Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2009 October 16; 74(20): 7990–7993. doi:10.1021/jo9016343.

Regio- and Stereoselective Indium Triflate-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene and [2.2.2]oct-5-ene Systems

Baiyuan Yang and Marvin J. Miller

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, 251 Nieuwland Hall, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

Abstract

Indium triflate-mediated nucleophilic ring-opening reactions of 3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene and [2.2.2]oct-5-ene systems with alcohols and water were investigated. Reactions of [2.2.1] bicyclic systems gave *anti*-1,2-, *anti*-1,4- and *syn*-1,4-ring opened products with moderate to excellent regio- and stereoselectivity. When [2.2.2] bicyclic systems were subjected to similar reaction conditions, only *anti*-1,2 and *anti*-1,4-ring opened products were obtained.

Nitroso cycloadducts obtained from hetero Diels–Alder (HDA) reactions, such as 3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-enes and [2.2.2]oct-5-enes (**1–4**), are valuable synthetic intermediates for the construction of a variety of biologically interesting molecules (Scheme 1).¹ The chemistry of acylnitroso cycloadduct **1** has revealed several modes of ring-opening reactions to introduce different functionalities with defined stereo- and regiochemistries.^{2–6} Commonly employed strategies involve N–O bond reductive cleavage to form *syn*-1,4 aminocyclopentenols,² and C–O bond cleavage through metal-mediated reactions in the presence of nucleophiles or electrophiles.^{3–5} Recently, stereoselective nitroso HDA reactions using iminonitroso agents have attracted more attention because they facilitate generation of enantiopure 3-aza-2-oxa-bicyclic compounds such as **3** and **4** in a single step.^{1b,7} We also successfully utilized iminonitroso Diles–Alder reactions for the derivatization and functionalization of diene-containing natural products for modular enhancement of Nature's diversity (MEND).⁸ While extensive chemistry has been developed from reactions of

mmiller1@nd.edu.

Supporting Information Available: General methods, experimental details, characterization data and copies of ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

acylnitroso agents, elaborations of heteroaryl nitroso adducts such as **3** and **4** have been less explored and are mainly limited to reductive cleavage of the N–O bond.⁷ To the best of our knowledge, Lewis acid-mediated ring-opening reactions of iminonitroso cycloadducts have not been disclosed in the literature despite their potential synthetic value. Herein, we report recent advances in this area that expand the versatility of Lewis acid-mediated nucleophilic ring-opening reactions to a range of 3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-enes **3** and [2.2.2]oct-5-enes **4**.

To begin our investigation, [2.2.1], [2.2.2] and [4.2.2] bicyclic systems **3a–d**, **4a–c** and **5a** were prepared by HDA reactions between the corresponding nitroso agents⁹ and dienes (Scheme 1). Cycloadduct **3a**, as a model substrate, was then reacted with various Lewis acids in the presence of MeOH at room temperature (Table 1). While a variety of Lewis acids (0.5 equiv), including FeCl₃, CuCl₂ and CuSO₄, induced the ring-opening reactions of **3a** to form *anti*-1,2-, *anti*-1,4- and *syn*-1,4-disubstituted products **6a**, **7a**, and **8a**, respectively, only low to moderate yields were obtained (entries 1–10). Subsequent screening revealed that reaction with 0.5 equiv of indium triflate (In(OTf)₃) provided the best yield (92%) of a 2.4:3.7:1 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products (**6a**:**7a**:**8a**) within 1 h (entry 11). The *anti*-1,2-product **6a** was isolated cleanly after silica gel chromatography, and its structure was determined by 1D and 2D NMR studies (COSY, HETCOR). The regio- and stereochemistry of the generally inseparable *anti*-1,4- and *syn*-1,4-products (**7a** and **8a**) were assigned using comparison of the coupling pattern of the C(5) methylene protons.¹⁰ A plausible mechanism indicated that two major products, **6a** and **7a**, were results of indirect and direct nucleophilic displacement of the oxygen, respectively (Scheme 2, path A and B), though a competitive open cation process might also account for the mixed stereoselectivity and formation of the minor *syn*-1,4 product (**8a**). Variation of the amount of In(OTf)₃ used in the reaction was then explored. Increasing the amount of In(OTf)₃ to 1.0 equiv gave the products in a similar yield but with slightly compromised stereoselectivity (entry 12). Low conversions were observed when 0.2 and 0.1 equiv of In(OTf)₃ were employed even when the reaction time was prolonged (entries 13–14). Thus, we selected 0.5 equiv of In(OTf)₃ for further studies of the Lewis acid-mediated ring-openings of additional substrates.

The ability to control the stereochemical outcome of the In(OTf)₃-mediated ring-opening reactions was further investigated by adding cosolvents to MeOH (Table 2). Use of DCM or toluene as cosolvents in a 1:1 ratio with MeOH gave the products in yields similar to those obtained when MeOH was used alone, but the stereoselectivity decreased slightly (entries 1–3). The use of 1,4-dioxane or THF as cosolvents increased the relative amounts of *anti*-1,4- and *syn*-1,4-products (entries 4–5). This preference was enhanced by increasing the amount of THF in the reactions (entries 5–9). Reduction of the amount of MeOH to only 2 equiv in THF led to a 0.3:1.9:1 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products (**6a**:**7a**:**8a**) in moderate yield (45%, entry 9).

In an effort to determine the scope of this ring-opening chemistry, we expanded the studies to include reactions of variously substituted 3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene systems **3a–d** with a series of alcohols (Table 3). When **3a** was used, separate reactions with each of the aliphatic alcohols gave the ring-opened products in good to excellent yields (entries 1–3). The preference for the formation of *anti*-1,4-products was enhanced by increasing the size of nucleophile. For example, use of *tert*-butanol gave a 90% yield of a 1.7:5:1 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products (**6c**:**7c**:**8c**) (entry 3). Addition of THF to *tert*-butanol slightly increased the ratio of 1,4-products (entry 4). Similar reactivity and stereoselectivity were noted when **3b** was treated with different alcohols under conditions used in the studies of **3a** (entries 5–7). While only moderate conversions for the ring-opening reactions of **3c** were obtained, improved stereoselectivity favoring the formation of *anti*-1,2- and *anti*-1,4-products was observed (entries 8–10). The reaction of cycloadduct **3d** with MeOH gave a 93% yield of

a 1.4:4:1 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products (**6j**:**7j**:**8j**); however, the yields dropped when bulkier alcohols such as 2-propanol and *tert*-butanol were used (entries 11–13). In all ring-opening reactions of **3d**, the preference for *anti*-1,4-products (**7j**–**1**) was much more noticeable than with substrates **3a**–**c** (entries 11–13). In order to give compounds more compatible for eventually further elaboration, reactions of **3a** with benzyl alcohol and allyl alcohol were performed, generating a mixture of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products in 73% and 57% yields, respectively, with *anti*-1,4-compounds (**7m** and **7n**) as the major products (entries 14–15).

The In(OTf)₃-mediated ring-opening reactions with 3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-ene systems **4a**–**b** were also investigated. The results are summarized in Table 4. Because of the decreased ring strain of the [2.2.2] substrates relative to the [2.2.1] substrates, we anticipated that the ring-opening reactions would be slower. However, reaction with [2.2.2] bicyclic compound **4a** in MeOH with 0.5 equiv of In(OTf)₃ at room temperature still occurred, generating a 35% yield of a 1:1 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-products (**9a**:**10a**) after prolonged reaction (24 h, entry 1). Interestingly, no *syn*-1,4-product **11a** was detected, which further supported the proposed reaction mechanism as shown in Scheme 2. Compounds **9a** and **10a** were readily separable by column chromatography, and their regio- and stereochemistries were determined by 1D and 2D NMR experiments.¹¹ Use of excess In(OTf)₃ (1.5 equiv) increased the yield significantly, as expected; however the reaction still required 24 h (entry 2). Meanwhile, we were pleased to find that at elevated temperature (70 °C) the nucleophilic ring-opening reaction of **4a** with 0.5 equiv of In(OTf)₃ was complete within 2 h, forming products in 92% yield without loss of stereoselectivity (entry 3). Similar results were obtained when 2-propanol and *tert*-butanol were used (entries 4–5). Although only moderate yields were observed when **4b** was treated with various alcohols, the exclusive selectivity for *anti*-1,2- and *anti*-1,4-products remained (entries 6–8). Again when benzyl alcohol and allyl alcohol were used, ring-opening reactions of **4a** afforded *anti*-1,2- and *anti*-1,4-products in moderate to good yields (entries 9–10). In contrast, the ring-opening reaction with [4.2.2] bicyclic cycloadduct **5a** derived from cyclooctadiene failed, even with the use of excess In(OTf)₃ and at 70 °C (entry 11). Clearly, ring strain of substrates is important for the Lewis acid-mediated ring-opening reactions to occur.

Ring-opening reactions with other, non-alcohol nucleophiles, were also briefly studied. Attempted Lewis acid-mediated reactions with amines generated complex mixtures. However, use of water in mixed solvent systems was effective in the In(OTf)₃-mediated ring-opening reactions (Table 5). Reaction of **3a** with 0.5 equiv of In(OTf)₃ in 1:1 water:THF at room temperature gave a 45% yield of a 1:6.7:1 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products (**12a**:**13a**:**14a**) with *anti*-1,4-product **13a** as the major product (entry 1). [2.2.2] Bicyclic system **4a** again required elevated temperature (70 °C) to induce reaction but generated only *anti*-1,2- and *anti*-1,4-products (**12b** and **13b**) in moderate yield (51%, entry 2). Compared to the typical N–O bond cleavage reactions of nitroso cycloadducts which can only form single *syn*-1,4 amino alcohol products, the methodology presented here allows generation of 1,2 or 1,4-hydroxyamino cyclopentenols and cyclohexenols with some control of regio- and stereochemistry. Moreover, subsequent N–O bond reduction can provide the corresponding amino alcohol compounds.¹²

We also conducted an experiment with cycloadduct **3a** under Brønsted acid conditions.¹³ Thus, treatment of **3a** with 3 equiv of 6 N HCl in dioxane for 2 h at 0 °C afforded a 40% yield of a 1:1.6:3 ratio of *anti*-1,2-:*anti*-1,4-:*syn*-1,4-products (**12a**:**13a**:**14a**) (Scheme 3). This result indicated that Brønsted acid (HCl in this case) is sufficient enough to induce the ring-opening reaction without need for metal chelation.

In summary, we have demonstrated that a variety of 3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene and [2.2.2]oct-5-ene systems can be solvolitically ring-opened under $\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$ catalyzed conditions. The regio- and stereoselectivity of the products obtained depends on the cosolvents and/or the size of the nucleophiles. Only *anti*-1,2- and *anti*-1,4-alkoxy hydroxyamino disubstituted cyclohexene products were obtained from [2.2.2] bicyclic systems. Extensions to additional studies related to natural product functionalization and derivatization are in progress and will be reported in due course.

Experimental Section

General Procedure A for $\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$ -Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene with Alcohols

A solution of cycloadduct **3** (0.39 mmol) in alcohol (3 mL) was treated at rt with anhydrous $\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$ (0.19 mmol) and stirred under Ar for 1 h to 7 h until **3** was consumed. (The reaction was warmed to 30 °C when *tert*-butanol was used). The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to a slurry, then water (3 mL) and EtOAc (5 mL) were added and separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 and filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography.

General Procedure B for $\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$ -Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-ene with Alcohols

A solution of cycloadduct **4** (0.39 mmol) in alcohol (3 mL) was treated at rt with anhydrous $\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$ (0.19 mmol) and quickly heated to 70 °C or 80 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar for 1 to 6 h until **4** was consumed. The mixture was cooled to rt and concentrated in vacuo to a slurry, then water (3 mL) and EtOAc (5 mL) were added and separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 and filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography.

All product ratios were determined by ^1H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixtures.

anti-1-(*N*-(5-Bromo)-2-pyridinyl)-*N*-hydroxyamino)-2-methoxycyclopent-3-ene (**6a**)

clear oil; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3427, 3020, 1521, 1424, 1216, 1045; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 9.44 (s, 1 H), 8.25 (m, 1 H), 7.80 (dd, $J = 8.9, 2.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (dd, $J = 8.9, 0.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.91 (m, 1 H), 5.84 (m, 1 H), 5.03–4.99 (m, 1 H), 4.65 (br m, 1 H), 3.22 (s, 3 H), 2.48–2.42 (m, 1 H), 2.34–2.28 (m, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 161.6, 147.5, 140.0, 133.3, 130.2, 111.1, 108.9, 87.0, 65.3, 55.7, 31.9; HRMS (ESI) $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2$ 285.0233, found 285.0231.

anti-1-(*N*-(5-Bromo)-2-pyridinyl)-*N*-hydroxyamino)-4-methoxycyclopent-2-ene (**7a**)

clear oil; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3407, 3019, 1579, 1458, 1364, 1216, 1091; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 9.13 (s, 1 H), 8.25 (dd, $J = 2.6, 0.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (dd, $J = 9.0, 2.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 9.0, 0.6$ Hz, 1 H), 6.10 (m, 1 H), 5.87 (m, 1 H), 5.69 (br m, 1 H), 4.52 (br m, 1 H), 3.21 (s, 3 H), 2.05 (ddd, $J = 13.8, 7.2, 3.8$ Hz, 1 H), 1.80 (ddd, $J = 13.8, 8.2, 3.4$ Hz, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 161.7, 147.4, 140.0, 134.8, 134.3, 111.4, 109.0, 84.7, 65.2, 55.5, 32.1; HRMS (ESI) $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2$ 285.0233, found 285.0205.

syn-1-(*N*-(5-Bromo)-2-pyridinyl)-*N*-hydroxyamino)-4-methoxycyclopent-2-ene (**8a**)

clear oil; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3407, 3019, 1579, 1458, 1364, 1216, 1091; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 9.17 (s, 1 H), 8.23 (dd, $J = 2.6, 0.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (m, 1 H), 7.02 (dd, $J = 9.0, 0.6$

Hz, 1 H), 6.01 (m, 1 H), 5.84 (m, 1 H), 5.45 (m, 1 H), 4.33–4.30 (m, 1 H), 3.23 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (ddd, $J = 12.8, 7.6, 7.6$ Hz, 1 H), 1.68 (ddd, $J = 12.8, 6.6, 6.6$ Hz, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 161.4, 147.4, 140.1, 133.9, 133.4, 111.1, 108.8, 83.2, 63.6, 55.3, 31.6; HRMS (ESI) $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2$ 285.0233, found 285.0205.

***anti*-1-(*N*-(5-Bromo)-2-pyridinyl-*N*-hydroxyamino)-2-methoxycyclohex-3-ene (9a)**

yellowish oil; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3416, 3020, 1520, 1421, 1363, 1216, 1090; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.22 (s, 1 H), 8.19 (dd, $J = 2.5, 0.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.75 (dd, $J = 9.0, 2.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 9.0, 0.4$ Hz, 1 H), 5.76 (m, 1 H), 5.70 (m, 1 H), 4.53 (ddd, $J = 12.3, 9.0, 3.2$ Hz, 1 H), 4.24–4.21 (m, 1 H), 3.18 (s, 3 H), 2.09 (br m, 2 H), 1.84–1.77 (m, 1 H), 1.57–1.55 (m, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 161.7, 147.2, 139.7, 128.8, 127.6, 110.3, 107.8, 74.6, 58.9, 54.7, 24.8, 23.6; HRMS (ESI) $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{15}\text{BrN}_2\text{NaO}_2$ 321.0208, found 321.0209.

***anti*-1-(*N*-(5-Bromo)-2-pyridinyl-*N*-hydroxyamino)-4-methoxycyclohex-2-ene (10a)**

white glass; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3406, 3020, 1521, 1424, 1216, 1046; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.19 (s, 1 H), 8.23 (dd, $J = 2.6, 0.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (dd, $J = 8.8, 2.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (dd, $J = 8.8, 0.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.87–5.84 (m, 1 H), 5.61–5.59 (m, 1 H), 5.06 (m, 1 H), 3.84 (m, 1 H), 3.25 (s, 3 H), 2.14 (br m, 1 H), 1.78–1.74 (m, 2 H), 1.44–1.37 (m, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 161.2, 147.4, 140.0, 131.6, 130.4, 111.1, 108.7, 74.7, 56.3, 54.8, 27.8, 22.5; HRMS (ESI) $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2$ 299.0388, found 299.0390.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgement

We thank Cara Cesario for initial related studies and helpful discussions, Dr. Jaroslav Zajicek for 2D NMR assistance and Nonka Sevoňa for mass spectroscopic analyses. We acknowledge The University of Notre Dame and NIH (GM 075885) for support of this work.

References

1. For selected reviews, see: aVogt PF, Miller MJ. *Tetrahedron* 1998;54:1317–1348.1348bYamamoto Y, Yamamoto H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem* 2006:2031–2043.2043cSamarakoon T, Hanson RR. *Chemtracts* 2007;20:220–229.229
2. For selected examples of reductive N–O bond cleavage of cycloadduct **1**, see: aCesario C, Tardibono LP, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 2009;74:448–451.451 [PubMed: 19053586]bLi FZ, Miller M. *J. Org. Chem* 2006;71:5221–5227.5227 [PubMed: 16808509]cKim K-H, Miller MJ. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2003;44:4571–4573.4573dCoward M, Bennett MJ, Kerwin JF. *J. Org. Chem* 1999;64:2240–2249.2249eMulvihill MJ, Gage JL, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 1998;63:3357–3363.3363
3. For palladium, palladium/indium, or Lewis-acid catalyzed ring-opening reactions of cycloadduct **1**, see: aCesario C, Miller MJ. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:1293–1295.1295 [PubMed: 19216521]bTardibono LP, Miller MJ. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:1575–1578.1578 [PubMed: 19320504]cLee W, Kim K-H, Surman MD, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 2003;68:139–149.149 [PubMed: 12515472]dSurman MD, Mulvihill MJ, Miller MJ. *Org. Lett* 2002;4:139–141.141 [PubMed: 11772110]eSurman MD, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 2001;66:2466–2469.2469 [PubMed: 11281789]fSurman MD, Miller MJ. *Org. Lett* 2001;3:519–521.521 [PubMed: 11178814]gMulvihill MJ, Surman MD, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 1998;63:4874–4875.4875
4. For ruthenium-catalyzed nucleophilic ring-opening reaction of cycloadduct **1**, see: Machin BP, Howell JH, Mandel J, Bianchard N, Tam W. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:2077–2080.2080 [PubMed: 19361204]
5. For copper-catalyzed Grignard or organozinc nucleophilic ring-opening reactions of cycloadduct **1**, see: aPineschi M, Moro FD, Crotti P, Macchia F. *Pure Appl. Chem* 2006;78:463–467.467bPineschi

- M, Moro FD, Crotti P, Macchia F. *Org. Lett* 2005;7:3605–3607.3607 [PubMed: 16092830]cSurman MD, Mulvihill MJ, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 2001;67:4115–4121.4121 [PubMed: 12054945]dSurman MD, Mulvihill MJ, Miller MJ. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:1131–1134.1134
6. For selected examples of oxidative cleavage of the C=C bond of cycloadduct **1**, see: aNora GP, Miller MJ, Mollmann U. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett* 2006;16:3966–3970.3970 [PubMed: 16730172]bPepper AG, Procter G, Voyle M. *Chem. Commun* 2002:1066–1067.1067cShireman BT, Miller MJ, Jonas M, Wiest O. *J. Org. Chem* 2001;66:6046–6056.6056 [PubMed: 11529730]dHeinz LJ, Lunn WHW, Murff RE, Paschal JW, Spangle LA. *J. Org. Chem* 1996;61:4838–4841.4841 [PubMed: 11667426]
7. a Yamamoto Y, Yamamoto H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:4128–4129. [PubMed: 15053601] b Jena CK, Studer A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2007;46:6542–6544.
8. a Yang BY, Miller P, Mollmann U, Miller MJ. *Org. Lett* 2009;11:2828–2931. [PubMed: 19552465] b Li FZ, Yang BY, Miller MJ, Zajicek J, Noll BC, Mollmann U, Dahse H-M, Miller P. *Org. Lett* 2007;9:2923–2926. [PubMed: 17602642] c Krchnak V, Waring KR, Noll BC, Moellmann U, Dahse H-M, Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 2008;73:4559–4567. [PubMed: 18489157]
9. Syntheses of iminonitroso agents, see: Taylor EC, Tseng CP, Rampal JB. *J. Org. Chem* 1982;47:552–555.555
10. For an explanation of how regio- and stereochemistry of 1,4-aminocyclopentenol systems are determined from the coupling pattern of the C(5) protons, see ref. 3g and references therein.
11. The regio- (1,2-cyclohexene vs 1,4-cyclohexene ring-opened products) and stereochemistry (*anti* vs *syn*) of products were confirmed by various 1D and 2D NMR experiments (COSY, HSQC, HMBC, ROESY and homonuclear decoupling).
12. N–O bond reduction of hydroxyamine, see ref 2a and Mattingly, P. G.; Miller MJ. *J. Org. Chem* 1980;45:410–415.415
13. For Brønsted acid-mediated ring-opening reaction of cycloadduct **1**, see: aMuxworthy JP, Wilkinson JA, Procter G. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1995;36:7535–7538.7538bBrian SB, Miller MJ. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2009;50:796–798.798

SCHEME 1.

Syntheses of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene and [2.2.2]oct-5-ene, and 8-Aza-7-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]dec-9-ene Systems

SCHEME 2.

Proposed Mechanism for Formation of 6a and 7a in the Lewis Acid-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reaction of 3a

SCHEME 3.

Brønsted Acid-Mediated Ring-Opening Reaction of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene 3a under Aqueous Conditions

TABLE 1

Lewis Acid-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reaction of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene 3a with MeOH Using Different Lewis Acids

The reaction scheme shows 3a (3-bromo-3-aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene) reacting with MeOH in the presence of a Lewis acid (LA, 0.5 equiv) at room temperature (rt) to yield a mixture of three products: 6a (anti-1,2), 7a (anti-1,4), and 8a (syn-1,4). The structures of the products show the opening of the bicyclic system into a cyclopentane ring with a bromine atom and a 2-pyridyl group.

entry	Lewis acid (0.5 eq)	time (h)	yield ^a (%)	product ratios ^b 6a:7a:8a
1	FeCl ₃	1	13	2.4:2.1:1
2	CuCl ₂	1	53	1:3.3:1
3	CuSO ₄	1	69	2.7:3.3:1
4	Cu(I)PF ₆ (MeCN) ₄	1	71	2.5:2.7:1
5	SnCl ₂	24	32	1.6:2.5:1
6	ZnBr ₂	24	0	nd
7	AlCl ₃	8.5	58	1.7:2.7:1
8	CeCl ₃	24	<5	nd
9	La(OTf) ₃	24	66	4.7:4.4:1
10	InI ₃	3.5	23	1.9:4.8:1
11	In(OTf) ₃	1	92	2.4:3.7:1
12 ^c	In(OTf) ₃	1	93	2.2:3.3:1
13 ^d	In(OTf) ₃	5	74	2.6:3.6:1
14 ^e	In(OTf) ₃	24	64	2.5:3.1:1

^a Isolated yield.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR of crude mixture.

^c 1.0 equiv of In(OTf)₃.

^d 0.2 equiv of In(OTf)₃.

^e 0.1 equiv of In(OTf)₃.

TABLE 2

Effects of Cosolvent on In(OTf)₃-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reaction of 3a with MeOH

entry	MeOH/cosolvent	time (h)	yield ^a (%)	product ratios ^b 6a:7a:8a
1	MeOH	1	92	2.4:3.7:1
2	MeOH/DCM (1/1)	1	92	2:3.2:1
3	MeOH/toluene (1/1)	1	89	1.9:3:1
4	MeOH/1,4-dioxane (1/1)	1	92	1.4:2.6:1
5	MeOH/THF (1/1)	1	92	1.2:2.4:1
6	MeOH/THF (1/7)	4	94	0.7:1.8:1
7	MeOH (10 eq) /THF	5	92	0.5:1.9:1
8	MeOH (5 eq) / THF	12	93	0.4:1.9:1
9	MeOH (2 eq)/THF	24	45	0.3:1.9:1

^a Isolated yield.^b Determined by ¹H NMR of crude mixture.

TABLE 3

In(OTf)₃-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene 3a–d with Different Alcohols

entry	3	R ₁ OH	time (h)	products	yield ^d (%)	ratios ^b 6:7:8
1	3a	MeOH	1	6a–8a	92	2.4:3.7:1
2	3a	ⁱ PrOH	2	6b–8b	97	2.1:4.2:1
3	3a	^t BuOH ^c	2	6c–8c	90	1.7:5:1
4	3a	^t BuOH ^d	7	6c–8c	89	0.6:2.9:1
5	3b	MeOH	1.5	6d–8d	88	2.3:3.6:1
6	3b	ⁱ PrOH	2	6e–8e	96	2.4:4.6:1
7	3b	^t BuOH ^c	2.5	6f–8f	87	1.3:3:1
8	3c	MeOH	6.5	6g–8g	63	5.7:4.2:1
9	3c	ⁱ PrOH	6	6h–8h	61	2.8:5:1
10	3c	^t BuOH ^c	6	6i–8i	64	3:6:1
11	3d	MeOH	2	6j–8j	93	1.4:4:1
12	3d	ⁱ PrOH	2	6k–8k	73	1.6:5:1
13	3d	^t BuOH ^c	3.5	6l–8l	57	1.1:5:1
14	3a	BnOH ^e	2	6m–8m	73	1:2.6:1
15	3a	2-Propen-1-ol ^e	2	6n–8n	57	1.2:3.3:1

^a Isolated yield.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR of crude mixture.

^c Reaction temperature, 30 °C.

^d ^tBuOH (5 equiv)/THF.

^e Alcohol/THF (1/1).

TABLE 4
In(OTf)₃-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-ene 4a-b with Different Alcohols

entry	4	R ₁ OH / Time (h)	temp (°C)	products	yield ^c (%)	ratios ^d 9:10:11
1 ^a	4a	MeOH / 24	25	9a-11a	35	1:1:1:0
2 ^b	4a	MeOH / 24	25	9a-11a	96	1:1:0
3 ^a	4a	MeOH / 2	70	9a-11a	92	1:1:0
4 ^a	4a	ⁱ PrOH / 1	70	9b-11b	95	1:1:0
5 ^a	4a	^t BuOH / 2	80	9c-11c	71	0.8:1:0
6 ^a	4b	MeOH / 6	70	9d-11d	59	1:1:1:0
7 ^a	4b	ⁱ PrOH / 2	70	9e-11e	59	1:1:0
8 ^a	4b	^t BuOH / 4	80	9f-11f	55	1:1:0
9 ^a	4a	BnOH ^e / 3	70	9g-11g	67	1:1.3:0
10 ^a	4a	2-Propen-1-ol ^e / 3	70	9h-11h	85	1:1.5:0
11 ^b	5a	MeOH / 24	70	-	0	-

^a 0.5 equiv of In(OTf)₃.

^b 1.5 equiv of In(OTf)₃.

^c Isolated yield.

^d Determined by ¹H NMR of crude mixture.

^e Alcohol/THF (1/1).

TABLE 5
In(OTf)₃-Mediated Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 3-Aza-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene 3a and [2.2.2]oct-5-ene 4a with Water

entry	substrate	temp (°C)	time (h)	products	yield ^a (%)	ratios ^b 12:13:14
1	3a	25	12	12a-14a	45	1:6.7:1
2	4a	70	12	12b-14b	51	2.3:1:0

^a Isolated yield.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR of crude mixture.