Published in final edited form as:

Acc Chem Res. 2009 October 20; 42(10): 1554–1563. doi:10.1021/ar900077c.

Self-Organization in Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly

Brian H. Northrop[†], Yao-Rong Zheng[†], Ki-Whan Chi[§], and Peter J. Stang^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, 315 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112.

[§]Department of Chemistry, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 680-749 Republic of Korea.

Conspectus

Self-assembly allows for the preparation of highly complex molecular and supramolecular systems from relatively simple starting materials. Typically, self-assembled supramolecules are constructed by combining complementary pairs of two highly symmetric molecular components, thus limiting the chances of forming unwanted side products. Combining asymmetric molecular components or multiple complementary sets of molecules in one complex mixture can produce myriad different ordered and disordered supramolecular assemblies. Alternatively, spontaneous self-organization phenomena can promote the formation of specific product(s) out of a collection of multiple possibilities. Self-organization processes are common throughout much of nature and are especially common in biological systems. Recently, researchers have studied self-organized self-assembly in purely synthetic systems.

This Account describes our investigations of self-organization in the coordination-driven self-assembly of platinum(II)-based metallocsupramolecules. The modularity of the coordination-driven approach to self-assembly has allowed us to systematically study a wide variety of different factors that can control the extent of supramolecular self-organization. In particular, we have evaluated the effects of the symmetry and polarity of ambidentate donor subunits, differences in geometrical parameters (e.g. the size, angularity, and dimensionality) of Pt(II)-based acceptors and organic donors, the influence of temperature and solvent, and the effects of intermolecular steric interactions and hydrophobic interactions on self-organization.

Our studies have shown that the extent of self-organization in the coordination-driven self-assembly of both 2D polygons and 3D polyhedra ranges from no organization (a statistical mixture of multiple products), to amplified organization (wherein a particular product or products are favored over others), and all the way to the absolute self-organization of discrete supramolecular assemblies. In many cases, inputs such as dipolar interactions, steric interactions, and differences in the geometric parameters of subunits—used either alone or as multiple factors simultaneously—can achieve absolute self-organization of discrete supramolecules. We have also observed instances where self-organization is not absolute and varies in its deviation from statistical results. Steric interactions are particularly useful control factors for driving such amplified self-organization because they can be subtly tuned through small structural variations.

Having the ability to fully understand and control the self-organization of complex mixtures into specific synthetic supramolecules can provide a better understanding of analogous processes in biological systems. Furthermore, self-organization may allow for the facile synthesis of complex multifunctional, multicomponent systems from simply mixing a collection of much simpler, judiciously designed individual molecular components.

Introduction

Chemists have developed an extensive collection of protocols for making compounds with desirable properties and/or functions. It is often the case, however, that complex molecules require equally complex synthesis, which can be costly in terms of time, materials, atom economy, and yield. Natural systems, on the other hand, are capable of synthesizing molecular and supramolecular systems of impressive complexity and functionality in high yield with relative ease. For example, while it may take a biochemist a few days to prepare a 100 amino acid polypeptide, a bacterial cell can carry out the same feat on the order of seconds.¹ Some enzymes have evolved to be so efficient that their catalysis is limited only by the rate of diffusion² ($k_{\text{cat}}/k_{\text{m}} \sim 10^8$), a target so far unattained in synthetic systems. In general, biological systems are able to perform complex tasks by taking advantage of reversible noncovalent interactions to dictate their structural, physical, and functional properties. Inspired by Nature, chemists have developed myriad ways of utilizing noncovalent interactions to direct the spontaneous self-assembly³ of supramolecular systems⁴ in manners similar to the same phenomena that occur throughout Nature. Self-assembly protocols can considerably reduce synthetic costs and often lead to the formation of a single thermodynamic product in high yield.

Supramolecular chemistry takes advantage of the fact that complementary molecular subunits can be specifically designed such that they selectively recognize each other and spontaneously assemble into well-defined suprastructures. In the 1960s, Lehn, Cram, and Pederson provided early examples of self-assembling supramolecular systems in their studies⁵ of cation binding cryptand and crown ether compounds. In the intervening years, researchers have designed and synthesized complementary pairs of molecular subunits that are capable of utilizing the noncovalent information stored within their structural and electronic properties to spontaneously self-assemble into a preferred supramolecule(s). It is often advantageous to limit the number of potential supramolecular products by combining, for example, only one hydrogen bond donor with one hydrogen bond acceptor or one metal acceptor with one organic donor, etc. and by using highly symmetric molecular inputs. Such a protocol has the clear advantage of reducing the complexity of the system and promoting the efficient, high yielding assembly of a singular product. There are, however, several merits to exploring considerably more complex self-assembling systems that either contain multiple molecular subunits or are able to produce multiple different products. Not only do such complex mixtures more closely resemble biological systems, they also open the possibility for self-organization⁶ phenomena.

Self-organization⁶ is the selective and spontaneous formation of one or more well-ordered structures from within a complex mixture that could otherwise form a much greater number of structures. Self-organization phenomena occur throughout Nature across all length scales^{3b} from the creation of galaxies to the formation of hydrogen atoms. Mammalian cells can be considered highly complex self-organized structures composed of multiple biomolecules brought together by noncovalent self-assembly. In the 1990s, the research groups of Lehn,⁷ Raymond,⁸ and Albrecht⁹ developed and explored the self-organization of supramolecular helicates. Lehn *et al.* demonstrated⁷ that mixtures of oligobipyridine strands containing between 2 and 5 bipyridine units will, in the presence of Cu^{I} ions, self-organize into double helicates exclusively composed of oligobipyridine strands of the same length (i.e. 2:2, 3:3, 4:4, 5:5). By preparing a number of biscatecolamide ligands separated by *o*- C_6H_4 , *p*- C_6H_4 , and 4,4'-biphenyl spacers, Raymond *et al.* showed⁸ that the addition of Ga^{III} ions prompted the facile self-organization of triple helicies composed exclusively of identical ligands. Albrecht and coworkers observed^{9a} that the extent of self-organization of alkyl-bridged bis-catechol ligands into discrete triple helicates in the presence of Ti^{IV} and alkali metal carbonates could be controlled by the nature of the alkali metal (Li^+ , Na^+ , or K^+). In each of these helicate self-organizing systems, metal cations are utilized as "external effectors" that trigger the spontaneous ordering of individual components. The use of reversible metal-ligand

coordination interactions allows for a dynamic¹⁰ self-assembly process to take place: supramolecular complexes are assembled and disassembled continuously as the most thermodynamically favorable collection of supramolecules is obtained, at which point no thermodynamic driving forces exist to promote further changes in the system as a whole though dynamic exchange can still occur.

In each of the previous examples of self-organization, the end results represent the exclusive formation of self-organized structures. This situation can be referred to as absolute self-organization. It is also possible that self-organization isn't absolute. As shown schematically below (Scheme 1), the self-organization of complementary angular components can range from essentially no organization, resulting in a statistical mixture of different supramolecules, to amplified organization, where some supramolecules are formed in greater ratios than others, to absolute self-organization and the exclusive formation of discrete supramolecules. Where a specific complex mixture of molecular components falls on this spectrum of self-organization depends on a number of factors such as the solvent or temperature as well as the properties or structures of individual components. Over the past decade, studies by Stack,¹¹ Davis,¹² Raymond,¹³ Severin,¹⁴ Isaacs,¹⁵ Barboiu,¹⁶ and Nistchke¹⁷ have explored a wide range of complex synthetic mixtures that undergo varying degrees of self-organization. Isaacs *et al.* have performed^{15b} an extensive exploration of multiple different complementary hydrogen bond donors and acceptors and showed that the temperature, concentration, association constants of complementary pairs, and the presence of competitors all play a part in determining the extent of self-organization phenomena. While the self-organization of hydrogen bonded systems^{12,15} and various coordination complexes^{7–9,11,13–14,16–17} such as helicates and grids have been studied over the past decade, there have been few studies¹⁸ of self-organization in the coordination-driven self-assembly of closed metallocupramolecular systems.

Recently, we have investigated^{19–27} self-organization processes in coordination-driven self-assembly.^{28,29} Specifically, we have focused on the use of square-planar platinum(II) metal acceptors and electron-rich pyridyl donors to direct the self-assembly of 2D polygons and 3D polyhedra via the directional-bonding approach.²⁸ By employing rigid acceptor and donor subunits, coordination-driven self-assembly protocols can be used to selectively prepare pre-designed metallocupramolecules under mild conditions in nearly quantitative isolated yields. The directional-bonding approach allows for precise control over the geometric factors that largely determine which supramolecular structure(s) form. Combining multiple complementary Pt(II) acceptors and pyridyl donors, rather than simply one of each, allows for the prospect of self-organization in the self-assembly of discrete closed supramolecules to be investigated. The extensive library of individual molecular subunits of varying size, geometry, and physical properties that have been prepared, and the modularity of the coordination-driven approach, enables the self-organization of a large variety of 2D^{19–21,23–27} and/or 3D^{22,24} supramolecules to be studied. The results of these studies help to elucidate the details of self-organization phenomena and contribute to our knowledge of how similar organization processes occur in natural and biological systems.

Absolute Self-Organization

Complex mixtures of multiple subunits can be driven to absolute self-organized self-assembly when the information encoded within individual molecular subunits is detailed enough. This information can manifest itself electronically (e.g. dipolar interactions) as well as structurally (e.g. subunits differing in size, geometry, or dimensionality). We have investigated the effects of each of these variables to assess their ability to influence the absolute self-organization of multiple Pt(II) acceptor and pyridyl donor subunits into discrete, organized supramolecules.

As shown in Scheme 2A, three unsymmetrical bidentate ligands of varying size (**1a–c**) were synthesized bearing two different binding sites: on pyridyl and the other a carboxylate anion.¹⁹ Mixing the unsymmetrical ligands with 90° monoplutonium acceptor³ or 0° molecular “clip”⁴ results in the exclusive formation of discrete supramolecular rhomboids and rectangles, respectively. The asymmetric ligands can potentially form two isomeric products during self-assembly: one with the bidentate ligands oriented in the same direction and another isomer with the ligands oriented in opposite directions. In the absence of a driving bias between these isomeric pairs, a statistical mixture of the different isomers in a 1:1 ratio would be expected. Experimentally, it was found that only isomers **5a–c** and **6a–c**, with alternating ligand orientations, form as determined by ³¹P and ¹H NMR, ESI mass spectrometry, and X-ray crystallography.¹⁹ Absolute self-organization is primarily driven by the enthalpic benefit of separating the cationic Pt–N centers. An additional factor lies in the more favorable geometry and decreased geometric strain that results from having ligands coordinated in an alternating fashion, creating identical coordination environments at each Pt center. Combined, these influences select for the thermodynamically preferred species during self-assembly, whereby only **5a–c** and **6a–c** are generated.

The charge separation strategy has been recently expanded²⁰ by incorporating flexible unsymmetrical pyridyl-carboxylate ligands (**2a,b**), wherein the pyridyl moieties are substituted at the 3-position rather than the 4-position (Scheme 2B). As before, the different charge state of pyridyl and carboxylate binding sites of ligands **2a,b** promote absolute selection of isomers **7a,b**, which adapt a head-to-tail motif in accordance with the preference for maximum charge separation. Similar results have been observed by Mukherjee and co-workers in their study of self-selection in the [3+3] self-assembly of a single isomer of heterobimetallic Pd₃–Fe₃ triangles via the coordination of an ambidentate 3-nicotinate ligand with a palladium acceptor,^{30,31} as well as the self-selection of [4+4] supramolecular squares using a 4-nicotinate bidentate donor.³²

Building on the selective self-assembly of a single supramolecule, the absolute self-organization of multiple different supramolecular assemblies from a complex mixture of various molecular subunits is both more interesting as well as more challenging. We have recently found that by manipulating the intrinsic geometric parameters of molecular subunits (e.g. the angle, size, and relative orientation of coordination centers), absolute self-organization can be obtained without introducing any external effectors and the process can be applied to a diverse set of coordinative supramolecules.

The first such example involves the absolute self-organization of multiple organoplatinum acceptors^{3, 4, and 8}, which differ in the angle between their labile NO₃[–] ligands, in combination with 4,4'-bipyridyl donor⁹ (Scheme 3).²¹ It has been previously reported that each of these individual organoplatinum acceptors is capable of forming ordered supramolecular polygons (square, rectangular, and triangular, respectively) via self-assembly with the linear donor⁹. When all four molecular subunits are combined in one mixture, the self-assembly of like acceptors with donor⁹ is in competition with a multitude of non-identical acceptor-donor combinations, possibly resulting in disordered aggregates. Indeed, mixing ^{3, 4, 8, and 9} in a 1:1:1:4 ratio initially (1–3 days) results in a highly disordered mixture of supramolecular structures likely formed from the random combination of various subunits. For comparison, each individual self-assembly can be accomplished under 6 h. After 5–6 days, however, NMR and ESI-MS studies reveal that only the three discrete square, rectangular, and triangular supramolecules^{10–12}, respectively, are formed in the fully equilibrated mixtures; each spectroscopically identical to their individually self-assembled species.²¹

During the self-organization described above, the angular differences of organoplatinum acceptors^{3, 4, and 8} constitute the major driving force inducing the selective generation of

discrete supramolecular polygons. Indeed, the thermodynamic stability of different products also plays a significant role in this angle-directing self-organization process. Disordered oligomeric species can be randomly formed from the combination of various subunits. Compared with closed discrete supramolecules formed from acceptors of the same angle, however, these disordered species are found to be thermodynamically (entropically and enthalpically) less favored.²¹ The dynamic nature of the kinetically labile Pt-N coordination bonds allows for the individual components of the oligomeric structures to be continuously exchanged as the mixture progressively self-organizes toward the thermodynamically most favored products. A recent study by Fujita et al. suggests³³ that once the most stable species is formed it is considerably less likely to undergo further ligand exchange, thus retaining its supramolecular structure.

Self-organization of 3-D metallocages was studied²² by combining planar and pyramidal tritopic donors ¹³ and ¹⁴, respectively, with molecular clip ⁴ in a 1:1:3 ratio as shown in Scheme 4A. Self-organized self-assembly allows for the absolute formation of only two discrete supramolecular polyhedra (¹⁶ and ¹⁷) from the equilibrated mixture, no mixed ligand supramolecules were observed spectroscopically.²² Similarly, mixing molecular clip ⁴ and 60° acceptor ⁸ with nonplanar donor ¹⁵ results in the analogous trigonal prism species ¹⁸ and ¹⁹ (Scheme 4B). In these systems, the major driving forces directing self-organization are the geometric features of the molecular subunits – the different angles between donors ^{13–15} and between acceptors ⁴ and ⁸.

In addition to angular influences we have found that another geometric feature – size – is able to drive the absolute self-organization of both 2D supramolecular polygons and 3D polyhedra.²³ As shown in Scheme 5, two ditopic (⁹, ²⁰) or tritopic (¹⁴, ¹⁵) pyridyl donors, solely varying in their size, can simultaneously self-assemble with molecular clip ⁴ or 60° acceptor ⁸. As monitored by ³¹P{¹H} and ¹H NMR spectroscopy, these mixtures of acceptors and donors initially combine randomly with each other, resulting in the formation of highly disordered oligomeric species. After 24–65 h of heating at 60–65 °C, equilibrium is reached as indicated by the disappearance of disordered species, the sharpening of signals corresponding to supramolecular polygons (²¹ and ²²) and polyhedra (²³ and ²⁴), and the fact that no further spectroscopic changes are observed. NMR and ESI-MS analysis reveal the absolute self-organization of the complex mixtures into discrete supramolecular structures as driven by differences in the size of individual donor subunits. Similar results have been reported by Raymond and co-workers⁸ in their studies of ligand self-recognition in the self-assembly of triple-stranded helicates.

More recently, a third basic geometric feature – dimensionality – has been shown capable of directing absolute self-organization.²⁴ Subunits of differing dimensionality can be used to self-assemble a diverse range of both 2D and 3D supramolecular polygons and polyhedra based on the varying numbers of binding sites of donors and/or acceptors and their directionality. For example, as shown in Scheme 6A, ditopic ligands ⁹ and ²⁰ and tritopic ligand ¹⁵ were mixed with molecular clip ⁴ in a 2:2:2:7 ratio in an aqueous acetone soln (1:1) and heated at 65–70 °C. In keeping with previous observations in simpler systems, random combinations of different subunits were initially observed and were directly characterized by ESI mass spectrometry. After 24 h of heating, however, the complex mixture of four subunits reached equilibrium and resulted in the formation of different sized 2D rectangles as well as a 3D triangular prism through the self-correction of randomly formed oligomeric species resulting in absolute self-organization.²⁴

In contrast with the majority of previously discussed examples, which explored self-organization as driven predominantly by one specific structural or electronic feature, this study demonstrates the simultaneous integration of multiple different structural driving forces. In

particular, different subunits of varying angle, size, and dimensionality were all utilized to control the absolute self-organization of various metallosupramolecular structures in one mixture. In order to systematically investigate the use of multiple driving forces simultaneously, nine different self-organizing systems, wherein two or more different structural driving features have been encoded within component donors or donors and acceptors, have been carried out.²⁴ Two representative examples of these complex systems are shown in Scheme 6. In spite of the higher complexity and the increased number of possible disordered byproducts in these systems, discrete supramolecules are still selectively and predominantly formed within each mixture. In Scheme 6B, for example, the molecular clip **4** and 60° acceptor **8**, which vary in their coordination angles, were combined with linear bipyridyl donor **9** and pyrimidyl donor **14**, which vary in both their size and shape. Upon heating at 60 °C for 96 h in a mixed aqueous acetone soln (1:1), only four discrete supramolecular structures were formed: 2D rectangle **21_{small}** and triangle **22_{small}** along with the 3D trigonal prisms **23_{large}** and **24**. No mixed, disordered, or random aggregates were observed.²⁴

Aside from differences in the molecular subunits themselves, the absolute self-organization of platinum-based metallosupramolecules also depends on experimental parameters such as the reaction temperature and the nature of the solvent.²⁴ In general, as expected, lower reaction temperatures significantly decrease the rate of self-organization process. For example, the self-organization shown in Scheme 6B requires 24 hr to reach equilibrium at 65–70 °C while at 45–50 °C it requires 120 h and at room temperature essentially no self-organization occurs after 20 days. The choice of solvent is also influential.²⁴ Almost every example introduced so far undergoes self-organization in an aqueous acetone (1:1) mixture. Carrying out the same experiments in a less polar solvent such as CH₂Cl₂ results in no self-organization, likely because the less polar CH₂Cl₂ is not as able to stabilize the charged metallosupramolecular products or the various oligomeric precursors that precede them. Solvent systems with a high dielectric, such as the 1:1 acetone/H₂O mixture, allow the self-organization of these complex species to take place. This observation is supported by the fact that decreasing the dielectric of the solvent by carrying out the self-assembly shown in Scheme 6A in a 20:1 ratio of acetone/H₂O significantly prolongs the self-organization process.²⁴

Factors other than dipolar interactions and geometric differences can also influence absolute self-organization. Stack et al.¹¹ and Davis et al.¹² have both used chirality as a parameter to dictate self-organization. Stack demonstrated that the chirality of a rigid, racemic bispyridyl diiminocyclohexane derivative is capable of controlling absolute self-organization upon coordination with metal cations such as Cu^I. Davis has found that (D,L)-5'-silyl-2',3'-O-isopropylidene guanosine subunits prefer to undergo the absolute self-organization of homochiral aggregates in the presence of Ba²⁺ but give statistical mixtures of products when K⁺ is used.

Non-absolute self-organization

As mentioned previously, and exemplified by the above discussion of the work of Davis et al., not all self-organization processes are absolute and where a particular mixture of components lies on the self-organization spectrum is dependent on the specific influences that govern their assembly. For example, our group has recently investigated²⁵ the use of steric interactions as a driving force for self-organized self-assembly and found that steric interactions are able to drive a system from being statistical to absolute.

Three unsymmetric bis(4-pyridyl)acetylene ligands were prepared with one of the two pyridyl moieties substituted with 2,6-dimethyl-, 2-chloro-, and 3,5-dichloro- substituents (^{25–27}, Scheme 7).²⁵ Each of the linear donor components were then mixed in a 1:1 ratio with 90° Pt (II) acceptor ²⁸ in order to self-assemble supramolecular squares. The asymmetry of the linear

donors allows for up to four different supramolecular squares to be generated depending on the relative orientations of each square's constituent donors (**29a–d**). The different sizes and substitution patterns of unsymmetrical donors ^{25–27} result in different steric interactions between pyridyl groups coordinated to the same Pt(II) acceptor. These differences in steric interactions lead to varying degrees of self-organization.

In the absence of any inter-ligand effects, isomeric supramolecular squares **29a–d** would be formed in a statistical ratio of 1:4:2:1, respectively. When 3,5-dichloro-4-(4-ethynylpyridyl)pyridine ligand ²⁷ was mixed with 90° Pt(II) acceptor ²⁸ in CD₃NO₂, careful analysis of the symmetry and splitting of signals in the ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra of the resulting equilibrated mixture of squares indicated a near statistical mixture of products with no self-organization. Substitution of the β positions of one of the pyridyl groups with chlorine atoms places these substituents too far from the Pt-N coordination site to effect self-organization. NMR spectroscopic analysis of a 1:1 mixture of ²⁶ and ²⁸ in CD₃NO₂ indicated that isomer **29a** was the most dominant species in solution. Isomers **29b** and **29c** were also formed as minor products while no evidence of isomer **29d** could be observed. These results indicate that a single chlorine atom substituted at the **a** position of one pyridine moiety is sufficient to achieve amplified self-organization. Mixing Pt(II) acceptor ²⁸ with 2,6-dimethyl-4-(4-ethynylpyridyl)pyridine (²⁵) in CD₃NO₂ resulted in the exclusive formation of isomer **29a**, indicating that bis-*a*-methyl substituents are able to drive absolute self-organization in this system. The relative energies of each series of supramolecular squares were evaluated using molecular force field modeling, further revealing the steric effects that governed the degree of self-organization in each system. ²⁵ Similar results have been observed by Albrecht and co-workers⁹ in their studies of ligand self-recognition in the self-assembly of triple-stranded helicates. In Albrecht's work, small variations in the geometry and size of bis-catecholate ligands were able to drive self-organization of helicates from purely statistical mixtures to absolute self-organization.

Amplified self-organization has also been reported in the self-assembly of supramolecular triangles.²⁶ Mixing 2,6-diazaanthracene with 60° dilatinum(II) acceptor ⁸ can lead to the formation of two diastereotopic supramolecular triangles, one symmetric and one asymmetric, that differ in the relative orientation of their component diazaanthracene donors. Statistically, the different supramolecular triangles should be formed in a ratio of 1:2 in favor of the asymmetric diastereomer. Experimentally, however, it was found that the symmetric diastereomer is favored by roughly 10:1 indicating the amplified, though not absolute, formation of the statistically less favored diastereomer.²⁶ In this example, preference for the symmetric diastereomer arises because a symmetric arrangement of the diazaanthracene donors leads to a significantly more favorable triangle geometry than if one of the donors is oriented opposite the others. In this case, geometric strain promotes self-organization.

Recently, linear donors functionalized with long chain alkane or ethylene glycol substituents have been used to investigate potential “second-order” effects on self-organization.²⁷ The factors influencing self-organization discussed so far have been essentially limited to differences in the geometry, size, dimensionality, sterics, or electronic structure of the component donors or acceptors. In studying second-order effects, a series of donors functionalized at their core with long hydrophobic (C₆H₁₃, C₁₂H₂₅, or C₁₈H₃₇) or hydrophilic (diethylene glycol, tetraethylene glycol, or hexaethylene glycol) chains were prepared (Scheme 8). The geometry, length, and dimensionality of each donor was kept constant and the long chain alkane or ethylene glycol functionalities were far removed from the nitrogen coordination sites. In this manner the functional moieties had no steric, kinetic, or thermodynamic influence over the otherwise identical donors and any self-organization phenomena would arise from purely second-order effects of the functional groups.

Each individual functionalized donor, when mixed with diplatinum(II) molecular clip ⁴ in a 1:1 ratio self-assembles into hydrophobic or hydrophilic rectangles. Mixtures of ⁴ with donors bearing different side chains – **C₆/DEG**, **C₁₂/TEG**, and **C₁₈/HEG** – were then used to investigate the possibility of self-organization. In the absence of any second order effects, each mixture should produce hydrophobic, amphiphilic, and hydrophilic supramolecular rectangles in a statistical 1:2:1 ratio. Analysis and integration of ³¹P NMR spectroscopic signals for each mixture revealed increasingly amplified self-organization as the lengths of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic chains were increased.²⁷ The equilibrated **C₆/DEG** mixture, for example, resulted in a ratio of 1:1.6:1 for hydrophobic, amphiphilic, and hydrophilic rectangles, respectively. This ratio changed to 1:0.7:1 in the **C₁₂/TEG** mixture and finally to 1:0.4:1 in the **C₁₈/HEG** mixture. High-resolution ESI-MS studies further supported the trend toward greater amplified self-organization with increasing chain length. Additional experiments with mixtures of ⁴ and **C₆/C₁₈** and **DEG/TEG** indicated that self-organization is likely promoted by the aggregation of like-philicity donors prior to self-assembly, thus biasing the formation of rectangles with identical donors.²⁷ The driving force for aggregation increases with increasing chain length, accounting for the trend in amplified self-organization.

Self-organization of dynamic covalent libraries

Nitschke and coworkers have explored¹⁷ self-organization phenomena that take advantage of the dynamic nature of both metal-ligand coordination as well as reversible imine bond formation. A representative example is shown in Scheme 9, where a dynamic combinatorial library of multiple imine condensation products is generated upon mixing **30**, **31**, and **32**. The subsequent addition of CuBF₄ to this rapidly equilibrating complex mixtures results in the collapse of the dynamic covalent library and the exclusive, self-organized formation of metallo-organic supramolecules **33** and **34**.^{17a} Self-organization in this example is highly efficient because (a) dynamically reversible coordination and imine bond formation are inherently self-correcting, and (b) the two different sets of self-assembling subunits are non-interfering. Nitschke and coworkers have investigated similar self-organization phenomena in the formation of Cu^I-coordinated double and triple helicates^{17c} and have demonstrated the use of two different metals, Cu^I and Fe^{II}, to induce a dynamic combinatorial library of 11 structures to self-organize into only two discrete metallo-organic supramolecules.^{17b} The efficiency and selectivity of self-organization could be correlated with the electronic nature of substituted amine subunits, which were studied using Hammett σ_{para} values,^{17d} and on the coordination geometries of the metal ions used. Lehn and coworkers have also investigated the effects of combining dynamic imine formation and metal coordination to drive self-organization in constitutional dynamic systems.³⁴ The results of these studies represent an elegant use of multiple dynamic combinatorial interactions to achieve self-organization from within complex mixtures.

Conclusion

Recent years have witnessed tremendously rapid developments in molecular self-assembly and supramolecular chemistry. The self-organization of asymmetric molecular components or highly complex mixtures of multiple subunits into well-defined supramolecular structures represents an extension of the development of self-assembly that more closely resembles natural systems. While modern chemical laboratories allow for reactions to be carried out in exceedingly controlled environments, biological systems are forced to develop, survive, and reproduce in the significantly more chaotic natural world and yet they do so with aplomb. Systematic investigations of the factors that influence and control self-organization phenomena in abiological systems, such as 2D and 3D metallosupramolecules, contribute to an increased understanding of analogous processes in Nature as well as to the future development of functional multi-component systems.

The results presented in this Account demonstrate a variety of control factors that can be used to drive self-organization in coordination-driven self-assembly. The absolute self-organization of discrete supramolecules can be achieved using inputs such as dipolar interactions, steric interactions, and differences in geometric parameters of subunits. Absolute self-organization can be directed by a single control factor or multiple factors used simultaneously. We have also observed instances where self-organization is not absolute and varies in its deviation from statistical results. Steric interactions are particularly useful control factors for driving such amplified self-organization because they can be subtly tuned through small structural variations, allowing for the degree of amplified organization to be continuously controlled. Functional groups attached to otherwise identical subunits have been shown to influence self-organization through “second order” effects. For example, hydrophobic interactions, which play a significant role in the self-assembly and self-organization of biological systems, can have an effect on the extent of abiological self-organization. All together these studies outline a range of influences that can drive a complex mixture of Pt(II)-based acceptors and pyridyl donors from assembling into a purely statistical collection of products, to a collection where certain products are more favored, and all the way to the absolute self-organization of particular supramolecular structures. Future investigations of self-organization that combine various self-assembly protocols will continue to build upon these results. An ultimate goal of this research would be to have the ability to prepare highly functional systems (catalysts, supramolecular motors, light-harvesting assemblies, etc.) simply by mixing a variety of molecular subunits that then self-organize into the desired complex assemblies according to the information and control factors built into their structures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank all of the co-workers who have contributed to this research as cited. P.J.S. and B.H.N. thank the NIH (GM-57052 and GM-080820) for support. P.J.S. and K.W.C. appreciate the financial support of WCU program (R33-2008-000-10003-0) organized by Korean government.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson L, Blomberg L, Flegel M, Lepsa L, Nilsson B, Verlander M. Large-scale Synthesis of Peptides. *Biopolymers* 2000;55:227–250. [PubMed: 11074417]
2. Zhang X, Houk KN. Why Enzymes are Proficient Catalysts: Beyond the Pauling Paradigm. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2005;38:379–385. [PubMed: 15895975]
3. (a) Lindsey JS. Self-Assembly in Synthetic Routes to Molecular Devices. *Biological Principles and Chemical Perspectives: a Review*. *New. J. Chem* 1991;15:153–180. (b) Whitesides GM, Grzybowski B. Self-Assembly at all Scales. *Science* 2002;295:2418–2421. [PubMed: 11923529]
4. Lehn, JM. New York: VCH; 1995. *Supramolecular Chemistry: Concept and Perspectives*. (b) Matthew CT, Stoddart JF. *Synthetic Supramolecular Chemistry*. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1997;30:393–401.
5. (a) Pederson CJ. *Ger. Offen.* 1970DE 70-2015732 (b) Cram DJ, Cram JM. Design of Complexes Between Synthetic Hosts and Organic Guests. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1978;11:8–14. (c) Lehn JM. Cryptates: the Chemistry of Macropolycyclic Inclusion Complexes. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1978;11:49–57.
6. Lehn JM. Toward Complex Matter: Supramolecular Chemistry and Self-Organization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2002;99:4769–4774. [PubMed: 11959929]
7. Kramer R, Lehn JM, Marquis-Rigault A. Self-Recognition in Helicate Self-Assembly: Spontaneous Formation of Helical Metal Complexes from Mixtures of Ligands and Metal Ions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 1993;90:5394–5398. [PubMed: 11607405]
8. Caulder DL, Raymond KN. Supramolecular Self-Recognition and Self-Assembly in Gallium(III) Catecholamide Triple Helices. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1997;36:1440–1442.
9. (a) Albrecht M, Schneider M, Röttele H. Template-Directed Self-Recognition of Alkyl-Bridged Bis (catechol) Ligands in the Formation of Helicate-Type Complexes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1999;38:557–559. (b) Albrecht M, Schneider M. Dinuclear Triple-Stranded Helicates from Rigid

Oligo-p-phenylene Ligands: Self-Assembly and Ligand Self-Recognition. *Eur. J. Org. Chem* 2002;1301–1306.

10. Lehn JM. From Supramolecular Chemistry Towards Constitutional Dynamic Chemistry and Adaptive Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2007;36:151–160. [PubMed: 17264919]
11. Masood MA, Enemark EJ, Stack TD. Ligand Self-Recognition in the Self-Assembly of a $\{Cu(L)_2\}^{2+}$ Complex: The Role of Chirality. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1998;37:928–930.
12. Shi X, Fettinger JC, Davis JT. Homochiral G-Quadruplexes with Ba^{2+} but Not with K^+ : The Cation Programs Enantiomeric Self-Recognition. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001;123:6738–6739. [PubMed: 11439079]
13. Ziegler M, Miranda JJ, Anderson UN, Johnson DW, Leary JA, Raymond KN. Combinatorial Libraries of Metal-Ligand Assemblies with an Encapsulated Guest Molecule. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2001;40:733–735.
14. (a) Saur I, Severin K. Selection Experiments with Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries: The Importance of the Target Concentration. *Chem. Commun* 2005:1471–1473. (b) Saur I, Scopelliti R, Severin K. Utilization of Self-Sorting Processes to Generate Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries with New Network Topologies. *Chem. Eur. J* 2006;12:1058–1066.
15. (a) Wu AX, Chakraborty A, Fettinger JC, Flowers RA, Isaacs L. Molecular Clips that Undergo Heterochiral Aggregation and Self-Sorting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2002;41:4028–4031. (b) Wu AX, Isaacs L. Self-Sorting: The Exception of the Rule? *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003;125:4831–4835. [PubMed: 12696902] (c) Mukhopadhyay P, Zavalij PY, Isaacs L. High Fidelity Kinetic Self-Sorting in Multi-Component Systems Based on Guests with Multiple Binding Epitopes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:14093–14102. [PubMed: 17061892] (d) Ghosh S, Wu AX, Fettinger JC, Zavalij PY, Isaacs L. Self-Sorting Molecular Clips. *J. Org. Chem* 2008;73:5915–5925. [PubMed: 18588344]
16. (a) Barboiu M, Petit E, van der Lee A, Vaughan G. Constitutional Self-Selection of $[2 \times 2]$ Homonuclear Grids from a Dynamic Mixture of Copper(I) and Silver(I) Metal Complexes. *Inorg. Chem* 2006;45:484–486. [PubMed: 16411676] (b) Legrand Y-M, van der Lee A, Barboiu M. Self-Optimizing Charge-Transfer Energy Phenomena in Metallosupramolecular Complexes by Dynamic Constitutional Self-Sorting. *Inorg. Chem* 2007;46:9540–9547. [PubMed: 17718483]
17. (a) Schultz D, Nitschke JR. Dynamic Covalent and Supramolecular Direction of the Synthesis and Reassembly of Copper(I) Complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2005;102:11191–11195. [PubMed: 16061815] (b) Schultz D, Nitschke JR. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2006;45:2453–2456. (c) Hutin M, Frantz R, Nitschke JRA. Dynamic Tricopper Double Helicate. *Chem. Eur. J* 2006;12:4077–4082. (d) Schulta D, Nitschke JR. Designing Multistep Transformations Using the Hammett Equation: Transimination on a Copper(I) Template. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:9887–9892. [PubMed: 16866547] (e) Nitschke JR. Construction, Substitution, and Sorting of Metallo-organic Structures via Subcomponent Self-Assembly. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2007;40:103–112. [PubMed: 17309191]
18. See, for example: Kobayashi K, Yamada Y, Yamanaka M, Sei Y, Yamaguchi K. Complete Selection of a Self-Assembling Homo- or Hetero-Cavitand Cage via Metal Coordination Based on Ligand Tuning. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:13896–13897. [PubMed: 15506730]
19. Chi K-W, Addicott C, Arif AM, Stang PJ. Ambidentate Pyridyl-carboxylate Ligands in the Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly of 2D Pt Macrocycles: Self-Selection for a Single Isomer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:16569–16574. [PubMed: 15600362]
20. Chi K-W, Addicott C, Moon M-E, Lee HJ, Yoon SC, Stang PJ. Ambidentate Ligands Capable of Variable Bond Angles in the Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly of Discrete Pt Macrocycles. *J. Org. Chem* 2006;71:6662–6665. [PubMed: 16901167]
21. Addicott C, Das N, Stang PJ. Self-Recognition in the Coordination Driven Self-Assembly of 2-D Polygons. *Inorg. Chem* 2004;43:5335–5338. [PubMed: 15310211]
22. Yang H-B, Ghosh K, Northrop BH, Stang PJ. Self-Recognition in the Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly of Three-Dimensional M_3L_2 Polyhedra. *Org. Lett* 2007;9:1561–1564. [PubMed: 17378574]
23. Zheng Y-R, Yang H-B, Northrop BH, Ghosh K, Stang PJ. Size Selective Self-Sorting in Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly of Finite Ensembles. *Inorg. Chem* 2008;47:4706–4711. [PubMed: 18433099]
24. Zheng Y-R, Yang H-B, Ghosh K, Zhao L, Stang PJ. Multicomponent Supramolecular Systems: Self-Organization in Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009submitted

25. Zhao LZ, Northrop BH, Zheng Y-R, Yang H-B, Lee HJ, Lee YM, Park JY, Chi K-W, Stang PJ. Self-Selection in the Self-Assembly of Isomeric Supramolecular Squares from Unsymmetrical Bis(4-pyridyl)acetylene Ligands. *J. Org. Chem* 2008;73:6580–6586. [PubMed: 18683976]
26. Northrop BH, Chercka D, Stang PJ. Carbon-Rich Supramolecular Metallacycles and Metallacages. *Tetrahedron* 2008;64:11495–11503.
27. Northrop BH, Yang H-B, Stang PJ. Second-Order Self-Organization in Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly: Exploring the Limits of Self-Selection. *Inorg. Chem* 2008;47:11257–11268. [PubMed: 18980302]
28. (a) Stang PJ, Olenyuk B. Self-Assembly, Symmetry and Molecular Architecture: Coordination as the Motif in the Rational Design of Supramolecular Metallacyclic Polygons and Polyhedra. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1997;30:502–518. (b) Leininger S, Olenyuk B, Stang PJ. Self-Assembly of Discrete Cyclic Nanostructures Mediated by Transition Metals. *Chem. Rev* 2000;100:853–907. [PubMed: 11749254] (c) Seidel SR, Stang PJ. High Symmetry Coordination Cages via Self-Assembly. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2002;35:972–983. [PubMed: 12437322] (d) Northrop BH, Yang H-B, Stang PJ. Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly of Functionalized Supramolecular Metallacycles. *Chem. Commun* 2008:5896–5908.
29. For additional selected reviews of coordination-driven self-assembly see: (a) Caulder DL, Raymond KN. Supermolecules by Design. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1999;32:975–982. (b) Holliday BJ, Mirkin CA. Strategies for the Construction of Supramolecular Compounds through Coordination Chemistry. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2001;40:2022–2043. (c) Fujita M, Tominaga M, Hori A, Therrien B. Coordination Assemblies from a Pd(II)-Cornered Square Complex. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2005;38:369–378. [PubMed: 15835883] (d) Lee SJ, Hupp JT. Porphyrin-Containing Molecular Squares: Design and Applications. *Coord. Chem. Rev* 2006;250:1710–1723.
30. Ghosh S, Turner DR, Batten SR, Mukherjee PS. Self-Assembly of a Heterometallic Molecular Triangle using an Ambidentate Ligand and Self-Selection for a Single Linkage Isomer. *Dalton. Trans* 2007:1869–1871. [PubMed: 17702163]
31. Bar AK, Chakrabarty R, Chi K-W, Batten SR, Mukherjee PS. Synthesis and Characterization of Heterometallic Molecular Triangles using Ambidentate Linker: Self-Selection of a Single Linkage Isomer. *Dalton Trans* 2009:3222–3229. [PubMed: 19421624]
32. Ghosh S, Mukherjee PS. Self-Assembled Pd(II) Metalloclusters Using an Ambidentate Donor and the Study of Square–Triangle Equilibria. *Inorg. Chem* 2009;48:2605–2613. [PubMed: 19267506]
33. Sato S, Ishido Y, Fujita M. Remarkable Stabilization of $M_{12}L_{24}$ Spherical Frameworks through the Cooperation of 48 Pd(II)-Pyridine Interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009;131:6064–6065. [PubMed: 19364099]
34. Ulrich S, Lehn J-M. Adaptation to Shape Switching by Component Selection in a Constitutional Dynamic System. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009;131:5546–5559.

Biographies

Brian H. Northrop received his B.A. degree in Chemistry in 2001 from Middlebury College and his Ph.D. from the University of California, Los Angeles, 2006. He has since been an NIH postdoctoral fellow in the laboratory of Professor Stang and will join the faculty at Wesleyan University in the fall of 2009.

Yao-Rong Zheng received his B.A. degree in Chemistry in 2006 from Peking University in China while working with Professor Chun-Hua Yan. He is currently a graduate student in Professor Stang's group in the Department of Chemistry at the University of Utah.

Ki-Whan Chi is a Professor of Chemistry at the University of Ulsan. He is a leader of Brain Korea 21 and World Class University projects in Korea.

Peter J. Stang is a Distinguished Professor of Chemistry at the University of Utah, as well as the Editor of JACS. He is a member of the National Academy of Sciences and the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and a Foreign Member of the Chinese and Hungarian Academies of Sciences.

Scheme 1.

Schematic representation of the differing extents of self-organization phenomena that can occur within a complex mixture of subunits: statistical (no organization); amplified (partial organization); absolute (exclusive organization)

Scheme 2.

Chemical structures of ambidentate pyridyl/carboxylate donors and their self-assembly into 2D supramolecular structures.

Scheme 3.

Geometric self-organization wherein a mixture of three Pt(II)-based acceptors (**3–4, 8**) and linear bis-pyridyl donor **9** self-organize exclusively into supramolecular structures **10–12** with no mixed acceptor assemblies.

Scheme 4.

The absolute self-organization of 3D supramolecular trigonal prism structures **16–19** as driven by differences in the size and geometry of individual subunits.

Scheme 5.

Demonstration of the use of different sized molecular components as a means to drive self-organization of 2D and 3D metallocsupramolecules.

Scheme 6.

Simultaneous use of three different geometric parameters (angle, size, and dimensionality) to drive the self-organization of multiple discrete 2D and 3D supramolecules from within complex mixtures.

Scheme 7.

Chemical structures of unsymmetrical bispyridyl donors **25–27** and schematic representation of the four different supramolecular squares (**29a–d**) that can be formed upon their self-assembly with Pt(II) acceptor **28**.

Scheme 8.

The amplified self-organization of functionalized donors into hydrophobic, amphiphilic, and hydrophilic rectangles.

Scheme 9.

Representative example of the work of Nitschke and coworkers wherein metal-ligand coordination is used to collapse a dynamic library of interconverting imines into self-organized supramolecular complexes.