Published in final edited form as:

Maturitas. 2009 May 20; 63(1): 67–72. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2009.02.001.

Race and health-related quality of life in midlife women in Baltimore, Maryland

Lisa Gallicchio^{a,b}, Susan Miller^c, Howard Zacur^c, and Jodi A. Flaws^{d,*}

^a The Prevention and Research Center, Weinberg Center for Women's Health and Medicine, Mercy Medical Center, Baltimore, MD 21202, United States

^b Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, United States

^c Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, United States

^d Department of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Illinois, 2001 S. Lincoln Ave., Room 3223, Urbana, IL 61802, United States

Abstract

Objective—Only a few studies have examined the association between race/ethnicity and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) during midlife. Thus, the purpose of this study was to examine this association in the context of a population-based study of Caucasian and African-American women aged 45–54 years.

Methods—Data from 626 pre- and peri-menopausal African-American and Caucasian women aged 45–54 years were analyzed. HRQOL was measured using Cantril's Self-Anchoring Ladder of Life, a validated measure of overall life satisfaction. Body mass index was determined using measured height and weight. Information on race and other variables such as education was based on self-report. Logistic regression models were constructed to examine the unadjusted and adjusted associations between race and low present HRQOL (≤ 6 on Cantril's Ladder of Life).

Results—In both the unadjusted and adjusted analyses, race was not significantly associated with low present HRQOL (unadjusted OR 1.57; 95% CI 0.93, 2.65; adjusted OR 0.82; 95% CI 0.42, 1.61). In the fully adjusted model, only the number of menopausal symptoms and self-rated health were significantly associated with present HRQOL.

Conclusions—Findings from this population-based study suggest that race is not a statistically significant determinant of present HRQOL among midlife women.

Keywords

Cross-sectional studies; Menopause; Quality of life; Women

1. Introduction

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) has emerged as an important parameter of health among the aging population. HRQOL is thought to provide a general measure of an individual's well-being and has been shown to be influenced by a number of factors, including physical,

psychological, social, and functional areas of life [1]. Several studies have reported decreases in HRQOL across the menopausal transition; this has been shown to be due primarily to the experiencing of menopausal symptoms such as hot flashes, mood changes and insomnia [2-4]. However, change in HRQOL may also be due to other medical, psychological, and social changes such as declining health and children leaving home [5].

The association between race/ethnicity and HRQOL during midlife has been the subject of only a small number of published reports [36] even though studies have shown significant differences between race/ethnicity and several HRQOL-related menopausal factors such as symptoms [7-10] and sexual functioning [11]. The largest study to examine ethnicity and HRQOL among a sample of midlife women is the Study of Women Across the Nation (SWAN), a community-based study consisting of women self-identifying primarily with one of more of the following five ethnic groups: white, African-American, Chinese, Hispanic, and Japanese [6]. Initial unadjusted analyses using data from SWAN showed significant ethnic group differences across the five domains of the Medical Outcomes Short-Form 36 (SF-36), a commonly used measure to assess HRQOL. However, some, but not all, of the group differences disappeared after adjustment for health, lifestyle, and social factors. The remaining statistically significant findings with regards to ethnicity and HRQOL suggest, as stated by the authors, that there may be true ethnic or racial differences in HRQOL, there may be other factors that explain the association between race/ethnicity and HRQOL, or there are race/ethnic differences in the way the questions are interpreted [6].

A better understanding of the association between race/ethnicity and HRQOL and the factors that mediate this association is needed, especially in terms of identifying women who are at risk for low HRQOL during the menopausal transition. Therefore, we examined the association between race and HRQOL in the context of a population-based study of Caucasian and African-American women aged 45–54 years. HRQOL was measured using Cantril's Self-Anchoring Ladder of Life [12], a validated measure of overall life satisfaction that has been used in published investigations of similar samples [2,3].

2. Methods

2.1. Study sample

A cross-sectional population-based study of midlife women aged 45–54 years was conducted in the Baltimore metropolitan area to examine the correlates of hot flashes and other menopausal symptoms. The methods of this study have been described in detail elsewhere [13]. Briefly, women aged 45–54 years were recruited from the general population by mass mailing an invitation to participate to area households in the Baltimore metropolitan region. Women who were interested in participating were screened by telephone and an appointment was scheduled for a clinic visit if they were eligible. Women were eligible if they were 45–54 years old, had at least 3 menstrual periods in the past 12 months, were not on hormone therapy, were not pregnant, had an intact uterus and at least 1 ovary, and did not have a history of ovarian, endometrial, or breast cancer.

At the clinic visit, participants signed an informed consent form, provided a blood sample, were weighed, and had their height, waist and hip circumference measured. They were then asked to complete a 26-page survey that obtained information on demographics, reproductive history, menstrual cycle characteristics, hormonal contraceptive use, symptoms, hormone therapy (HT) use, medical history, and health behaviors (smoking, alcohol use, vitamin use, eating habits). A total of 639 women enrolled in the study, which was approved by the University of Illinois, University of Maryland School of Medicine, and Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Boards.

2.2. Study variables

HRQOL was assessed using Cantril's Self-Anchoring Ladder of Life [12]. On the questionnaire, a description was given about the Ladder of Life along with a picture: 'Here is a ladder representing the 'Ladder of Life.' The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you. The bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you.' This description was followed by three questions pertaining to present, past, and future HRQOL, for which participants were asked to select a number from 0 (worst possible life) to 10 (best possible life): "(1) On which step of the ladder do you feel you personally stand at the present time? (2) On which step would you have stood 5 years ago? (3) Thinking about your future, on which step do you think you will stand about 5 years from now?"

Race information was obtained by asking participants to self-define their race as Caucasian, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, or other. Data on headache, weakness, insomnia (difficulty sleeping), visual problems, vaginal discharge, vaginal dryness, irritability, and incontinence (problems with controlling urine flow) were collected using the question "Did/do you experience any of the following symptoms on a regular basis (once a week or more) anytime during a month?" Response choices for this question for each symptom were: yes, no, and don't know. The experiencing of hot flashes was queried using the question "Have you ever had hot flashes?" with possible responses of yes, no, and don't know. Past hormone therapy (HT) was assessed by asking patients whether they had "ever taken hormone replacement therapy?" Marital status and education level were self-reported by the participant. Marital status was categorized as 'single,' 'married/living with partner,' or 'other' (widowed or divorced/separated), and, based on the distribution of participant responses. Education was categorized as 'high school or less,' 'some college,' 'college graduate,' and 'graduate courses.' Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the National Institutes of Health on-line BMI calculator and categorized as ≤ 24.9 , 25.0–29.9, and ≥ 30.0 kg/m². Smoking was categorized as current, former, or never. Current alcohol use was categorized as 'yes' or 'no' based on the answer to the question "Have you had at least 12 alcoholic drinks in the past year?" Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), a 20-item scale that asks subjects to rate how often they have had certain feelings in the past week [14]. Women were considered to have depressive symptoms if their CES-D score was 16 or more, the standard CES-D cut-point [15]. Self-reported health data were collected using the question "In general, how would you describe your health at present?" Response choices were: excellent, very good, good, fair, and poor. Because few participants reported their health as 'poor,' this category was combined with the fair 'category' for analyses.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Only a few women self-reported their race as something other than Caucasian or African-American, and thus, they were excluded from the analysis ($n = 13$). The main outcome variable was present HRQOL; past and future HRQOL were also examined. Because the responses to each of the HRQOL questions were skewed, the data for each HRQOL variable were grouped into three categories based on the distribution of the data. Responses of 1–6 were categorized as 'low' HRQOL, responses of 7 or 8 were categorized as 'moderate' HRQOL, and responses of 9 or 10 were categorized as 'high' HRQOL. Chi-square analyses were conducted to compare the distribution of demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors by race and by the HRQOL variables.

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were generated using multiple logistic regression models to examine the unadjusted and adjusted associations between race and present HRQOL. Because the proportional odds assumption was violated when modeling the three category present HRQOL variable, this variable was dichotomized for the regression analyses (low present HRQOL versus moderate/high present HRQOL). Three logistic models

for the present HRQOL variable were built to determine the effect of menopausal symptoms, demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors on the association between race and HRQOL: model 1 examined the unadjusted association between race and present HRQOL; model 2 examined the association between race and present HRQOL adjusted for the number of menopausal symptoms; model 3 examined the association between race and present HRQOL adjusted for the number of menopausal symptoms and selected demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors. Only demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors that were found to be associated with race and the present HRQOL variable in the bivariate Chi-square analyses were included in each model. A two-sided *p*-value of less than or equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Unless otherwise specified, all analyses were performed using SAS Version 9.1 (Cary, NC).

3. Results

In the study sample, Caucasian women were significantly more likely than African-American women to be married or living with a companion, to have at least some college education, and to report having at least 12 alcohol drinks in the past year (Table 1). In contrast, African-American women were significantly more likely than Caucasian women to be categorized as having a BMI of greater than 30 kg/m², to be current smokers, and to report experiencing hot flashes and other menopausal symptoms.

In the bivariate analyses, race was not significantly associated with present, past, or future HRQOL (Table 2). Higher present HRQOL was significantly associated with being married or living with a companion, having a lower BMI, not smoking, having a CES-D score of less than 16, having better self-rated health, and reporting fewer menopausal symptoms. Similarly, higher past HRQOL was significantly related with being married or living with a companion, drinking at least 12 alcohol beverages in the past year, having a CES-D score of less than 16, having better self-rated health and reporting fewer menopausal symptoms. Statistically significant correlates of high future HRQOL were no past hormone therapy use, being a current or never smoker, having a CES-D score of less than 16, and reporting better self-rated health.

In both the unadjusted and adjusted analyses, race was not significantly associated with low present HRQOL (Table 3; unadjusted OR 1.57; 95% CI 0.93, 2.65; adjusted OR 0.82; 95% CI 0.42, 1.61). In the fully adjusted model, a statistically significant positive association was observed for the number of menopausal symptoms and low present HRQOL (3 or 4 symptoms compared to 0–2: OR 3.13; 95% CI 1.65, 5.97; >4 symptoms compared to 0–2: OR 3.58; 95% CI 1.89, 6.75); in addition, women with fair/poor self-rated health were significantly more likely to report low present HRQOL compared to women with excellent/very good self-rated health (OR 10.73; 95% CI 3.91, 29.41).

4. Discussion

The findings from this population-based study suggest that race is not a statistically significant determinant of present HRQOL among midlife women. Although African-American women had 57% higher odds of low present HRQOL compared to Caucasian women in the unadjusted analyses, the OR was not statistically significant and, further, the OR was substantially attenuated after adjustment for other demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors. These results are similar to some, but not all, of the race and HRQOL-related findings from the SWAN study, which found that while some differences in HRQOL exist among African-American and Caucasian middle-aged women, most are explained by differences in health and lifestyle factors as well as social circumstances [6].

In contrast, other findings from SWAN indicate that race/ethnicity is significantly correlated with certain HRQOL domains after adjustment for other factors [6]. Specifically related to this study, the SWAN researchers found in adjusted analyses that African-American women were significantly more likely than Caucasian women to have impaired functioning on the SF-36 social functioning subscale and were less likely than Caucasian women to have impaired functioning on the vitality subscale. A major difference in our study compared to the SWAN study is that they used a HRQOL measure that assessed multiple HRQOL domains and, therefore, their results may not be directly comparable to ours. We were not able to assess the different aspects of HRQOL, as only a single question, although validated, was used to assess HRQOL in our study.

While there are few studies examining race/ethnicity and HRQOL among midlife women, the relationship between these factors has been investigated in individuals with various chronic medical conditions and many of the findings from these studies are consistent with those reported here [16-18]. For example, in a study of 145 obese women aged 24–74 years (mean: 46 years, standard deviation (S.D.): 11), LaFerrere et al. [16] showed that there were no significant differences between the African-American and Caucasian participants in terms of HRQOL (assessed using the SF-36), anxiety and depression, life distress, life satisfaction, and self-esteem. Conversely, other studies have shown that race plays an important role in predicting HRQOL even after adjustment for other important correlates, although the race/ethnic group with higher HRQOL when comparing results across these studies is not consistent [19,20].

Despite being one of the few studies to examine the association between race/ethnicity and HRQOL among a group of midlife women, several limitations should be noted when interpreting our results. First, as with all survey-based studies, participation was voluntary and therefore, the generalizability of the findings may be limited due to differences in the characteristics of individuals who participate and those who do not participate in research studies. Second, the data from this study are cross-sectional and, therefore, the possibility that HRQOL affects the identified predictors rather than vice versa cannot be ruled out.

Finally, HRQOL in this study was based on one question that is considered more of a measure of global QOL than of HRQOL. Thus, we were not able to examine specific domains of HRQOL such as physical functioning and mental health that, in other studies, have been investigated using other HRQOL measures which have been validated in midlife women. Examples of more appropriate HRQOL measures that could be incorporated into studies such as the one described in this manuscript were reviewed by Zollner et al. [21] and include the Greene Climacteric Scale and the Menopause-Specific QOL Questionnaire. These instruments capture the effects of multiple facets of the menopausal transition, such as menopausal symptoms and psychological stress, on QOL and are considered both valid and reliable in assessing HRQOL in midlife women [21]. To note, however, when planning for the present study was started in 2000, research on the health of midlife women was in its infancy, and the Ladder of Life QOL measure was included because of its brevity and because it has been shown to be a validated measure of overall life satisfaction.

The findings from this study indicate that factors other than race/ethnicity are more important in predicting HRQOL among midlife women. Specifically, as has been observed in previous studies, menopausal symptoms appear to play a greater role and, therefore, treating these symptoms may aid in improving HRQOL among women of all race and ethnicities. Aside from menopausal symptoms, identifying women who are increased risk for low HRQOL during midlife based on other characteristics is important so that clinical health interventions can be employed.

Acknowledgement

Source of support: This study was supported by National Institutes of Health grant AG18400.

References

1. McHorney CA. Health status assessment methods for adults: past accomplishments and future challenges. *Annu Rev Public Health* 1999;20:309–35. [PubMed: 10352861]
2. Bankowski BJ, Gallicchio LM, Whiteman MK, Lewis LM, Zacur HA, Flaws JA. The association between menopausal symptoms and quality of life in midlife women. *Fertil Steril* 2006;86:1006–8. [PubMed: 16962115]
3. Avis NE, Assmann SF, Kravitz HM, Ganz PA, Ory M. Quality of life in diverse groups of midlife women: assessing the influence of menopause, health status and psychosocial and demographic factors. *Qual Life Res* 2004;13:933–46. [PubMed: 15233507]
4. Ledesert B, Ringa V, Breart G. Menopause and perceived health status among the women of the French GAZEL cohort. *Maturitas* 1994;20:113–20. [PubMed: 7715462]
5. Mishra G, Kuh D. Perceived change in quality of life during the menopause. *Soc Sci Med* 2006;62:93–102. [PubMed: 15990213]
6. Avis NE, Ory M, Matthews KA, Schocken M, Bromberger J, Colvin A. Health-related quality of life in a multiethnic sample of middle-aged women: Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). *Med Care* 2003;41:1262–76. [PubMed: 14583689]
7. Appling S, Paez K, Allen J. Ethnicity and vasomotor symptoms in post-menopausal women. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2007;16(8):1130–8. [PubMed: 17937565]
8. Miller SR, Gallicchio LM, Lewis LM, et al. Association between race and hot flashes in midlife women. *Maturitas* 2006;54:260–9. [PubMed: 16423474]
9. Avis NE, Stellato R, Crawford S, et al. Is there a menopausal syndrome? Menopausal status and symptoms across racial/ethnic groups. *Soc Sci Med* 2001;52:345–56. [PubMed: 11330770]
10. Schnatz PF, Serra J, O'sullivan DM, et al. Menopausal symptoms in Hispanic women and the role of socioeconomic factors. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2006;61:187–93. [PubMed: 16490118]
11. Avis NE, Zhao X, Johannes CB, Ory M, Brockwell S, Greendale GA. Correlates of sexual function among multi-ethnic middle-aged women: results from the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). *Menopause* 2005;12(4):385–98. [PubMed: 16037753]
12. Cantril, H. The pattern of human concerns. Rutgers University Press; New Brunswick, NJ: 1965.
13. Gallicchio L, Miller SR, Visvanathan K, et al. Cigarette smoking, estrogen levels, and hot flashes in midlife women. *Maturitas* 2006;53:133–43. [PubMed: 16368467]
14. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977;3:385–401.
15. Zich JM, Attkisson CC, Greenfield TK. Screening for depression in primary care clinics: the CES-D and the BDI. *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1990;20:259–77. [PubMed: 2265888]
16. LaFerrere B, Zhu S, Clarkson JR, et al. Race, menopause, health-related quality of life, and psychological well-being in obese women. *Obes Res* 2002;10(12):1270–5. [PubMed: 12490671]
17. Jackson-Triche ME, Greer Sullivan J, Wells KB, Rogers W, Camp P, Mazel R. Depression and health-related quality of life in ethnic minorities seeking care in general medical settings. *J Affect Disord* 2000;58:89–97. [PubMed: 10781698]
18. Ashing-Giwa K, Ganz PA, Petersen L. Quality of life of African-American and white long term breast carcinoma survivors. *Cancer* 1999;85:418–26. [PubMed: 10023710]
19. Penninx BW, Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Kasper JD, Ferrucci L, Fried LP. Emotional vitality among disabled older women: the Women's Health and Aging Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998;46:807–15. [PubMed: 9670865]
20. Kutner NG, Devins GM. A comparison of the quality of life reported by elderly whites and elderly blacks on dialysis. *Geriatr Nephrol Urol* 1998;8:77–83. [PubMed: 9893215]
21. Zollner YF, Acquadro C, Schaefer M. Literature review of instruments to assess health-related quality of life during and after menopause. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14:309–27. [PubMed: 15892422]

Table 1
Characteristics of women participating in midlife health study, by race.

	Caucasian		African-American		<i>p</i> -Value
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	
Sample size	532		94		
Age group (years)					0.9
45–49	343	64.5	60	63.8	
50–54	189	35.5	34	36.2	
Marital status					<0.0001
Single	55	10.3	26	27.6	
Married/living w/a companion	378	71.1	42	44.7	
Widowed/separated/divorced	98	18.4	26	27.7	
Education group					0.02
Less than college	73	13.7	24	25.5	
Some college	144	27.1	26	27.7	
Graduated college	134	25.2	22	23.4	
Graduate level	181	34.0	22	23.4	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)					<0.0001
Less than 25.0	253	47.6	15	16.0	
25.0–29.9	146	27.4	25	26.6	
30.0 or greater	132	24.8	54	57.4	
Ever been pregnant					0.5
Yes	455	85.5	83	88.3	
No	76	14.3	11	11.7	
Ever taken hormone therapy					0.2
Yes	27	5.1	8	8.5	
No	504	94.7	86	91.5	
Smoking status					0.01
Current	40	7.5	16	17.0	
Former	212	39.8	31	33.0	
Never	279	52.4	47	50.0	
At least 12 alcohol drinks in last year					<0.0001

	Caucasian		African-American		<i>p</i> -Value
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	
Yes	377	70.9	39	41.5	0.4
No	155	29.1	55	58.5	
CES-D ^a score of 16 or greater					
Yes	133	25.0	19	20.2	<0.0001
No	396	74.4	73	77.7	
Self-rated health					
Excellent	118	22.2	11	11.7	0.01
Very good	232	43.6	26	27.6	
Good	153	28.8	40	42.6	0.02
Fair/poor	24	4.5	17	18.1	
Ever experienced hot flashes					
Yes	302	56.8	66	70.2	0.01
No	230	43.2	28	29.8	
Number of menopausal symptoms ^b					
0–2	206	38.7	29	30.9	0.02
3–4	147	27.6	21	22.3	
Greater than 4	136	25.6	38	40.4	

^aCES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale.

^bIncludes nausea, headache, weakness, insomnia, vision problems, vaginal discharge, vaginal dryness, irritability, muscle weakness, incontinence, hot flashes.

Table 2
Bivariate associations between investigated covariates and health-related quality of life (HRQOL).

	n	Present HRQOL				p-Value Past HRQOL				p-Value Future HRQOL				p-Value
		Low %		Moderate %		High %		Low %		Moderate %		High %		
Race														
Caucasian	532	17.1	56.6	26.3	0.1	36.1	38.2	25.6	0.3	7.9	32.9	59.0	0.1	
African-American	94	24.5	45.7	29.8		43.6	30.9	25.5		7.4	22.3	69.1		
Age group (years)														
45–49	403	18.4	56.8	24.8	0.3	40.0	36.7	23.3	0.1	7.2	30.8	61.5	0.6	
50–54	223	17.9	51.6	30.5		32.3	37.7	29.6		9.0	32.3	58.7		
Marital status					0.0002				0.004				0.7	
Single	81	25.9	53.1	21.0		43.2	35.8	21.0		7.4	27.2	65.4		
Married/living w/a companion	420	13.3	57.1	29.5		32.6	38.1	29.0		7.6	31.0	61.0		
Widowed/separated/divorced	124	29.8	49.2	21.0		49.2	34.7	16.1		8.9	35.5	55.6		
Education group					0.3				0.2				0.1	
Less than college	97	24.7	54.6	20.6		39.2	27.8	33.0		14.4	27.8	56.7		
Some college	170	20.0	55.3	24.7		38.2	35.9	25.3		8.2	31.8	60.0		
Graduated college	156	17.3	54.5	28.2		36.5	35.9	27.6		6.4	35.9	57.7		
Graduate level	203	14.3	55.2	30.5		36.0	43.3	20.7		5.4	29.1	65.0		
Body mass index (kg/m²)					0.01				0.08				0.09	
Less than 25.0	268	14.2	55.2	30.6		35.1	37.7	27.2		4.5	32.1	63.1		
25.0–29.9	171	17.0	55.0	28.1		32.2	38.6	28.7		9.9	29.2	60.8		
30.0 or greater	186	25.3	54.8	19.9		45.2	34.9	19.9		10.8	32.3	56.5		
Ever been pregnant					0.7				1				0.4	
Yes	538	18.2	54.3	27.5		37.2	37.2	25.5		7.6	30.5	61.5		
No	87	18.4	58.6	23.0		36.8	36.8	26.4		9.2	36.8	54.0		
Ever taken hormone therapy					0.1				0.9				0.03	
Yes	35	31.4	48.6	20.0		34.3	40.0	22.9		17.1	40.0	42.9		
No	590	17.5	55.4	27.1		37.5	36.9	25.6		7.3	30.8	61.5		
Smoking status					0.03				0.2				0.04	
Current	56	30.4	55.4	14.3		51.8	30.4	17.9		14.3	21.4	64.3		
Former	243	19.3	53.9	26.7		36.6	36.6	26.7		5.8	37.0	56.8		
Never	326	15.0	55.8	29.1		35.0	38.7	26.1		8.3	28.8	62.6		

	n	Present HRQOL			p-Value			Past HRQOL			p-Value			Future HRQOL			p-Value
		Low %	Moderate %	High %		Low %	Moderate %	High %		Low %	Moderate %	High %					
At least 12 alcohol drinks in last year	416	17.3	52.9	29.8	0.1	32.7	40.4	26.9	0.003	6.7	32.0	61.3	0.3				
	210	20.0	59.0	21.0		46.2	30.5	22.9		10.0	30.0	59.0					
CES-D ^d score of 16 or greater					<0.0001				0.04				<0.0001				
Yes	152	50.0	41.4	8.6		40.8	40.8	17.8		19.1	40.1	40.1					
No	469	8.1	59.1	32.8		35.8	36.0	28.1		4.3	28.6	66.7					
Self-rated health					<0.0001				0.02				<0.0001				
Excellent	129	7.8	35.7	56.6		27.1	38.8	34.1		3.1	17.8	79.1					
Very good	258	10.1	62.4	27.5		36.0	37.6	26.4		4.3	32.9	62.4					
Good	193	25.4	62.2	12.4		43.0	35.2	21.8		11.4	37.3	50.8					
Fair/poor	41	63.4	36.6	0.0		48.8	36.6	12.2		26.8	31.7	41.5					
Ever experienced hot flashes					0.2				0.5				0.8				
Yes	368	20.1	54.9	25.0		24.2	27.2	18.8		8.2	30.4	61.1					
No	258	15.5	55.0	29.5		55.8	51.2	35.3		7.4	32.6	59.7					
Number of menopausal symptoms ^b					<0.0001				0.01				0.2				
0–2	235	7.2	57.4	35.3		31.5	35.7	31.5		5.1	28.1	66.8					
3–4	168	20.8	57.1	22.0		36.9	40.5	22.6		9.5	31.5	58.9					
4 or greater	174	29.3	52.3	18.4		46.0	33.3	20.1		8.6	32.8	57.5					

^a CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale.

^b Includes nausea, headache, weakness, insomnia, vision problems, vaginal problems, vaginal discharge, vaginal dryness, irritability, muscle weakness, incontinence, hot flashes.

Table 3

Unadjusted and adjusted associations between race and low present health-related quality of life.

	Model 1 OR (95% CI) ^a	Model 2 OR (95% CI) ^a	Model 3 OR (95% CI) ^a
Race			
Caucasian	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
African-American	1.57 (0.93, 2.65)	1.46 (0.83, 2.56)	0.82 (0.42, 1.61)
Number of menopausal symptoms ^b			
0–2		1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
3–4		3.38 (1.82, 6.28)	3.13 (1.65, 5.97)
Greater than 4		5.14 (2.84, 9.31)	3.58 (1.89, 6.75)
Marital status			
Single			1.00 (reference)
Married			0.54 (0.27, 1.08)
Widowed/divorced/separated			1.21 (0.57, 2.56)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)			
Less than 25.0			1.00 (reference)
25.0–29.9			1.05 (0.67, 1.96)
30.0 or greater			1.20 (0.67, 2.15)
Smoking status			
Never			1.00 (reference)
Former			1.09 (0.65, 1.82)
Current			1.30 (0.60, 2.82)
Self-rated health			
Excellent/very good			1.00 (reference)
Good			1.62 (0.78, 3.38)
Fair/poor			10.73 (3.91, 29.41)

^aOR = odds ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval.^bIncludes nausea, headache, weakness, insomnia, vision problems, vaginal discharge, vaginal dryness, irritability, muscle weakness, incontinence, hot flashes.