Published in final edited form as:

ACS Chem Biol. 2008 December 19; 3(12): 765–775. doi:10.1021/cb800176t.

Antiviral Compounds Discovered by Virtual Screening of Small-Molecule Libraries against Dengue Virus E Protein

Zhigang Zhou^{†,§}, Mansoor Khaliq[‡], Jae-Eun Suk[†], Chinmay Patkar^{‡,¶}, Long Li[‡], Richard J. Kuhn[‡], and Carol Beth Post^{†,‡,*}

[†]Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

[‡]Department of Biological Sciences, Markey Center for Structural Biology and Purdue Cancer Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Abstract

Infection by the mosquito-borne dengue virus causes dengue fever and the sometimes fatal dengue hemorrhagic fever. The increasing number of dengue infections per year suggests that the virus is becoming more virulent and its transmission is expanding. Nevertheless, no effective treatment for dengue infection currently exists. In a search for antiviral agents effective against dengue virus, we investigated the potential of targeting a structural protein site rather than an enzymatic one. Using this approach, we now report the discovery of a small molecule ligand that inhibits viral growth. Our results also provide the first evidence that the binding site, a pocket located at the hinge between domains 1 and 2 of the envelope protein (E protein) on the virus surface, is a valid target for antiviral therapy. Ligand candidates were identified from libraries of ~142,000 compounds using a computational high-throughput screening protocol targeting this pocket of the E protein. Cell-based assays were conducted on 23 top-ranked compounds. Among four with good antiviral activity profiles, the compound P02 was found to inhibit viral reproduction at micromolar concentrations. Using saturation transfer difference NMR spectroscopy, we also show that the compound binds virus and competes for binding E protein with the known ligand *N*-octyl- β -D-glucoside. Together, the results are consistent with an inhibition mechanism against maturation or host-cell entry mediated by ligand binding to the E-protein pocket. P02 is a promising lead compound for future development of an effective treatment against dengue virus and related flaviviruses.

Mosquito-borne flaviviruses are human pathogens and a major burden in many regions of the world by causing diseases that include yellow fever and the sometimes fatal dengue hemorrhagic fever and the dengue shock syndrome (1). In 2007 the four serotypes of dengue viruses were estimated to cause 50–100 million annual human infections worldwide and 22,000 deaths. The number of dengue fever cases per year is increasing steadily, including in the United States where dengue virus has spread to 36 states since 1985, and the risk of an outbreak is recognized (2). Nevertheless, there are no known antiviral compounds and no therapeutic treatment against dengue virus. Safe vaccines against the yellow fever flavivirus exist, and progress toward a dengue virus vaccine is being made, but the availability of vaccines is often limited, and in the United States people are not likely to be vaccinated. Therefore, a better

*Corresponding author, cbp@purdue.edu.

[§]Present address: Computational Biology Branch, NCBI NIH, Bethesda, MD 20894-6075.

[¶]Present address: Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655.

Supporting Information Available: This material is free of charge via the Internet.

defense against outbreaks of dengue infection and exposure to the disease is offered by antiviral agents as a treatment strategy.

Dengue virus is an enveloped virus with a ssRNA genome that is translated as a polyprotein. Cleavage of the polyprotein produces three structural proteins (capsid, C; premembrane, prM; and the glycosylated envelope, E, protein) that form the virus particle and seven nonstructural proteins that form the replicase complex for genome replication. The immature dengue virus at neutral pH is an icosahedral particle with a diameter of ~600 Å and an external coat of trimers of prM:E heterodimers, as shown by cryo-electron microscopy reconstruction (3). Notable protrusions occur at the position of the 6-fold symmetry axis with significant open regions in the surface exposing the lipid. By contrast, the mature virus is ~500 Å in diameter and 90 E:E homodimers coat the viral surface (4) by forming a close-packed smooth, outer shell of uniform thickness. E protein comprises three domains and is elongated in shape (Figure 1).

Structural comparisons of E protein in the immature and mature virus support an approach for inhibitor design distinct from pursuing the more common target of viral enzymes. Fitting into cryo-EM density for these two viral forms requires a change in the relative orientation of domains 1 and 2 (5). Further variation in the domain orientation was observed in the crystallographic structure of a postfusion form of E protein (6). The overall differences in the angular orientation between domains 1 and 2 span ~35° with the largest variation occurring between the mature and postfusion forms. In addition, the interface between domains 1 and 2 has been identified as a site for ligand binding; *N*-octyl-β-D-glucoside (BOG) was observed in one crystallographic structure (7) to lie in a buried pocket formed between domains 1 and 2 (Figure 1). This pocket appears to result from an induced-fit binding because in other structures of the E protein from dengue (5,8,9) or tick-borne encephalitis virus (10) a loop connecting the two domains adopts an alternative conformation that closes over and eliminates the pocket. The large-scale structural changes between immature and mature virus, including the differences in domain orientations of E protein, suggest ligand binding to this BOG pocket could inhibit a step in the virus lifecycle at which the virus E protein undergoes a structural transition (7). A similar strategy of targeting a buried pocket in a viral capsid protein was exploited with human rhinovirus (11), leading to the development of numerous antiviral compounds (12–14).

Here we report the use of a four-stage computational high-throughput screening (HTS) (15, 16) of three NCI compound libraries to identify small-molecule ligands with potential to bind the BOG pocket in dengue E protein. The screening of >142,000 compounds to select 23 for experimental validation is described. The results from in-cell assays and from saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR spectroscopy, a direct measure of binding and competition with BOG, are then presented. We propose that a compound discovered to have antiviral activity and shown to bind E protein is a strong lead candidate for the development of new therapeutics against dengue virus and related flaviviruses.

Results and Discussions

Screening NCI Libraries against the BOG Pocket

A four-stage protocol (Scheme 1) was used to computationally screen ligands to bind the BOG pocket of E protein and enhance the potential for finding ant flavivirus activity in a biological assay conducted on a smaller scale. The compounds in the diversity, D, (1990 compounds) and mechanistic, M, (879 compounds) libraries have diverse or mechanistically desirable properties, respectively, and are largely subsets of the significantly larger plated, P, library (~140,000 molecules). When this study was initiated, the compounds were available from NCI for biological evaluation. The reliability of the docking method applied to the targeted site of

dengue E protein was demonstrated by docking BOG and comparing the results with the known structure of the crystallographic complex (see Supporting Information).

Stages 1 and 2: SP and XP Docking—The ranked Gscore and Emodel scores for the three libraries following standard precision (SP) docking (stage 1) are shown in Figure 2, where lower ranked compounds are plotted by increasing value along the abscissa. Supplementary Figure S2 shows analogous plots for stage 2 extra-precision (XP) docking. The trends in Figure 2 are similar to a Gaussian profile with a rapid initial drop over a small number of the most favorable compounds, followed by more slowly decreasing scores for a substantially larger number of compounds and a sharp fall off as the scores approach zero in value. The percentages of ligand complexes with negative scores are comparable in all cases: 1342 (67.4%), 578 (65.8%), and 90,337 (64.5%) of the molecules from the D, M and P libraries, respectively, for Gscore profiles. Rankings with Gscore and Emodel differ, although there is a 15–20% overlap in the stage 1 top-ranked compounds. The arrows in Figure 2 delineate the cutoff values needed for either Gscore or Emodel to select the top-ranked 250 compounds to compose the $T^{3 \times 500}$ set for stage 2. The best P library scores (Figure 2, panels e and f) are superior to those for D and M libraries (Figure 2, panels a–d). Recalling that D and M libraries are a subset of P, many more than 3000 ligands for Gscore and Emodel would have been required to carry forward to stage 1 in order to include the D and M compounds selected for $T^{3 \times 500}$.

Stage 3: Thermal Relaxation—Stage 3 allowed internal flexibility of both protein and ligand atoms by energy minimization. The calculated energies for the $T^{3 \times 50}$ set are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

Compounds were ranked by the interaction energy (Figure 3, panel a), which includes a solvation contribution. Overall, the van der Waals energy contributed most to the interaction energy, but the electrostatic energy showed the greatest variation and was therefore the major factor for the ranking of molecules in stage 3. Energy minimization of the combined set of 2×25 compounds selected on the basis of either Gscore or Emodel from stage 2 resulted in a continuous energy profile, which suggests both scoring functions favor compounds similarly suited for the BOG binding site. In addition, there was no overlap in these top-ranked 50 ligand molecules among the three libraries even though the D and M libraries are largely subsets of the P library. Independent screening of the three overlapping libraries therefore selected three exclusive sets of potential compounds for binding E protein.

The Gscore value is plotted in Figure 3, panel b against the same compound rankings as Figure 3, panel a. It is clear from the many maxima and minima in Figure 3, panel b that GScore does not directly parallel the interaction energy, and therefore both energy and GScore were used to select the candidates for the final graphical analysis. This $T^{3 \times 15}$ set was composed of 10 molecules defined by the most favorable interaction energy list and 5 defined by the most favorable Gscore for each library.

Chemical properties of the $T^{3 \times 50}$ and $T^{3 \times 15}$ molecules from stage 2 and stage 3, respectively, were analyzed to identify the features selected by docking. The presence of hydrogen bonding and cationic and anionic groups was evaluated, as well as the amount of hydrophobic and aromatic contact surface area (Supplementary Table S3). The largest change in these features to evolve over the stage of the screening is the increase in ligand hydrogen donor groups. As expected on the basis of the hydrophobic nature of the buried BOG binding site, few of the selected compounds have cationic (4% and 2%) or anionic groups (2% for both sets).

Stage 4: Visual Analysis—The final selection for the T^{23} set to submit to experimental testing considered the chemical nature of the ligand and the structural quality of the fit in the

binding site. The T²³ set comprised 11, 7, and 5 molecules from the D, M, and P libraries, respectively.

Inhibition of YFV-IRES-Luc Virus Growth

To test the ability of the T²³ compounds to inhibit viral growth, confluent BHK cells were infected with YFV-IRES-Luc at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI) and inhibition of virus growth was determined from the reduction in luciferase activity (see Methods). Of the 23 compounds tested, nine compounds (Figure 4) showed inhibitory activity against YFV-IRES-Luc growth (Table 1) at concentrations less than CC₅₀. IC₅₀ values ranged from ~13 μ M for P02 to 500 μ M. The antiviral activity of P02 is well-substantiated by the more than 25-fold lower IC₅₀ value compared with CC₅₀, although the viral reduction activities of the other compounds shown in Table 1 appear to be toxicity-mediated.

The antiviral activity of compound P02 was further characterized by determining its effect on virus production measured from a virus titer. The levels of virus release in the presence of 10 μ M and 100 μ M P02 were determined by plaque assay (data provided in Supporting Information). As expected, the virus titer is reduced ~10 orders of magnitude at 100 μ M P02.

Inhibition of YF-R.luc2A-RP Replication

To investigate the possibility that the observed inhibition of YFV-IRES-Luc virus resulted from interference with viral protein production or genome RNA replication, the compounds were tested for inhibition of replication of the YF-R.luc2A-RP replicon (Table 1). The YF-R.luc2A-RP replicon lacks the viral structural proteins, including E protein. Thus, activity in this assay reflects the effect of compounds on genome replication or protein synthesis, as opposed to assembly and maturation of virus particles or host-cell entry. Only the nine compounds that were active against YFV-IRES-Luc were tested in this assay, in which luciferase activity indicates the level of genome RNA replication. IC₅₀ values are listed in Table 1 (IC₅₀ YF-RLuc2A-RP). For the compounds D02, D04, and D05, IC₅₀ values obtained for inhibition of YF-R.luc2A-RP replication are higher than those for inhibiting YFV-IRES-Luc virus growth, while P02 has comparable activities in viral growth and replicon assays. These results suggest that compounds D02, D04, and D05 inhibit the virus life cycle at steps other than replication, consistent with inhibition of maturation or virus entry into cells as a result of binding E protein, and P02 activity may involve multiple targets.

Binding Measured by NMR

NMR, including saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR, was used to directly test the binding of a compound with antiviral growth activity to either whole virus or isolated dengue E protein. One compound only has been tested thus far due to the substantial amount of whole virus or purified E protein required for NMR. Ligand resonances appear in an STD spectrum as a result of the transfer of magnetization from the large-molecular-weight species to a bound small-molecule ligand; efficient transfer is possible only when the ligand and macromolecular protons are spatially close.

STD measurements were first conducted in the presence of yellow fever virus for the compound P02, which has the lowest IC₅₀ (Table 1, 13 μ M) for inhibiting YFV-IRES-Luc virus growth. The results (Supplementary Figure S3) indicate P02 binds the virus. P02 likely associates with E protein given that E protein covers the entire external surface of the virus and the lipid layer is largely inaccessible (4).

To further test for specific binding of the compound P02 to E protein and explore binding to the BOG pocket targeted in the computational screening, NMR experiments were conducted on purified dengue E protein with BOG only and BOG in the presence of P02 to determine if

P02 competes with BOG (drawn in Figure 4 along with the nine compounds from Table 1). The reference 1D ^1H spectrum of a mixture of P02 (0.45 mM) and BOG (0.45 mM) in the absence of E protein is shown in Figure 5, panel a. Resonances from BOG and P02 are labeled. Upon addition of dengue E protein at a concentration (20 μM) too low to detect, the 1D spectral intensities of the P02 resonances are significantly reduced (Figure 5, panel b). The loss of intensity indicates that P02 associates with E protein, and the unbound state observed by the resonances in Figure 5 is in slow exchange with the E protein-bound state. On the other hand, changes are imperceptible in Figure 5, panel b for resonances from BOG, the known E protein ligand (7), so that under the conditions of the experiment, BOG is in fast exchange between the free and bound states. The differences in the NMR exchange behavior of P02 and BOG indicate that the binding affinity of P02 is greater than that of BOG. For the sample conditions used here and assuming typical chemical shift differences between free and bound ligands, the slow exchange behavior of P02 is consistent with a dissociation constant of 1 μM or smaller.

Competition experiments were conducted to further explore the nature of P02 binding. STD experiments were measured by selective irradiation of E protein at low concentration with either BOG only or BOG in the presence of P02. The ^1H spectrum of BOG plus dengue E protein, without saturation of the E protein resonances, is provided in Figure 5, panel c for reference. The STD spectrum of this sample calculated from spectra measured with and without saturation (Figure 5, panel d) has strong signals corresponding to the BOG resonances at 0.8 and 1.3 ppm. Thus there is efficient magnetization transfer from E protein to BOG upon association. Addition of P02 to this sample of BOG plus dengue E protein results in nearly complete loss of intensity from the BOG resonances in an STD spectrum (Figure 5, panel e). The loss of these signals indicates that association of BOG with E protein is greatly reduced and that P02 competes with BOG for binding to E protein. (Resonances from P02 are not observed in the STD spectrum because P02 is in slow exchange and magnetization is transferred to the bound-state P02 resonances, which are too broad and low intensity to detect in the STD spectrum.) The data in Figure 5 provide unambiguous evidence that P02 associates with E protein and competes with BOG for binding. This competition supports the proposal that P02 binds in the BOG pocket.

Assessment of Computational Screening

How the scores of the nine compounds with antiviral activity (Table 1) ranked during the course of the computational screening was examined to assess the utility of screening three libraries, where D and M are relatively small subsets of the P library, and the use of both Gscore and Emodel rankings.

The nine compounds with antiviral activity, marked by red triangles in Figures 2 and 3, are observed to come from all three libraries; however, the compounds identified from the D and M libraries scored well below the cutoff value used for the P library in stage 1 (see Supporting Information) and did not pass the selection filter for screening the large P library. Therefore the objective of finding a set enriched with active compounds was met, although the method may have failed to extract all potentially active compounds from the large number of molecules in the structurally redundant P library.

Gscore ranking at stage 1 screening of the large P library yielded active compounds, but Emodel ranking did not. This result is shown by the occurrence of red triangles to the left of the arrow in Figure 2, panel e, whereas none occur in Figure 2, panel f (also shown in Supplementary Figure 2). Because most compounds with antiviral activity were identified by the Gscore and Emodel rankings were less efficient, we conclude that the predictive power of Gscore is superior.

Binding Site Interactions of Docked Compounds

The BOG binding pocket is a channel with open access at both ends so that linear molecules of different length can be accommodated.

Details of the interactions predicted for P02, the compound demonstrated here by NMR to bind E protein (see above), are shown in Figure 6, panel a. As docked, P02 has high chemical complementarity with the channel of E protein. Numerous energetically favorable interactions are observed, including many from the E protein polar residues noted above. P02 heteroatoms are in close contact with side chains of Gln200, Asp203, Gln271, Ser274, and Thr48 and main chain peptide groups of Thr280 and Ala50. Favorable hydrophobic contacts, illustrated with Leu207 in Figure 6, panel a, are also observed.

The docked structures for the nine compounds listed in Table 1 cluster into three types of complexes (Figure 6, panels b–d). P02 is oriented similarly to P01 and D05 (Figure 6, panel b), which are also relatively longer molecules and span the full length of the binding channel. These ligands also make contact with the polar groups from E protein located near the end of the channel (Glu49, Gln200, Asp203, and Gln271). Exploitation of these interactions in future design efforts could enhance binding affinity and confer specificity, as previously recognized from simulations (17,18). The docked orientations of compounds D02 and D04 (Figure 6, panel c) and (M01 and M02 in Figure 6, panel d) are highly overlapped with the phenyl rings nearly superimposed and located in a hydrophobic area of the barrel. M01 and M02 have an additional group positioned to the right in Figure 6, panel d and lies in a shallow groove in the E protein surface (Figure 1). This additional contact area has the potential to enhance binding affinity by burial of hydrophobic surface of the protein and ligand.

Conclusion

A hierarchical four-stage computational HTS was used to identify small-molecule compounds that bind to the BOG pocket of the E protein of dengue virus with the goal of developing antiviral agents against flaviviruses. The screening reduced ~142,000 molecules from three NCI libraries to only 23 top-ranked compounds, which were tested for antiviral activity in biological assays. P02 was demonstrated both to bind E protein and to have antiviral activity. Moreover, P02 was shown by STD NMR to compete with BOG for binding to E protein, which unambiguously demonstrates association of P02 with E protein and supports a binding site that overlaps the BOG pocket targeted by computational screening. P02 binding in the BOG pocket is reasonable in view of the numerous favorable intermolecular interactions between P02 and BOG-site residues (Figure 6, panel a) in the modeled complex. The evidence for binding E protein and the antiviral activity, together, support P02 as a strong lead compound for future design efforts and provide a foundation for exploring an antiviral mechanism based on disruption of the essential viral process of maturation or cell entry by stabilizing selected conformational states of flaviviral structural proteins in a manner similar to that known for rhinovirus (14,19–22).

Three additional compounds (D02, D04, and D05) were found to have activity against virus growth in the μM concentration range, while exhibiting reduced activity in a viral replication assay (Table 1). Although the cytotoxicity of these compounds infers a toxicity-mediated viral reduction, this activity profile, which is consistent with inhibition of the virus life cycle by blocking virus entry into cells or disruption of virus maturation, nonetheless renders these compounds worthy of consideration for future development given the need and early stage of identifying inhibitors against dengue virus.

In accordance with other recent studies (15,16), the results reported here are strong testimony to the value of the computational screening approach. The objective to identify ligands of

dengue virus E protein relied on computational docking with Glide. Ligand flexibility was taken into account throughout the computation, starting with the first stage of docking by use of coarse-grained docking of explicit rotamers generated for all compounds. Careful visual inspection of the complexes after energy relaxation yielded the final set of the number of compounds targeted for biological assays. There was no overlap in the top 50 ligand molecules ranked out of the three libraries even though the D and M libraries are subsets of the P library, and thus independent screening of these three overlapping libraries selected a unique set of potential E-protein ligands from each library.

Methods

Screening Strategy

A hierarchical four-stage protocol (Scheme 1) was implemented for HTS against dengue E protein using three NCI small-molecule libraries: diversity (D), mechanistic (M), and plated (P). The objective was to balance the simulation time and docking accuracy in order to select ~50 compounds, a small number amenable to visual inspection and biological assays. Details on the setup and scoring are in Supporting Information.

The initial screening stage used the SP docking procedure in Glide (*FirstDiscovery* v2.7, Schrödinger, Inc.) (23,24), designed for handling a large number of compounds and minimizing the number of false negatives by using a “soft” potential that permits minor steric clashes. Multiple conformations were generated for each molecule in the library by exhaustive enumeration of the energy minima for the rotatable torsion angles and prescreened for docking by eliminating high-energy conformers. Generated poses of a specific ligand conformer positioned in the active site were scored and ranked by Gscore and Emodel functions, and the top 500 compounds from each of the three libraries were selected to carry forward to the second stage screening. Of the 500 compounds, 250 were selected on the basis of Gscore ranking and 250 on the basis of Emodel ranking. In the case of some number (N) of compounds ranked at the top with both Gscore and Emodel, one-half of these ($N/2$) were retained for each scoring function and the cutoff increased until 250 compounds were selected. These 1500 compounds defined the $T^{3 \times 500}$ set.

The second stage utilized the XP docking procedure of Glide (23–25), which incorporates a more accurate, finer-grained docking algorithm designed to eliminate false positives that survive the SP stage 1. As in SP docking, the XP protocol includes ligand flexibility by docking multiple conformers in a rigid receptor, and the resulting complexes were ranked by both Gscore and Emodel. The top 50 molecules from each of the three libraries (25 from each ranking by Gscore or Emodel) generated the $T^{3 \times 50}$ set of compounds.

Stage 3 involved thermal relaxation of the $T^{3 \times 50}$ set of molecules. The receptor–ligand complexes were refined by energy minimization executed with the Liaison module (*FirstDiscovery* v2.7). The energy was minimized by the truncated Newton method with optimization of the atomic coordinates of all ligand atoms and protein atoms within 15 Å of any ligand atom while the atomic coordinates of all other protein atoms were fixed. The energy function was the OPLS-AA force field (26), including van der Waals and electrostatic terms. Solvation was modeled implicitly using the surface generalized Born model, which includes the solvent reaction-field energy, U_{rxnf} , and the cavity energy, U_{cav} , proportional to the change in exposed surface area of the ligand. A 10 Å cutoff was used for long-range interactions. Energy minimization was carried out for 500 steps, or until the system reached a root-mean-square gradient less than or equal to $0.01 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$. This final stage generated the set $T^{3 \times 15}$, or 15 compounds from each of the P, D and M libraries, selected on the basis of either the energy function used for minimization (10 compounds) or Gscore (5 compounds) criteria.

Stage 4 was visual examination of the selected complexes and assessment of the compound physico/chemical features. $T^{3 \times 15}$ molecules were evaluated for a maximal number of functional groups, minimal solvent exposure and all ligand atoms having significant contact within the binding site, maximal number of intermolecular hydrogen bonds, and balance between the hydrophobic and hydrophilic groups of the ligand to maintain a proper partition coefficient.

YFV-IRES-Luc Virus Growth Assay

BHK cells were plated in a 96-well plate and grown at 37 °C. At confluency, cells were infected with YFV-IRES-Luc virus at a MOI of 0.1. Details on cell type and generation of YFV-IRES-Luc virus are in Supporting Information. A low MOI was utilized to ensure that fewer cells were infected so that spread of released virus could be monitored. After 1 h of incubation with the virus, cells were overlaid with culture media containing serial dilutions of compounds at concentrations below the CC_{50} values (see below). Compound stock solutions were in DMSO solvent. Controls included uninfected cells, infected cells, and DMSO-treated infected cells. Cells were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO_2 for ~36 h and lysed using 50 μ L of Cell Culture lysis buffer (Promega Inc.), and 10 μ L samples of cell extracts were placed into a 96-well opaque plate. Luciferase activity was determined from the luminescence generated with fire-fly luciferase substrate (Promega Inc.). Luminescence was measured in a 96-well-plate luminometer, LMax II (Molecular Devices). A reduction in luciferase activity indicates inhibition of YFV-IRES-Luc virus growth. The luciferase luminescence as a function of compound concentration was analyzed by nonlinear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism to estimate the IC_{50} of each compound. The IC_{50} was defined as the concentration of the compound to cause 50% reduction of luciferase activity in infected cells as compared to the DMSO-treated cells.

YF-R.luc2A-RP Replication Assay

BHK cells were plated in a 96-well plate and grown at 37 °C. At confluency, cells were infected with YFV pseudoinfectious particles (PIPs) (see Supporting Information) containing YF-R.luc2A-RP. Cells were then overlaid with culture media containing serial dilutions of compounds at concentrations below the CC_{50} values. Controls included uninfected cells, infected cells, and DMSO-treated infected cells. Cells were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO_2 for ~36 h and lysed using 50 μ L of *Renilla* lysis buffer (Promega Inc.), and 10 μ L samples of cell extracts were placed into a 96-well opaque plate. Luciferase activity was determined as described above.

Cell Viability Assay

In accordance with the manufacturer's protocol for determining cell viability as a measurement of cytotoxicity, BHK cells were plated in a 96-well plate at a confluency of $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ cells/well. The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h to a confluency of $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ cells/well, at which time the cells were overlaid with culture media containing serial dilutions of compounds. Compound stocks were generated by dissolving compounds in DMSO and added to the media to give a final DMSO concentration of 1%. Untreated and 1% DMSO-only treated cells served as positive controls. Cells were then incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO_2 for ~36 h. At ~36 h post-treatment, the media on the cells was replaced with fresh media to remove the compounds. Next, 10 μ L of XTT-substrate from the Quick Cell Proliferation Kit was added to each well. Cells were incubated at 37 °C for a further 2 h. Plates were then removed, and the optical density, OD_{450} , was measured using a 96-well plate reader (Molecular Devices) to determine the amount of formazan dye generated by cellular mitochondrial dehydrogenases, as an indicator of cell viability. CC_{50} is the concentration of compound that reduces the OD_{450} value

by 50% compared to that for DMSO-only treated cells. OD₄₅₀ values for untreated cells were nearly equal to those for DMSO-only treated cells.

STD NMR

STD NMR experiments (27) were performed on a Varian 600 MHz spectrometer at 277 K, without sample spinning. E protein purification is described in Supporting Information. Samples were in 12 mM *d*-Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 95% D₂O, and 5% *d*-DMSO solution. 1D STD spectra were obtained *via* phase cycling by subtracting an on-resonance FID, with selective saturation of protein resonances at −2 ppm, from an off-resonance FID, with saturation at 30 ppm, using a series of 50 ms Gaussian-shaped saturation pulses (2 s total saturation time) and a 2-s recycle delay. For high quality data, 1D ¹H STD spectra were recorded with 10 K data points covering 8000 Hz, and up to 16 K difference scans were accumulated. During the signal accumulation, FIDs over different time periods (320 scans, ~1280 scans, and ~10 K scans) were stored separately to compare against one another.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by the NIH/NIAID Regional Center of Excellence for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases Research (RCE) Program. The authors wish to acknowledge membership within and support from the Region V “Great Lakes” RCE (NIH award 1-U54-AI-057153). The authors thank M. Cushman, L. Ze, and R. Perera for valuable discussions and S. Lok for assistance in the preparation of E protein.

References

1. Lindenbach, BD.; Rice, CM. Flaviviridae: The viruses and their replication. In: Knipe, D.; Howley, P., editors. Fields Virology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; Philadelphia: 2001. p. 991-1041.
2. Morens DM, Fauci AS. Dengue and hemorrhagic fever: A potential threat to public health in the United States. JAMA, J Am Med Assoc 2008;299:214–216.
3. Zhang Y, Corver J, Chipman PR, Zhang W, Pletnev SV, Sedlak D, Baker TS, Strauss JH, Kuhn RJ, Rossmann MG. Structures of immature flavivirus particles. EMBO J 2003;22:2604–2613. [PubMed: 12773377]
4. Kuhn RJ, Zhang W, Rossmann MG, Pletnev SV, Corver J, Lenches E, Jones CT, Mukhopadhyay S, Chipman PR, Strauss EG, Baker TS, Strauss JH. Structure of dengue virus: Implications for flavivirus organization, maturation, and fusion. Cell 2002;108:717–725. [PubMed: 11893341]
5. Zhang Y, Zhang W, Ogata S, Clements D, Strauss JH, Baker TS, Kuhn RJ, Rossmann MG. Conformational changes of the flavivirus E glycoprotein. Structure 2004;12:1607–1618. [PubMed: 15341726]
6. Modis Y, Ogata S, Clements D, Harrison SC. Structure of the dengue virus envelope protein after membrane fusion. Nature (London, UK) 2004;427:313–319. [PubMed: 14737159]
7. Modis Y, Ogata S, Clements D, Harrison SC. A ligand-binding pocket in the dengue virus envelope glycoprotein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:6986–6991. [PubMed: 12759475]
8. Zhang W, Chipman PR, Corver J, Johnson PR, Zhang Y, Mukhopadhyay S, Baker TS, Strauss JH, Rossmann MG, Kuhn RJ. Visualization of membrane protein domains by cryo-electron microscopy of dengue virus. Nat Struct Biol 2003;10:907–912. [PubMed: 14528291]
9. Modis Y, Ogata S, Clements D, Harrison SC. Variable surface epitopes in the crystal structure of dengue virus type 3 envelope glycoprotein. J Virol 2005;79:1223–1231. [PubMed: 15613349]
10. Rey FA, Xie FX, Mandl C, Kunz C, Harrison SC. The envelope glycoprotein from tick-borne encephalitis virus at 2 Å resolution. Nature (London, UK) 1995;375:291–298. [PubMed: 7753193]

11. Diana GD, McKinlay MA, Otto MJ, Akullian V, Oglesby C. (4,5-Dihydro-2-oxazolyl)phenoxy alkyl isoxazoles. Inhibitors of picornavirus uncoating. *J Med Chem* 1985;28:1906–1910. [PubMed: 2999407]
12. Goncalves RB, Mendes YS, Soares MR, Katpally U, Smith TJ, Silva JL, Oliveira AC. VP4 protein from human rhinovirus 14 is released by pressure and locked in the capsid by the antiviral compound WIN. *J Mol Biol* 2007;366:295–306. [PubMed: 17161425]
13. Hadfield AT, Oliveira MA, Kim KH, Minor I, Kremer M, Heinz BA, Shepard D, Pevear DC, Rueckert RR, Rossmann MG. Structural studies on human rhinovirus 14 drug-resistant compensation mutants. *J Mol Biol* 1995;253:61–73. [PubMed: 7473717]
14. Zhang Y, Simpson AA, Ledford RM, Bator CM, Chakravarty S, Skochko GA, Demenczuk TM, Watanyar A, Pevear DC, Rossmann MG. Structural and virological studies of the stages of virus replication that are affected by antirhinovirus compounds. *J Virol* 2004;78:11061–11069. [PubMed: 15452226]
15. Szymkowski DE. Creating the next generation of protein therapeutics through rational drug design. *Curr Opin Drug Discovery Dev* 2005;8:590–600.
16. Vajda S, Guarnieri F. Characterization of protein-ligand interaction sites using experimental and computational methods. *Curr Opin Drug Discovery Dev* 2006;9:354–362.
17. Zhou Z, Madrid M, Evanseck JD, Madura JD. Effect of a bound non-nucleoside RT inhibitor on the dynamics of wild-type and mutant HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:17253–17260. [PubMed: 16332074]
18. Zhou Z, Madura JD. Relative free energy of binding and binding mode calculations of HIV-1 RT inhibitors based on dock-MM-PB/GS. *Proteins: Struct, Funct, Bioinf* 2004;57:493–503.
19. Li Y, Zhou Z, Post CB. Dissociation of an antiviral compound from the internal pocket of human rhinovirus 14 capsid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005;102:7529–7534. [PubMed: 15899980]
20. Phelps DK, Post CB. Molecular dynamics investigation of the effect of an antiviral compound on human rhinovirus. *Protein Sci* 1999;8:2281–2289. [PubMed: 10595531]
21. Speelman B, Brooks BR, Post CB. Molecular dynamics simulations of human rhinovirus and an antiviral compound. *Biophys J* 2001;80:121–129. [PubMed: 11159387]
22. Grant RA, Hiremath CN, Filman DJ, Syed R, Andries K, Hogle JM. Structures of poliovirus complexes with antiviral drugs: implications for viral stability and drug design. *Curr Biol* 1994;4:784–797. [PubMed: 7820548]
23. Friesner RA, Banks JL, Murphy RB, Halgren TA, Klicic JJ, Mainz DT, Repasky MP, Knoll EH, Shelley M, Perry JK, Shaw DE, Francis P, Shenkin PS. Glide: A new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 1. Method and assessment of docking accuracy. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:1739–1749. [PubMed: 15027865]
24. Halgren TA, Murphy RB, Friesner RA, Beard HS, Frye LL, Pollard WT, Banks JL. Glide: A new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 2. Enrichment factors in database screening. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:1750–1759. [PubMed: 15027866]
25. Friesner RA, Murphy RB, Repasky MP, Frye LL, Greenwood JR, Halgren TA, Sanschagrin PC, Mainz DT. Extra precision Glide: Docking and scoring incorporating a model of hydrophobic enclosure for protein–ligand complexes. *J Med Chem* 2006;49:6177–6196. [PubMed: 17034125]
26. Jorgensen WL, Maxwell DS, Tirado-Rives J. Development and testing of the OPLS all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids. *J Am Chem Soc* 1996;118:11225–11236.
27. Mayer M, Meyer B. Group epitope mapping by saturation transfer difference NMR to identify segments of a ligand in direct contact with a protein receptor. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:6108–6117. [PubMed: 11414845]

Figure 1. Monomeric dengue E protein showing the targeted BOG site. Upper: Surface representation of E protein domains 1 (green), 2 (blue), and 3 (red). Lower: Expanded view of the BOG site and groove region with solvent-accessible surface with 1.4 Å radius solvent probe (red) and buried surface (green for hydrophobic, blue for hydrophilic).

Figure 2.

Stage 1, ranking all $\rightarrow T^{3 \times 500}$ set. GScore (panels a, c, and e) and Emodel (panels b, d, and f) scores ranked for libraries D (panels a and b), M (panels c and d), and P (panels e and f) from SP screening. The arrow indicates the cutoff points for selection of the $T^{3 \times 500}$ set, x's indicate the compounds selected for the $T^{3 \times 15}$ set. Red triangles indicate the compounds active in the YFV-IRES-Luc virus growth assay (Table 1). Symbols on the right of the arrow indicate active compounds that were selected by computational screening based on the alternative scoring function.

Figure 3.

Stage 3, ranking $T^{3 \times 50} \rightarrow T^{3 \times 15}$. a) Binding energy and b) GScore ranked by energy minimization of the $T^{3 \times 50}$ set from the D, M, and P libraries. The red triangles indicate the active compounds. The $T^{3 \times 15}$ set comprises the top 10 compounds based on energy and the top 5 based on GScore. In panel b, compounds are ranked the same as in panel a.

Figure 4.
Molecular structures of the compounds listed in Table 1 and BOG.

Figure 5.

NMR spectra (600 MHz, 277 K) to assess P02 binding to dengue E protein. a) 1D ^1H reference spectrum of P02 and BOG with the resonances labeled. The large resonance at 4.8 ppm is from water. b) Same as panel a after addition of dengue E protein. c) ^1H reference spectrum of BOG plus dengue E protein with no irradiation. d) ^1H STD spectrum for sample shown in panel c with on-resonance irradiation at -2 ppm. e) same as panel d after addition of compound P02.

Figure 6. Binding features of the active compounds (Table 1) docked in the BOG channel of E protein. Ribbon drawing of E protein colored light green for domain 1 and light blue for domain 2. The molecule is rotated $\sim 90^\circ$ about a horizontal axis from Figure 1. Ligands are shown by stick (panel a) or ball-and-stick (panels b–d) models. a) P02 contacts with selected E protein residues drawn as sticks. b) Longer ligands P01, P02, and D05. c) Shorter ligands D03 and D04. d) Ligands M01 and M02 extend into the groove (upper right).

Scheme 1.

Virtual screening flow chart for the Diversity (D, 1990 compounds), Mechanistic (M, 879 compounds), and Plated (P, ~140,000 compounds) NCI libraries

TABLE 1
Biological activity measured for compounds selected from computational HTS

Compound	CC ₅₀ (μM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (μM)	
		YFV-IRES-Luc virus ^b	YF-RLuc2A-RP ^c
D01	95 ± 6	28 ± 5	31 ± 7
D02	343 ± 80	98 ± 39	181 ± 30
D03	61 ± 3	31 ± 0.3	12 ± 5
D04	350 ± 2	70 ± 5	230 ± 40
D05	880 ± 85	500 ± 10	1300 ± 400
M01	309 ± 5	179 ± 21	27 ± 8
M02	70 ± 12	51 ± 7	N/A
P01	563 ± 33	376 ± 177	195 ± 6
P02	371 ± 47	13 ± 3	17 ± 3

^aHost-cell cytotoxicity.

^bInhibition in viral growth.

^cInhibition of replication of the YF-R.luc2A-RP replicon.