Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Psychiatry. 2009 September ; 70(9): 1237–1249. doi:10.4088/JCP.08m04545.

Three-Year Follow-Up of Syndromal Antisocial Behavior in Adults:

Results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions

Risë B. Goldstein, Ph.D., M.P.H.^a and Bridget F. Grant, Ph.D., Ph.D.^a

^aLaboratory of Epidemiology and Biometry, Division of Intramural Clinical and Biological Research, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Abstract

Objective—To present nationally representative findings on total antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) symptoms, major violations of others' rights (MVOR), and violent symptoms over a 3-year follow-up in Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions among adults diagnosed at Wave 1 with ASPD versus syndromal adult antisocial behavior without conduct disorder before age 15 (AABS, not a codable DSM-IV disorder).

Method—Face-to-face interviews were conducted with 34,653 respondents 18 years and older. Antisocial syndromes and comorbid lifetime substance use, mood, and 6 additional personality disorders were diagnosed at Wave 1 using the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - DSM-IV Version. The Wave 2 Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - DSM-IV Version assessed antisocial symptoms over follow-up, lifetime attention-deficit/hyperactivity (ADHD) and posttraumatic stress disorders, and borderline, narcissistic, and schizotypal personality disorders.

Results—In unadjusted analyses, respondents with ASPD reported significantly more total, MVOR, and violent symptoms over follow-up than respondents with AABS. Adjustment for baseline sociodemographics and psychiatric comorbidity attenuated these associations; after further adjustment for parallel antisocial symptom counts from age 15 to Wave 1, associations with antisocial syndromes disappeared. Independent Wave 1 predictors of persistent antisociality over follow-up included male sex, not being married or cohabiting, low income, high school or less education, and lifetime drug use disorders, additional personality disorders, and ADHD.

Conclusions—The distinction between ASPD and AABS holds limited value in predicting short-term course of antisocial symptomatology among adults. However, the prediction of persistent antisociality by psychiatric comorbidity argues for comprehensive diagnostic assessments, treatment of all identified disorders, and investigation of whether treatment of comorbidity might hasten remission of antisociality.

Antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) affects 3% to 5% of U.S. adults¹⁻³ and is associated with substantial burden on affected individuals, their families, and society, both in its own right and because of its high comorbidity with medical illnesses and injuries⁴⁻¹⁵ as well as mood, ^{1,3,16,17} anxiety, ^{1,3,16,17} substance use, ^{1,3,18} and other personality disorders.¹⁹ Individuals with ASPD are high utilizers of medical care^{7,20} and are at elevated risk of premature death

Corresponding Author: Risë B. Goldstein, Ph.D., M.P.H., Laboratory of Epidemiology and Biometry, Room 3068, Division of Intramural Clinical and Biological Research, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, M.S. 9304, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892-9304, Tel. 301-443-3528, Fax 301-443-1400, E-mail goldster@mail.nih.gov.

Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the views of sponsoring organizations, agencies, or the U.S. government.

from both natural and unnatural causes.^{10,21} Despite the clinical, public health, and economic significance of ASPD, however, data concerning its course and outcomes remain limited. This paucity of data reflects ASPD's association with increased mortality, as well as the tendencies of affected individuals to be difficult to locate and uncooperative toward researchers,²² especially if they are attempting to evade creditors, ex-spouses, or law enforcement authorities.

Cross-sectional epidemiologic studies^{3,23-25} have found the prevalence of ASPD to peak by age 35. Eventual remission is the rule, beginning by the middle of the fifth decade; some evidence^{24,25} suggests that remission may occur earlier in women than in men. Longitudinal outcome data consist of a small number of clinical investigations. Robins' pioneering 30-year follow-up²⁶ of a child guidance clinic cohort ascertained in the 1920s showed that, of 94 cases meeting criteria for sociopathy, 12% had remitted, 27% had improved but not remitted, and the rest were unimproved. The median age for improvement was 35 years, but Robins noted that there was "no age beyond which improvement seemed impossible."^{26, p.226} A 5-year follow-up of 33 male and 19 female "untreated psychopaths" seen in a psychiatric outpatient department in the United Kingdom²⁷ found that about 17% of men and 29% of women alive at follow-up had "settled down." That is, they had stayed in the same job and with the same partner for more than a few months, and demonstrated reductions in other symptoms such as trouble with the law, tendency to tell lies, "psychopathic charm," "positive unease in a stable situation," difficulty keeping friends, residential instability, trouble at school, inability to manage money, and unreliability. No clear predictors of "settling down" were identified.

Arboleda-Florez and Holley²⁸ documented a decline in registered criminal convictions that started around age 27 years in a cohort of 39 forensic psychiatric patients with clinically diagnosed Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Third Edition (DSM-III)²⁹ ASPD. However, the data suggested variations in patterns of decrease over types of crime and a significant proportion of patients remained criminally active throughout the follow-up period. Correlates of improvement other than age were not identified and trajectories of other antisocial symptoms were not examined. Additional limitations of this study involved the small cohort and the inclusion of only 1 woman, precluding sex-specific analyses.

In a 16- to 45-year follow-up of 71 men with DSM-III ASPD admitted to a tertiary-care teaching hospital between 1945 and 1970, Black et al.^{21,22,30} found that antisociality had remitted in 27% and improved in 31%. Respondents reported that improvement was gradual, beginning at a mean (SD) age of 40 (9) years (range: 28 to 67). Consistent with this, the mean (SD) age at last arrest was 39 (12) years (range: 20 to 62). Remission was predicted by lower symptom severity at index hospitalization, duration of follow-up longer than 25 years, and absence of a current alcohol use disorder at follow-up interview. However, trajectories of antisociality versus alcohol use disorders over the period covered by the assessment were not characterized.

According to DSM-III,²⁹ the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Third Edition, Revised (DSM-III-R),³¹ and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Fourth Edition (DSM-IV),³² a diagnosis of ASPD requires both conduct disorder (CD) with onset before age 15 years, and a persistent pattern of aggressive, irresponsible, impulsive, and remorseless behaviors thereafter. However, both clinical³³⁻³⁹ and epidemiologic⁴⁰⁻⁴² studies have clearly shown that individuals with syndromal antisocial behavior in adulthood frequently do not report enough symptoms to meet criteria for CD before age 15 (adulthood antisocial behavioral syndrome, or AABS; not a codable DSM-IV disorder). While individuals with AABS display fewer antisocial symptoms, and in particular fewer violent symptoms, in adulthood than those with ASPD,^{38,43,44} the groups differ little on antisocial symptom profiles in adulthood, many forms of psychiatric and general medical comorbidity,^{7,8,33,37,38,42-45} and, among addiction treatment clients, substance use histories.^{37,38} No longitudinal follow-ups of antisociality among individuals with AABS, nor

investigations comparing patterns of antisocial symptomatology over time between individuals with AABS and those with ASPD, have been reported.

Characterization of similarities and differences in longitudinal course between ASPD and AABS may yield implications both for the nosology of antisocial disorders and for prevention and treatment planning. In addition, questions have been raised about the validity of DSM criteria for ASPD among women. DSM criteria emphasize overt, physically aggressive behaviors more prevalent in men, while giving limited attention to covert behaviors and relational aggression that may be more typical manifestations of antisociality in women.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ In addition, the relevance of CD onset before age 15 to antisociality in women has been debated,^{37,47,49,50} in part because far fewer women than men manifest the earliest onsets.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ If the diagnostic criteria are biased with respect to sex, then similarities or differences in clinical course between antisocial syndromes in adulthood, and the implications of these characteristics for prevention and treatment planning, may also differ importantly by sex. To date, almost no outcome studies have included sufficient women to yield meaningful sex-specific results.

Accordingly, this report compares patterns of antisocial behavior between individuals diagnosed at baseline with ASPD and those with AABS over the 3-year prospective follow-up of the Wave 1 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC).^{18,55,56} In addition to total antisocial symptom counts, 2 subsets of symptoms are examined: major violations of the rights of others (MVOR), defined as vandalism, firesetting, stealing with and without confrontation of victim, forgery of another's signature, illegal occupation, forcing someone into sex, repeated initiation of fights, swapping blows with intimates, use of a dangerous weapon, hitting someone and causing injury, harassment or blackmail, hurting another person on purpose, and hurting an animal on purpose; and the smaller group of violent symptoms, defined as bullying, pushing around, or intimidating other people; vandalism; firesetting; robbing, mugging, or snatching someone's purse; forcing someone into sexual activity; repeatedly starting fights; swapping blows with intimates; using a dangerous weapon; hitting someone and causing injury; hurting another person on purpose; and hurting an animal on purpose. To our knowledge, no research has yet examined the validity of these 2 subsets of symptoms as distinct categories of antisocial pathology. Nevertheless, MVOR and violent symptoms are examined separately because these behaviors may carry particularly severe legal, health-related, and economic consequences for perpetrators, victims, and the general public. We hypothesized that: (1) in unadjusted analyses, counts of total, MVOR, and violent symptoms over follow-up would be significantly higher among respondents with ASPD than among those with AABS; and (2) after adjustment for potentially confounding baseline sociodemographic and clinical correlates, including comorbid Wave 1 lifetime diagnoses, and parallel antisocial symptom counts from age 15 to Wave 1, the groups would no longer differ on any antisocial outcome. The large sample size, including the subsamples of respondents diagnosed with ASPD and AABS at Wave 1, and high response rate of the Wave 2 NESARC allow for precise tests of these hypotheses between the 2 diagnostic groups of interest, as well as examination of whether patterns of antisocial behavior over follow-up as predicted by baseline diagnosis vary by sex.

Methods

Sample

The research protocol, including informed consent procedures, was approved in its entirety by the institutional review board of the U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The 2004-2005 Wave 2 NESARC is the second wave longitudinal follow-up of the Wave 1 NESARC, conducted in 2001-2002 by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and described in detail elsewhere.^{57,58} The Wave 1 NESARC was a nationally

representative survey of 43,093 respondents 18 years and older. The NESARC's target population was the civilian, noninstitutionalized adult population of the U.S., residing in households and group quarters. Blacks, Hispanics, and young adults 18 to 24 years old were oversampled. The overall response rate at Wave 1 was 81.0%.^{2,59}

In Wave 2, face-to-face reinterviews were attempted with all respondents to the Wave 1 interview. Excluding those ineligible for the Wave 2 interview because they were deceased, deported, on active military duty throughout the follow-up period, or mentally or physically impaired, the Wave 2 response rate was 86.7%, reflecting 34,653 completed interviews. The cumulative response rate at Wave 2 was equal to the product of the Wave 2 and Wave 1 response rates, or 70.2%. As in Wave 1, the Wave 2 NESARC data were weighted to reflect design characteristics of the survey and account for oversampling. Adjustment was performed for household- and person-level nonresponse across sociodemographic characteristics and the presence of any lifetime Wave 1 substance use disorder or psychiatric disorder to ensure that the sample approximated the target population, i.e., the original sample minus attrition between the 2 waves due to death, institutionalization or incapacitation, deportation or permanent departure from the U.S., and being in the military for the full length of the Wave 2 interviewing period. When Wave 2 respondents were compared with the target population of Wave 2 respondents plus eligible nonrespondents in terms of baseline (Wave 1) sociodemographic and diagnostic measures, there were no significant differences between Wave 2 respondents and the target population with respect to age, race/ethnicity, sex, socioeconomic status, or the presence of any lifetime substance use, mood, anxiety, or personality disorder (each examined separately). Weighted Wave 2 data were then adjusted to be representative of the civilian population on socioeconomic variables including region, age, race/ethnicity and sex, based on the 2000 Decennial Census.

Antisocial Behavioral Syndromes

The diagnostic interview used in the NESARC was the NIAAA Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-DSM-IV Version (AUDADIS-IV), for Wave 1⁶⁰ and Wave 2.⁶¹ Developed to advance measurement of substance use and mental disorders in large-scale surveys, the Wave 1 and Wave 2 Versions of the AUDADIS-IV are computerized, fully structured instruments designed for experienced nonclinician interviewers.

Antisocial behavioral syndromes were diagnosed on a lifetime basis at Wave 1. An AUDADIS-IV diagnosis of ASPD required the specified numbers of DSM-IV CD symptoms with onset before, and adult antisocial behaviors since, age 15 years. Consistent with DSM-IV, at least 1 CD symptom before age 15 years must have caused social, academic, or occupational dysfunction. AABS was operationalized as meeting all criteria for ASPD except CD before age 15. At Wave 2, all respondents, whether or not they reported any antisocial symptomatology at Wave 1, were asked 30 questions covering DSM-IV adult ASPD symptoms occurring since the Wave 1 interview. These items reflected all antisocial behaviors queried at Wave 1, except for truancy and staying out at night despite parental objections, which are diagnostically relevant for DSM-IV CD only if their onsets occur before age 13 years, and running away from home, which was also not deemed relevant to the follow-up assessment of antisociality among adult respondents. No new symptom items were added at Wave 2. Test-retest reliability of the AUDADIS-IV ASPD diagnosis was good ($\kappa=0.67$) and compares favorably with results obtained using semistructured personality interviews in treated samples of patients.⁶² Convergent validity of ASPD was good to excellent.^{2,16,18,19, 40}

Other Psychiatric Disorders

Lifetime Axis I substance use, mood, and anxiety disorder diagnoses (except for posttraumatic stress disorder) described in this report are based on the Wave 1 interview. Diagnoses of

posttraumatic stress disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) were assessed in Wave 2. By definition, the diagnosis of ADHD requires onset before age 7 years, ^{63, p. 92} which necessarily predates the onset of adult antisocial symptomatology; lifetime diagnoses of ADHD, and lifetime posttraumatic stress disorder diagnoses up to Wave 1, were included in the set of covariates for the present study.

Extensive AUDADIS-IV questions covered DSM-IV criteria for alcohol and drug-specific abuse and dependence for 10 classes of substances, as well as nicotine dependence. A DSM-IV abuse diagnosis required 1 or more of 4 abuse criteria, while a DSM-IV dependence diagnosis required 3 or more of 7 dependence criteria, to be met within a single year preceding the Wave 1 interview. The good to excellent ($\kappa=0.70-0.91$) test-retest reliability of AUDADIS-IV substance use disorder diagnoses is documented in clinical and general population samples. ^{59,64-67} Convergent, discriminant, and construct validity of AUDADIS-IV substance use disorder criteria and diagnoses were good to excellent, ⁶⁸⁻⁷² including in the World Health Organization/National Institutes of Health International Study on Reliability and Validity, ⁷³⁻⁷⁸ where clinical reappraisals documented good validity of DSM-IV alcohol and drug use disorder diagnoses ($\kappa=0.54-0.76$). ^{64,73}

Mood disorders included DSM-IV primary major depressive disorder (MDD), dysthymia, and bipolar I and bipolar II disorders. Anxiety disorders included DSM-IV primary panic disorder with and without agoraphobia, social and specific phobias, and generalized anxiety disorder, in addition to posttraumatic stress disorder. AUDADIS-IV methods to diagnose these disorders are described in detail elsewhere. ⁷⁹⁻⁸⁵ Consistent with DSM-IV, "primary" AUDADIS-IV diagnoses excluded disorders that were substance induced or due to general medical conditions. Diagnoses of MDD additionally ruled out bereavement.

In addition to ASPD, personality disorders assessed at Wave 1 and described in detail elsewhere included avoidant, dependent, obsessive-compulsive, paranoid, schizoid, and histrionic personality disorders. Borderline, schizotypal, and narcissistic personality disorders were measured at Wave 2. All personality disorder diagnoses were assessed on a lifetime basis. The diagnosis of personality disorders requires evaluation of long-term patterns of functioning. Accordingly, all NESARC respondents were asked a series of personality disorder symptom questions about how they felt or acted most of the time throughout their lives, regardless of the situation or whom they were with. They were instructed to exclude symptoms occurring only when they were depressed, manic, anxious, drinking heavily, using medicines or drugs, experiencing withdrawal symptoms (defined earlier in the interview), or physically ill. To receive a personality disorder diagnosis, respondents had to endorse the requisite number of symptom criteria, at least 1 of which must have caused social or occupational impairment. Personality disorder symptom items were similar to those appearing in the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders, ⁸⁶ the International Personality Disorder Examination, ⁸⁷ and the Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders. ⁸⁸

Test-retest reliabilities for AUDADIS-IV mood, anxiety, personality disorder, and ADHD diagnoses in the general population and clinical settings were fair to good ($\kappa=0.40-0.77$). ^{58, 59,64} Test-retest reliabilities of AUDADIS-IV personality disorders compare favorably with those obtained in patient samples using semistructured personality interviews. ⁶² Convergent validity was good to excellent for all affective, anxiety, and personality disorder diagnoses, ^{2, 18,16,19,80-85} and selected diagnoses showed good agreement ($\kappa=0.64-0.68$) with psychiatrist reappraisals. ⁶⁴

Statistical Analysis

The analysis sample for this report consists of all respondents to both waves of the NESARC who were diagnosed with either ASPD ($n=1,154$) or AABS ($n=4,196$) at Wave 1. Weighted

frequencies and crosstabulations were computed and their significance tested with χ^2 statistics to compare Wave 1 sociodemographic characteristics and lifetime psychiatric comorbidity with mood, anxiety, and additional personality disorders by antisocial syndrome. In addition, frequencies and means were obtained for 3 classes of antisocial symptoms endorsed both before and since the Wave 1 interview: (1) total antisocial behaviors; (2) MVOR, and (3) violent symptoms. Because all the symptom counts had highly skewed distributions, they were converted to categorical variables for use in subsequent analyses. To allow assessment of whether associations with baseline antisocial syndrome varied for 1 versus more than 1 symptom over follow-up, trichotomous variables were defined to denote 0, 1, or 2 or more total and MVOR symptoms. Because of the low prevalence of violent behaviors between the 2 interviews, only a dichotomous variable denoting none versus any of these acts could be examined.

Logistic regression models were used to predict total, MVOR, and violent symptoms over the follow-up period by Wave 1 antisocial syndrome.⁸⁹ In addition to the single-predictor (unadjusted) analyses, 2 multivariable models were fit for each outcome. Model 1 controlled for sociodemographic characteristics and lifetime psychiatric comorbidity up to Wave 1. Model 2 controlled additionally for the count of parallel antisocial symptoms (total, MVOR, or violent) occurring between age 15 and the Wave 1 interview to assess the extent to which behavior during the follow-up represented a reflection of preexisting patterns. Multinomial models were used for total symptoms and MVOR; binary models were used for violent symptoms. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated, with ORs considered statistically significant at the 0.05 level when the associated 95% CIs excluded 1.00.

To test whether associations of antisocial syndrome with each outcome differed between men and women, product terms denoting sex by antisocial syndrome interactions were added to the main effects models (unadjusted, Model #1, and Model #2). The α to stay for each interaction term was set at 0.05. All analyses were conducted using SUDAAN,⁹⁰ which uses Taylor series linearization to adjust for design characteristics of complex surveys like the NESARC.

Results

Sociodemographic Characteristics at Wave 1 by Antisocial Syndrome

Baseline sociodemographic characteristics by antisocial syndrome are given in Table 1. Respondents with ASPD were significantly more likely to be male and younger, and had lower past-year income and educational attainment, than those with AABS. Respondents with ASPD were also more likely to be of Native American or Hispanic race or ethnicity, and to reside in the West, but did not differ from respondents with AABS with respect to urbanicity. Prevalences of all comorbid lifetime disorders except pathological gambling, and family histories of antisocial behavior, were significantly higher among respondents with ASPD. Similarly, counts of total antisocial symptoms, MVOR, and violent symptoms since age 15 were significantly higher among respondents with ASPD.

Antisocial Symptomatology over Follow-Up

Total antisocial symptoms—As shown in Table 2, 52.2% of respondents with ASPD and 62.5% of those with AABS reported no antisocial behaviors since Wave 1. Respondents with ASPD engaged in significantly more antisocial acts than did those with AABS. As shown in Table 3, prediction by ASPD versus AABS of 1 antisocial act versus none over follow-up was not significant. ASPD significantly predicted 2 or more antisocial behaviors both in the unadjusted analysis (OR=2.1, 95% CI=1.78-2.48) and, much more modestly, in Model 1 (OR=1.3, 95% CI=1.07-1.60). In Model 2, however, the OR for ASPD was no longer significant (1.1, 95% CI=0.89-1.35). No sex by antisocial syndrome interactions were

observed. Covariates that independently predicted 1 antisocial symptom over follow-up in Model 2 were age 18 to 29 or 30 to 44 years, past-year personal income $\leq$ \$19,999, and diagnoses of any additional personality disorder and ADHD. Male sex, age 18 to 29 or 30 to 44 years, marital status other than married or cohabiting, any lifetime drug use disorder, any additional personality disorder, ADHD, and total antisocial symptom count from age 15 to Wave 1 independently predicted 2 or more antisocial behaviors over the follow-up period.

Major violations of others' rights—As shown in Table 2, 78.8% of respondents with ASPD and 87.6% of respondents with AABS at Wave 1 reported no MVOR during the follow-up period. As was true for total antisocial acts, respondents with ASPD engaged in significantly more of these behaviors than those with AABS. ASPD significantly predicted 1 MVOR versus none only in the unadjusted analysis (OR=1.6, 95% CI=1.21-2.02). ASPD significantly predicted 2 or more MVOR in the unadjusted analysis (OR=2.5, 95% CI=1.88-3.42); in Model 1, this association remained significant but was much attenuated (OR=1.4, 95% CI=1.02-2.04), whereas in Model 2 no association remained (OR=1.0, 95% CI=0.72-1.51). Again, no sex by antisocial syndrome interactions were observed. Covariates that independently predicted 1 MVOR versus none were male sex (OR=1.7, 95% CI=1.30-2.29), separated, divorced, or widowed marital status (OR=1.5, 95% CI=1.12-2.10), urban residence (OR=1.5, 95% CI=1.05-2.05), any lifetime drug use disorder (OR=1.4, 95% CI=1.08-1.86), any additional personality disorder (OR=1.8, 95% CI=1.32-2.33), and total MVOR from age 15 years to Wave 1 (OR per symptom=1.1, 95% CI=1.05-1.17). Hispanic versus White race or ethnicity predicted reduced likelihood of 1 MVOR (OR=0.5, 95% CI=0.28-0.77). Independent predictors of 2 or more MVOR over follow-up were male sex (OR=1.9, 95% CI=1.29-2.74), marital status other than married or cohabiting (separated, divorced, or widowed: OR=1.9, 95% CI=1.21-3.10; never married: OR=2.7, 95% CI=1.78-4.10), past-year personal income $\leq$ \$19,999 versus $\geq$ \$70,000 (OR=3.7, 95% CI=1.05-12.96), any additional personality disorder diagnosis (OR=3.1, 95% CI=2.15-4.44), ADHD (OR=1.7, 95% CI=1.13-2.68), family history of antisocial behavior (OR=1.5, 95% CI=1.05-2.12), and total MVOR from age 15 to Wave 1 (OR per symptom=1.2, 95% CI=1.16-1.32).

Violent symptoms—As shown in Table 2, while 86.5% of respondents with ASPD and 93.9% of respondents with AABS reported no violent symptoms over follow-up, those with ASPD were approximately twice as likely to report any, and reported approximately twice as many, violent acts, as those with AABS. Wave 1 ASPD significantly predicted at least 1 violent act between Waves 1 and 2 in both the unadjusted analysis (OR=2.4, 95% CI=1.87-3.05) and, to a lesser extent, Model 1 (OR=1.5, 95% CI=1.16-1.97). In Model 2, however, the OR for ASPD was no longer significant (1.3, 95% CI=0.94-1.65). Once again, no sex by antisocial syndrome interactions were found. Male sex (OR=1.4, 95% CI=1.05-1.96), non-Hispanic Black race or ethnicity (OR= 1.5, 95% CI=1.04-2.04), marital status of separated, divorced, or widowed (OR=1.6, 95% CI=1.06-2.32), or never married (OR=1.8, 95% CI=1.28-2.53), high school graduation (OR=1.4, 95% CI=1.03-1.89) or less (OR=1.7, 95% CI=1.22-2.49) education, any additional personality disorder diagnosis (OR=2.4, 95% CI=1.79-3.32), ADHD (OR=2.0, 95% CI=1.35-3.01), and total violent symptoms from age 15 to Wave 1 (OR per symptom=1.2, 95% CI=1.13-1.32) significantly predicted 1 or more violent symptoms over follow-up in the fully adjusted analysis.

Discussion

This paper presents the first nationally representative data on the longitudinal course of antisocial behavioral syndromes among general population adults. Over 3 years of follow-up, the majority of respondents with baseline ASPD or AABS reported no DSM-IV antisocial symptoms. Nevertheless, consistent with study hypotheses, respondents with ASPD reported significantly more total, MVOR, and violent behaviors than those with AABS in unadjusted

analyses. After adjustment for sociodemographic characteristics and psychiatric comorbidity, these differences were considerably attenuated; with further control for parallel symptom counts from age 15 to the Wave 1 interview, group differences were no longer significant. Associations of antisocial syndrome with single versus multiple total symptoms and MVOR did not differ significantly. Patterns of results did not differ between men and women.

These results are compatible with evidence from previous cross-sectional epidemiologic surveys^{3,23-25} and clinical follow-up studies^{22,27,28,91} indicating high rates of desistance from antisocial behavior among respondents with ASPD. Moreover, they extend findings from previous cross-sectional studies that compared the clinical characteristics of ASPD versus AABS³³⁻⁴² by showing only small differences in antisocial behavior outcomes between the 2 groups after adjustment for relevant sociodemographic and diagnostic covariates. That differences between the 2 groups essentially disappeared after further adjustment for symptomatology from age 15 to Wave 1, which was significantly greater among respondents with ASPD, suggests that the findings from unadjusted analyses of more antisocial behavior over follow-up among respondents with ASPD may simply reflect a general propensity to continue their greater lifetime symptomatic versatility. The extent to which this propensity reflects greater opportunity among respondents with ASPD to develop their antisocial repertoires due to earlier onsets, versus other underlying mechanisms, warrants further investigation, optimally in cohorts studied prospectively beginning in childhood. Nevertheless, our results, taken together, add to the growing body of evidence suggesting that differences in clinical severity and course between ASPD and AABS are ones of relatively modest degree rather than kind. As such, they give further indication that the DSM concept of ASPD warrants modification, either to eliminate the requirement of CD onset before age 15, or to add a separate diagnostic category for AABS.

While onset of syndromal antisociality before (ASPD) versus since (AABS) age 15 did not independently predict antisocial behavior over follow-up, several sociodemographic and diagnostic characteristics of antisocial respondents did. Consistent with previous cross-sectional results²⁴ and the well-documented male excess of “overt” aggression including behavior symptomatic of CD and ASPD,⁵¹ men were more likely than women to persist in antisocial symptomatology. To the extent that antisocial behavioral syndromes may reflect failure to learn from negative experiences,⁹² and that resolution of antisocial syndromes also may reflect delayed social learning, women may acquire such learning earlier than men. Some support for this may be suggested by the greater prevalences among men and boys of deficits or delays in development of executive function, including those manifest in ADHD, and verbal learning and reasoning,^{51,93} which could impede the acquisition of self-control and problem-solving skills.

Other sociodemographic variables that significantly predicted antisocial behavioral outcomes included Wave 1 marital status other than married or cohabiting, and, less consistently, Wave 1 past-year personal income $\leq$ \$19,999. Not being married or living as married may have involved the absence of an opportunity cost of further misdeeds to the viability of a valued, committed relationship. Alternatively, it may have reflected the failure of the target behavior to improve enough to allow a committed relationship to develop. Low income could have likewise reflected a life course in which antisociality impeded attempts to climb the socioeconomic ladder. This in turn may have led to continued engagement in antisocial behavior as a survival strategy, or meant that any cost to affected individuals was insufficient to deter further symptomatic acts.

The prediction by young age of total symptoms is consistent with previously reported findings.^{3,22,24-26,28,30,91} However, the lack of prediction of MVOR and violent behavior appears to be at variance with the report by Black et al.²² of desistance of arrests, which may be most

likely to involve MVOR or violence, at a mean age of 39 years. Differences in sample ascertainment and composition, assessment methodology, and diagnostic criteria may explain these discrepant findings. The relatively low prevalence among antisocial NESARC respondents of MVOR and violent behavior over follow-up may also have limited power to detect modest associations with age as significant.

Diagnostic variables that independently predicted persistence of antisociality over follow-up included any additional personality disorder, lifetime ADHD, and, less consistently, any lifetime drug use disorder. Comorbid personality disorder diagnoses have been identified as adverse prognostic factors in mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders as well as borderline personality disorder.⁹⁴⁻¹⁰⁰ To our knowledge, however, ours is the first study to identify personality disorder comorbidity as an adverse prognostic factor in antisocial syndromes.

Previous studies in both children and adults have found comorbidity of CD with ADHD to be associated with earlier onset, greater chronicity, more polysymptomatic presentation, and poorer outcomes of CD in both males and females.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ Our findings that lifetime ADHD independently predicted total, MVOR, and violent symptoms over follow-up thus appear to extend the previous results to ASPD and AABS in adults. The adverse prognostic impact of ADHD may reflect higher loadings for externalizing psychopathology.^{104,105} It could also more specifically reflect clinical characteristics such as greater impulsivity or more severe deficits in self-regulation among respondents comorbid for antisocial syndromes plus ADHD.^{102,106}

That lifetime drug use disorders were associated with total antisocial symptoms and MVOR over follow-up extends to antisociality in adulthood previous work¹⁰⁷ identifying problematic substance involvement as an impediment to desistance from antisocial behavior among adolescents. Our finding also appears broadly compatible with the report by Black et al.⁹¹ indicating that men with ASPD plus current alcohol use disorders at 16- to 45-year follow-up were significantly less likely to have been in remission from ASPD than antisocial men without current alcohol use disorders. A remaining question, however, was whether any lifetime history was the most relevant predictor, or whether a current (past-year) drug use disorder diagnosis at Wave 1, being temporally closer to the follow-up period of interest, would be more informative. To address this question, we conducted ancillary logistic regression analyses in which we replaced Wave 1 lifetime diagnostic covariates with the parallel Wave 1 past-year variables. Because ADHD and posttraumatic stress disorder were assessed over respondents' lifetimes at Wave 2, and diagnostic variables were coded only for whether the disorders were active at some point prior to the Wave 1 interview (not specifically for the year preceding that interview), these could not be included in the ancillary analyses. Therefore, we also refit the lifetime models with these 2 diagnoses excluded and observed that the parameter estimates for antisocial syndrome, sociodemographic variables, and other lifetime psychiatric comorbidity remained essentially identical with ADHD and posttraumatic stress disorder omitted. In the fully adjusted analyses with past-year diagnostic covariates, ORs for sociodemographic and most diagnostic variables did not change from those observed in the fully adjusted models including lifetime covariates. For any drug use disorder, the OR (95% CI) associated with 2 or more total antisocial symptoms, went from 1.3 (1.07-1.58) to 1.6 (1.18-2.21). For 2 or more MVOR, the OR increased from 1.3 (0.94-1.81) to 1.9 (1.20-2.96). Similar changes in ORs were observed for 1 MVOR over follow-up. As well, whereas Wave 1 lifetime alcohol use disorders did not significantly predict antisocial symptoms over follow-up, Wave 1 past-year alcohol use disorders did predict total symptoms and MVOR, with changes in ORs similar to those from lifetime to past-year time frame for drug use disorders. Taken together, these results do not suggest clinically important differences in prediction of antisocial outcomes by lifetime versus past-year substance use disorder comorbidity.

Limitations of the study include the relatively short follow-up period. The possibility that antisocial activity will re-emerge, particularly among respondents identified as being at elevated risk for persistence such as men and those younger than 45 years of age, cannot be ruled out. In addition, respondents were not asked at Wave 1 whether and, if so, when they had desisted from symptomatic behaviors. Thus, some were probably in long-established remissions by Wave 1. Whether individuals in each diagnostic group with long-term, stable remissions versus recent desistance resemble or differ from one another cannot be determined from the available data.

Implications

In addition to findings from this and other investigations of ASPD, increasing evidence suggests high rates of symptom desistance in other personality disorders, particularly borderline¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹¹ and narcissistic.^{112,113} Conversely, some evidence suggests that other personality disorders, such as schizotypal, may be more stable over time.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶ Taken together, these results suggest heterogeneity in this domain among DSM-IV personality disorders, with some, particularly in Cluster B, not manifesting the defining characteristic of life-course persistence. Personality disorders characterized by high rates of remission may be more appropriately included on Axis I. Nevertheless, even when the defining symptoms have resolved, individuals who have been diagnosed with these disorders may remain highly impaired in ways plausibly traceable to their past symptomatic behavior,^{22,30,108-111} raising the question of whether symptom desistance is sufficient to define true resolution of, or recovery from, their disorders. The answer to this question may be disorder specific; current prevalence of symptoms, which may or may not be at syndromal levels, and of residual impairment after resolution of symptoms, may need to be distinguished from prevalence of personality disorders as assessed over the lifespan. Along these lines, relevant research questions yet to be addressed include: (a) the diagnostic status, if any, most appropriate to continuing impairment among individuals whose personality disorder symptoms have long since resolved; (b) which personality disorders, if any, are appropriately moved off of Axis II on the basis of substantial rates of resolution; and (c) how best to categorize “relocated” disorders on Axis I.

While our findings indicate that most antisocial adults desist from symptomatic behavior, they also indicate considerable persistence of this behavior in the short term. The high burden on affected individuals, their families, and society thus argues forcefully for the expansion of prevention and treatment options for antisocial syndromes over the life course. While several prevention and treatment approaches have demonstrated effectiveness against CD in children and adolescents,¹¹⁷⁻¹²³ these tend to be resource intensive and many require high levels of participation by targeted youth and their families, making them difficult to implement in some “real-world” settings. The poor response of ASPD to most currently available treatments has been well documented¹²⁴; whether AABS would respond similarly or differently remains unknown. As noted previously, increasing evidence of the phenomenologic similarity between ASPD and AABS, including similar symptom profiles from age 15 into adulthood as well as longitudinal outcome data, argues for revision of the nomenclature to make a place for AABS, either by removal of the requirement of CD onset before age 15 from the ASPD criteria or by creation of a separate category for AABS. Findings of similarities in intervention outcomes between the 2 syndromes would support the former option, whereas clinically important differences between them would support the latter. Consistent with the framework articulated by Robins and Guze,¹²⁵ family studies comparing pedigrees of probands in each classification, and examination of suitable biologic markers as they become available, will also be critical to maximizing the validity of future revisions of the classifications of antisocial syndromes,

That psychiatric comorbidity, including drug use disorders, additional personality disorders, and ADHD, predicted persistence of antisocial behavior provides additional evidence for the importance of comprehensive diagnostic assessments in both mental health and addiction treatment settings, regardless of the presenting complaint. In contrast to the status of treatment for antisocial syndromes in adulthood, numerous evidence-based interventions are now available for alcohol and drug use disorders,¹²⁶ ADHD,¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹ and, increasingly, borderline, schizotypal, avoidant, and obsessive-compulsive personality disorders.^{130,131} Treatment of drug use disorders has been clearly shown to decrease crime.¹³² However, outcomes of addiction treatments in other domains of antisocial symptomatology have not, to our knowledge, been assessed within a DSM-relevant framework. Similarly, while clinical benefits of treatment of ADHD and comorbid personality disorders have been clearly documented,^{127-129,133,134} the potential contributions of these treatments to desistance of antisociality also warrant investigation.

Approaches to hastening resolution of antisocial behavior should be evaluated both within the total population of antisocial individuals, and in subgroups among which there may be important variations in outcomes. In addition to those defined by presence of ASPD versus AABS, potentially relevant subgroups may reflect affected individuals' sociodemographic characteristics, contextual factors (e.g., community socioeconomic characteristics), and psychiatric comorbidity. Because many individuals with ASPD or AABS will have clinically significant problems documented in multiple domains, it will be important in future research to investigate approaches to prioritization and sequencing of attention to each problem area.

Acknowledgement

The National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) is funded by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) with supplemental support from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Program of the National Institutes of Health, NIAAA. Dr. Risé B. Goldstein had full access to all of the data in this study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Goodwin RD, Hamilton SP. Lifetime comorbidity of antisocial personality disorder and anxiety disorders among adults in the community. *Psychiatry Res* 2003;117:159–166. [PubMed: 12606017]
2. Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, et al. Prevalence, correlates, and disability of personality disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004;65:948–958. [PubMed: 15291684]
3. Robins, LN.; Tipp, J.; Przybeck, T. Antisocial personality. In: Robins, LN.; Regier, DA., editors. *Psychiatric Disorders in America: The Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study*. The Free Press; New York, NY: 1991. p. 258-290.
4. Black DW, Goldstein RB, Mason EE. Prevalence of mental disorder in 88 morbidly obese bariatric clinic patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992;149:227–234. [PubMed: 1734744]
5. Ellis D, Collis I, King M. Personality disorder and sexual risk taking among homosexually active and heterosexually active men attending a genitor-urinary medicine clinic. *J Psychosom Res* 1995;39:901–910. [PubMed: 8636922]
6. Erbeling EJ, Hutton HE, Zenilman JM, et al. The prevalence of psychiatric disorders in sexually transmitted disease clinic patients and their association with sexually transmitted disease risk. *Sex Transm Dis* 2004;31:8–12. [PubMed: 14695951]
7. Goldstein RB, Dawson DA, Chou SP, et al. Antisocial behavioral syndromes and past-year physical health among adults in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008;69:368–380. [PubMed: 18348594]
8. Goldstein RB, Dawson DA, Stinson FS, et al. Antisocial behavioral syndromes and body mass index among adults in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Compr Psychiatry* 2008;48:225–237. [PubMed: 18396181]

9. Hills AL, Cox BJ, McWilliams LA, et al. Suicide attempts and externalizing psychopathology in a nationally representative sample. *Compr Psychiatry* 2005;46:334–339. [PubMed: 16122533]
10. Martin RL, Cloninger CR, Guze SB, et al. Mortality in a follow-up of 500 psychiatric outpatients, pt. 2: cause-specific mortality. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1985;42:58–66. [PubMed: 3966853]
11. Nordstrom DL, Zwerling C, Stromquist AM, et al. Epidemiology of unintentional adult injury in a rural population. *J Trauma* 2001;51:758–766. [PubMed: 11586172]
12. Pickering RP, Grant BF, Chou SP, et al. Are overweight, obesity, and extreme obesity associated with psychopathology? Results from a national survey. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2007;68:998–1009. [PubMed: 17685734]
13. Popkin MK, Callies AL, Lentz RD, et al. Prevalence of major depression, simple phobia, and other psychiatric disorders in patients with longstanding type I diabetes mellitus. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1988;45:64–68. [PubMed: 3257379]
14. Ramrakha S, Caspi A, Dickson N, et al. Psychiatric disorders and risky sexual behaviour in young adulthood: cross sectional study in birth cohort. *BMJ* 2000;321:263–266. [PubMed: 10915126]
15. Shepherd J, Farrington D, Potts J. Impact of antisocial lifestyle on health. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2004;26:347–352. [PubMed: 15598851]
16. Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, et al. Co-occurrence of 12-month mood and anxiety disorders and personality disorders in the U.S.: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Psychiatr Res* 2005;39:1–9. [PubMed: 15504418]
17. Sareen J, Stein MB, Cox BJ, et al. Understanding comorbidity of anxiety disorders with antisocial behavior: findings from two large community surveys. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2004;192:178–186. [PubMed: 15091298]
18. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Co-occurrence of 12-month alcohol and drug use disorders and personality disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004;61:361–368. [PubMed: 15066894]
19. Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, et al. Co-occurrence of DSM-IV personality disorders in the U.S.: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Compr Psychiatry* 2005;46:1–5. [PubMed: 15714187]
20. Odgers CL, Caspi A, Broadbent JA, et al. Prediction of differential adult health burden by conduct problem subtypes in males. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2007;64:476–484. [PubMed: 17404124]
21. Black DW, Baumgard CH, Bell SE, et al. Death rates in 71 men with antisocial personality disorder: a comparison with general population mortality. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:131–136. [PubMed: 8742541]
22. Black DW, Baumgard CH, Bell SE. A 16- to 45-year follow-up of 71 men with antisocial personality disorder. *Compr Psychiatry* 1995;36:130–140. [PubMed: 7758299]
23. Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1994;51:8–19. [PubMed: 8279933]
24. Swanson MCJ, Bland RC, Newman SC. Antisocial personality disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1994; (Suppl 376):63–70.
25. Bland RC, Newman SC, Orn H. Age and remission of psychiatric disorders. *Can J Psychiatry* 1997;42:722–729. [PubMed: 9307832]
26. Robins, LN. *Deviant Children Grown Up*. Williams & Wilkins; Baltimore: 1966.
27. Maddocks PD. A five-year follow-up of untreated psychopaths. *Br J Psychiatry* 1970;116:511–515. [PubMed: 5449136]
28. Arboleda-Florez J, Holley HL. Antisocial burnout: an exploratory study. *Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 1991;19:173–183. [PubMed: 1873572]
29. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. Vol. Third Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1980.
30. Black DW, Baumgard CH, Bell SE. The long-term outcome of antisocial personality disorder compared with depression, schizophrenia, and surgical conditions. *Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 1995;23:43–52. [PubMed: 7599370]

31. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Vol. Third Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1987. Revised
32. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Vol. Fourth Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1994.
33. Black DW, Braun D. Antisocial patients: a comparison of those with and those without childhood conduct disorders. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 1998;10:53–57. [PubMed: 9669536]
34. Brooner RK, Schmidt CW, Felch LJ, et al. Antisocial behavior of intravenous drug abusers: implications for diagnosis of antisocial personality disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992;149:482–487. [PubMed: 1554033]
35. Cacciola JS, Rutherford MJ, Alterman AI, et al. An examination of the diagnostic criteria for antisocial personality disorder in substance abusers. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1994;182:517–523. [PubMed: 8083681]
36. Cacciola JS, Alterman AI, Rutherford MJ, et al. Treatment response of antisocial substance abusers. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1995;183:166–171. [PubMed: 7891063]
37. Cottler LB, Price RK, Compton WM, et al. Subtypes of adult antisocial behavior among drug abusers. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1995;183:154–161. [PubMed: 7891061]
38. Goldstein RB, Powers SI, McCusker J, et al. Antisocial behavioral syndromes among residential drug abuse treatment clients. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1998;49:201–216. [PubMed: 9571385]
39. Goldstein RB, Bigelow C, McCusker J, et al. Antisocial behavioral syndromes and return to drug use following residential relapse prevention/health education treatment. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 2001;27:453–482. [PubMed: 11506262]
40. Compton WM, Conway KP, Stinson FS, et al. Prevalence, correlates, and comorbidity of DSM-IV antisocial personality syndromes and alcohol and specific drug use disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66:677–685. [PubMed: 15960559]
41. Galbaud du Fort G, Boothroyd LJ, Bland RC, et al. Spouse similarity for antisocial behavior in the general population. *Psychol Med* 2002;32:1407–1416. [PubMed: 12455939]
42. Tweed JL, George LK, Blazer D, et al. Adult onset of severe and pervasive antisocial behavior: a distinct syndrome? *J Personal Disord* 1994;8:192–202.
43. Goldstein RB, Compton WM, Pulay AJ, et al. Antisocial behavioral syndromes and DSM-IV drug use disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007;90:145–148. [PubMed: 17433571]
44. Goldstein RB, Dawson DA, Saha TD, et al. Antisocial behavioral syndromes and DSM-IV alcohol use disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2007;31:814–828. [PubMed: 17391341]
45. Langbehn DR, Cadoret RJ. The adult antisocial syndrome with and without antecedent conduct disorders: comparisons from an adoption study. *Compr Psychiatry* 2001;42:272–282. [PubMed: 11458301]
46. Cale EM, Lilienfeld SO. Sex differences in psychopathy and antisocial personality disorder: a review and integration. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2002;22:1179–1207. [PubMed: 12436810]
47. Keenan K, Loeber R, Green S. Conduct disorder in girls: a review of the literature. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev* 1999;2:3–19. [PubMed: 11324095]
48. Ohan JL, Johnston C. Gender appropriateness of symptom criteria for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, oppositional-defiant disorder, and conduct disorder. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 2005;35:359–381. [PubMed: 15886870]
49. Loeber R, Burke JD, Lahey BB, et al. Oppositional defiant and conduct disorder: a review of the past 10 years, part I. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2000;39:1468–1484. [PubMed: 11128323]
50. Silverthorn P, Frick PJ. Developmental pathways to antisocial behavior: The delayed-onset pathway in girls. *Dev Psychopathol* 1999;11:101–126. [PubMed: 10208358]
51. Eme RF. Sex differences in child-onset, life-course-persistent conduct disorder: a review of biological influences. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2007;27:607–627. [PubMed: 17331630]
52. Odgers CL, Moffitt TE, Broadbent JM, et al. Female and male antisocial trajectories: from childhood origins to adult outcomes. *Dev Psychopathol* 2008;20:673–716. [PubMed: 18423100]

53. Moffitt TE, Caspi A. Childhood predictors differentiate life-course persistent and adolescence-limited antisocial pathways among males and females. *Dev Psychopathol* 2001;13:355–375. [PubMed: 11393651]
54. Lahey BB, Van Hulle CA, Waldman ID, et al. Testing descriptive hypotheses regarding sex differences in the development of conduct problems and delinquency. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2006;34:737–755. [PubMed: 17033935]
55. Grant, BF.; Moore, TC.; Shepard, J., et al. Source and Accuracy Statement: Wave 1 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Bethesda, MD: 2003 [Accessed July 10, 2008]. Available at <http://www.niaaa.nih.gov>
56. Grant, BF.; Kaplan, KD. Source and Accuracy Statement for the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Rockville, MD: 2005 [Accessed July 10, 2008]. Available at <http://www.nesarc.niaaa.nih.gov>
57. Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, et al. Sociodemographic and psychopathologic predictors of first incidence of DSM-IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders: results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Mol Psychiatry*. April 22;2008 Epub ahead of print
58. Ruan WJ, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, et al. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - IV (AUDADIS-IV): reliability of new psychiatric diagnostic modules and risk factors in a general population sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2008;92:27–36. [PubMed: 17706375]
59. Grant BF, Dawson DA, Stinson FS, et al. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - IV (AUDADIS-IV): reliability of alcohol consumption, tobacco use, family history of depression and psychiatric diagnostic modules in a general population sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2003;71:7–16. [PubMed: 12821201]
60. Grant, BF.; Dawson, DA.; Hasin, DS. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - DSM-IV Version. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Bethesda, MD: 2001 [Accessed July 10, 2008]. Available at <http://www.niaaa.nih.gov>
61. Grant, BF.; Dawson, DA.; Hasin, DS. The Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - DSM-IV Version. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Bethesda, MD: 2004 [Accessed July 10, 2008]. Available at <http://www.niaaa.nih.gov>
62. Zimmerman M. Diagnosing personality disorders: a review of issues and research methods. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1994;51:225–245. [PubMed: 8122959]
63. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Vol. Fourth Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 2000. Text Revision
64. Canino GJ, Bravo M, Ramírez R, et al. The Spanish Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS): reliability and concordance with clinical diagnoses in a Hispanic population. *J Stud Alcohol* 1999;60:790–799. [PubMed: 10606491]
65. Chatterji S, Saunders JB, Vraiti R, et al. Reliability of the alcohol and drug modules of the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - Alcohol/Drug-Revised (AUDADIS-ADR): an international comparison. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997;47:171–185. [PubMed: 9306043]
66. Grant BF, Harford TC, Dawson DA, et al. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS): reliability of alcohol and drug modules in a general population sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1995;39:37–44. [PubMed: 7587973]
67. Hasin D, Carpenter KM, McCloud S, et al. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS): reliability of alcohol and drug modules in a clinical sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997;44:133–141. [PubMed: 9088785]
68. Hasin D, Paykin A. Alcohol dependence and abuse diagnoses: concurrent validity in a nationally representative sample. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 1999;23:144–150. [PubMed: 10029216]
69. Hasin DS, Grant B, Endicott J. The natural history of alcohol abuse: implications for definitions of alcohol use disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 1990;147:1537–1541. [PubMed: 2221170]
70. Hasin DS, Muthén B, Wisnicki KS, et al. Validity of the bi-axial dependence concept: a test in the U.S. general population. *Addiction* 1994;89:573–579. [PubMed: 8044123]

71. Hasin DS, Schuckit MA, Martin CS, et al. The validity of DSM-IV alcohol dependence: what do we know and what do we need to know? *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2003;27:244–252. [PubMed: 12605073]
72. Hasin DS, Van Rossem R, Endicott J. Differentiating DSM-IV alcohol dependence and abuse by course: community heavy drinkers. *J Subst Abuse* 1997;9:127–135. [PubMed: 9494944]
73. Cottler LB, Grant BF, Blaine J, et al. Concordance of DSM-IV alcohol and drug use disorder and diagnoses as measured by AUDADIS-ADR, CIDI and SCAN. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997;47:195–205. [PubMed: 9306045]
74. Hasin D, Grant BF, Cottler L, et al. Nosological comparisons of alcohol and drug diagnoses: a multisite, multi-instrument international study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997;47:217–226. [PubMed: 9306047]
75. Nelson CB, Rehm J, Üstün B, et al. Factor structure of DSM-IV substance use disorder criteria endorsed by alcohol, cannabis, cocaine and opiate users: results from the World Health Organization Reliability and Validity Study. *Addiction* 1999;94:843–855. [PubMed: 10665074]
76. Pull CB, Saunders JB, Mavreas V, et al. Concordance between ICD-10 alcohol and drug use disorder criteria and diagnoses as measured by the AUDADIS-ADR, CIDI and SCAN: results of a cross-national study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997;47:207–216. [PubMed: 9306046]
77. Üstün B, Compton W, Mager D, et al. WHO Study on the reliability and validity of the alcohol and drug use disorder instruments: overview of methods and results. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997;47:161–170. [PubMed: 9306042]
78. Vradi R, Grant BF, Chatterji S, et al. Reliability of the Romanian version of the alcohol module of the WHO Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - Alcohol/Drug-Revised. *Eur Addict Res* 1998;4:144–148. [PubMed: 9852366]
79. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004;61:807–816. [PubMed: 15289279]
80. Hasin DS, Goodwin RD, Stinson FS, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62:1097–1106. [PubMed: 16203955]
81. Grant BF, Hasin DS, Blanco C, et al. The epidemiology of social anxiety disorder in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66:1351–1361. [PubMed: 16420070]
82. Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, et al. The epidemiology of DSM-IV panic disorder and agoraphobia in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2006;67:363–374. [PubMed: 16649821]
83. Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, et al. Prevalence, correlates, co-morbidity, and comparative disability of DSM-IV generalized anxiety disorder in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychol Med* 2005;35:1747–1759. [PubMed: 16202187]
84. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Hasin DS, et al. Prevalence, correlates, and comorbidity of bipolar I disorder and Axis I and II disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66:1205–1215. [PubMed: 16259532]
85. Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, et al. The epidemiology of specific phobia in the USA: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychol Med* 2007;37:1–13.
86. First, MB.; Gibbon, M.; Spitzer, RL., et al. User's Guide for the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders. American Psychiatric Press; Washington, DC: 1997.
87. Loranger, AW. International Personality Disorder Examination: DSM-IV and ICD-10 Interviews. Psychological Assessment Resources; Odessa, FL: 1999.
88. Zanarini, MC.; Frankenburg, FR.; Sickel, AE., et al. The Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders. McLean Hospital, Laboratory for the Study of Adult Development; Belmont, MA: 1996.
89. Hosmer, DW.; Lemeshow, S. Applied Logistic Regression. Vol. 2nd ed.. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; New York: 2000.

90. Research Triangle Institute. Software for Survey Data Analysis (SUDAAN). Version 9.2. Research Triangle Institute; Research Triangle Park, NC: 2006.
91. Black DW, Monahan P, Baumgard CH, et al. Predictors of long-term outcome in 45 men with antisocial personality disorder. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 1997;9:211–217. [PubMed: 9511944]
92. Paris J. Antisocial personality disorder: a biopsychosocial model. *Can J Psychiatry* 1996;41:75–80. [PubMed: 8705966]
93. Moffitt TE. Adolescence-limited and life-course-persistent antisocial behavior: a developmental taxonomy. *Psychol Rev* 1993;100:674–701. [PubMed: 8255953]
94. Cowley DS, Flick SN, Roy-Byrne PP. Long-term course and outcome in panic disorder: a naturalistic follow-up study. *Anxiety* 1996;2:13–21. [PubMed: 9160594]
95. Klein DN, Shankman SA, Rose S. Dysthymic disorder and double depression: prediction of 10-year course trajectories and outcomes. *J Psychiatr Res* 2008;42:408–415. [PubMed: 17466334]
96. Links PS, Heslegrave R, van Reekum R. Prospective follow-up study of borderline personality disorder: prognosis, prediction of outcome, and Axis II comorbidity. *Can J Psychiatry* 1998;43:265–270. [PubMed: 9561315]
97. Newton-Howes G, Tyrer P, Johnson T. Personality disorder and the outcome of depression: meta-analysis of published studies. *Br J Psychiatry* 2006;188:13–20. [PubMed: 16388064]
98. Reich J. The effect of Axis II disorders on the outcome of treatment of anxiety and unipolar depressive disorders: a review. *J Personal Disord* 2003;17:387–405.
99. Verheul R, van den Brink W, Hartgers C. Personality disorders predict relapse in alcoholic patients. *Addict Behav* 1998;23:869–882. [PubMed: 9801722]
100. Zanarini MC, Frankenburg FR, Vujanovic AA, et al. Axis II comorbidity of borderline personality disorder: description of 6-year course and prediction to time-to-remission. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2004;110:416–420. [PubMed: 15521825]
101. Dowson JH. Characteristics of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and past conduct disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2008;117:299–305. [PubMed: 18241309]
102. Monuteaux MC, Faraone SV, Gross LM, et al. Predictors, clinical characteristics, and outcome of conduct disorder in girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a longitudinal study. *Psychol Med* 2007;37:1731–1741. [PubMed: 17451627]
103. Lahey BB, Loeber R, Burke J, et al. Adolescent outcomes of childhood conduct disorder among clinic-referred boys: predictors of improvement. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2002;30:333–348. [PubMed: 12108765]
104. Kendler KS, Prescott CA, Myers J, et al. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003;60:929–937. [PubMed: 12963675]
105. Krueger RF, Markon KE, Patrick CJ, et al. Linking antisocial behavior, substance use, and personality: an integrative quantitative model of the adult externalizing spectrum. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2007;116:645–666. [PubMed: 18020714]
106. Semiz UB, Basoglu C, Oner O, et al. Effects of diagnostic comorbidity and dimensional symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in men with antisocial personality disorder. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 2008;42:405–413.
107. Hussong AM, Curran PJ, Moffitt TE, et al. Substance abuse hinders desistance in young adults' antisocial behavior. *Dev Psychopathol* 2004;16:1029–1046. [PubMed: 15704826]
108. Grant BF, Chou SP, Goldstein RB, et al. Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV borderline personality disorder: results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008;69:533–545. [PubMed: 18426259]
109. Zanarini MC, Frankenburg FR, Hennen J, et al. The McLean Study of Adult Development: overview and implications of the first six years of prospective follow-up. *J Personal Disord* 2005;19:505–523.
110. Zanarini MC, Frankenburg FR, Hennen J, et al. The longitudinal course of borderline psychopathology: 6-year prospective follow-up of the phenomenology of borderline personality disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:274–283. [PubMed: 12562573]
111. Zanarini MC, Frankenburg FR, Hennen J, et al. Prediction of the 10-year course of borderline personality disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006;163:827–832. [PubMed: 16648323]

112. Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Goldstein RB, et al. Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV narcissistic personality disorder: results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008;69:1033–1045. [PubMed: 18557663]
113. Ronningstam E, Gunderson J, Lyons M. Changes in pathologic narcissism. *Am J Psychiatry* 1995;152:253–257. [PubMed: 7840360]
114. Zimmerman M, Coryell W. DSM-III personality disorder diagnoses in a nonpatient sample: demographic correlates and comorbidity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1989;46:682–689. [PubMed: 2751402]
115. Squires-Wheeler E, Skodol AE, et al. The assessment of schizotypal features over two points in time. *Schizophr Res* 1991;6:75–85. [PubMed: 1786236]
116. Wolff S, Townshend R, McGuire RJ, et al. “Schizoid” personality in childhood and adult life. 2: Adult adjustment and the continuity with schizotypal personality disorder. *Br J Psychiatry* 1991;159:620–629. [PubMed: 1756337]
117. Bierman KL, Coie JD, Dodge KA, et al. Using the Fast Track randomized prevention trial to test the early-starter model of the development of serious conduct problems. *Dev Psychopathol* 2002;14:925–943. [PubMed: 12549710]
118. Brotman LM, Gouley KK, Chesir-Teran D, et al. Prevention for preschoolers at high risk for conduct problems: immediate outcomes on parenting practices and child social competence. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol* 2005;34:724–734. [PubMed: 16232069]
119. Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. Fast track randomized controlled trial to prevent externalizing psychiatric disorders: findings from grades 3 to 9. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2007;46:1250–1262. [PubMed: 17885566]
120. Farmer EMZ, Compton SN, Burns BJ, et al. Review of the evidence base for treatment of childhood psychopathology: externalizing disorders. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2002;70:1267–1302. [PubMed: 12472301]
121. Frick PJ. Effective interventions for children and adolescents with conduct disorder. *Can J Psychiatry* 2001;46:597–608. [PubMed: 11582820]
122. Hawkins JD, Catalano RF, Kosterman R, et al. Preventing adolescent health-risk behaviors by strengthening protection during childhood. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1999;153:226–234. [PubMed: 10086398]
123. Webster-Stratton C, Reid MJ, Hammond M. Preventing conduct problems, promoting social competence: a parent and teacher training partnership in head start. *J Clin Child Psychol* 2001;30:283–302. [PubMed: 11501247]
124. Reid WH, Gacono C. Treatment of antisocial personality, psychopathy, and other characterologic antisocial syndromes. *Behav Sci Law* 2000;18:647–62. [PubMed: 11113966]
125. Robins E, Guze SB. Establishment of diagnostic validity in psychiatric illness: its application to schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 1970;126:983–987. [PubMed: 5409569]
126. Galanter, M.; Kleber, HD., editors. *The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Substance Abuse Treatment*. Vol. 4th Ed.. American Psychiatric Press; Arlington, VA: 2008.
127. Pelham WE Jr, Fabiano GA. Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Clin child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2008;37:184–214.
128. Tcheremissine OV, Salazar JO. Pharmacotherapy of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: review of evidence-based practices and future directions. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2008;9:1299–1310. [PubMed: 18473705]
129. Gibbins C, Weiss M. Clinical recommendations in current practice guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of ADHD in adults. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2007;9:420–426. [PubMed: 17915083]
130. Markovitz PJ. Recent trends in the pharmacotherapy of personality disorders. *J Personal Disord* 2004;18:90–101.
131. McMain S, Pos AE. Advances in psychotherapy of personality disorders: a research update. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2007;9:46–52. [PubMed: 17257514]
132. McCollister KE, French MT. The relative contribution of outcome domains in the total economic benefit of addiction interventions: a review of first findings. *Addiction* 2003;98:1647–1659. [PubMed: 14651494]

133. Bartak A, Soeteman DI, Verheul R, Busschbach JJV. Strengthening the status of psychotherapy for personality disorders: an integrated perspective on effects and costs. *Can J Psychiatry* 2007;52:803–810. [PubMed: 18186181]
134. Verheul R, Herbrink M. The efficacy of various modalities of therapy for personality disorders: a systematic review of the evidence and clinical recommendations. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2007;19:25–38. [PubMed: 17365156]

Table 1Wave 1 Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of NESARC Respondents with ASPD^a versus AABS^b

Characteristic, % or Mean (SE)	ASPD (n=1154)	AABS (n=4196)	χ^2 or F (d.f.)	p-value
Male	73.9% (1.36)	63.9% (0.86)	35.00 (1)	<0.0001
Race/ethnicity			11.38 (4)	0.0309
White	70.6% (2.09)	75.2% (1.27)		
Black	10.2% (1.12)	11.0% (0.82)		
Native American	5.8% (0.99)	3.5% (0.39)		
Asian/Pac. Isl.	1.9% (0.59)	1.4% (0.30)		
Hispanic	11.5% (1.58)	8.8% (0.86)		
Age, years			19.47 (3)	0.0007
18-29	37.1% (1.83)	28.5% (0.89)		
30-44	35.6% (1.72)	38.4% (0.97)		
45-64	24.2% (1.52)	28.3% (0.78)		
≥ 65	3.1% (0.70)	4.8% (0.38)		
Marital status			12.51 (2)	0.0033
Married/cohabiting	52.5% (1.86)	58.0% (0.97)		
Separated/divorced/widowed	15.9% (1.28)	17.5% (0.66)		
Never married	31.6% (1.81)	24.5% (0.86)		
Past-year personal income			16.41 (3)	0.0021
≤ \$19,999	48.4% (1.94)	42.1% (1.01)		
\$20,000-\$34,999	25.4% (1.58)	24.2% (0.87)		
\$35,000-\$69,999	20.0% (1.36)	25.4% (0.85)		
≥ \$70,000	6.2% (0.88)	8.3% (0.65)		
Education			33.12 (2)	<0.0001
Less than high school	23.3% (1.68)	13.1% (0.72)		
High school graduation	31.6% (1.58)	28.4% (0.94)		
Postsecondary education	45.1% (1.87)	58.5% (1.14)		
Region of residence			9.72 (3)	0.0276
Northeast	14.8% (2.63)	16.0% (2.46)		
Midwest	24.9% (3.26)	26.2% (2.99)		
South	30.3% (3.22)	33.6% (2.87)		
West	29.9% (3.61)	24.3% (3.10)		
Urban residence	78.8% (2.22)	76.9% (1.92)	1.33 (1)	0.2532
Any lifetime mood disorder	51.4% (1.82)	38.9% (0.95)	34.91 (1)	<0.0001
Any lifetime anxiety disorder	47.6% (1.81)	35.8% (0.93)	33.47 (1)	<0.0001
Any lifetime alcohol use disorder	76.5% (1.50)	71.1% (0.94)	9.34 (1)	0.0033
Any lifetime drug use disorder	52.9% (2.07)	35.5% (0.94)	48.19 (1)	<0.0001
Lifetime tobacco dependence	55.2% (2.05)	41.0% (0.97)	34.04 (1)	<0.0001
Lifetime pathological gambling	2.6% (0.60)	1.5% (0.25)	2.84 (1)	0.0967
Additional personality disorder	56.3% (1.70)	39.5% (0.98)	60.46 (1)	<0.0001
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	12.3% (1.16)	6.0% (0.51)	21.89 (1)	<0.0001

Characteristic, % or Mean (SE)	ASPD (n=1154)	AABS (n=4196)	χ^2 or F (d.f.)	p-value
Family history of antisocial behavior	61.4% (1.96)	47.9% (0.98)	32.06 (1)	<0.0001
Total antisocial symptoms since age 15, mean	9.7 (0.17)	6.6 (0.05)	355.18 (1,65)	<0.0001
Major violations of rights of others since age 15, mean ^c	4.0 (0.09)	2.4 (0.03)	324.10 (1,65)	<0.0001
Violent symptoms since age 15, mean ^d	3.1 (0.07)	1.3 (0.02)	598.67 (1,65)	<0.0001

^a ASPD: antisocial personality disorder.

^b AABS: adulthood antisocial behavioral syndrome

^c Vandalism, firesetting, stealing with or without confrontation of victim, forgery of another's signature, illegal occupation, forcing someone into sex, repeated initiation of fights, swapping blows with intimates, use of a dangerous weapon, hitting someone and causing injury, harassment or blackmail, hurting another person on purpose, and hurting an animal on purpose

^d Bullying, pushing around, or intimidating other people; vandalism; firesetting; robbing, mugging, or snatching someone's purse; forcing someone into sexual activity; repeatedly starting fights; swapping blows with intimates; using a dangerous weapon; hitting someone and causing injury; hurting another person on purpose; and hurting an animal on purpose

Table 2

Total Antisocial Symptoms, Major Violations of Rights of Others,^a and Violent Symptoms^b Over Follow-Up by NESARC Respondents Diagnosed With DSM-IV ASPD^c or AABS^d Wave 1

Characteristic, % or Mean (SE)	Males (n=3158)		Females (n=2192)		Total Sample (n=5350)		p-value	
	ASPD (n=772)	AABS (n=2386)	ASPD (n=382)	AABS (n=1810)	ASPD (n=1154)	AABS (n=4196)	Antisocial Syndrome	Sex * Antisocial Syndrome Interaction
Total antisocial symptoms							<0.0001	0.0828
0	53.8% (2.26)	61.8% (1.14)	47.5% (3.32)	63.7% (1.41)	52.2% (1.69)	62.5% (0.87)		
1	15.6% (1.77)	18.8% (0.98)	17.8% (2.46)	20.5% (1.32)	16.2% (1.38)	19.4% (0.78)		
≥ 2	30.6% (2.09)	19.4% (0.86)	34.7% (2.86)	15.8% (1.09)	31.7% (1.59)	18.1% (0.65)		
Total antisocial symptoms, mean	1.4 (0.11)	0.9 (0.04)	1.6 (0.15)	0.7 (0.05)	1.5 (0.09)	0.8 (0.03)	<0.0001	0.0957
Major violations of rights of others							<0.0001	0.1777
0	78.5% (1.78)	85.9% (0.83)	79.8% (2.20)	90.6% (0.99)	78.8% (1.38)	87.6% (0.64)		
1	12.1% (1.47)	9.3% (0.70)	9.7% (1.45)	6.3% (0.76)	11.5% (1.15)	8.2% (0.51)		
≥2	9.4% (1.26)	4.9% (0.50)	10.5% (1.88)	3.1% (0.53)	9.7% (1.05)	4.2% (0.37)		
Major violations of rights of others, mean	0.4 (0.05)	0.2 (0.02)	0.4 (0.06)	0.1 (0.02)	0.4 (0.04)	0.2 (0.01)	<0.0001	0.3015
Any violent acts against persons, animals, or property of others	13.0% (1.41)	6.4% (0.60)	14.8% (2.05)	5.5% (0.63)	13.5% (1.13)	6.1% (0.44)	<0.0001	0.2239
Violent acts against persons, animals, or property of others, mean	0.2 (0.03)	0.1 (0.01)	0.3 (0.04)	0.1 (0.01)	0.2 (0.03)	0.1 (0.01)	<0.0001	0.3382

^aVandalism, firesetting, stealing with or without confrontation of victim, forgery of another's signature, illegal occupation, forcing someone into sex, repeated initiation of fights, swapping blows with intimates, use of a dangerous weapon, hitting someone and causing injury, harassment or blackmail, hurting another person on purpose, and hurting an animal on purpose.

^bBullying, pushing around, or intimidating other people; vandalism; firesetting; robbing, mugging, or snatching someone's purse; forcing someone into sexual activity; repeatedly starting fights; swapping blows with intimates; using a dangerous weapon; hitting someone and causing injury; hurting another person on purpose; and hurting an animal on purpose.

^cASPD: antisocial personality disorder.

^dAABS: adulthood antisocial behavioral syndrome

Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for Total Antisocial Symptoms Over Follow-Up among Respondents with ASPD^a (n=1154) and AABS^b (n=4196)

Table 3

Wave 1 Characteristic	One versus None			≥ 2 versus None		
	Crude ^c	Adjusted, Model 1 ^d	Adjusted, Model 2 ^e	Crude ^c	Adjusted, Model 1 ^d	Adjusted, Model 2 ^e
ASPD (versus AABS)	1.0 (0.79-1.27)	0.9 (0.67-1.10)	0.8 (0.65-1.09)	2.1 (1.78-2.48)	1.3 (1.07-1.60)	1.1 (0.89-1.35)
Male sex (versus female)	0.9 (0.74-1.13)	1.3 (0.97-1.62)	1.2 (0.97-1.60)	1.2 (0.99-1.42)	1.8 (1.47-2.22)	1.7 (1.39-2.10)
Race/ethnicity						
White	1.00 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
Black	1.1 (0.88-1.40)	0.9 (0.73-1.21)	0.9 (0.72-1.21)	1.5 (1.23-1.90)	1.3 (0.98-1.64)	1.2 (0.93-1.56)
Native American	1.1 (0.62-1.82)	1.0 (0.58-1.72)	1.0 (0.58-1.71)	1.2 (0.80-1.85)	1.0 (0.61-1.55)	1.0 (0.62-1.57)
Asian/Pac. Isl.	0.8 (0.32-2.07)	0.8 (0.31-1.81)	0.8 (0.31-1.81)	1.5 (0.72-3.27)	1.2 (0.61-2.42)	1.3 (0.64-2.47)
Hispanic	1.2 (0.89-1.63)	1.0 (0.71-1.40)	1.0 (0.71-1.40)	1.1 (0.87-1.49)	0.8 (0.59-1.17)	0.8 (0.57-1.16)
Age, years						
18-29	3.2 (1.99-5.02)	2.8 (1.66-4.70)	2.8 (1.65-4.65)	6.7 (4.01-11.12)	4.3 (2.31-8.01)	4.0 (2.15-7.50)
30-44	1.9 (1.17-2.94)	2.0 (1.22-3.33)	2.0 (1.22-3.30)	2.4 (1.43-4.13)	2.3 (1.27-4.28)	2.2 (1.18-3.99)
45-64	1.1 (0.70-1.85)	1.2 (0.71-1.97)	1.2 (0.70-1.95)	1.2 (0.69-2.14)	1.0 (0.54-1.95)	1.0 (0.50-1.83)
≥ 65	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
Marital status						
Married/cohabiting	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
Separated/divorced/widowed	1.1 (0.90-1.37)	1.2 (0.94-1.50)	1.2 (0.93-1.49)	1.9 (1.48-2.33)	2.2 (1.68-2.75)	2.1 (1.63-2.71)
Never married	1.9 (1.55-2.33)	1.2 (0.96-1.56)	1.2 (0.96-1.56)	4.0 (3.29-4.93)	2.0 (1.60-2.57)	2.1 (1.63-2.61)
Past-year personal income						
≤ \$19,999	2.3 (1.55-3.51)	1.8 (1.13-2.74)	1.8 (1.13-2.73)	3.7 (2.46-5.42)	1.6 (1.06-2.49)	1.5 (0.98-2.37)
\$20,000-34,999	1.6 (1.07-2.49)	1.3 (0.85-2.03)	1.3 (0.84-2.02)	1.7 (1.10-2.47)	0.9 (0.59-1.36)	0.9 (0.56-1.31)
\$35,000-65,999	1.2 (0.77-1.84)	1.1 (0.71-1.66)	1.1 (0.71-1.66)	1.1 (0.72-1.60)	0.8 (0.54-1.25)	0.8 (0.53-1.23)
≥ \$70,000	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
Education						
Less than high school	1.2 (0.94-1.59)	1.0 (0.74-1.31)	1.0 (0.73-1.30)	2.0 (1.57-2.59)	1.2 (0.92-1.66)	1.2 (0.85-1.58)
High school graduation	1.1 (0.88-1.31)	1.0 (0.78-1.17)	1.0 (0.77-1.17)	1.6 (1.30-1.92)	1.3 (1.00-1.56)	1.2 (0.97-1.52)
Postsecondary education	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
Region of residence						
Northeast	1.4 (1.00-1.86)	1.3 (0.95-1.79)	1.3 (0.96-1.79)	1.0 (0.74-1.28)	0.9 (0.64-1.24)	0.9 (0.66-1.27)

Wave 1 Characteristic	One versus None			≥ 2 versus None		
	Crude ^c	Adjusted, Model 1 ^d	Adjusted, Model 2 ^e	Crude ^c	Adjusted, Model 1 ^d	Adjusted, Model 2 ^e
Midwest	1.2 (0.94-1.50)	1.1 (0.87-1.46)	1.1 (0.88-1.47)	1.2 (0.91-1.47)	1.1 (0.84-1.49)	1.1 (0.86-1.52)
South	1.2 (0.94-1.56)	1.1 (0.86-1.45)	1.1 (0.86-1.46)	1.1 (0.90-1.44)	1.1 (0.80-1.42)	1.1 (0.82-1.45)
West	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
Urban residence (versus rural)	0.9 (0.71-1.10)	0.9 (0.70-1.10)	0.9 (0.69-1.10)	1.0 (0.88-1.23)	1.0 (0.83-1.29)	1.0 (0.82-1.28)
Any lifetime mood disorder	1.3 (1.06-1.52)	1.1 (0.88-1.32)	1.1 (0.88-1.31)	1.5 (1.27-1.73)	1.0 (0.79-1.14)	0.9 (0.75-1.09)
Any lifetime anxiety disorder	1.1 (0.87-1.28)	1.0 (0.82-1.25)	1.0 (0.82-1.25)	1.2 (0.95-1.39)	1.0 (0.83-1.28)	1.0 (0.81-1.26)
Any lifetime alcohol use disorder	0.9 (0.69-1.04)	0.9 (0.76-1.18)	0.9 (0.75-1.17)	1.0 (0.84-1.21)	1.0 (0.82-1.24)	0.9 (0.75-1.15)
Any lifetime drug use disorder	1.0 (0.84-1.22)	0.9 (0.76-1.12)	0.9 (0.74-1.11)	2.0 (1.65-2.30)	1.5 (1.24-1.82)	1.3 (1.07-1.58)
Lifetime tobacco dependence	1.1 (0.89-1.25)	1.0 (0.85-1.24)	1.0 (0.84-1.23)	1.6 (1.32-1.81)	1.3 (1.05-1.52)	1.2 (0.99-1.45)
Lifetime pathological gambling	1.8 (0.89-3.68)	1.8 (0.79-4.25)	1.8 (0.77-4.25)	2.0 (1.07-3.63)	1.4 (0.55-3.59)	1.2 (0.45-3.14)
Additional personality disorder	1.6 (1.33-1.93)	1.5 (1.22-1.81)	1.5 (1.21-1.79)	2.9 (2.43-3.47)	2.3 (1.90-2.80)	2.2 (1.79-2.64)
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	2.0 (1.36-2.93)	1.7 (1.15-2.44)	1.7 (1.15-2.43)	4.5 (3.30-6.17)	3.1 (2.26-4.24)	2.9 (2.12-3.99)
Family history of antisocial behavior	1.1 (0.95-1.36)	1.0 (0.85-1.23)	1.0 (0.84-1.22)	1.4 (1.17-1.69)	1.1 (0.91-1.36)	1.1 (0.86-1.29)
Total antisocial symptoms since age 15, per symptom	1.0 (1.00-1.06)	n/a	1.0 (0.98-1.04)	1.2 (1.13-1.19)	n/a	1.1 (1.05-1.11)

^a ASPD: antisocial personality disorder.

^b AABS: adulthood antisocial behavioral syndrome

^c Based on single-predictor multinomial logistic regression models

^d Includes all covariates in the table *except* total antisocial symptom count since age 15 at Wave 1

^e Includes total antisocial symptom count since age 15 at Wave 1 *plus* all other covariates