Published in final edited form as:

Nat Nanotechnol. 2008 November ; 3(11): 666–670. doi:10.1038/nnano.2008.274.

Designing artificial cells to harness the biological ion concentration gradient

JIAN XU¹ and DAVID A. LAVAN^{2,*}

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

² National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

Abstract

Cell membranes contain numerous nanoscale conductors in the form of ion channels and ion pumps^{1–4} that work together to form ion concentration gradients across the membrane, which can be triggered to release an action potential (AP)^{1, 5}. We ask if artificial cells can be built to utilize ion transport as effectively as natural cells. Here we used the electrogenic cell (electrocyte) of an electric eel to model the formation of AP by tracking the conversion of ion concentration gradients into APs across the different nanoscale conductors. Using the parameters extracted from the model, we designed an artificial cell based on an optimized selection of conductors. The resulting cell is similar to the electrocyte but has higher power output density and greater energy conversion efficiency. We suggest methods for producing these artificial cells that have applications in powering medical implants and other tiny devices.

The electrocyte in an electric eel (*Electrophorus electricus*) can generate potentials of about 600V^{2, 3, 6} to stun prey and ward off predators (Fig. 1a). The transmembrane proteins in the electrocytes are asymmetrically distributed across two primary membranes, one innervated and the other non-innervated (Fig. 1b), and are separated by an insulating septa (wall). The non-innervated membrane has numerous sodium potassium ATPase pumps (Na⁺/K⁺) and both K⁺ and chloride (Cl[−]) channels. The innervated membrane contains high densities of acetylcholine receptors (AChRs), voltage-gated Na⁺ channels (which are responsible for activating APs), voltage-gated K⁺ channels (Kvs)⁷, inward rectifier K⁺ channels (Kirs, which are ion channels that stop ion flow when the membrane is depolarized)⁶ and leak channels.

When the chemical agonist, acetylcholine (ACh), is released into the junction between the AChR and another nearby excitable cell (synapse), AChRs bind with ACh and become permeable to the cations, Na⁺ and K⁺. This opens the AChRs and depolarizes the innervated membrane, raising the probability that voltage-gated Na⁺ channels will open (Fig. 1c)^{3, 6, 8}. Depolarization causes the normally negative innervated cell membrane potential to become positive with respect to the potential on the non-innervated membrane. With Na⁺ flowing into the cell, the innervated membrane potential further increases, causing the opening of additional voltage-gated Na⁺ channels. This cascade of AChRs opening large number of Na⁺ channels results in AP formation on the innervated membrane. The Kir channels are closed during this stage, which speeds the increase of the membrane potential. The maximum innervated

* Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.A.L. email: david.lavan@nist.gov.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions>.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on www.nature.com/naturenanotechnology

J.X. and D.A.L. conceived and designed the experiments: J. X. performed the experiments: J.X. and D.A.L. analyzed the data and co-wrote the paper.

membrane potential is +65 mV^{5, 6}. The non-innervated membrane potential remains at approximately -85mV due to ATPase, K⁺ channel and Cl⁻ activity^{2, 5, 6}. After the peak of the AP, the innervated membrane is repolarized with the inactivation of Na⁺ channels⁸ and the opening of Kir and Kvs channels. Ion flux through leak channels further expedites the restoration of membrane potential to the resting state (-85mV). The ion concentration gradient is sustained by Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase with energy from ATP hydrolysis⁹. Ion flux, through the open ion channels, results in a net electric current from the innervated membrane to the non-innervated membrane.

Many mathematical models of axons have been produced, including the Hodgkin-Huxley model, which depicts the AP formation on the squid giant axon (SGA) by ion transport through Na⁺ channels, Kvs and leak channels¹; the natural electrocyte is more complicated as it is a polarized cell. Cell polarity is the necessary feature for directional transport and formation of transcellular potential¹⁰. Our model is based on cells arranged in series (Fig. 2a) powering an external circuit. In it, the innervated membrane is described by the Hodgkin-Huxley model, with additional current through Kirs and AChRs. The ion channels on the non-innervated membrane are described by the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz current equation^{8, 11}, which depicts the ion flux as a function of membrane potential and the transmembrane ion gradient. To compare the performance of different cell types, we constructed a pseudo squid giant axon (PSGA) model from the known characteristics of the SGA and a generic model for the non-innervated membrane (this model is referred to as "pseudo" because the SGA is not a polarized cell). Detailed equations and parameters are included in the supplementary information.

Many electrophysiological parameters for the natural electrocyte, such as the channel rate constants and densities, are unknown or span a broad range^{12, 13}. We found accurate values for these parameters numerically by using a nonlinear least square difference method to match initial model results with the nuances of the rise and fall of AP in published electrocyte data⁵ (Fig. 2b). The ion channel configuration of the innervated membrane extracted from the AP curve using this method appear in Table 1; other physiological parameters, including the channel rate constants and the ion transporter configuration of the non-innervated membrane, were analyzed and are reported in the supplementary information. In the model, the conductance of Na⁺ channels on the innervated membrane was found to be 1.57×10^3 ps·μm⁻², equivalent to a channel density of ≈143 channels·μm⁻², which falls between reported values of ≈100 channels·μm⁻²^{12, 13} to ≈500 channels·μm⁻²¹³. The innervated membrane capacitance was found to be 12.1 μF·cm⁻², which has been experimentally reported as 15.6μF·cm⁻²⁵; this high capacitance can be due to high local tortuosity or modification to the lipid^{5, 14}. The derived resting resistance, 16.3 Ω·cm², is also consistent with experimental data^{2, 5}. The model results for channel opening and closing sequences (Fig. 2c) are also in agreement with observations from the natural electrocyte^{6, 8}.

As is well known for normal voltaic batteries, the voltage output of our model cell decreases when the external resistance (R) decreases (Fig. 3), influencing the single pulse energy output (W_s). The dependence of energy output (W_s) on external resistance has been reported phenomenologically^{15, 16}. The cell has maximum W_s when the voltage output is around half of the open-circuit voltage.

The energy output of the artificial cell was optimized numerically (Table 1). The design started as a list of nanoconductors (channels and pumps), basic physical parameters (two membranes, known ion permeability, etc.) and a mathematical equation, known as an objective function, that quantifies the desired criteria (i.e. energy output or energy density). Numerical methods are used to impartially maximize the value of the objective function given the starting parameters, equations and constraints. The output is the configuration for an artificial cell that maximizes the value of the objective function. Constrained nonlinear numerical optimization

was used to guide the parametric design for the artificial cell. One constraint was to maintain the overall channel number as a constant on each membrane; the numbers of individual types of ion channels were varied in the optimization algorithm. The resulting artificial cell, with the same total channel/pump density as the natural electrocyte, produces 41 % more energy per pulse (Table 1), with longer AP duration (Fig. 3). These results are based on the comparison between the models of the artificial cell and the electrocyte there may a small difference between the model and true physical behaviour, but comparing the model results eliminates this error.

The power output of a cell is affected by both R and the stimulus interval. At any given R , the cell produces maximum power output (P) when it is excited at an interval slightly longer than the refractory period (the time taken to ready for another AP) plus the AP duration (Fig. 4a). The natural electrocyte has a peak power output of $0.427 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$, while one PSGA produces only $0.0233 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. One artificial cell can produce $0.545 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ (Table 1); without increasing channel or pump density, the peak power output for the artificial cell surpasses the natural electrocyte by 28%.

The transmembrane ion gradient of the cell is sustained by Na^+/K^+ -ATPases, which are fuelled by ATP hydrolysis⁹ using the energy from the oxidation of glucose or fatty acids¹⁷. In our model the natural electrocyte has an energy conversion efficiency of 14.7 %; it has been reported experimentally to be 15.4 %¹⁵. The PSGA converts energy more efficiently (19.7 %) than the electrocyte, but the SGA serves a different function and the natural SGA and PSGA model have different electrophysiological parameters (such as unitary conductance's) than the electrocyte. The peak efficiency of the artificial cell was found to be 19.2 % (Table 1).

Our numerical optimization of the cell design has produced the design for an artificial cell with both higher power output density and greater energy conversion efficiency than the natural electrocyte. The lack of voltage-gated K^+ channels in the artificial cell (Table 1) is consistent with the understanding of their function to shorten AP duration. The AP from the PSGA has smaller amplitude, shorter duration and shorter refractory period. These differences are well suited to its role to conduct nerve pulses at high speed with little energy use. The electrocyte must generate large pulses less frequently to shock prey and defend against predators; its AP has a broader duration and higher amplitude; the AP of the artificial cell has even longer duration.

The reported configurations all fall into a stable excitable region, where at most one action potential is elicited by one stimulus. Intrinsic in the model is a regime in which the cell oscillates (repetitive firings of action potentials without recurring stimuli). In this regime, even at the resting potential, the inward sodium current through the Na^+ channels exceeds the outward current through the Kir and leak channels, which depolarizes the membrane, makes the Na current regenerative and forms repetitive APs. As both power output and energy conversion efficiency are lower in this regime, it was not included in the optimization.

Each artificial cell can produce $0.545 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. The power output density of cells in series would scale linearly with the number of layers. $300 \mu\text{W}$ could be produced continuously with a device of $4.3 \text{ mm} \times 4.3 \text{ mm} \times 3.9 \text{ mm}$, with a channel density on the innervated membrane of $\sim 350 \text{ channels}\cdot\mu\text{m}^{-2}$. It may be possible to increase this power density further given more than five-fold higher channel density measurements in other cells^{8, 18}; however, the maximum energy conversion efficiency is most dependent on the performance of individual channels and the ratio of different channel types rather than the overall channel density. The artificial cell would be able to supply electrical energy for powering medical implants, including retinal prostheses¹⁹. The supply of ATP can be sustained either by coupling a proton-gradient-driven F_0F_1 -ATPase with a light-driven proton pump such as bacteriorhodopsin²⁰, or by inserting

isolated mitochondria²¹ or bacteria²² into the artificial cell. The model was also used to find the simplest cell capable of generating an AP from an electrical stimulus; the simplest cell requires voltage-gated Na channels and K⁺ leak channels on the innervated membrane and both Kv channels and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPases on the non-innervated membrane. The performance of the simplest cell is substantially lower than the electrocyte or the artificial cell (Table 1).

The artificial cell would consist of two artificial cell membranes (mimicking innervated and non-innervated membranes) separating aqueous solutions. Supported bilayers can maintain the function of inserted ion transporters²³; each membrane could be a supported lipid bilayer on a porous structure, such as mesoporous silica²⁴. Many natural ion channels can be purified by affinity chromatography and inserted into lipid bilayers by vesicle fusion^{25, 26}. The numbers of inserted ion channels/pumps can be monitored by measuring the membrane current during insertion. Artificial ion channels might be made by precisely tailoring the pore size of SiO₂ nanopores using approaches such as TiO₂ atomic layer deposition²⁷ and organic group functionalization²⁸. Synthetic channels can also be engineered using α -hemolysin (α -HL); their properties (e.g. unitary conductance, gating, and ion selectivity) can be tailored by protein or chemical engineering²⁹. Artificial channels may also be synthesized from peptides or other molecules³⁰. These artificial channels can mimic natural channels and may offer enhanced stability and tailored electrophysiology. The artificial cells would be connected in series, to increase the total voltage output, or in parallel, to raise the current output. A number of layered cells could be fired by injecting current at the top or bottom of the stack.

It is interesting to note that this work has mapped out changes in the system level design of the electrocyte that could produce higher energy density and convert energy more efficiently. These changes may show the next step in the evolution of these cells; it is also possible that there are advantages to the species in maintaining this lower energy conversion efficiency.

METHODS

Models were written in MATLAB 7.5 (The Mathworks, Natick, MA) running under a 64-bit operating system (Windows Server 2003, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) on a multiprocessor server with 8 GB of RAM. Unknown channel parameters were extracted by matching published experimental data to the model using regression methods. Once the natural channel parameters were found, the parametric design inputs for the model were varied using a non-linear optimization algorithm to search for the optimal configuration of the artificial cell to maximize the objective functions. Objective functions are the mathematical relationships that quantify the “goodness” of the design, such as the energy conversion efficiency or the energy density of the devices. The optimization algorithm would vary the design parameters and calculate the formation of many action potentials until steady state conditions were reached; at that point the objective function would be evaluated and the optimization routine would compare the current value of the objective function to previous values and decide to either stop the optimization (if a maximum was reached) or vary additional parameters in an effort to further maximize the objective function.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Fred Sigworth, Eric Jakobsson, Shreedhar Natarajan, Janet Novotny, T.P. Ma and Sandy Yulke for their discussions and comments. The full description of the procedures used in this paper requires the identification of certain software and operating systems and their suppliers. The inclusion of such information should in no way be construed as indicating that such software or operating systems are endorsed by NIST or are recommended by NIST

or that it is necessarily the best software or operating system for the purposes described. This work is supported by the National Center for Design of Biomimetic Nanoconductors, funded by Grant Number PHS 2 PN2 EY016570B from the National Institutes of Health through the NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

References

1. Hodgkin A, Huxley A. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiol* 1952;117:500–544. [PubMed: 12991237]
2. Altamirano M. Electrical properties of the innervated membrane of the electroplax of electric eel. *J Cell Physiol* 1955;46:249–277. [PubMed: 13271506]
3. Mermelstein C, Costa M, Neto V. The cytoskeleton of the electric tissue of *Electrophorus electricus*, L*. *An Acad Bras Cienc* 2000;72:341–351. [PubMed: 11028099]
4. Rosenberg RL, Tomiko SA, Agnew WS. Single-channel properties of the reconstituted voltage-regulated Na channel isolated from the electroplax of *Electrophorus electricus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1984;81:5594–5598. [PubMed: 6089214]
5. Keynes R, Ferreira H. Membrane potentials in the electroplates of the electric eel. *J Physiol* 1953;119:315–351. [PubMed: 13035755]
6. Gotter A, Kaetzel M, Dedman J. *Electrophorus electricus* as a model system for the study of membrane excitability. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 1998;119A:225–241.
7. Thornhill WB, et al. Molecular cloning and expression of a Kv1.1-like potassium channel from the electric organ of *Electrophorus electricus*. *J Membr Biol* 2003;196:1–8. [PubMed: 14724751]
8. Hille, B. Ion channels of excitable membranes. Vol. 3. Sinauer Associates, Inc; Sunderland, MA, USA: 2001.
9. Tanford C. Equilibrium state of ATP-driven ion pumps in relation to physiological ion concentration gradients. *J Gen Physiol* 1981;77:223–229. [PubMed: 7264597]
10. Ussing HH. The frog skin potential. *J Gen Physiol* 1960;43:135–147. [PubMed: 13840533]
11. Novotny J, Jakobsson E. Computational studies of ion-water flux coupling in the airway epithelium. I construction of model. *Am J Physiol* 1996;270:C1751–C1763. [PubMed: 8764159]
12. Shenkel S, Bezanilla F. Patch recordings from the electrocytes of electrophorus. Na channel gating currents. *J Gen Physiol* 1991;98:465–478. [PubMed: 1662259]
13. Shenkel S, Sigworth F. Patch recordings from the electrocytes of *Electrophorus electricus*: Na Currents and P_{Na}/P_K variability. *J Gen Physiol* 1991;97:1013–1041. [PubMed: 1650809]
14. Dilger JP, McLaughlin SG, McIntosh TJ, Simon SA. The dielectric constant of phospholipid bilayers and the permeability of membranes to ions. *Science* 1979;206:1196–1198. [PubMed: 228394]
15. Cox RT, Coates CW, Brown MV. Electric tissue-relations between the structure, electrical characteristics, and chemical processes of electric tissue. *J Gen Physiol* 1945;28:187–212.
16. Nachmansohn D, Cox RT, Coates CW, Machado AL. Action potential and enzyme activity in the electric organ of *Electrophorus electricus*. ii. phosphocreatine as energy source of the action potential. *J Neurophysiol* 1943;6:383–396.
17. Berg, J.; Tymoczko, J.; Stryer, L. Biochemistry. W. H. Freeman and Company; New York, USA: 2001.
18. Conti F, Hille B, Neumcke B, Nonner W, Stampfli R. Measurement of the conductance of the sodium channel from current fluctuations at the node of Ranvier. *J Physiol* 1976;262:699–727. [PubMed: 1087643]
19. Humayun M, et al. Visual perception in a blind subject with a chronic microelectronic retinal prosthesis. *Vision Res* 2002;43:2573–2581. [PubMed: 13129543]
20. Yrach G, et al. Light-driven production of ATP catalysed by F_0F_1 -ATP synthase in an artificial photosynthetic membrane. *Nature* 1998;392:479–482. [PubMed: 9548252]
21. Drew B, Leeuwenburgh C. Method for measuring ATP production in isolated mitochondria: ATP production in brain and liver mitochondria of Fischer-344 rats with age and caloric restriction. *Am J Physiol: Regul, Integr Comp Physiol* 2005;285:R1259–R1267. [PubMed: 12855419]
22. Maloney PC, Kashket ER, Wilson TH. A protonmotive force drives ATP synthesis in bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1974;71:3896–3900. [PubMed: 4279406]

23. Romer W, Steinem C. Impedance analysis and single-channel recordings on nano-black lipid membranes based on porous alumina. *Biophys J* 2004;86:955–965. [PubMed: 14747331]
24. Brinker J, Lu Y, Sellinger A, Fan H. Evaporation-induced self-assembly: nanostructures made easy. *Adv Mater* 1999;11:579–585.
25. Hanke, W.; Schlue, W. Planar lipid bilayers: methods and applications. Academic Press Inc; San Diego, CA: 1993.
26. Recio-Pinto E, Duch D, Levinson S, Urban BW. Purified and unpurified sodium channels from eel electroplax in planar lipid bilayers. *J Gen Physiol* 1987;90:375–395. [PubMed: 2443607]
27. Jiang YB, et al. Sub-10 nm thick microporous membranes made by plasma-defined atomic layer deposition of a bridged silsesquioxane precursor. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:15446–15447. [PubMed: 18034492]
28. Liu N, Assink RA, Brinker CJ. Synthesis and characterization of highly ordered mesoporous thin films with -COOH terminated pore surfaces. *Chem Commun* 2003:370–371.
29. Merzlyak PG, Capistrano MFP, Valeva A, Kasianowicz JJ, Krasilnikov OV. Conductance and ion selectivity of a mesoscopic protein nanopore probed with cysteine scanning mutagenesis. *Biophys J* 2005;89:3059–3070. [PubMed: 16085767]
30. Matile S, Som A, Sord N. Recent synthetic ion channels and pores. *Tetrahedron* 2004;60:6405–6435.

Fig. 1. Anatomy of the electric eel and structure of the natural electrocyte
a, The anatomy of the electric eel; **b**, the electrocyte in the resting stage and, **c**, stimulated stage. Adapted from reference ⁶.

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of a system of electrogenic cells used in the simulations and subsequent action potential formation

a, Multiple cells connected in series to drive an external circuit; **b**, Innervated membrane potential and transcellular potential from simulations (27 °C, data shown at 4.01 s) and recordings from a natural electrocyte⁵; **c**, Regression results for Na (m³h), Kv (n⁴) and Kir channel open probability parameters for the innervated membrane.

Fig. 3. Action potentials formed in the artificial cell based on maximizing the single pulse energy output

(left) Transcellular potential of the electrocyte as a function of external resistance, R ; (right) Peak of transcellular voltage output (V_{AP}) and single pulse energy output (W_s) as a function of R . (R normalized to $R_{st} = 8.53 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{cell}^{-1.5}$).

Fig. 4. Action potentials formed in the artificial cell based on maximizing the power output and energy conversion efficiency over many seconds
a, AP formation when the cells are stimulated at the optimal interval (external resistance $R = R_{\text{st}}$, 27°C , stimulus: -21.3 mV) **b**, maximum power output (P) as a function of R ; **c**, maximum energy conversion efficiency (C) as a function of R .

Table 1
Performance and parameters of natural, simplest and artificial cells

Channel configurations for each design are shown, along with peak values for single pulse energy output, maximum power output and maximum energy conversion efficiency.

Cells:		Natural Electrocyste	PSGA	Simplest Cell	Artificial Cell	Artificial Cell/Electrocyste
Channel conductance g, S m ⁻²	Na	1.57×10 ³	1.20×10 ³	7.87×10 ²	2.18×10 ²	139 %
	Kv	3.20×10 ²	3.60×10 ²	0	0	0 %
	Leak	3.43×10 ¹	3.00	2.40×10 ² *	2.78×10 ¹	81 %
	Kir	5.91×10 ²	0	0	4.78×10 ²	81 %
Single pulse energy output	W _{s,peak} J·m ⁻² ·pulse ⁻¹ ·cell ⁻¹	2.13×10 ⁻³	3.68×10 ⁻⁵	3.77×10 ⁻⁴	3.02×10 ⁻³	141 %
Maximum power output	P _{peak} W·m ⁻² ·cell ⁻¹	0.427	0.023	0.014	0.545	128 %
Maximum energy conversion efficiency	C _{peak}	14.7 %	19.7 %	3.86 %	19.2 %	131 %

* includes a K⁺ leak channel that is not found in the natural electrocyte or artificial cell.