Published in final edited form as:

Eur J Neurosci. 2009 October ; 30(8): 1443–1450. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06950.x.

NMDAR- and mGluR-dependent long term depression are differentially regulated by the ubiquitin-proteasome system

Ami Citri*, Gilberto Soler-Llavina*, Samarjit Bhattacharyya, and Robert C. Malenka
Nancy Pritzker Laboratory, Dept. of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto CA 94304

Abstract

Long-term depression (LTD) in CA1 pyramidal neurons can be induced by activation of either NMDA receptors (NMDARs) or metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), both of which elicit changes in synaptic efficacy through AMPA receptor (AMPA) endocytosis. To address the role of the ubiquitin-proteasome system in regulating AMPAR endocytosis during these forms of LTD, we examined the effects of pharmacological inhibitors of proteasomal degradation and protein ubiquitination on endocytosis of GluR1-containing AMPARs in dissociated rat hippocampal cultures as well as LTD of excitatory synaptic responses in acute rat hippocampal slices. Our findings suggest that the contribution of the ubiquitin-proteasome system to NMDAR-induced versus mGluR-induced AMPAR endocytosis and the consequent LTD differs significantly. NMDAR-induced AMPAR endocytosis and LTD occur independently of proteasome function, but appear to depend, at least in part, on ubiquitination. In contrast, mGluR-induced AMPAR endocytosis and LTD are enhanced by inhibition of proteasomal degradation, as well as by the inhibitor of protein ubiquitination. Furthermore, the decay of mGluR-induced membrane depolarization and Erk activation is delayed following inhibition of either ubiquitination or proteasomal degradation. These results suggest that while NMDAR-dependent LTD may utilize ubiquitin as a signal for AMPAR endocytosis, mGluR-induced signaling and LTD is limited by a feedback mechanism that involves the ubiquitin-proteasome system.

Keywords

rat; synapse; long-term-depression; endocytosis; glutamate receptor

Introduction

Two forms of long-term depression (LTD) of synaptic transmission have been identified at excitatory synapses onto CA1 pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus and are distinguished on the basis of whether they are triggered via activation of postsynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) (Dudek & Bear, 1992; Mulkey & Malenka, 1992) or group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) (Bashir *et al.*, 1993; Bolshakov & Siegelbaum, 1994). Both forms of LTD can coexist at the same set of synapses (Oliet *et al.*, 1997) and both are thought to be due primarily to the clathrin- and dynamin-dependent endocytosis of AMPARs (Carroll *et al.*, 2001; Snyder *et al.*, 2001; Xiao *et al.*, 2001; Shepherd & Huganir,

Corresponding Author: Robert Malenka Stanford University School of Medicine 1050 Arastradero Rd. Rm B249 Palo Alto, CA. 94304-5552 650-724-2730 650-498-4585 (fax) malenka@stanford.edu.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting Information Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

2007). Thus, it has been assumed that they employ a common route of AMPAR endocytosis that is activated by divergent signaling pathways.

Group I mGluRs, via coupling to G_{aq} , normally activate phospholipase C, resulting in phosphoinositide hydrolysis, calcium release from internal stores, protein kinase C activation and subsequent activation of the Erk/MAPK pathway (Conn, 2003). The activation of Erk (Gallagher *et al.*, 2004) as well as PKC (Oliet *et al.*, 1997) have specifically been reported to be necessary for mGluR-dependent LTD in the hippocampus (Gallagher *et al.*, 2004; Bellone *et al.*, 2008). Interest in this form of LTD was greatly increased by the finding that it is enhanced in a mouse model of Fragile X syndrome (Huber *et al.*, 2002), an observation that led to the hypothesis that excessive mGluR signaling underlies this most common inherited form of human mental retardation (Bear *et al.*, 2004). In contrast, NMDAR-dependent LTD is thought to be triggered, at least in part, by activation of a cascade of protein phosphatases (Carroll *et al.*, 2001; Citri & Malenka, 2008) leading to the dephosphorylation of critical synaptic substrates such as AMPAR subunits (Lee *et al.*, 2000).

The elucidation of the role of ubiquitin conjugation in targeting substrate proteins for proteasomal degradation in a wide array of cell types and species (Hershko & Ciechanover, 1998) including mammalian neurons (Ehlers, 2003), raised the possibility that this process may play a role in LTD. Indeed, ubiquitination of the synaptic scaffold protein PSD-95 was suggested to be critical for NMDAR-triggered AMPAR endocytosis and LTD (Colledge *et al.*, 2003). However, several subsequent findings were not consistent with this model (Bingol & Schuman, 2004; Xu *et al.*, 2008; Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2009). More recently, nonproteolytic roles for ubiquitin have been uncovered including the regulation of endocytosis of membrane receptors such as G-protein coupled receptors and receptor tyrosine kinases by mono-ubiquitination (Urbe, 2005; Chen & Sun, 2009). Here we use well-established inhibitors of proteasomal function, as well as a recently developed compound that inhibits the E1 activating enzyme of the ubiquitin cascade (Yang *et al.*, 2007) to address the roles of ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation in NMDAR- versus mGluR-triggered AMPAR endocytosis and LTD. Surprisingly, our results suggest that there are dramatic differences in the regulation of these forms of synaptic plasticity by the ubiquitin-proteasome system.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All experiments were carried out in Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River, Wilmington, MA) in accordance with Stanford University guidelines regarding the care and use of animals for experimental procedures. Either P0 (dissociated neuronal cultures) or P14–P28 (electrophysiology) rats were used for experiments. Animals were housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled room with a light/dark cycle of 12 hours. Food and water were always available. All efforts were made to reduce the number of animals utilized in this study. Newborn rats were anesthetized on ice, while juvenile rats were anesthetized with isoflurane prior to decapitation.

Drugs

All drugs were made as concentrated stock solutions and diluted 100–10,000 fold before use. Drugs that could not be dissolved in H_2O were dissolved in DMSO (except LY-341495 which was prepared in 1.2 N NaOH). Drugs used in the study were D-AP5, LY341495, TTX, NBQX, DNQX, R,S-DHPG and NMDA (all from Tocris, Ellisville, MO); picrotoxin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), UBEI-41 (50 mM in DMSO; Biogenova, Rockville, MD),

lactacystin (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA and Boston Biochemicals, Cambridge, MA) and MG-132 (Biomol, Plymouth Meeting, PA and Tocris).

Dissociated hippocampal cultures

Primary hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared from P0 rat pups as previously described with minor modifications (Beattie et al., 2000). Briefly, hippocampi were dissected and tissue was dissociated by papain treatment followed by trituration with glass pipettes. Cells were plated on poly D-lysine-coated coverslips at a density of approximately 75,000 cells per 12 mm well for immunocytochemistry or 5×10^5 cells per 35 mm plate for immunoblotting. Cells were grown in minimum essential media (MEM) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with 0.5 mM glutamine and N2-supplement. Glial growth was inhibited by FUDR after 6 days in culture. Cells were assayed at 13–15 DIV.

Immunocytochemistry

To study the effect of lactacystin, MG-132 and UBEI-41 on the surface expression of AMPARs, cells were incubated in 50 μ M UBEI-41, 5 μ M lactacystin or 5, 50 μ M MG-132 for 30 min at 37°C. Subsequently, surface AMPARs were labeled in live neurons by 15 min incubation at 37°C with a rabbit polyclonal antibody (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) directed against the N-terminus of the GluR1 subunit (1:20 in conditioned media, i.e., MEM + 0.5 mM Glutamine). After washout of the antibody, cells were chilled on ice and fixed in 4% PFA on ice for 15 min without permeabilization. Surface receptors were then stained with goat anti rabbit Alexa-568 (Molecular probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) secondary antibody for visualization.

To assay the endocytosis of AMPARs, cells were first incubated with 5 μ M lactacystin, 5, 50 μ M MG-132 or 50 μ M UBEI-41 for 30 min at 37°C. Surface receptors were then labeled with the rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against the N-terminus of the GluR1 subunit in live cells as described above. After wash out of the antibody, cells were treated with the appropriate mixtures of antagonists (1 μ M TTX + 100 μ M LY341495 + 20 μ M DNQX for NMDA application; 1 μ M TTX, 20 μ M DNQX and 50 μ M APV for DHPG application) for 5 min. Subsequently, 100 μ M NMDA or 100 μ M DHPG were applied for 3 or 5 minutes, respectively, in the presence of the appropriate antagonists as just described. Cells were washed and returned to the incubator for a total of 15 min. Cells were then fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min on ice without permeabilization. After that, surface receptors were labeled by using a saturating concentration of goat-anti rabbit Alexa 568 conjugated secondary antibody (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), followed by permeabilization of cells with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature and staining of internalized receptors with donkey-anti rabbit Cy5-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA).

To ensure that the Cy5 conjugated secondary antibody used to label internalized AMPARs in our assay did not label any surface AMPARs, we performed the following control experiment. In a non-permeabilized cell, application of a saturating concentration of the first, Alexa-568 conjugated secondary antibody that was used to stain surface GluR1 prevented any further detectable staining of surface GluR1 upon subsequent application of the second, Cy5 conjugated, secondary antibody. Whereas, when cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature, application of the second, Cy5 conjugated secondary antibody now labeled internalized GluR1-containing AMPARs. These experiments showed that the second, Cy5 conjugated secondary antibody that was used for labeling internalized AMPARs did not label surface AMPARs in our assay, indicating that the saturating concentration of the first, Alexa-568 conjugated secondary antibody occupied all surface AMPARs (Bhattacharyya et al., 2009). We used a Cy5 conjugated secondary

antibody to label the internalized receptors since Cy5 is not detectable in the visible range, enabling an unbiased sampling of cells in the different conditions.

Image acquisition and analysis

Coverslips were mounted in Fluoromount (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and cells were imaged with a 63X oil-immersion objective mounted on a Zeiss LSM 510 laser scanning confocal microscope. Images for all conditions in a particular experiment were obtained using identical acquisition parameters (gain, offset, laser power, pinhole size, scan speed etc.) and were analyzed with Metamorph software using identical parameters (Meta imaging series 6.1, Universal imaging). Untreated and treated cells from the same culture preparation were always compared with one another. Images from each experiment were thresholded using identical values between different conditions and the total thresholded area of fluorescently labeled surface and internalized receptors was measured using Metamorph software. Since UBEI-41 itself was found to have some autofluorescence (especially in the cell body area), experiments involving UBEI-41 were thresholded such that contribution from the autofluorescence due to UBEI-41 was minimized. The determined thresholding value was identical between different conditions. To measure the surface receptors in our assay, surface fluorescence was divided by total cell area, which was determined by measuring background fluorescence using a low threshold level. These values were then normalized to the average surface fluorescence of untreated control cells. For calculation of the proportion of surface AMPARs that were endocytosed in the dendrite (10 μm away from the soma), dendritic intracellular fluorescence was divided by total dendritic fluorescence (intracellular plus surface) for each cell. These values were then normalized to those of untreated control cells from the same experiment. Each experimental treatment and analysis was performed on a minimum of two coverslips with most experiments including on average six to eight coverslips. For presentation, images were processed using Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems) by adjusting brightness and contrast levels to the same degree for all conditions illustrated in each experiment.

The n value given for each experiment refers to the number of cells analyzed. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. Group results were compared by using Student's t -test. $P > 0.05$ was considered not significant (n.s).

Immunoblotting

Dissociated hippocampal neurons at 13–15 DIV were pretreated for 40 minutes with DMSO, 50 μM UBEI-41 or 5 μM lactacystin, in the presence of 1 μM TTX, 20 μM DNQX and 50 μM APV. Cells were then treated for 5 minutes with 100 μM RS-DHPG in the presence of 1 μM TTX, 20 μM DNQX and 50 μM APV, and followed for a total time as indicated. Cells were harvested in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH=7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholic acid, 50 mM NaF, 200 μM Na_3VO_4 and a protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]). SDS-PAGE sample buffer was added to cleared lysates, which were separated on 4%–12% gradient Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to PVDF membranes. Immunoblotting was performed using primary antibodies to activated Erk (pErk; V8031; 1:5000 Promega, Madison, WI) and Erk2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, sc-154; 1:1000), detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and developed with the ECL Western blotting detection system (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare). The levels of pErk and Erk2 were quantified from sub-saturated films using ImageJ software (NIH). Levels of pErk were then normalized to the intensity of the corresponding Erk2 band, and defined as percent (%) of the value at $t=0$. Averages and standard errors of the mean (SEM) are presented. Results from neurons treated with lactacystin or UBEI-41 are compared to control experiments that were performed in parallel and resolved on the same western blot. Statistical significance was

assessed by comparing each point in the time course to the appropriate control using a non-directional (two-tailed) Student's *t*-test.

Slice preparation and electrophysiological recordings

Transverse hippocampal slices (field recordings, 400 μm ; whole-cell, 300 μm) were prepared from 21–28 day old Sprague-Dawley rats (field recordings and whole cell current clamp) or 14–21 day old rats (whole-cell voltage clamp experiments) in ice-cold sucrose solution containing (in mM): sucrose 204, NaHCO_3 26.2, glucose 11, KCl 2.5, MgSO_4 2, NaH_2PO_4 1, CaCl_2 0.5 saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2 . Slices were incubated at room temperature (20–22°) in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): NaCl 119, NaHCO_3 26.2, glucose 11, KCl 2.5, CaCl_2 2.5, MgSO_4 1.3, and NaH_2PO_4 for at least 1.5 hours before use. Slices were transferred to a submerged recording chamber continuously perfused (1.5–2 ml/min) with warm (28–30°) ACSF containing 50 μM picrotoxin (to block inhibitory transmission). Dendritic, field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded by placing a patch electrode filled with ACSF or 1M NaCl (adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH) in the stratum radiatum, 100–200 μm from the CA1 pyramidal cell layer. fEPSPs were evoked by small, brief (50–200 μA , 0.1 ms) current injections delivered to the Schaeffer collaterals using a bipolar glass electrodes filled with ACSF. Whole-cell current clamp recordings were made with 3–5 M Ω electrodes filled with a solution containing (in mM): KMeSO_4 130, HEPES 10, NaCl 10, Mg-ATP 2.5, EGTA 0.4, Na-GTP 0.25, QX-314 1 (pH, 7.3 with KOH). Whole-cell voltage clamp recordings were made with 3–5 M Ω electrodes filled with a solution containing (in mM): CsMeSO_4 117.5, HEPES 10, TEA-Cl 10, CsCl, 15.5, NaCl 8, EGTA 0.25, Mg-ATP 4, Na-GTP 0.3, QX-314 5 (pH adjusted to 7.3 with CsOH, Osmolarity 290–300 mOsm). MG-132 was included in patch pipettes at a final concentration of 1 μM and allowed to perfuse the cell for a minimum of 15 minutes prior to induction of LTD (as in (Colledge *et al.*, 2003).

Incubations in UBEI-41 (50 μM) or lactacystin (1 or 5 μM) were performed, following the recovery period in a holding chamber with oxygenated ACSF for 30–60 minutes prior to placement in the recording chamber. For LTD experiments measured by field potentials, single stimuli were delivered at 0.1 Hz to monitor basal fEPSPs. After a 10 minute baseline, LTD was induced in one of two ways: NMDAR-dependent LTD was elicited by delivering 900 stimuli at 5 Hz, while mGluR-dependent LTD was induced by a 10 minute application of RS-DHPG (100 μM). Responses were monitored at 0.1 Hz for at least 45 minutes post-induction. For whole-cell voltage clamp LTD experiments, baseline EPSCs were recorded at –60 mV while stimulating afferents at 0.1 Hz. LTD was induced by delivering 200 stimuli at 1 Hz paired with depolarization to –40 mV (as in (Colledge *et al.*, 2003). In the whole-cell current clamp experiments, the resting membrane potential (V_m) was monitored at a frequency of 0.1 Hz. After a 5 minute stable baseline had been achieved, (within 15 minutes after breaking into the cell) RS-DHPG (100 μM) was perfused for 3 minutes followed by a 30 minute washout. Each experiment was normalized to the maximum change in V_m . The average change in V_m relative to baseline (ΔV_m) was then calculated and compared across conditions.

Field and whole-cell recordings were performed using either an Axopatch-1D amplifier (Axon Instruments, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) or a multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and acquired with custom software written in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, Oregon). All data were filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. For display purposes and averaging across experiments, fEPSP initial slopes (1 ms window following the end of the fiber volley) and EPSC amplitudes were calculated, binned in 2-minute intervals and normalized to the mean fEPSP slope or EPSC amplitude during the baseline period. In field experiments, the % LTD within each condition is defined as the average fEPSP slope during minutes 45–60 after induction compared to the average fEPSP

slope during the baseline period. The same time window was used to compare the magnitude of LTD across conditions (control vs preincubated slices). For the whole-cell LTD experiments, the average EPSC amplitude during minutes 30–40 after induction was used to determine % LTD. We used two different concentrations of lactacystin in experiments that involved both NMDAR- and mGluR-dependent forms of LTD (1 and 5 μ M). The magnitudes of LTD in slices preincubated in either concentration of lactacystin were not different and therefore pooled (NMDA LTD: 1 μ M, $n = 5$; 5 μ M, $n = 8$; mGluR LTD: 1 μ M, $n = 14$; 5 μ M, $n = 8$). To rule out a possible contribution of Group I mGluRs to NMDAR-dependent LTD we included 100 μ M LY-341495 in a subset of experiments (Control $n = 6$; UBEI-41 $n = 3$; lactacystin $n = 4$). The results were identical to those carried out in the absence of LY-341495, hence pooled. UBEI-41, Lactacystin and control experiments were continuously interleaved allowing us to compare both sets to the same control group. Because the MG-132 experiments (Fig. 3E,F) were performed separately, they were compared to their own set of interleaved controls. The majority of the electrophysiology experiments were carried out by two independent experimenters, and the results were pooled for analysis and presentation.

All data is presented as mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM) unless otherwise stated. For statistical comparisons, non-directional (two-tailed) Student's *t*-test was used. Differences were considered significant if $P < 0.05$.

Results

To examine whether AMPAR endocytosis triggered by NMDAR or group I mGluR activation requires proteasomal degradation, cultured neurons were pre-treated with lactacystin (5 μ M) and surface GluR1-containing AMPARs were followed by live cell staining (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2009). Inhibition of the proteasome had no effect on NMDA-triggered endocytosis of dendritic AMPARs (Fig. 1A,B; control: 1.0 ± 0.08 , $n = 34$; NMDA: 1.7 ± 0.13 , $n = 17$; $P = 0.00008$ [NMDA to control]; NMDA + lactacystin: 1.5 ± 0.13 , $n = 21$; $P = 0.38$ [NMDA + lactacystin to NMDA]). In contrast, AMPAR endocytosis triggered by DHPG (3,5-Dihydroxyphenylglycine) was consistently enhanced in sister cultures treated with lactacystin (Fig. 1A,B; Control: 1.0 ± 0.08 , $n = 34$; DHPG: 1.5 ± 0.11 , $n = 24$; $P = 0.00078$ [DHPG to control]; DHPG + lactacystin: 1.9 ± 0.14 , $n = 25$; $P = 0.035$ [DHPG + lactacystin to DHPG]). Because the lack of effect of lactacystin on NMDA-triggered AMPAR endocytosis contradicts previous work (Colledge *et al.*, 2003), we repeated these experiments using another widely used, albeit less specific, (Lee & Goldberg, 1996) inhibitor of the proteasome, MG-132. Like lactacystin, pretreatment of cultures with MG-132 (5 μ M) did not affect NMDA-induced AMPAR endocytosis (Fig. 1C,D; Control: 1.0 ± 0.06 , $n = 52$; NMDA: 1.9 ± 0.2 , $n = 28$; $P = 0.000002$ [NMDA to control] NMDA + MG-132: 2.0 ± 0.21 , $n = 26$, $P = 0.9$ [NMDA + MG-132 to NMDA] but consistently enhanced DHPG-induced AMPAR endocytosis (Fig. 1C,D; Control: 1.0 ± 0.06 , $n = 52$; DHPG: 1.7 ± 0.18 , $n = 30$; $P = 0.00014$ [DHPG to control]; DHPG + MG-132: 2.3 ± 0.18 , $n = 28$; $P = 0.029$ [DHPG + MG-132 to DHPG]). We also repeated these experiments using the higher concentration of MG-132 (50 μ M) that was used in previous work (Colledge *et al.*, 2003) and again obtained the same results; no effect on NMDA-induced AMPAR endocytosis and an enhancement of DHPG-induced AMPAR endocytosis (Supp. Fig. 1). It is conceivable that differences in culture conditions could account for the discrepancy between our results and those previously reported although our previous work on the role of PSD-95 in LTD in slices (Xu *et al.*, 2008) makes this possibility unlikely.

To address a potential role for protein ubiquitination in the different forms of AMPAR endocytosis, we utilized UBEI-41/PYR-41, a novel cell-permeable compound that irreversibly inhibits the E1 activating enzyme of the ubiquitin cascade by preventing E1-

ubiquitin thioester bond formation (Yang *et al.*, 2007). This is the first compound that has the potential of elucidating proteasome-dependent and independent roles of ubiquitin. At the concentration used (50 μ M), UBEI-41 has been demonstrated to inhibit E1-ubiquitin thioester bonds by 95% and robustly inhibit several ubiquitin-dependent intracellular processes (Yang *et al.*, 2007; Dey *et al.*, 2008; Gao *et al.*, 2008; Zaarur *et al.*, 2008; Satheshkumar *et al.*, 2009). NMDA-induced endocytosis of dendritic AMPARs was significantly reduced, albeit not completely abolished, in the UBEI-41-treated cells (Fig. 1E,F; Control: 1.0 ± 0.07 , $n = 31$; NMDA: 2.1 ± 0.18 , $n = 28$; $P = 0.000001$ [NMDA to Control], NMDA + UBEI-41: 1.3 ± 0.19 , $n = 25$; $P = 0.0078$ [NMDA + UBEI-41 to NMDA]). In marked contrast, UBEI-41 treatment of the same set of cultures caused an enhancement of the dendritic AMPAR endocytosis triggered by application of the group I mGluR agonist DHPG (100 μ M R,S) (Fig. 1E,F; Control: 1.0 ± 0.07 , $n = 31$; DHPG: 1.9 ± 0.17 , $n = 21$; $P = 0.0000047$ [DHPG to Control]; DHPG + UBEI-41: 2.7 ± 0.27 , $n = 24$; $P = 0.019$ [DHPG + UBEI-41 to DHPG]). This marked difference in the effects of UBEI-41, as well as the correlated enhancement of DHPG-induced AMPAR endocytosis by both UBEI-41 and the proteasomal inhibitors suggest that independent mechanisms likely underlie the endocytosis of AMPARs following activation of NMDARs versus mGluRs. Surface expression of GluR1-containing AMPARs was not affected by any of the drug treatments used (lactacystin, MG-132 or UBEI-41; Supp. Fig. 2).

The effects of UBEI-41 suggest that like the endocytosis of other membrane proteins (Hicke & Dunn, 2003; Urbe, 2005; Hanyaloglu & Von Zastrow, 2008) NMDAR-triggered endocytosis of AMPARs may require mono-ubiquitination of either the receptors themselves or endocytic adaptors as a signal for the subsequent clathrin/dynamin-mediated endocytosis. In contrast, the enhancement of DHPG-induced AMPAR endocytosis following inhibition of ubiquitin activation or proteasomal function suggests not only the existence of a distinct, ubiquitin-independent mechanism for AMPAR endocytosis but also that the ubiquitin/proteasome system functions to limit a step in the cascade of events leading from mGluR activation to AMPAR endocytosis.

To address whether the ubiquitin/proteasome system functions as a feedback mechanism to generally limit the consequences of group I mGluR activation, we examined the effects of lactacystin and UBEI-41 on two additional effects caused by DHPG; neuronal membrane depolarization (Gereau & Conn, 1995) and Erk/MAPK activation (Gallagher *et al.*, 2004). The relative magnitude of the maintained depolarization induced by DHPG in CA1 pyramidal cells (measured at 25–30 following DHPG application) was significantly increased by incubation of slices in either lactacystin or UBEI-41 when compared to control cells (Fig. 2A,B; control cells, 0.31 ± 0.09 , $n = 10$; lactacystin, $0.65 \pm .12$, $P = 0.01$, $n = 7$; UBEI-41, 0.62 ± 0.1 , $P = 0.02$, $n = 12$). Similarly, the duration of DHPG-induced Erk phosphorylation in cultured neurons was clearly enhanced by these drugs (Fig. 2C–F). Statistical significance ($p \leq 0.05$) was observed for the 5, 15, 30 and 60 minute time points of the lactacystin treatment ($p = 0.01$, 0.05, 0.04, and 0.03 respectively), as well as the 30 and 60 minute time points of the UBEI-41 treated cells ($p = 0.01$, 0.05 respectively). Thus, three different effects of group I mGluR activation are all enhanced by inhibition of ubiquitination and proteasome function, suggesting an important role for the ubiquitin/proteasome system as a feedback mechanism that limits mGluR-triggered signaling.

The different effects of inhibiting proteasomal degradation and ubiquitination on NMDA- versus DHPG-induced endocytosis of AMPARs suggest that the drugs we have used will also have different effects on NMDAR- versus mGluR-dependent LTD. Consistent with this prediction, NMDAR-dependent LTD was not affected by inhibition of proteasomal degradation by lactacystin (Fig. 3A; Control: $28.4\% \pm 2.2\%$, $n = 32$; lactacystin: $26.4\% \pm 2.7\%$, $P = 0.62$, $n = 17$). In contrast, mGluR-dependent LTD induced by DHPG application

was significantly enhanced in lactacystin treated slices (Fig. 3B; Control: $26.6\% \pm 2.6\%$, $n = 26$; lactacystin $42.8\% \pm 4.7\%$, $P = 0.001$, $n = 22$; $p < 0.01$). Furthermore, inhibition of ubiquitination by UBEI-41 showed a partial but significant attenuation of NMDAR-dependent LTD (Fig. 3A; Control: $28.4\% \pm 2.2\%$, $n = 32$; UBEI-41: $17.4\% \pm 2.6\%$, $n = 13$; $P = 0.01$) whereas DHPG-induced LTD was dramatically enhanced (Control: $26.6\% \pm 2.6\%$, $n = 26$; UBEI-41: $56.2\% \pm 4.1\%$, $n = 8$; $P = 3 \times 10^{-6}$). Incubation of hippocampal slices with either lactacystin or UBEI-41 had no detectable effect on basal synaptic transmission as assayed by generating input-output curves using field EPSP recordings (Supp. Fig. 3).

These results contradict previous work addressing the role of the proteasome in NMDAR- and mGluR- dependent LTD (Colledge *et al.*, 2003; Hou *et al.*, 2006). In these studies it was reported that inhibition of the proteasome with lactacystin or MG-132 reduced LTD induced either by activation of NMDARs (Colledge *et al.*, 2003) or mGluRs (Hou *et al.*, 2006). To address these discrepancies, we first followed the experimental conditions and induction protocol described in Colledge *et al.* (2003) and loaded CA1 pyramidal cells directly with MG-132 (1 μ M) via the whole-cell patch pipette. This manipulation had no detectable effect on NMDAR-dependent LTD (Fig. 3E; Control: $38.6\% \pm 1.2\%$, $n = 4$; MG-132: $34.1\% \pm 4.4\%$, $P = 0.48$, $n = 6$). We also tested the effect of extracellular application of MG-132 (5 μ M) on DHPG-induced LTD, as done previously (Hou *et al.*, 2006). Similar to the effects of lactacystin, we again observed a significant enhancement of this form of LTD (Fig. 3F; DHPG: $33.9\% \pm 6.3\%$, $n = 9$; DHPG + MG-132: $62.5\% \pm 5.4\%$, $n = 9$; $P = 0.003$). Because the previous experiments (Hou *et al.*, 2006) were performed in acute slices from mice while our experiments were carried out in acute slices from rats, we tested the effect of lactacystin on DHPG-induced LTD in age-matched, wild type mice. Again, we observed no hint of inhibition of this form of LTD but rather a modest enhancement (DHPG: $33.4\% \pm 5\%$, $n = 11$; DHPG + MG-132: $42.7\% \pm 7.6\%$, $P = 0.24$, $n = 6$; data not shown).

Discussion

We have presented evidence that the role of ubiquitination and proteasome function in NMDAR- versus mGluR-dependent LTD differ dramatically even though both involve endocytosis of AMPARs. NMDAR-triggered AMPAR endocytosis during LTD appears to require ubiquitination but not proteasomal degradation. In contrast, group I mGluR-dependent signaling, as measured by AMPAR endocytosis during LTD, cellular depolarization and Erk activation, is limited by ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. The findings that several different sequelae of mGluR activation were enhanced by UBEI-41 and lactacystin suggests that ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation may function as a negative feedback to inhibit the activity of a protein that functions early in the cascade of events following mGluR activation, perhaps the receptor itself or a closely associated protein (Ageta *et al.*, 2001).

As is the case when performing any pharmacological manipulation, the interpretation of our results is dependent on the specificity of the drugs being used. Lactacystin and MG-132 have been extensively used to inhibit proteasome function (Lee & Goldberg, 1996; Hershko & Ciechanover, 1998) and to our knowledge remain the most direct way to test the role of the proteasome in cellular functions. UBEI-41 is an important new drug because comparing its effects to drugs such as lactacystin and MG-132 allows distinctions to be made between the role of ubiquitin in targeting substrates to the proteasome versus its proteasome-independent roles. It should be noted, however, that UBEI-41 has been demonstrated to increase protein sumoylation, perhaps as a cellular compensation for the loss of ubiquitin (Yang *et al.*, 2007). Sumoylation has recently been demonstrated to function as a signal for endocytosis of the kainate receptor GluR6 (Martin *et al.*, 2007). Thus it is conceivable that the partial inhibition we observe of NMDA-induced AMPAR endocytosis and LTD

following treatment with UBEI-41 is due to compensation by sumoylation. Nevertheless, under identical experimental conditions, we observed consistent yet opposing effects of the drugs on NMDAR- versus mGluR-triggered AMPAR endocytosis and LTD. These results provide strong evidence for significant differences in the role of the ubiquitin/proteasome system in the two forms of LTD investigated.

Our results also suggest that both ubiquitin-dependent and independent mechanisms can be used during the endocytosis of AMPARs and which route is used depends on the initial triggering signal. This conclusion is similar to that drawn from prior results reporting that the endocytosis of AMPARs and their subsequent intracellular trafficking also differs depending on whether NMDARs versus AMPARs are activated (Beattie *et al.*, 2000; Ehlers, 2000; Lee *et al.*, 2004; Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, similar dependence on the initial triggering event has been described for the ligand-induced endocytosis and subsequent trafficking of many membrane proteins including G-protein coupled receptors and growth factor receptors (Mukhopadhyay & Riezman, 2007).

Inappropriate activation of group I mGluR signaling has been suggested to be important in the pathophysiology of cognitive disorders such as Alzheimer's disease and Fragile X syndrome, animal models of which demonstrate abnormal mGluR-dependent LTD (Hsieh *et al.*, 2006; Dolen & Bear, 2008). Our results raise the possibility that impairment of the ubiquitin/proteasome system, as observed in several other brain disorders (Ciechanover and Brundin, 2003; Bennett *et al.*, 2007), could contribute to these disorders by reducing negative feedback regulation of mGluR-signaling.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

A.C. gratefully acknowledges support of The International Human Frontier Science Program Organization. This work was supported by NIH grant MH63394 to R.C.M. The authors thank Malenka lab members for critical reading of the manuscript.

Abbreviations

NMDA	N-methyl- D-aspartate
mGluR	metabotropic glutamate receptor
LTD	long term depression
AMPA	α -amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate
DHPG	3,5-Dihydroxyphenylglycine
AP5	(2R)-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate
TTX	tetrodotoxin
NBQX	2,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzo[f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione
DNQX	6,7-Dinitroquinoxaline- 2,3-dione

References

- Ageta H, Kato A, Hatakeyama S, Nakayama K, Isojima Y, Sugiyama H. Regulation of the level of Vesl-1S/Homer-1a proteins by ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic systems. *J Biol Chem.* 2001; 276:15893–15897. [PubMed: 11278836]
- Bashir ZI, Jane DE, Sunter DC, Watkins JC, Collingridge GL. Metabotropic glutamate receptors contribute to the induction of long-term depression in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 1993; 239:265–266. [PubMed: 8223907]
- Bear M, Huber K, Warren S. The mGluR theory of fragile X mental retardation. *Trends in Neurosciences.* 2004; 27:370–377. [PubMed: 15219735]
- Beattie EC, Carroll RC, Yu X, Morishita W, Yasuda H, von Zastrow M, Malenka RC. Regulation of AMPA receptor endocytosis by a signaling mechanism shared with LTD. *Nat Neurosci.* 2000; 3:1291–1300. [PubMed: 11100150]
- Bellone C, Luscher C, Mameli M. Mechanisms of synaptic depression triggered by metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2008; 65:2913–2923. [PubMed: 18712277]
- Bhattacharyya S, Biou V, Xu W, Schluter O, Malenka RC. A critical role for PSD-95/AKAP interactions in endocytosis of synaptic AMPA receptors. *Nat Neurosci.* 2009; 12:172–181. [PubMed: 19169250]
- Bingol B, Schuman EM. A proteasome-sensitive connection between PSD-95 and GluR1 endocytosis. *Neuropharmacology.* 2004; 47:755–763. [PubMed: 15458847]
- Bolshakov VY, Siegelbaum SA. Postsynaptic induction and presynaptic expression of hippocampal long-term depression. *Science.* 1994; 264:1148–1152. [PubMed: 7909958]
- Carroll RC, Beattie EC, von Zastrow M, Malenka RC. Role of AMPA receptor endocytosis in synaptic plasticity. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2001; 2:315–324. [PubMed: 11331915]
- Chen ZJ, Sun LJ. Nonproteolytic functions of ubiquitin in cell signaling. *Molecular Cell.* 2009; 33:275–286. [PubMed: 19217402]
- Citri A, Malenka RC. Synaptic plasticity: multiple forms, functions, and mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2008; 33:18–41. [PubMed: 17728696]
- Colledge M, Snyder E, Crozier RA, Soderling JA, Jin Y, Langeberg LK, Lu H, Bear M, Scott JD. Ubiquitination regulates PSD-95 degradation and AMPA receptor surface expression. *Neuron.* 2003; 40:595–607. [PubMed: 14642282]
- Conn PJ. Physiological roles and therapeutic potential of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2003; 1003:12–21. [PubMed: 14684432]
- Dey A, Tergaonkar V, Lane DP. Double-edged swords as cancer therapeutics: simultaneously targeting p53 and NF-kappaB pathways. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2008; 7:1031–1040. [PubMed: 19043452]
- Dolen G, Bear MF. Role for metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) in the pathogenesis of fragile X syndrome. *J Physiol.* 2008; 586:1503–1508. [PubMed: 18202092]
- Dudek SM, Bear MF. Homosynaptic long-term depression in area CA1 of hippocampus and effects of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor blockade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1992; 89:4363–4367. [PubMed: 1350090]
- Ehlers MD. Reinsertion or degradation of AMPA receptors determined by activity-dependent endocytic sorting. *Neuron.* 2000; 28:511–525. [PubMed: 11144360]
- Ehlers MD. Activity level controls postsynaptic composition and signaling via the ubiquitin-proteasome system. *Nat Neurosci.* 2003; 6:231–242. [PubMed: 12577062]
- Gallagher SM, Daly CA, Bear MF, Huber KM. Extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase activation is required for metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent long-term depression in hippocampal area CA1. *J Neurosci.* 2004; 24:4859–4864. [PubMed: 15152046]
- Gao Y, Chotoo CK, Balut CM, Sun F, Bailey MA, Devor DC. Role of S3 and S4 transmembrane domain charged amino acids in channel biogenesis and gating of KCa2.3 and KCa3.1. *J Biol Chem.* 2008; 283:9049–9059. [PubMed: 18227067]
- Gereau, R.W.t.; Conn, PJ. Roles of specific metabotropic glutamate receptor subtypes in regulation of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cell excitability. *J Neurophysiol.* 1995; 74:122–129. [PubMed: 7472317]

- Hanyaloglu AC, von Zastrow M. Regulation of GPCRs by endocytic membrane trafficking and its potential implications. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2008; 48:537–568. [PubMed: 18184106]
- Hershko A, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin system. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 1998; 67:425–479. [PubMed: 9759494]
- Hicke L, Dunn R. Regulation of membrane protein transport by ubiquitin and ubiquitin-binding proteins. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2003; 19:141–172. [PubMed: 14570567]
- Hou L, Antion MD, Hu D, Spencer CM, Paylor R, Klann E. Dynamic translational and proteasomal regulation of fragile X mental retardation protein controls mGluR-dependent long-term depression. *Neuron*. 2006; 51:441–454. [PubMed: 16908410]
- Hsieh H, Boehm J, Sato C, Iwatsubo T, Tomita T, Sisodia S, Malinow R. AMPAR removal underlies Abeta-induced synaptic depression and dendritic spine loss. *Neuron*. 2006; 52:831–843. [PubMed: 17145504]
- Huber KM, Gallagher SM, Warren ST, Bear MF. Altered synaptic plasticity in a mouse model of fragile X mental retardation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2002; 99:7746–7750. [PubMed: 12032354]
- Lee DH, Goldberg AL. Selective inhibitors of the proteasome-dependent and vacuolar pathways of protein degradation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Biol Chem*. 1996; 271:27280–27284. [PubMed: 8910302]
- Lee HK, Barbarosie M, Kameyama K, Bear MF, Huganir RL. Regulation of distinct AMPA receptor phosphorylation sites during bidirectional synaptic plasticity. *Nature*. 2000; 405:955–959. [PubMed: 10879537]
- Lee SH, Simonetta A, Sheng M. Subunit rules governing the sorting of internalized AMPA receptors in hippocampal neurons. *Neuron*. 2004; 43:221–236. [PubMed: 15260958]
- Martin S, Nishimune A, Mellor JR, Henley JM. SUMOylation regulates kainate-receptor-mediated synaptic transmission. *Nature*. 2007; 447:321–325. [PubMed: 17486098]
- Mukhopadhyay D, Riezman H. Proteasome-independent functions of ubiquitin in endocytosis and signaling. *Science*. 2007; 315:201–205. [PubMed: 17218518]
- Mulkey RM, Malenka RC. Mechanisms underlying induction of homosynaptic long-term depression in area CA1 of the hippocampus. *Neuron*. 1992; 9:967–975. [PubMed: 1419003]
- Oliet SH, Malenka RC, Nicoll RA. Two distinct forms of long-term depression coexist in CA1 hippocampal pyramidal cells. *Neuron*. 1997; 18:969–982. [PubMed: 9208864]
- Satheshkumar PS, Anton LC, Sanz P, Moss B. Inhibition of the ubiquitin-proteasome system prevents vaccinia virus DNA replication and expression of intermediate and late genes. *J Virol*. 2009; 83:2469–2479. [PubMed: 19129442]
- Shepherd JD, Huganir RL. The cell biology of synaptic plasticity: AMPA receptor trafficking. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2007; 23:613–643. [PubMed: 17506699]
- Snyder EM, Philpot BD, Huber KM, Dong X, Fallon JR, Bear MF. Internalization of ionotropic glutamate receptors in response to mGluR activation. *Nat Neurosci*. 2001; 4:1079–1085. [PubMed: 11687813]
- Urbe S. Ubiquitin and endocytic protein sorting. *Essays Biochem*. 2005; 41:81–98. [PubMed: 16250899]
- Xiao MY, Zhou Q, Nicoll RA. Metabotropic glutamate receptor activation causes a rapid redistribution of AMPA receptors. *Neuropharmacology*. 2001; 41:664–671. [PubMed: 11640920]
- Xu W, Schluter OM, Steiner P, Czervionke BL, Sabatini B, Malenka RC. Molecular dissociation of the role of PSD-95 in regulating synaptic strength and LTD. *Neuron*. 2008; 57:248–262. [PubMed: 18215622]
- Yang Y, Kitagaki J, Dai RM, Tsai YC, Lorick KL, Ludwig RL, Pierre SA, Jensen JP, Davydov IV, Oberoi P, Li CC, Kenten JH, Beutler JA, Vousden KH, Weissman AM. Inhibitors of ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), a new class of potential cancer therapeutics. *Cancer Res*. 2007; 67:9472–9481. [PubMed: 17909057]
- Zaarur N, Meriin AB, Gabai VL, Sherman MY. Triggering aggresome formation. Dissecting aggresome-targeting and aggregation signals in synphilin 1. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:27575–27584. [PubMed: 18635553]

FIG. 1.

The ubiquitin-proteasome system differentially regulates NMDA- and DHPG- induced AMPAR endocytosis. Representative examples (A, C, E) and quantification (B, D, F) demonstrating effects of lactacystin (5 μ M, A, B), UBEI-41 (50 μ M, C, D) and MG-132 (5 μ M, E, F) on NMDA- versus DHPG-induced AMPAR endocytosis. Scale bar: 20 μ m. *** indicates $p < 0.001$, * indicates $p < 0.05$ and n.s indicates $p > 0.05$.

FIG. 2.

Inhibition of ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation prolongs DHPG-induced membrane depolarization and Erk activation. Representative experiments (A) and summary of the effects of lactacystin (5 μ M) and UBEI-41 (50 μ M) on the depolarization caused by application of DHPG (3 min, 100 μ M) to CA1 pyramidal neurons. (C–D) Representative western blots showing effects of lactacystin and UBEI-41 on the increase in the phosphorylated form of Erk (pErk) in cultured neurons treated with DHPG (5 min, 100 μ M) at time=0. (E–F) Summary graphs of the effect of lactacystin (n=5) and UBEI-41 (n=3) on Erk activation induced by DHPG. pErk levels were normalized to the intensity of the corresponding Erk2 band, and presented as % of the value at t=0 min (* indicates $p < 0.05$).

FIG. 3.

The ubiquitin-proteasome system differentially regulates NMDAR- and mGluR-dependent LTD. (A–D) Summary graphs of field EPSP experiments in which NMDAR-dependent LTD (induced by 5 Hz, 3 min stimulation) and mGluR-dependent LTD (induced by 100 μ M DHPG applied for 10 minutes) were induced in control slices or slices preincubated in lactacystin (1–5 μ M) or UBEI-41 (50 μ M). (E) Summary graph of whole-cell voltage clamp experiments in which NMDAR-dependent LTD was induced in control cells or cells loaded with MG-132 (1 μ M). (F) Summary graph of experiments of mGluR-dependent LTD induced in control slices or slices perfused with MG-132 (5 μ M). Numbers in parentheses indicate number of slices (A–D, F) or cells (E). Representative traces are shown to the right of each experimental summary graph (scale bars: vertical = 100 μ V or 20 pA, horizontal = 5 ms).