.11, p = .04, OR = .89 (.80, .99)$) and Openness ($b = -.12, p = .05, OR = .88 (.78, .99)$) differentiate the two groups with the MA non-using group scoring higher than the MA-dependent group on scales of both Openness and Conscientiousness.

4. Discussion

Findings from the present analyses suggest that certain personality traits differ between MA-dependent and MA non-using participants. While prior research has attempted to establish a link between an individual's substance of choice and certain personality traits, results have been largely inconclusive. For example, Conway, Kane, Ball, Poling, and Rounsaville (2003) examined the relation between an individual's choice of substance and personality traits finding no significant relation; however, their results did find differences in monosubstance and polysubstance users. Likewise, recent research conducted by Hopwood, Baker, and Morey (2008) did not yield a significant relation between substance of choice and personality traits. With regard to mental health states, results from the multivariate analysis were not significant, suggesting both MA-dependent and MA non-using participants may have increased psychiatric symptomology, as has been demonstrated in prior studies (Sandfort, Graaf, Bijl, & Schnabel, 2001; Cochran & Mays, 2000).

Research has indicated that MSM use MA at more than ten times the rate of the general population (Colfax & Shoptaw, 2005), and use has been related to a multitude of symptoms including anxiety, impulsivity, depression, and sensation-seeking behavior (Zweben et al., 2004; Semple, Zians, Grant, & Patterson, 2005). Further, MA use has also been shown to lead to high risk sexual behavior, including unprotected anal intercourse (Kalichman et al., 1994; Kalichman & Rompa, 1995), decreased condom use (Fernandez et al., 2004), and a greater number of sexual partners (Halkitis, Parsons, & Wilton, 2003). In light of these previous studies, our results provide further insight into the relations between MA use, personality traits, and mental health states in MSM. Also, as previous work has demonstrated (Semple, Zians,

Grant, & Patterson, 2005) MA users tend to report having less education, a finding that was also paralleled in this analysis.

We acknowledge our sample size was small and our eligibility criteria highly specific; therefore, our findings have limited generalizability to other populations. Further, relations between personality traits and substance use cannot be assumed, as it is unclear from these findings whether certain personality traits may lead one to use MA (Semple, Zians, Grant & Patterson, 2005), or whether certain personality traits are the result of one's MA use. It is plausible that a bi-directional relation between MA use and personality traits exists in which personality traits serve as both a risk factor for, and a reinforcement of, MA use. Several studies have suggested a reciprocal and bi-directional relation between substance abuse and personality traits, specifically substance use and depression (Fergusson, Lynskey, & Horwood, 1996; Kandel, Johnson, Bird, & Camino, 1997; Wu & Anthony, 1999).

Given the paucity of prior research focusing on the relation between personality traits and substance use in MSM, our findings serve to provide the field with a more nuanced understanding of how underlying personality traits may place one at increased risk for MA use. This issue is further complicated by the antibiosis that exists between personality traits and the continued use of MA. As MSM continue to use substances that place them at increased risk for HIV transmission, it is imperative to design and implement interventions that address not only the behaviors but also consider the personality traits that may serve as antecedents to MA use. It is our hope that these findings will serve as a springboard for future research that will help develop tailored interventions that treat MA use within the context of individual differences.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by a grant from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke contract # R21NS048594.

References

- Allen TJ, Moeller FG, Rhoades HM, Cherek DR. Impulsivity and history of drug dependence. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 1998;50(2):137–145. [PubMed: 9649965]
- Cochran SD, Mays VM. Lifetime prevalence of suicide symptoms among affective disorders among men reporting same-sex sexual partners: Results from NHANES III. *American Journal of Public Health* 2000;90(4):573–578. [PubMed: 10754972]
- Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 1983;385–396. [PubMed: 6668417]
- Colfax G, Shoptaw S. The methamphetamine epidemic: Implications for HIV prevention and treatment. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports* 2005;2(4):194–199. [PubMed: 16343378]
- Costa P, McCrae R. Four ways five factors are basic. *Personality and Individual Differences* 1992;13(6): 653–665.
- Degenhardt L, Hall W, Lynskey M. Exploring the association between cannabis use and depression. *Addiction* 2003;98(11):1493–1504. [PubMed: 14616175]
- Derogatis LR, Coons H. Self-report measures of stress. *Handbook of Stress: Theoretical and Clinical Aspects* 1993;2:200–233.
- Evren C, Evren B, Yancar C, Erkiran M. Temperament and Character Model of Personality Profile of Alcohol-and Drug-Dependent Inpatients. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 2007;48(3):283–288. [PubMed: 17445524]
- Fergusson DM, Lynskey MT, Horwood LJ. Comorbidity between depressive disorders and nicotine dependence in a cohort of 16-year-olds. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1996;53(11):1043–1047. [PubMed: 8911227]

- Fernandez MI, Varga LM, Perrino T, Collazo JB, Subiaul F, Rehbein A, et al. The Internet as recruitment tool for HIV studies: viable strategy for reaching at-risk Hispanic MSM in Miami? *AIDS Care* 2004;16(8):953–963. [PubMed: 15511727]
- Fisher LA, Elias JW, Ritz K. Predicting Relapse to Substance Abuse as a Function of Personality Dimensions. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 1998;22(5):1041–1047.
- Gorman EM, Nelson KR, Applegate T, Scrol A. Club Drug and Poly-Substance Abuse and HIV Among Gay/Bisexual Men: Lessons Gleaned from a Community Study. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services* 2004;16(2):1–17.
- Grekin ER, Sher KJ, Wood PK. Personality and substance dependence symptoms: modeling substance-specific traits. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors* 2006;20(4):415–424.
- Halkitis, PN. Methamphetamine addiction: Biological foundations, psychological factors, and social consequences. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2009.
- Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Stirratt MJ. A double epidemic: Crystal methamphetamine drug use in relation to HIV transmission among gay men. *Journal of Homosexuality* 2001;41(2):17–36. [PubMed: 11482426]
- Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Wilton L. Barebacking among gay and bisexual men in New York City: Explanations for the emergence of intentional unsafe behavior. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 2003;32(4):351–357. [PubMed: 12856896]
- Halkitis PN, Solomon TM, Moeller RW, Doig SAR, Espinosa LS, Siconolfi D, et al. Methamphetamine use among gay, bisexual and non-identified men-who-have-sex-with-men: An analysis of daily patterns. *Journal of Health Psychology* 2009;14(2):222. [PubMed: 19237489]
- Halkitis PN, Dayton A, Pollock JA, Pappas MK, Moeller RW, Siconolfi D, Solomon TM. Substance Use in the MSM Population of New York City in the Era of HIV/AIDS: A Historical Understanding and a Paradigm Shift for Moving Forward. *Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. (in press)
- Hosák L, Hosak. Temperament and character inventory (TCI) personality profile in methamphetamine abusers: a controlled study. *European psychiatry* 2004;19(4):193. [PubMed: 15196599]
- Kalichman SC, Johnson JR, Adair V, Rompa D, Multhauf K, Kelly JA. Sexual Sensation Seeking: Scale Development and Predicting AIDS-Risk Behavior Among Homosexually Active Men. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 1994;62(3):385–397. [PubMed: 8027907]
- Kalichman SC, Rompa D. Sexual Sensation Seeking and Sexual Compulsivity Scales: Validity, and Predicting HIV Risk Behavior. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 1995;65(3):586–601. [PubMed: 8609589]
- Kandel D, Johnson J, Bird H, Camino G. Psychiatric disorders associated with substance use among children and adolescents: Findings from the Methods for Epidemiology of Child and Adolescent Mental Disorders (MECA) Study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 1997;25:121–132. [PubMed: 9109029]
- Liraud F, Verdoux H. Which temperamental characteristics are associated with substance use in subjects with psychotic and mood disorders? *Psychiatry Research* 2000;93(1):63–72. [PubMed: 10699229]
- McGue M. Personality and Substance Use Disorders: II. Alcoholism Versus Drug Use Disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1999;67(3):394. [PubMed: 10369060]
- Moran P, Coffey C, Mann A, Carlin JB, Patton GC. Personality and substance use disorders in young adults. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2006;188(4):374–379. [PubMed: 16582065]
- Phillips TR, Billaud JN, Henriksen SJ. Methamphetamine and HIV-1: Potential interactions and the use of the FIV/cat model. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 2000;14(3):244. [PubMed: 11106303]
- Plankey MW, Ostrow DG, Stall R, Cox C, Li X, Peck JA, et al. The relationship between methamphetamine and popper use and risk of HIV seroconversion in the multicenter AIDS cohort study. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)* 2007;45(1):85–92. [PubMed: 17325605]
- Sandfort TG, de Graaf R, Bijl RV, Schnabel P. Same-sex sexual behavior and psychiatric disorders: Findings from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;58:85–91. [PubMed: 11146762]
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The mini-international neuropsychiatric interview (M.I.N.I.): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic

- psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry* 1998;59:22–33. [PubMed: 9881538]quiz 34–57
- Semple SJ, Zians J, Grant I, Patterson TL. Impulsivity and methamphetamine use. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 2005;29(2):85–93. [PubMed: 16135337]
- Sher KJ, Bartholow BD, Wood MD. Personality and Substance Use Disorders: A Prospective Study. *JOURNAL OF CONSULTING AND CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY* 2000;68(5):818–829. [PubMed: 11068968]
- Sher KJ, Trull TJ. Personality and Disinhibitory Psychopathology: Alcoholism and Antisocial Personality Disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 1994;103:92–92. [PubMed: 8040486]
- Trull TJ, Sher KJ. Relationship Between the Five-Factor Model of Personality and Axis I Disorders in a Nonclinical Sample. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 1994;103:350–350. [PubMed: 8040504]
- Trull TJ, Waudby CJ, Sher KJ. Alcohol, Tobacco, and Drug Use Disorders and Personality Disorder Symptoms. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology* 2004;12(1):65–75. [PubMed: 14769101]
- Weathers, F.; Litz, B.; Herman, D.; Huska, J.; Keane, T. The PTSD checklist (PCL): Reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. *Annual Meeting of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies*. San Antonio, TX: 1993.
- Wu L, Anthony J. Tobacco smoking and depressed mood in late childhood and early adolescence. *American Journal of Public Health* 1999;89:1837–1840. [PubMed: 10589312]
- Zweben JE, Cohen JB, Christian D, Galloway GP, Salinardi M, Parent D, et al. Psychiatric Symptoms in Methamphetamine Users. *American Journal on Addictions* 2004;13(2):181–190. [PubMed: 15204668]

Table 1

Sample Characteristics (N = 60)

	MA-Dependent		Non-User	
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
Age	41.0 <i>n</i>	7.85 %	38.4 <i>n</i>	12.54 %
Race				
Black	9	15.3	5	8.5
Latino	9	15.3	1	1.7
White	9	15.3	19	32.2
Mixed	2	3.4	5	8.5
HIV Status				
Positive	19	31.7	13	21.7
Negative	8	13.3	16	26.7
Don't Know	3	5.0	1	1.7

Table 2

Use of Methamphetamine (MA) and Differences in Personality Traits

BSI	MA-Dependent		Non-User	
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
Somatization	4.73	4.74	3.00	4.05
Obsessive Compulsive	6.60	5.00	5.86	4.81
Interpersonal Sensitivity *	4.63	3.33	3.07	2.88
Depression	6.53	4.77	4.60	4.35
Anxiety	6.17	4.81	4.10	4.81
Hostility	4.16	4.21	3.00	3.15
Phobic Anxiety	2.36	3.28	1.36	2.87
Paranoid Ideations**	6.50	5.43	3.30	2.56
Psychoticism	4.03	4.35	3.36	3.23
NEO 5	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
Neo N *	26.80	8.54	22.07	9.57
Neo E *	27.70	5.75	28.86	6.06
Neo O *	29.87	5.84	33.13	5.74
Neo A**	25.17	6.59	30.90	7.08
Neo C *	27.57	6.75	32.67	5.86
PTSD Total	36.63	14.93	30.40	13.86
PSS Total	29.16	4.93	30.83	3.21

*
 $p \leq .05$ *
 $p < .01$