Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2009 October 15; 11(20): 4584–4587. doi:10.1021/ol901820w.

Mechanistic Comparison Between Pd-Catalyzed Ligand Directed C-H Chlorination and C-H Acetoxylation

Kara J. Stowers and Melanie S. Sanford

University of Michigan, Department of Chemistry, 930 N. University Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1055

Abstract

This communication describes detailed investigations of the mechanism of the Pd-catalyzed C-H chlorination and acetoxylation of 2-*ortho*-tolylpyridine. Under the conditions examined, both reactions proceed via rate limiting cyclopalladation. However, substrate and catalyst order as well as Hammett data indicate that the intimate mechanism of cyclopalladation differs significantly between PdCl₂-catalyzed chlorination and Pd(OAc)₂-catalyzed acetoxylation.

Palladium-catalyzed ligand-directed C-H bond functionalization has become a valuable synthetic method for the selective oxidation of organic molecules.¹ Over the past 5 years, numerous Pd-catalyzed reactions have been developed for the directed oxygenation,² halogenation,³ amination,⁴ sulfonylation,⁵ and arylation^{1b-e} of both sp² and sp³ C-H bonds. Furthermore, these transformations have been applied to structurally diverse organic scaffolds, including amino acid derivatives^{2c} and drug substrates.⁶

While significant progress has been made in the development of new reactions, detailed mechanistic studies in this area have received considerably less attention.^{7,8} An improved mechanistic understanding could facilitate (i) the development of new catalysts with improved catalytic activity and substrate scope as well as (ii) the rational implementation of strategies for controlling the chemo-, diastereo-, enantio- and site-selectivity of C-H functionalization reactions. This communication describes an investigation of the mechanism of pyridine-directed C-H bond chlorination with *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS). We report on the optimization of the precatalyst, elucidation of the turnover limiting step, and mechanistic comparison to related Pd-catalyzed C-H acetoxylation reactions.

Pd-catalyzed C-H chlorination has been proposed to proceed by the general catalytic cycle outlined in Scheme 1.^{3,8c,9,10} This cycle begins with ligand directed C-H activation (*i*), which is followed by two electron oxidation of the resulting palladacycle to a monomeric Pd^{IV} species (or a closely related Pd^{III}~Pd^{III} dimer) (*ii*).^{8c} Finally, C-Cl bond-forming reductive elimination (*iii*) regenerates the catalyst and releases the functionalized product. Previous studies have demonstrated that electrophilic chlorinating reagents like *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS)¹⁰ and PhICl₂^{8c,10} can promote the stoichiometric two electron oxidation of cyclometalated Pd(II) complexes, demonstrating the viability of step (*ii*) of the proposed catalytic cycle. However,

detailed investigations of the turnover limiting step, the kinetic isotope effect, and the electronic requirements of C-H chlorination have thus far not been explored.

Our mechanistic investigation focused on the Pd-catalyzed functionalization of 2-*ortho*-tolylpyridine (**1**) with NCS and PhI(OAc)₂ to form **2** and **3**, respectively (Table 1). Substrate **1** possesses several desirable features. First, it undergoes selective mono-functionalization. Second, it participates cleanly in both C-H chlorination and C-H acetoxylation reactions, thus allowing direct comparison of these two transformations. Finally, the pyridine ring is readily modified, which facilitates analysis of electronic effects in these systems.¹¹ Our studies began with conditions analogous to those in the literature: 5 mol % of Pd(OAc)₂ and 1.2 equiv of NCS in CH₃CN with [**1**] = 0.12 M.^{3c} However, at this concentration the reactions did not remain homogeneous, which led to inconsistent kinetics; furthermore, when [**1**] was lowered, competing formation of acetoxyated product **3** was observed,¹² which complicated kinetic analysis.

Based on these preliminary studies, we surveyed a variety of alternative Pd sources (Table S2) and identified PdCl₂ as an optimal catalyst for the chlorination of **1** in MeCN. Further optimization revealed that 5 mol % of PdCl₂ is required to achieve full conversion and that the highest yield (80%) is obtained at concentrations between 0.048 and 0.024 M. Importantly, these conditions also worked well for the Pd(OAc)₂-catalyzed *ortho*-acetoxylation of **1**, providing **3** in 77% yield.

With optimal conditions for the chlorination and acetoxylation of **1** in hand,¹³ we first examined the intermolecular kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for the two reactions. The Pd-catalyzed functionalizations of **1** and **1-d₁** were monitored by GC, and the initial rates method was used to determine the rate at each [oxidant]. As shown in Table 1, a large 1° isotope effect was observed in both systems, with $k_H/k_D = 4.4 \pm 0.2$ for chlorination and $k_H/k_D = 4.3 \pm 0.5$ for acetoxylation.¹⁴

We next established the kinetic order in each reaction component, starting with the oxidant. As shown in Figure 1, for both NCS and PhI(OAc)₂ the initial rate was independent of [oxidant] over a wide range of concentrations (12-144 mM or 0.5-3.0 equiv oxidant relative to substrate).

The kinetic order in palladium was next determined for each transformation by varying the catalyst loading from 2.5 to 10 mol % ([Pd] = 0.6-4.8 mM) under otherwise identical conditions. As shown in Figure 2, chlorination showed a 1st order dependence on [Pd] over this catalytically relevant concentration range. In contrast, for the C-H acetoxylation reaction, a plot of initial rate versus [Pd] was clearly not linear. A weighted non-linear least squares fit of the data to the equation: $f(x) = a[\text{Pd}]^n$ provided an order (n) of 1.5 ± 0.2 (Figure 2).

Finally, the order in substrate **1** was examined, using 0.25-2.5 equiv of **1** relative to oxidant ([**1**] = 6-120 mM). Again, the chlorination and acetoxylation reactions showed different results (Figure 3). For chlorination, a plot of initial rate versus [**1**] showed that the rate was independent of [**1**]. In contrast, the acetoxylation reactions showed an inverse 1st order dependence on [**1**].

To gain insight into the electronic requirements of these reactions, we next probed the initial rate of C-H functionalization with a series of electronically different 2-*ortho*-tolylpyridines. As shown in Figure 4, Hammett plots for both C-H chlorination and C-H acetoxylation showed a modest correlation with the σ values of the Y and Z substituents. Very different ρ values were obtained for the two reactions, with $\rho = -0.43$ for chlorination and $\rho = +0.89$ for acetoxylation.¹⁵

The data presented here offer valuable insights into the mechanistic similarities and differences between the Pd-catalyzed chlorination and acetoxylation of substrate **1**. The large 1°

intermolecular KIE coupled with the zero order dependence on [oxidant] provide strong evidence that both transformations proceed via turnover limiting cyclopalladation. This is in interesting contrast to the C-H arylation of 3-methyl-2-phenylpyridine with $[\text{Ar}_2\text{I}]\text{BF}_4$, which was proposed to involve turnover limiting oxidation of a cyclometalated Pd(II) dimer.^{7e}

While the rate determining step appears to be the same in both of the current systems, the different kinetic orders in [Pd] and in **1** as well as the different Hammett ρ values are consistent with different mechanisms for cyclopalladation in the PdCl_2 versus $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ -catalyzed reactions. Significant literature precedent has shown that PdX_2 ($\text{X} = \text{OAc}$ or Cl) reacts rapidly and quantitatively with excess amine or pyridine derivatives (**L**) to form monomeric complexes of general structure $\text{Pd}(\text{X})_2(\text{L})_2$.¹⁶ For example, $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2(\text{ba})_2$ ($\text{ba} = \text{benzylamine}$), has been directly observed in the $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ -mediated cyclometalation of benzylamine in MeCN.¹⁷ As such, we propose that the catalyst resting state during both C-H functionalizations is most likely $\text{Pd}(\text{X})_2(\text{1})_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$, **4**; $\text{X} = \text{OAc}$, **7**) (Schemes 2 and 3).¹⁸

In the chlorination reaction, the observed zero order dependence on **1** suggests that cyclometalation at $\text{Pd}(\text{Cl})_2(\text{1})_2$ (**4**) proceeds by either: (a) direct C-H activation via a 5-coordinate transition state such as **5**¹⁹ or (b) pre-equilibrium chloride dissociation followed by C-H activation at cationic complex **6**.²⁰ Paths *a* and *b* are both consistent with the observed kinetic orders of 1 in [Pd] and 0 in **1**.¹⁸ Furthermore, both mechanisms have been proposed for related cyclometalation reactions in the literature.^{19,20} We tend to favor path *b*, as it offers a better explanation for the Hammett ρ value of -0.43. The latter can be rationalized based on increased lability of Cl^- (at complex **4**) and MeCN (at complex **6**) with more electron releasing pyridine ligands. This would lead to an increase in both K_{eq} and k_1 , thereby affording faster reactions with electron rich pyridines.

For the acetoxylation reaction, the observed inverse first order dependence on **1** implicates pre-equilibrium dissociation of **1** from $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2(\text{1})_2$ prior to C-H activation. As shown, in Scheme 3, this could generate monomeric species $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2(\text{1})(\text{MeCN})$ (**8**) (path *c*) or an acetate-bridged dimer such as $\text{Pd}_2(\text{OAc})_4(\text{1})_3$ (**9**) (path *d*), and cyclometalation could then occur at either of these intermediates. Path *c* is expected to show a 1st order dependence on [Pd], while path *d* should be 2nd order in [Pd] (Scheme 3). We propose that these (or other closely related) competing 1st and 2nd order mechanisms are likely responsible for the observed 1.5 order dependence on [Pd] in this system.²¹ The Hammett ρ value of +0.89 is fully consistent with this mechanistic proposal, as electron withdrawing substituents on the pyridine ligand should both increase K_{eq} and also render complexes **8** and **9** more reactive towards electrophilic C-H activation (thereby increasing both k_1 and k_2).^{7b,19b,c} Notably, mechanisms similar to both *c*¹⁷ and *d*¹⁸ have been proposed for the stoichiometric cyclometalation of benzylamine at $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ in MeCN.¹⁷

There are several important ramifications of these studies. First, it is clear that the ligand environment at Pd during C-H activation is significantly different in these two transformations. If this result proves general, it has potential implications for diastereoselectivity in the C-H functionalization of chiral substrates upon changing the catalyst/oxidant combination. In addition, the structures of the key intermediates (as well as the possibility of competing mechanisms) should inform the selection of chiral ligands for asymmetric C-H functionalization reactions. Finally, the development of more highly active C-H chlorination and acetoxylation catalysts will require accelerating the cyclometalation step of the catalytic cycle. Because most ancillary ligands decrease the rate of directed C-H activation relative to that with simple Pd salts, this is a particularly challenging problem that will likely require the design of novel ligands.

In summary, this communication describes the mechanism of Pd-catalyzed directed C-H chlorination and acetoxylation of **1**. Under the conditions examined, both reactions proceed via turnover limiting cyclopalladation. However, kinetic order and Hammett data indicate that the intimate mechanism of C-H activation differs significantly between PdCl₂-catalyzed chlorination and Pd(OAc)₂-catalyzed acetoxylation. Ongoing work seeks to further explore the proposed mechanisms computationally as well as exploit the current results for the development of new C-H functionalization catalysts.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NIH NIGMS (GM-073836) for support of this research. KJS also acknowledges Novartis for a graduate fellowship. Finally, we thank Frontier Scientific for a generous gift of 2-methylboronic acid.

References

1. For reviews, see:(a) Dick AR, Sanford MS. Tetrahedron 2006;62:2439. (b) Daugulis O, Zaitsev VG, Shabashov D, Pham Q-N, Lazareva A. Synlett 2006:3382. (c) Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M. Chem. Rev 2007;107:174. [PubMed: 17212475] (d) Li BJ, Yand SD, Shi ZJ. Synlett 2008:949. (e) Chen X, Engle KM, Wang DH, Yu JQ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2009;48:5094.
2. For examples, see:(a) Desai LV, Hull KL, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:9542. [PubMed: 15291549] (b) Giri R, Liang J, Lei JG, Li JJ, Wang DH, Chen X, Naggar IC, Guo C, Foxman BM, Yu JQ. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2005;44:7420. (c) Reddy BVS, Reddy LR, Corey EJ. Org. Lett 2006;8:3391. [PubMed: 16836413]
3. For examples, see:(a) Dick AR, Hull KL, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:2300. [PubMed: 14982422] (b) Giri R, Chen X, Yu JQ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2005;44:2112. (c) Kalyani D, Dick AR, Anani WQ, Sanford MS. Tetrahedron 2006;62:11483. (d) Hull KL, Anani WQ, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:7134. [PubMed: 16734446] (e) Wan X, Ma Z, Li B, Zhang K, Cao S, Zhang S, Shi Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:7416. [PubMed: 16756276] (f) Wang X, Mei TS, Yu JQ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:7520. [PubMed: 19435367]
4. For examples, see:(a) Thu HY, Yu WY, Che CM. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:9048. [PubMed: 16834374] (b) Jordon-Hore JA, Johansson CCC, Gulia M, Beck EM, Gaunt MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:16184. [PubMed: 18998652] (c) Mei TS, Wang X, Yu JQ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;10806. [PubMed: 19606861]
5. Zhao X, Dimitrijevic E, Dong VM. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:3466. [PubMed: 19243177]
6. Wasa M, Engle KM, Yu JQ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:9886. [PubMed: 19580277]
7. (a) Hull KL, Lanni EL, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;128:14047. [PubMed: 17061885] (b) Desai LV, Stowers KJ, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:13285. [PubMed: 18781752] (c) Hull KL, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:9651. [PubMed: 19569623] (d) Racowski JM, Dick AR, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:10974. [PubMed: 19459631] (e) Deprez NR, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:11234. [PubMed: 19621899]
8. (a) Chiong HA, Pham QN, Daugulis O. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:9879. [PubMed: 17649995] (b) Li JJ, Giri R, Yu JQ. Tetrahedron 2008;64:6979. (c) Powers DC, Ritter T. Nat. Chem 2009;1:302.
9. The identity of the ancillary ligands at [Pd] is not currently known; as a result, these ligands are represented as sticks.
10. For the oxidation of a Pd^{II} model complex to Pd^{IV} with NCS, see:Whitfield SR, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:15142. [PubMed: 18004863]
11. 2-Benzylpyridines were used in a previous mechanistic study of Pd-catalyzed C-H acetoxylation (ref. ^{7b}). However, these substrates reacted with NCS to form undesired benzylic chlorination side products.
12. The OAc is presumably derived from the Pd(OAc)₂ catalyst.

13. The kinetic studies were run at different concentrations (0.024 M for chlorination versus 0.048 M for acetoxylation) because the data for each reaction was significantly more reproducible under these conditions. However, the same kinetic orders and analogous Hammett trends were observed for chlorination at 0.048 M. See Supporting Information.
14. Intermolecular 1° KIE values between 3.58 and 1.85 were observed in the Pd-catalyzed C-H acetoxylation of 2-benzylpyridines (ref. ^{7b}).
15. Interpretation of the Hammett data is somewhat complicated by the conjugated biaryl system in **1**, since substitution of Y and Z can influence the electronics of both the pyridine (which binds to the metal) and the *ortho*-C-H bond (which undergoes activation). We note that the observed ρ value for C-H acetoxylation (+0.89 in MeCN) is reasonably similar to that reported for benzylpyridines (+1.40 in benzene, ref. ^{7b}), where the pyridine and aryl group are electronically isolated. Thus, we hypothesize that the effect observed here is predominantly due to pyridine electronics.
16. For examples, see:(a) Deeming AJ, Rothwell IP. J. Organomet. Chem 1981;205:117. (b) Ryabov AD, Sakodinskaya IK, Yatsimirsky AK. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans 1985:2629. (c) Ryabov AD. Chem. Rev 1990;90:403.and references therein.
17. Kurzeev SA, Kazankov GM, Ryabov AD. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2002;340:192.
18. A dimeric resting state followed by C-H activation at a dimeric intermediate is also possible based on the kinetic data for C-H chlorination. However, literature reports suggest that dimeric complexes like $\text{Pd}_2(\text{X})_4(\text{L})_2$ only predominate in solution under conditions where $\text{L}:[\text{Pd}] < 2:1$. Vicente J, Saura-Llamas I. Comments Inorg. Chem 2007;28:39.
19. For examples of cyclometalation mechanisms analogous to path *a*, see ref. ^{16c} as well as:(a) Yatsimirsky AK. Zh. Neorg. Khim 1979;24:2711. (b) Yagyu T, Aizawa S, Funahashi S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1998;71:619. (c) Yagyu T, Iwatsuki S, Aizawa S, Funahashi S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1998;71:1857. (d) Martin-Matute B, Mateo C, Cardenas DJ, Echavarren AM. Chem. Eur. J 2001;7:2341.
20. For examples of cyclometalation mechanisms analogous to path *b*, see:(a) Alsters PL, Engel PF, Hogerheide MP, Copijn M, Spek AL, van Koten G. Organometallics 1993;12:1831. (b) Otto S, Chanda A, Samuleev PV, Ryabov AD. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem 2006:2561.and references therein.
21. An alternative explanation would be that the catalyst resting state is a mixture of monomeric and dimeric Pd species (see ref. ^{8c} for a similar proposal). However, we believe that this is unlikely, as literature precedent suggests that the reaction between a large excess of **1** and $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ to form $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2(\text{1})_2$ should be fast and essentially quantitative, particularly at 70 °C. See refs ¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

Figure 1.
Order in Oxidant for C-H Chlorination (blue) and Acetoxylation (red)

Figure 2.
Order in $[Pd]$ for C-H Chlorination (blue) and Acetoxylation (red)

Figure 3.
Order in [1] for C-H Chlorination (blue) and Acetoxylation (red)

Figure 4.
Hammett Plot for C-H Chlorination (blue) and C-H Acetoxylation (red)

Scheme 1.
General Mechanism for C-H Chlorination

Scheme 2.
Two Possible Mechanisms for the Turnover Limiting Step of C-H Chlorination (**1** = 2-*ortho*-tolylpyridine)

Scheme 3.

Possible Competing Mechanisms for the Turnover Limiting Step of C-H Acetoxylation (**1** = 2-*ortho*-tolylpyridine)

Table 1

Intermolecular Kinetic Isotope Effect Data