Published in final edited form as:

Am J Psychiatry. 2009 August ; 166(8): 909–916. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08081251.

Effects of Catechol-O-Methyltransferase on Normal Variation in the Cognitive Function of Children

Jennifer H. Barnett, Ph.D, Jon Heron, Ph.D, David Goldman, M.D, Peter B. Jones, Ph.D, and Ke Xu, M.D

Department of Psychiatry and the Centre for Family Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.; Cambridge Cognition Ltd., Cambridge, U.K.; Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, U.K.; Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Bethesda, Md.; National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) for Cambridgeshire and Peterborough; and the Department of Psychiatry, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.

Abstract

Objective—Genetic variants that contribute to the risk of psychiatric disorders may also affect normal variation in psychological function. Indeed, the behavioral effects of many genetic variants may be better understood as process-specific rather than disease-specific. A functional valine-to-methionine (Val¹⁵⁸Met) polymorphism in the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene has been associated with cognitive function and brain metabolic activity accompanying such tasks. Not all studies are consistent, and less is known about the effect of this polymorphism during development. The authors tested the hypothesis that a more informative COMT haplotype predicts normal cognitive development in a large population-based cohort of children enrolled in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and children.

Method—Effects on verbal and performance IQ as well as verbal inhibition were assessed at age 8, and effects on working memory were assessed at age 10. From the five COMT single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) genotyped, the effect of a functional three-SNP haplotype consisting of Val¹⁵⁸Met and two synonymous SNPs (rs6269 and rs4818), which together exert a major influence on the level of COMT expression and enzyme activity, was evaluated.

Results—This three-SNP haplotype predicted both verbal inhibition and working memory, and there was a genotype-by-sex interaction on verbal IQ. The effect of COMT genotype (diplotype) on cognition was curvilinear, which is consistent with the “inverted U” model of dopamine effect on frontal cortical efficiency. In addition, the SNP rs2075507 (previously rs2097603) was independently associated with verbal inhibition, while rs165599 showed no main cognitive effects. However, rs165599 showed a genotype-by-sex interaction with working memory.

Conclusions—Genetic variation at several loci in the COMT gene affects normal cognitive function in children.

Genetic variants that contribute to the risk of psychiatric disorders may also alter neurobehavioral phenotypes in healthy individuals (1). The catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene on the chromosome region 22q11 is an a priori candidate for variation in both

Copyright © 2009 American Psychiatric Association. All rights reserved.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Barnett, Department of Psychiatry, Box 189 Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 2QQ, UK; jhb32@cam.ac.uk..

Presented in part in a poster at the Schizophrenia International Research Society Congress, Venice, Italy, June 21–25, 2008.

The present study is the sole work of the authors, who serve as guarantors for the contents of this article.

normal and abnormal psychological function because it is instrumental in the synaptic catabolism of dopamine and other catecholamine neurotransmitters (2).

Associations have been reported between COMT valine-to-methionine (Val¹⁵⁸Met) and a diverse range of psychiatric and psychological phenotypes. Although the Val¹⁵⁸ allele and high expression haplotypes may confer risk of schizophrenia (2,3), association studies of this disease have produced many conflicting results, and overall the contribution to disease risk is small at best (4). Similarly, the COMT Met¹⁵⁸ allele is more strongly predictive of enhanced brain metabolic responses to emotion and pain (5) than it is to trait anxiety (6) or anxiety diagnoses, where effects are modest (7,8). Indeed, the low and high activity alleles of the common COMT Val¹⁵⁸Met polymorphism appear to have counterbalancing effects in the normal behavioral domains of cognition and emotional response, explaining the very high frequency (0.3–0.7) of both alleles in populations worldwide, as summarized by the “warrior/worrier” explanatory model (9).

The diverse effects of COMT on behavior might be expected because of the widespread expression of this enzyme in the brain and its role in the metabolism of catecholamine neurotransmitters. However, genetic variation in this enzyme is likely to be particularly important for the diverse disorders and behaviors associated with function of the prefrontal cortex. Recent in vivo studies in COMT knockout mice suggest that COMT-mediated enzymatic degradation is responsible for one-half of dopamine decline in the prefrontal cortex (10).

The COMT protein exists in the following two forms arising from distinct start sites: 1) the soluble form (SCOMT), which predominates in the peripheral nervous system, and 2) the membrane-bound form (MB-COMT), which is more abundant in the brain (11). An extensively studied single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in exon 4 of MB-COMT results in a Val¹⁵⁸Met substitution (rs4680), which alters the enzyme's thermostability (12). The Met¹⁵⁸ substitution leads to approximately 40% lower COMT activity at body temperature (13).

Neuroimaging studies confirm that COMT genotype affects human prefrontal cortical function. On a range of prefrontal cognitive tasks, Val/Val individuals show consistently greater activation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex than Met carriers at equivalent levels of performance (2,14), presumably reflecting increased cortical efficiency in Met carriers (2). The Met advantage can be disrupted by administration of a single dose of amphetamine (15), which improves performance in Val/Val individuals but appears to impair performance in Met/Met individuals. This presumably results from moving Met/Met carriers over the apex of the “inverted-U” shape function of optimal dopaminergic signaling (16).

The increased cortical efficiency associated with Met carriers in neuroimaging experiments only indirectly and less distinctly translates to improved cognitive performance. For example, a recent meta-analysis (17) observed no significant effect of the Val¹⁵⁸Met SNP on frontal cognitive tasks. Possible reasons for this include heterogeneities in task and sample across studies. An alternative explanation is that the cognitive action of Val¹⁵⁸Met is confounded by effects of other polymorphisms within COMT, which affect the level of gene expression and are in partial, but not complete, linkage disequilibrium with Val¹⁵⁸Met. The importance of several such noncoding SNPs has been convincingly demonstrated by functional studies. In the most comprehensive account of COMT function, Nackley et al. (18) described a haplotype block defined by Val¹⁵⁸Met, SNP rs6269 in the P1 promoter, and two synonymous SNPs, rs4633 in exon 3 and rs4818 in exon 4. The greatest differences in COMT expression were reported between haplotypes divergent in the two synonymous SNPs, which together accounted for 9% of variance in a pain sensitivity phenotype. COMT enzymatic activity in

transfected rat adrenal cells showed 18- to 25-fold differences across haplotypes, which were ascribed to differences in the stability of mRNA secondary structure (18).

Despite solid evidence for the biological importance of these SNPs, little is known about the cognitive effects of any loci other than Val¹⁵⁸Met. Previous studies have reported associations between an SNP in the 3' untranslated region (rs165599), previously associated with schizophrenia (19), and cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia (20) and bipolar disorder (21). However, a large study of patients with schizophrenia and related and unrelated comparison subjects (22) found no association between rs165599 and multiple measures of working memory or executive function. To the best of our knowledge, there is no specific report of the effects of the Nackley et al. haplotype on cognitive function, although one recent study (23) reported better planning ability and worse performance on the Iowa Gambling Task among healthy men with the CC genotype at rs4818, a SNP which tagged the functional haplotype in the Nackley et al. study.

We previously investigated sex-specific cognitive effects of Val¹⁵⁸Met in a large population-based sample of U.K. children (24). Boys but not girls with the Met allele performed better on measures of verbal IQ and working memory. In the present study, we extended this research in the same sample to investigate the cognitive effects of four other COMT SNPs implicated in psychological functioning, including SNPs defining the Nackley et al. haplotype (18). We also investigated two secondary hypotheses. Based on the COMT literature, we investigated 1) whether the genetic effects were sexually dimorphic (25) and 2) whether they were linear or followed an inverted-U shape (15).

Method

Sample

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (26) is a general population cohort based in South West England. The cohort consisted of 14,062 live births from 14,541 enrolled pregnant women who were expected to give birth between April 1, 1991, and December 31, 1992. From age 7, all children were invited for an annual assessment of physical and psychological development, and up to 8,000 children continue to attend each year. The present study concerns cognitive assessments undertaken at the age 8 and 10 years assessments (see reference 25). To increase sample homogeneity, only children of Caucasian ethnicity (95% of the cohort) were included in the study. Parents gave informed consent at enrollment, and ethical approval was obtained from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children and local research ethics committees.

Cognitive Assessment

IQ was assessed at age 8 (mean=8 years, 8 months [SD=3.1 months]) using the WISC-III (U.K. edition) (27). Verbal and performance IQ scores were calculated in accordance with standard procedures whenever four subscale scores were available. At the same time, verbal inhibition was assessed by the time taken to perform the Opposite Worlds task (28). This task requires the child to read aloud a string of the digits 1 and 2 and respond in the "opposite" manner (i.e., saying "one" for the digit 2). At age 10 (mean=10 years, 8 months [SD=3.0 months]), visual working memory was assessed using the Count Span task (29). In this task, the child must count out loud the number of red dots presented on a screen. After viewing multiple screens, the child is asked how many dots were on each screen within that set and receives a span score based on the number of correctly recalled sets, with a maximum score of 5 in increments of 0.5.

Genotyping

Genotyping was performed as previously described (6). DNA was extracted from blood using standard methods, and 5' nuclease assays were used to genotype the following five SNPs: rs2075507 (previously rs2097603), rs6269, rs4818, rs4680, and rs165599. Primer/probe combinations (see the data supplement accompanying the online version of this article) were designed using Primer Express software 2.0 (ABI, Foster City, Calif.). DNA sequences were obtained from GenBank and the Celera Discovery System. Allele discrimination was performed using a Taqman 7900 machine. Two standards for each genotype were confirmed by sequencing six genomic DNA samples using a 377 sequencer (ABI, Foster City, Calif.).

Analysis

Of the five genotyped SNPs, the central three (rs6269, rs4680, and rs4818), which define the Nackley et al. haplotype (18), were in strong linkage disequilibrium (all $d' > 0.85$). Linkage disequilibrium patterns from additional markers in the region (data from HapMap) were used to determine that there are three major cladiistically related haplotype groups, each containing one or more common haplotype (see the data supplement accompanying the online version of this article). The two haplotypes containing Val¹⁵⁸ correspond to higher and lower expressing COMT genetic backgrounds, with ValA having both the highest COMT enzyme activity and the highest mRNA expressing haplotype (18). In contrast, linkage disequilibrium between the three SNPs in the haplo-type and the other two SNPs was low (all $d' < 0.5$ for rs2075507 and < 0.3 for rs165599). We therefore chose to separately test associations between cognitive scores and rs2075507 and rs165599 and with the three-SNP haplotype.

In the main analyses, the SNPs rs165599 and rs2075507 were independently tested for association with cognitive phenotypes using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The three-SNP Nackley et al. haplotypes were derived using PHASE (30), and subjects were assigned to one of six possible diplotypes. These were ranked from highest to lowest COMT activity according to known functionality as follows: ValA/ValA, ValA/Met, ValA/ValB or Met/Met, ValB/Met, and ValB/ValB. Cognitive scores were compared between these five categories by one-way ANOVA.

To test whether the genetic effects were best characterized as linear or curvilinear (inverted-U), linear and quadratic regressions were fitted for each analysis and the variance explained by each was compared. To assess potential sex-by-genotype interactions, we analyzed each cognitive outcome using general linear models with main effects of sex and genotype (linear and quadratic components) and a sex-by-genotype interaction term.

Power calculations indicated that we had 90% power to detect a genetic variant accounting for just 0.2% of variance in the cognitive score in the overall sample at $\alpha = 0.05$ under an additive model. All cognitive variables were normally distributed according to one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test except verbal inhibition trial time, which was transformed ($1/\sqrt{\text{msec}}$) to allow parametric analysis. Sample size varied between analyses according to the availability of cognitive data. Minimum sample size for each analysis is shown in Table 1. Deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and associations between sex and genotype were assessed using chi square tests.

Results

Genotyping was completed in 8,173 children (4,211 boys; 3,962 girls), and cognitive scores were available for two-thirds of these. Allele and haplotype frequencies for each SNP were as previously reported (see reference 6 and the data supplement accompanying the online version of this article). All frequencies were consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Chi square

tests showed no association between any SNP and sex (all p values >0.1). This test was performed because we evaluated gene-by-sex interaction.

Genetic Effects on Cognition

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics and ANOVA results for each genetic analysis. One-way ANOVA showed no effects of rs165599 on any cognitive measure. For rs2075507, there was a significant effect only on verbal inhibition (also see the data supplement accompanying the online version of this article), such that better verbal inhibition was associated with higher dopamine availability (13). For the five-group diplotype, there were significant effects on verbal inhibition and on working memory but not on verbal or performance IQ. Separate scores for boys and girls are shown in the data supplement accompanying the online version of this article.

Linear and Nonlinear Genetic Effects of COMT Diplotype

For the genetic effects described for the Nackley et al. diplotype, adding a quadratic term contributed significantly to the fit of the regression model and explained a greater proportion of variance in the cognitive score than did a linear model. For the working memory model, fit was greater in the quadratic model ($F=6.13$, $df=2$, 5064 , $p=0.002$) than in the linear model ($F=4.89$, $df=1$, 5065 , $p=0.03$), and both linear ($\beta=0.19$, $t=3.15$, $p=0.002$) and quadratic ($\beta=-0.17$, $t=-2.72$, $p=0.007$) terms were significant in the quadratic model. For verbal inhibition, model fit was also greater in the quadratic model ($F=6.22$, $df=2$, 5291 , $p=0.002$) than in the linear model ($F=5.29$, $df=1$, 5292 , $p=0.02$), and again, both the linear ($\beta=0.19$, $t=3.13$, $p=0.002$) and quadratic ($\beta=-0.16$, $t=-2.67$, $p=0.008$) terms in the quadratic model were significant (Figure 1). In both cases, r^2 increased from 0.001 to 0.002 between the linear and quadratic models.

Sex-By-Genotype Interactions

To test sex-by-genotype interactions, we applied general linear models containing main effects of sex, genotype (linear and quadratic components), and a sex-by-genotype interaction term. There was little difference in model fit or effects whether the sex-gene interaction was modeled as a linear or quadratic term (Table 2), but for ease of interpretation we have provided the linear interaction as our primary model. Main effects of genotype and sex were consistent with the effects reported in the present article as well as previously (25). There were significant sex-by-genotype interaction effects for verbal IQ with the Nackley et al. diplotype ($F=4.86$, $df=1$, 5354 , $p=0.03$ [Figure 2]) and for rs165599 and working memory span ($F=5.27$, $df=1$, 5302 , $p=0.02$ [Figure 3]), and there were marginal effects for both rs165599 ($F=2.98$, $df=1$, 5258 , $p=0.08$) and rs2075507 ($F=3.43$, $df=1$, 5221 , $p=0.06$) and verbal inhibition.

Discussion

Inconsistent replication has been the pattern for the many psychological and psychiatric associations reported for COMT. It has recently been demonstrated that loci other than Val¹⁵⁸Met are crucial in understanding the genetic regulation of COMT (18). To the best of our knowledge, the present study represents the largest and most complete survey of the cognitive effects of COMT, suggesting that although Val¹⁵⁸Met does influence normal variation in cognitive function, other SNPs that affect the level of COMT expression play important additional roles.

We found evidence for association between rs2075507 in the P2 promoter and verbal inhibition and no main cognitive effects for rs165599 in the 3' untranslated region except a sex-by-gene interaction with working memory. Despite relatively little prior evidence regarding cognition, the known functional effects of these SNPs support these results. Both rs2075507 and rs165599

have previously been reported to interact with Val¹⁵⁸Met in predicting prefrontal cortical response during a working memory task, appearing to modulate Val¹⁵⁸Met-related brain activity in a nonlinear fashion (31). Moreover, both SNPs have also been implicated in risk haplotypes for psychiatric disorders, particularly schizophrenia (3,19,32). Although this is the first study to assess the cognitive effects of rs2075507, there are three prior reports on the cognitive effects of rs165599. Two of these studies (20,21) found a cognitive decrement associated with the G allele of rs165599, which appeared to be found in boys in our study (Figure 3). One larger study (22) found no overall effect, consistent with the lack of main effect in our sample, and there have been no prior reports with the opposite direction of effect. Taken together, these reports suggest that potential sex-specific cognitive effects of rs165599 warrant further investigation.

Verbal IQ showed no main genetic effect except a significant sex-by-haplotype interaction, such that there appeared to be a linear relationship between haplotype and verbal IQ in boys and no association in girls (Figure 2). In contrast, performance IQ was not predicted by the Nackley et al. haplotype (nor by any other COMT SNP). In our prior investigation in this sample (25), the largest effects of Val¹⁵⁸Met on cognition were found for verbal IQ. It is interesting that the other SNPs investigated in the present sample showed effects on working memory and verbal inhibition but not on IQ measures and the mean difference in verbal IQ between highest and lowest activity diplotypes in boys was approximately six points (Figure 2), which was double that found when the same sample was classified by Val¹⁵⁸Met alone (24). Importantly, the group with the highest mean verbal IQ was the small proportion of boys characterized by the ValB/ValB diplotype (lowest enzymatic activity). When classified by Val¹⁵⁸Met alone, these boys would be denoted Val/Val (the highest activity group). This important discrepancy, plus the possibility of sex interactions, may help explain inconsistencies in previous reports of associations between cognition and the Val¹⁵⁸Met SNP.

We found evidence for statistically significant interactions between genotype and sex in two analyses and marginal evidence in two further analyses. Given that the four measures of cognition are to some extent correlated ($r = 0.3\text{--}0.5$), strict control for multiple comparisons (for example, using Bonferroni corrections) would result in an overly conservative criterion. Had we applied this correction, it is interesting to note that all main genetic effects would have remained significant (i.e., all p values < 0.01), but the sex-by-gene interaction terms would have been nonsignificant at this level. As such, the sex-by-gene effects should perhaps be considered more tentative. Nonetheless, they are supported by the emerging body of evidence that COMT's effects are sexually dimorphic (25). COMT activity in human postmortem prefrontal cortex tissue is approximately 17% higher in men than women (13), and frontal cortical dopamine levels are affected in male but not female COMT knockout mice (33). The presumed explanation for this sexual dimorphism is the bidirectional relationships between COMT and estrogen-related compounds. Estrogens mediate COMT expression (34), while COMT metabolizes catechol estrogens, a process which is probably regulated by the Val¹⁵⁸Met SNP (35).

Both working memory and verbal inhibition were associated with the Nackley et al. haplotype in a nonlinear manner consistent with an inverted-U model of dopamine function. Studies in humans and other species (36,37) have demonstrated that there is an inverted-U shape relationship between prefrontal dopaminergic function and working memory performance, such that both hypoand hyperdopaminergic function are detrimental to working memory. Previous studies of the COMT Val/Met polymorphism have suggested that the high prefrontal dopamine availability associated with the Met can be detrimental to cognitive function under circumstances including acute amphetamine administration (15) and perhaps also within the range of normal brain function (38). Our data support the view that this inverted-U function is

reflected in normal variation in cognitive performance when multiple COMT SNPs, which together influence both COMT activity and COMT expression, are taken into account.

The effects reported in the present study are small, explaining between 0.2% and 0.4% of variance in cognitive function. This magnitude of effect size is plausible given both our best estimates of COMT's effects from meta-analysis of the current literature (17) and the likely magnitude of individual genetic effects resulting from genome-wide association studies of psychological traits (e.g., 39). The lack of statistical power may explain why we detected genetic effects on some cognitive measures but not others. It is possible that individual SNPs have even smaller effects than we were able to detect (i.e., <0.2% trait variance). More likely is that the relatively simple cognitive measures used in the present study may be uneven assays of COMT's cognitive effects, which may depend not only on the relative importance of dopaminergic and other monoamine substrates of the task but also on a complex relationship between cortical and subcortical dopaminergic signaling (40).

Although relatively well studied, COMT is not a simple gene, and intense scrutiny by the field of psychiatric genetics has merely increased our understanding of its complexity. Recent studies have shown that COMT gene products include multiple mRNA variants expressed at different levels throughout the brain (41), throughout development (42), and with tissue-specific monoallelic expression (43). The nonlinear and sex-specific effects suggested by the present study—while complicated—may yet be only a step toward describing the true complexity of effects that characterizes this gene.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Barnett was previously funded by the Stanley Medical Research Institute and the Parke Davis Exchange Fellowship; she is an employee of Cambridge Cognition, Ltd.; and she is also a co-inventor on patent PCT/GB2005/003279 (methods for assessing psychotic disorders). Dr. Jones has received research grant support from GlaxoSmithKline; he has received a speaker's honorarium from Eli Lilly; and he is a co-inventor on patent PCT/GB2005/003279 (methods for assessing psychotic disorders). Drs. Heron, Goldman, and Xu report no competing interests.

The authors thank all of the families who participated in the study, the midwives for their help in recruiting the families, and the entire Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses. The authors also thank the U.K. Medical Research Council, the Wellcome Trust, and the University of Bristol for providing core support for the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children.

References

1. Gottesman II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic intentions. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:636–645. [PubMed: 12668349]
2. Egan MF, Goldberg TE, Kolachana BS, Callicott JH, Mazzanti CM, Straub RE, Goldman D, Weinberger DR. Effect of COMT Val¹⁰⁸/Met genotype on frontal lobe function and risk for schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:6917–6922. [PubMed: 11381111]
3. Shifman S, Bronstein M, Sternfeld M, Pisante-Shalom A, Lev-Lehman E, Weizman A, Reznik I, Spivak B, Grisaru N, Karp L, Schiffer R, Kotler M, Strous RD, Swartz-Vanetik M, Knobler HY, Shinar E, Beckmann JS, Yakir B, Risch N, Zak NB, Darvasi A. A highly significant association between a COMT haplotype and schizophrenia. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002;71:1296–1302. [PubMed: 12402217]
4. Munafo MR, Bowes L, Clark TG, Flint J. Lack of association of the COMT (Val¹⁵⁸/Met) gene and schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Mol Psychiatry* 2005;10:765–770. [PubMed: 15824744]
5. Zubieta JK, Heitzeg MM, Smith YR, Bueller JA, Xu K, Koeppe RA, Stohler CS, Goldman D. COMT Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype affects muopioid neurotransmitter responses to a pain stressor. *Science* 2003;299:1240–1243. [PubMed: 12595695]

6. Evans J, Xu K, Heron J, Enoch MA, Araya R, Lewis G, Timpson N, Davies S, Nutt D, Goldman D. Emotional symptoms in children: the effect of maternal depression, life events, and COMT genotype. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2009;150B:209–218. [PubMed: 18535998]
7. Domschke K, Deckert J, O'Donovan MC, Glatt SJ. Meta-analysis of COMT Val¹⁵⁸Met in panic disorder: ethnic heterogeneity and gender specificity. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2007;144:667–673. [PubMed: 17357147]
8. Pooley EC, Fineberg N, Harrison PJ. The Met¹⁵⁸ allele of catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) is associated with obsessive-compulsive disorder in men: case-control study and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry* 2007;12:556–561. [PubMed: 17264842]
9. Zhu G, Lipsky RH, Xu K, Ali S, Hyde T, Kleinman J, Akhtar LA, Mash DC, Goldman D. Differential expression of human COMT alleles in brain and lymphoblasts detected by RT-coupled 5' nuclease assay. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2004;177:178–184. [PubMed: 15290009]
10. Yavich L, Forsberg MM, Karayiorgou M, Gogos JA, Mannisto PT. Site-specific role of catechol-O-methyltransferase in dopamine overflow within prefrontal cortex and dorsal striatum. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:10196–10209. [PubMed: 17881525]
11. Tenhunen J, Salminen M, Lundstrom K, Kiviluoto T, Savolainen R, Ulmanen I. Genomic organization of the human catechol-O-methyltransferase gene and its expression from two distinct promoters. *Eur J Biochem* 1994;223:1049–1059. [PubMed: 8055944]
12. Lachman HM, Papolos DF, Saito T, Yu YM, Szumlanski CL, Weinshilboum RM. Human catechol-O-methyltransferase pharmacogenetics: description of a functional polymorphism and its potential application to neuropsychiatric disorders. *Pharmacogenetics* 1996;6:243–250. [PubMed: 8807664]
13. Chen J, Lipska BK, Halim N, Ma QD, Matsumoto M, Melhem S, Kolachana BS, Hyde TM, Herman MM, Apud J, Egan MF, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR. Functional analysis of genetic variation in catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): effects on mRNA, protein, and enzyme activity in postmortem human brain. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004;75:807–821. [PubMed: 15457404]
14. Ho BC, Wassink TH, O'Leary DS, Sheffield VC, Andreasen NC. Catechol-O-methyl transferase Val¹⁵⁸Met gene polymorphism in schizophrenia: working memory, frontal lobe MRI morphology and frontal cerebral blood flow. *Mol Psychiatry* 2005;10:229, 287–298. [PubMed: 15668720]
15. Mattay VS, Goldberg TE, Fera F, Hariri AR, Tessitore A, Egan MF, Kolachana B, Callicott JH, Weinberger DR. Catechol-O-methyltransferase Val¹⁵⁸Met genotype and individual variation in the brain response to amphetamine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:6186–6191. [PubMed: 12716966]
16. Goldman-Rakic PS. The cortical dopamine system: role in memory and cognition. *Adv Pharmacol* 1998;42:707–711. [PubMed: 9327997]
17. Barnett JH, Scoriels L, Munafo MR. Meta-analysis of the cognitive effects of the catechol-O-methyl transferase gene Val^{158/108}Met polymorphism. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;64:137–144. [PubMed: 18339359]
18. Nackley AG, Shabalina SA, Tchivileva IE, Satterfield K, Korchynskiy O, Makarov SS, Maixner W, Diatchenko L. Human catechol-O-methyltransferase haplotypes modulate protein expression by altering mRNA secondary structure. *Science* 2006;314:1930–1933. [PubMed: 17185601]
19. Chen X, Wang X, O'Neill AF, Walsh D, Kendler KS. Variants in the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene are associated with schizophrenia in Irish high-density families. *Mol Psychiatry* 2004;9:962–967. [PubMed: 15124004]
20. Chan RC, Chen RY, Chen EY, Hui TC, Cheung EF, Cheung HK, Sham P, Li T, Collier D. The differential clinical and neurocognitive profiles of COMT SNP rs165599 genotypes in schizophrenia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2005;11:202–204. [PubMed: 15962707]
21. Burdick KE, Funke B, Goldberg JF, Bates JA, Jaeger J, Kucherlapati R, Malhotra AK. COMT genotype increases risk for bipolar I disorder and influences neurocognitive performance. *Bipolar Disord* 2007;9:370–376. [PubMed: 17547583]
22. Diaz-Asper CM, Goldberg TE, Kolachana BS, Straub RE, Egan MF, Weinberger DR. Genetic variation in catechol-O-methyltransferase: effects on working memory in schizophrenic patients, their siblings, and healthy controls. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;63:72–79. [PubMed: 17707347]
23. Roussos P, Giakoumaki SG, Pavlakis S, Bitsios P. Planning, decision-making and the COMT rs4818 polymorphism in healthy males. *Neuropsychologia* 2008;46:757–763. [PubMed: 18037454]

24. Barnett JH, Heron J, Ring SM, Golding J, Goldman D, Xu K, Jones PB. Gender-specific effects of the catechol-O-methyltransferase Val^{108/158}Met polymorphism on cognitive function in children. *Am J Psychiatry* 2007;164:142–149. [PubMed: 17202556]
25. Harrison PJ, Tunbridge EM. Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): a gene contributing to sex differences in brain function, and to sexual dimorphism in the predisposition to psychiatric disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008;33:3037–3045. [PubMed: 17805313]
26. Golding J, Pembrey M, Jones R. ALSPAC—the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, I: study methodology. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2001;15:74–87. [PubMed: 11237119]
27. Wechsler, D.; Golombok, S.; Rust, J. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children. Vol. 3rd ed.. Tex, Psychological Corp; San Antonio: 1992.
28. Manly T, Anderson V, Nimmo-Smith I, Turner A, Watson P, Robertson IH. The differential assessment of children's attention: the Test of Everyday Attention for Children (TEA-Ch), normative sample and ADHD performance. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2001;42:1065–1081. [PubMed: 11806689]
29. Case R, Kurland DM, Goldberg J. Operational efficiency and the growth of short-term memory span. *J Experimental Child Psychol* 1982;33:386–404.
30. Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;68:978–989. [PubMed: 11254454]
31. Meyer-Lindenberg A, Nichols T, Callicott JH, Ding J, Kolachana B, Buckholtz J, Mattay VS, Egan M, Weinberger DR. Impact of complex genetic variation in COMT on human brain function. *Mol Psychiatry* 2006;11:867–877. [PubMed: 16786032]
32. Palmatier MA, Pakstis AJ, Speed W, Paschou P, Goldman D, Odunsi A, Okonofua F, Kajuna S, Karoma N, Kungulilo S, Grigorenko E, Zhukova OV, Bonne-Tamir B, Lu RB, Parnas J, Kidd JR, DeMille MM, Kidd KK. COMT haplotypes suggest P2 promoter region relevance for schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 2004;9:859–870. [PubMed: 15098000]
33. Gogos JA, Morgan M, Luine V, Santha M, Ogawa S, Pfaff D, Karayiorgou M. Catechol-O-methyltransferase-deficient mice exhibit sexually dimorphic changes in catecholamine levels and behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:9991–9996. [PubMed: 9707588]
34. Xie T, Ho SL, Ramsden D. Characterization and implications of estrogenic down-regulation of human catechol-O-methyltransferase gene transcription. *Mol Pharmacol* 1999;56:31–38. [PubMed: 10385681]
35. Worda C, Sator MO, Schneeberger C, Jantschev T, Ferlitsch K, Huber JC. Influence of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) codon 158 polymorphism on estrogen levels in women. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:262–266. [PubMed: 12571159]
36. Callicott JH, Mattay VS, Bertolino A, Finn K, Coppola R, Frank JA, Goldberg TE, Weinberger DR. Physiological characteristics of capacity constraints in working memory as revealed by functional MRI. *Cereb Cortex* 1999;9:20–26. [PubMed: 10022492]
37. Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS. Modulation of memory fields by dopamine D1 receptors in prefrontal cortex. *Nature* 1995;376:572–575. [PubMed: 7637804]
38. Meyer-Lindenberg A, Kohn PD, Kolachana B, Kippenhan S, McInerney-Leo A, Nussbaum R, Weinberger DR, Berman KF. Midbrain dopamine and prefrontal function in humans: interaction and modulation by COMT genotype. *Nat Neurosci* 2005;8:594–596. [PubMed: 15821730]
39. Shifman S, Bhomra A, Smiley S, Wray NR, James MR, Martin NG, Hottenga JM, An SS, Neale MC, van den Oord EJ, Kendler KS, Chen X, Boomsma DI, Middeldorp CM, Hottenga JJ, Slagboom PE, Flint J. A whole genome association study of neuroticism using DNA pooling. *Mol Psychiatry* 2008;13:302–312. [PubMed: 17667963]
40. Bilder RM, Volavka J, Lachman HM, Grace AA. The catechol-O-methyltransferase polymorphism: relations to the tonic-phasic dopamine hypothesis and neuropsychiatric phenotypes. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004;29:1943–1961. [PubMed: 15305167]
41. Tunbridge EM, Lane TA, Harrison PJ. Expression of multiple catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) mRNA variants in human brain. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2007;144B:834–839. [PubMed: 17477346]
42. Tunbridge EM, Weickert CS, Kleinman JE, Herman MM, Chen J, Kolachana BS, Harrison PJ, Weinberger DR. Catechol-O-methyltransferase enzyme activity and protein expression in human

- prefrontal cortex across the postnatal lifespan. *Cereb Cortex* 2007;17:1206–1212. [PubMed: 16835293]
43. Gimelbrant A, Hutchinson JN, Thompson BR, Chess A. Widespread monoallelic expression on human autosomes. *Science* 2007;318:1136–1140. [PubMed: 18006746]

^a The working memory best fit model illustrated is quadratic ($r^2=0.002$; $F=6.13$, $df=2$, 5064 , $p=0.002$; linear term: $\beta=0.19$, $t=3.15$, $p=0.002$; quadratic term: $\beta=-0.17$, $t=-2.72$, $p=0.007$). Sample sizes are as follows (left to right): $N=745$; $N=1,959$; $N=1,744$; $N=526$; $N=103$. Verbal inhibition is also shown; the best fit model is quadratic ($r^2=0.002$; $F=6.22$, $df=2$, 5291 , $p=0.002$; linear term: $\beta=0.19$, $t=3.13$, $p=0.002$; quadratic term: $\beta=-0.16$, $t=-2.67$, $p=0.008$). Sample sizes are as follows (left to right): $N=783$; $N=2,051$; $N=1,817$; $N=535$; $N=108$. For both tasks, taller bars represent better cognitive performance.

FIGURE 1.
Working Memory Assessment Among Children at Age 10 and Verbal Inhibition Assessment
Among Children at Age 8 by COMT rs6269-rs4818-rs4680 Diplotype^a

^a Sample sizes for boys are as follows (left to right): N=393; N=972; N=852; N=243; N=39. Sample sizes for girls are as follows (left to right): N=352; N=987; N=892; N=273; N=64.

FIGURE 2.

Verbal IQ Assessment at Age 8 for Boys and Girls by COMT Diplotypes^a

^a Sample sizes for girls are as follows: AA: N=1,290; AG: N=1,047; GG: N=219. Sample sizes for boys are as follows: AA: N=1,199; AG: N=1,052; GG: N=230.

FIGURE 3.

Working Memory Span by rs165599 Genotype and Sex Among Children at Age 10^a

TABLE 1

COMT Genotype Effects on Cognitive Measures in a Large Population-Based Cohort of Children^a

COMT Genotype	N (minimum sample size)	Cognitive Measure						Cognitive Measure												
		Verbal IQ			Performance IQ			Working Memory			Verbal Inhibition									
		Analysis			Analysis			Analysis			Analysis									
		Mean	SD	F	df	p ^b	Mean	SD	F	df	p ^b	Mean	SD	F	df	p ^b				
rs2075507			1.00	2, 5286				1.57	2, 4939				0.95	2, 4996			5.16	2, 5223	0.006	
AA	1,623	107.2	16.6				99.7	17.0				3.40	0.848			0.243	0.025			
AG	2,379	107.7	16.4				100.3	16.5				3.43	0.843			0.244	0.025			
GG	940	108.0	16.7				100.8	16.7				3.45	0.853			0.246	0.026			
rs165599				1.37	2, 5327				1.69	2, 4978				1.88	2, 5034			0.14	2, 5260	
AA	2,433	107.9	16.6				100.1	16.6				3.44	0.859			0.244	0.025			
AG	2,090	107.2	16.5				99.9	16.9				3.42	0.819			0.244	0.025			
GG	449	107.3	15.9				101.4	16.3				3.36	0.913			0.243	0.024			
Nackley et al. (18)				1.46	4, 5354				0.74	4, 5004				3.66	4, 5062	0.006		3.41	4, 5289	0.009
haplotype												3.33	0.858			0.241	0.027			
ValA/ValA	735	106.5	16.5				100.0	16.6				3.42	0.851			0.244	0.024			
ValA/Met	1,935	107.8	16.4				100.0	16.7				3.47	0.834			0.245	0.025			
ValA/ValB Met/Met	1,737	107.8	16.5				100.5	16.7				3.40	0.835			0.244	0.026			
ValB/Met	501	106.9	16.9				99.1	17.1				3.43	0.925			0.244	0.024			
ValB/ValB	101	108.9	15.9				101.2	17.4												

^aData for descriptive statistics for girls and boys separately are shown in the data supplement accompanying the online version of this article.

^bData only for significant p values are shown.

Sex-by-Gene Interaction Effects on Cognitive Performance in a Large Population-Based Cohort of Children^a

TABLE 2

COMT SNP and Cognitive Measure	Model Fit		Modeled Effects (F)			Alternative Model (F)		
	F	df	r ²	Sex	Gene	Gene ²	Sex-by-Gene	Sex-by-Gene ²
rs165599								
Verbal IQ	2.51 *	4, 5325	0.002		2.881.87	0.82	0.14	0.00
Performance IQ	1.86	4, 4976	0.001		2.311.21	2.47	0.00	0.04
Verbal inhibition	10.24 ***	4, 5258	0.008		11.10 **0.27	0.29	2.98	3.92 *
Working memory	4.01 **	4, 5032	0.003		0.160.00	0.45	5.27 *	6.95 ***
rs2075507								
Verbal IQ	2.59 *	4, 5284	0.002		2.900.63	0.09	0.03	0.02
Performance IQ	1.75	4, 4937	0.001		2.940.43	0.00	0.52	0.73
Verbal inhibition	12.44	4, 5221	0.009		27.30 **1.03	3.98 *	3.43	3.18
Working memory	2.32	4, 4994	0.002		4.75 *0.44	0.05	0.44	1.61
Diplotype								
Verbal IQ	3.64 **	4, 5354	0.003		1.271.51	0.95	4.86 *	5.17 *
Performance IQ	1.17	4, 5004	0.001		1.380.06	0.07 **	0.22	0.39
Verbal inhibition	12.20 ***	4, 5289	0.009		3.699.88 **	7.61 **	0.05	0.06
Working memory	5.52	4, 5062	0.004		6.31 *9.10	6.70 *	2.86	2.48

^aData shown are based on the general linear model consisting of the main sex effect, linear genetic effect, quadratic genetic effect, and sex-by-gene interaction. An alternative model replaces sex-by-gene interaction with sex-by-gene².

* p<0.05.

** p<0.01.