Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2009 October ; 13(4): 421–426. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.07.022.

Determination of Glycosylation Sites and Site-specific Heterogeneity in Glycoproteins

Hyun Joo An¹, John W. Froehlich¹, and Carlito B. Lebrilla^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, CA 95616

² Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of California, Davis, CA 95616

Abstract

Summary of Recent Advances—Glycosylation is one of the most common post-translational modifications (PTMs) of proteins. At least 50% of human proteins are glycosylated with some estimates being as high as 70%. Glycoprotein analysis requires determining both the sites of glycosylation as well as the glycan structures associated with each site. Recent advances have led to the development of new analytical methods that employ mass spectrometry extensively making it possible to obtain the glycosylation site and the site microheterogeneity. These tools will be important for the eventual development of glycoproteomics.

Introduction

Glycosylation plays a variety of important roles in many cellular events ranging from structural to signaling and recognition [1]. Key to the understanding of these roles is the knowledge of the primary structures of the glycoconjugates. There are two major types of glycosylation: N-linked and O-linked. In N-glycosylation, an N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) residue is attached by an amide bond to an asparagine residue belonging to a consensus sequence NX(S/T), where X can be any amino acid except proline. The presence of the consensus sequence is required for N-linked glycosylation, however the occupation of a potential site is not obligatory. Hence, a glycoprotein may contain a number of potentially N-glycosylated sites, each of which may or may not be glycosylated. Additionally, O-glycosylation may occur at any serine or threonine residue with no single common core structure or consensus protein sequence. While the proteome is coded in the genome, no template exists for glycosylation. Glycans are produced by a set of competing glycosyl transferases. For this reason, the population of glycans occurring at a given site is often not homogeneous; a particular site of N- and O-glycosylation may be occupied by a number of structurally distinct glycans - a condition referred to as microheterogeneity or site heterogeneity. A protein containing three sites of glycosylation with 10 different glycans in each site can result in a 1000 different glycoforms of the protein. The large structural heterogeneity, the presence of numerous glycosylation sites, and the large number of possible stereo- and regio-isomers all conspire to make glycoprotein analysis significantly more difficult than protein analysis. For this reason, proteomic studies typically

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Carlito B. Lebrilla, cblebrilla@ucdavis.edu; Tel: +1-530-752-0504; Fax: +1-530-752-8995.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

cleave and discard the glycans prior to analysis. This notion is however changing as new methods for glycoprotein analysis are being developed and refined.

Structural Determination of Glycans

Analysis of glycans or oligosaccharides has previously been performed by nuclear magnetic resonance. X-ray crystallography of glycans is relatively uncommon and limited to very simple structures. The major limitations of these methods are that they require pure samples and large amounts of material. Separation of glycans into pure components is complicated by the lack of effective methods for separating them, while biological samples often yield femto- to pico-moles of individual components and well below the analytical capabilities of these methods.

Mass Spectrometry (MS) has emerged as the premier tool for oligosaccharide analysis [2]. It provides structural information with high sensitivity [3]. Glycan analysis is typically performed with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) and electrospray ionization (ESI) resulting in ions that are either sodium coordinated or protonated, respectively in the positive MS mode and the deprotonated in the negative MS mode [4,5]. The fragmentation behavior of the two types of ions in tandem MS varies slightly but glycans commonly yield fragment ions resulting from glycosidic bond cleavages [3]. While per-derivatization such as permethylation is often performed, it is not a requisite to analysis. Derivatization improves sensitivity and yields more structurally relevant fragment ions during tandem MS, but the sensitivity improvements are only slight while making it difficult to use glycosidase reactions to determine linkages and identify saccharide residues [6]. Furthermore, per-derivatization adds additional steps, yields partially derivatized products, and may mask some types such as sulfated oligosaccharides.

Traditional Method for Site-specific Glycosylation

The standard approaches (Figure 1) to determine site-specific glycosylation are to employ a combination of specific enzymatic proteolysis (usually with trypsin), fractionation of glycopeptides (most often by liquid chromatography or affinity chromatography) and glycopeptide analysis by MS [7-11].

In some cases, the deglycosylation of glycoprotein or glycopeptides is concurrently performed, followed by MS analysis of the released glycans. Trypsin readily produces highly predictable peptide masses due to its high activity and specificity. In addition, tryptic glycopeptides guarantee a basic (readily protonated) residue in every peptide, which increases ionization efficiency during MS analysis. The major problem with this approach is that many glycoproteins are resistant to trypsin. In addition, the resulting glycopeptides may often be too large for MS analysis. The problem is complicated by the presence of missed cleavages particularly near the sites of glycosylation. The mixture of peptides and glycopeptides further complicates analysis because glycosylation strongly diminishes the ionization of the peptide. The problem is further compounded because glycopeptides obtained from proteolytic digestion are much lower in abundance than peptides from the same glycoproteins. For these reasons, the information regarding glycosylation is rather often incomplete.

Enrichment of the glycopeptides can be performed but has its own challenges. One strategy was developed that cleaves the carbon-carbon group between the diols of saccharide units producing aldehyde groups that can be captured via reaction with hydrazine functional groups that are immobilized on a solid support [12,13]. The unbound, nonglycosylated peptides are then removed by washing, and the immobilized glycopeptides are released from the solid-phase support by enzymatic cleavage of the glycan-peptide bond. The asparagines that were formerly glycosylated are converted to aspartic acids during this reaction, and the peptides can then be identified using MS/MS analyses. Other approaches include capture with boronic acid

immobilized in columns [14]; chemical bonds are formed between the diol groups of the glycoproteins or tryptic glycopeptides and the immobilized borate groups at high pH. Similarly, size exclusion chromatography has been used to enrich N-linked glycopeptides from human serum resulting in a three-fold increase in the number of glycosylation sites observed[15]. Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) has also been used on a variety of complex matrices[16-21]. Anion exchange chromatography was employed for the enrichment of sialylated glycopeptides. Lectin affinity chromatography using ConA [22-24], WGA or a combination of lectins [10] has also demonstrated utility. However despite the plurality of the methods, there is still no efficient method for glycopeptide enrichment, as no method is both comprehensive and highly specific.[25].

Glycan Foot-printing for Site-specific Analysis

An alternative method (Figure 2) to overcome several limitations of trypsin digestion was developed using nonspecific proteases [26,27]. In this approach pronase is used, which is a mixture of exo- and endo-proteinases capable of cleaving essentially any peptide bond. The glycoprotein is digested save for small peptide 'footprints' around glycosylation sites that are protected from digestion by steric hindrance due to the glycan moiety. The products of the digestion are glycopeptides with short peptides, small (unglycosylated) dipeptides, and amino acids. Purification with solid phase extraction and subsequent MS analysis yields mass spectra that contain only glycopeptides. This method is now used routinely to obtain site heterogeneity for numerous glycoproteins, however its major limitation is that it works best currently for purified glycoproteins and small protein mixtures. It requires high-mass accuracy and high-resolution instruments such as Fourier transform instruments (ion cyclotron resonance and orbitrap) and high performance time-of-flight. It may also yield small amino acid and dipeptide coordinated N-linked glycans, but the size of the peptide moiety can be controlled by the reaction time. O-linked glycan typically yield larger peptides despite the reaction times [26, 28,29].

Further improvements on these methods however will make them more generally applicable and more robust. For example, immobilized pronase is found to be more effective in producing glycopeptides by reducing enzyme autolysis products. Hyper-loaded beads are found to accelerate the reaction yielding desired glycopeptides after only 1.5 hours [30]. Site heterogeneity in both N-linked [31] and O-linked [29] glycoproteins displaying a wide range of glycan structures are completely elucidated. This technique is also useful for mixtures of glycoproteins yielding quantitative determination of glycosylation sites and microheterogeneity [28].

Tandem MS of glycopeptides

In theory, tandem MS can provide peptide and glycan sequence as well as the site of glycosylation. In practice however, tandem MS analysis of glycopeptides remains difficult and far from routine. Studies of glycopeptide fragmentation reactions have focused almost exclusively on protonated (either singly via MALDI or multiply via ESI) tryptic glycopeptides. The typical fragments correspond to the loss of the glycan moiety, while the information on peptide sequence and glycan attachment sites is often minimal. Tandem MS is complicated by the sizes of tryptic peptides, which tend to be larger than the useful mass range of collision-induced dissociation (CID), and the labile nature of the glycan-peptide bond. A common strategy, therefore, is to determine the overall mass of the glycopeptide and perform tandem MS to yield the peptide mass. Typically very little information is obtained on the glycan sequence. To sequence the peptide, the glycopeptide is first deglycosylated and subjected separately to tandem MS.

Several reviews on glycopeptide analysis by mass spectrometry [7,20] conclude that the fragmentation behavior of glycopeptide ions under collision-induced dissociation tend to vary with the instrument, the instrumental parameters, the peptide composition, the charge carrier, the charge state [9,20,31-35]. More recently, electron capture dissociation (ECD) and electron transfer dissociation (ETD) have been applied to glycopeptides and are showing considerable promise [35,36]. These typically non-ergodic methods cleave peptide bonds while leaving the glycan attached to the peptide. Unfortunately, most ECD and ETD, and even CID, studies have been performed on N-linked glycans where the glycosylation sites are known from the consensus sequence. A better application would be to employ ECD and ETD on O-linked glycans.

Nonetheless, much information can still be extracted from CID. Glycopeptides when subjected to CID yield low molecular weight ions such as m/z 163 (Hex+H⁺), m/z 204 (HexNAc+H⁺), m/z 292 (NeuAc+H⁺), and m/z 366 (Hex-HexNAc+H⁺) that are diagnostic for the presence of glycosylation [8,37]. In this way, glycopeptides were readily identified by selective ion monitoring (SIM) with high sensitivity in ion trap MS [19], quadrupole-TOF mass analyzers [38,39]. By the same token, neutral losses of saccharides such as hexose (162 Da), N-acetylhexosamine (203 Da), fucose (146 Da), N-acetylneuraminic acid (291 Da) can also be used to indicate the presence of glycopeptides in the mass spectra. The CID of pronase-produced ions also yields structurally informative fragments on glycopeptides with sizes more amenable to CID. Glycopeptides coordinated with Na⁺ yield glycan information, while protonated species yield peptide sequence information [31].

Bioinformatic Tools for Glycoprotein Analysis

Due to the extreme glycan heterogeneity, creating a thorough data analysis platform for large-scale glycopeptide identification is a formidable challenge [40]. The protein databases can be parsed to contain only those containing the consensus sequence for N-linked glycosylation [41]. There are several software platforms such as GlycoMod[42], GlycoPep DB, Peptonist [43], GlycoMiner[44] that aid in the identification of intact glycopeptides, mainly N-linked glycopeptides [43,45-47]. These methods are useful for the determination of glycosylation sites from glycopeptides derived from specific protease like a trypsin, while GlycoX[48] can be used to interpret mass spectra obtained from nonspecific protease such as pronase E and protease K.

Conclusions

Unlocking the structural code of glycosylation offers another dimension toward understanding protein function. Development in the next few years in chemical/biochemical sample processing, mass spectrometry strategies, and bio-informatics will lead to the comprehensive and routine analysis of glycoproteins. However, glycoproteomics must include both glycan and protein information. The current tendency to use the term “glycoproteomics” to studies that examine glycosylation sites while discarding glycan information should be avoided. These glycan-specific proteomics studies have their merit but provide only partial information regarding the glycoproteome.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for funds provided by the National Institute of Health (RO1GM049077).

References

1. Dennis JW, Granovsky M, Warren CE. Protein glycosylation in development and disease. *Bioessays* 1999;21:412-421. [PubMed: 10376012]

2. Park Y, Lebrilla CB. Application of Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry to oligosaccharides. *Mass Spectrom Rev* 2005;24:232–264. [PubMed: 15389860]
- 3•. Harvey DJ. Proteomic analysis of glycosylation: structural determination of N- and O-linked glycans by mass spectrometry. *Expert Review of Proteomics* 2005;2:87–101. [PubMed: 15966855]A comprehensive review of the methods for the structural determination of glycans, mainly based on mass spectrometry
4. Cancilla MT, Penn SG, Carroll JA, Lebrilla CB. Coordination of alkali metals to oligosaccharides dictates fragmentation behavior in matrix assisted laser desorption ionization Fourier transform mass spectrometry. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1996;118:6736–6745.
- 5•. Harvey DJ. Analysis of Carbohydrates and Glycoconjugates by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry: An Update for 2003–2004. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews* 2009;28:273–361. [PubMed: 18825656]A comprehensive review on the application of MALDI-MS to analysis of glycans and glycojugates. This review is the third update of the original review
6. Kang P, Mechref Y, Kyselova Z, Goetz JA, Novotny MV. Comparative glycomic mapping through quantitative permethylation and stable-isotope labeling. *Anal Chem* 2007;79:6064–6073. [PubMed: 17630715]
- 7•. Dalpathado DS, Desaire H. Glycopeptide analysis by mass spectrometry. *Analyst* 2008;133:731–738. [PubMed: 18493671]A tutorial review for the mass spectrometry analysis of glycopeptides
8. Huddleston MJ, Bean MF, Carr SA. Collisional fragmentation of glycopeptides by electrospray ionization LC/MS and LC/MS/MS: methods for selective detection of glycopeptides in protein digests. *Anal Chem* 1993;65:877–884. [PubMed: 8470819]
9. Ito H, Takegawa Y, Deguchi K, Nagai S, Nakagawa H, Shinohara Y, Nishimura SI. Direct structural assignment of neutral and sialylated N-glycans of glycopeptides using collision-induced dissociation MSn spectral matching. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2006;20:3557–3565. [PubMed: 17091533]
10. Zhao J, Simeone DM, Heidt D, Anderson MA, Lubman DM. Comparative serum glycoproteomics using lectin selected sialic acid glycoproteins with mass spectrometric analysis: Application to pancreatic cancer serum. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2006;5:1792–1802. [PubMed: 16823988]
- 11•. Geyer H, Geyer R. Strategies for analysis of glycoprotein glycosylation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2006;1764:1853–1869. [PubMed: 17134948]A comprehensive review of the method for the separation and characterization of glycopeptides. It also covers the strategies for the analysis of released glycans from a glycoprotein
12. Zhang H, Aebersold R. Isolation of glycoproteins and identification of their N-linked glycosylation sites. *Methods Mol Biol* 2006;328:177–185. [PubMed: 16785649]
- 13•. Zhang H, Li XJ, Martin DB, Aebersold R. Identification and quantification of N-linked glycoproteins using hydrazide chemistry, stable isotope labeling and mass spectrometry. *Nat Biotechnol* 2003;21:660–666. [PubMed: 12754519]The first large-scale glycosylation site profiling analysis based on immobilized hydrazide resin. This approach was combined with isotopic labeling to improve quantitation
14. Sparbier K, Koch S, Kessler I, Wenzel T, Kostrzewa M. Selective isolation of glycoproteins and glycopeptides for MALDI-TOF MS detection supported by magnetic particles. *J Biomol Tech* 2005;16:407–413. [PubMed: 16522863]
15. Alvarez-Manilla G, Atwood J, Guo Y, Warren NL, Orlando R, Pierce M. Tools for glycoproteomic analysis: Size exclusion chromatography facilitates identification of tryptic glycopeptides with N-linked glycosylation sites. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2006;5:701–708. [PubMed: 16512686]
- 16•. Hagglund P, Bunkenborg J, Elortza F, Jensen ON, Roepstorff P. A new strategy for identification of N-glycosylated proteins and unambiguous assignment of their glycosylation sites using HILIC enrichment and partial deglycosylation. *J Proteome Res* 2004;3:556–566. [PubMed: 15253437]A site-profiling method which is based on the analysis of peptides with a single HexNAc residue remaining from what was previously a fully N-glycosylated peptide. This approach will not be influenced by spontaneous deamination of asparagine residues
17. Takahashi K, Hiki Y, Odani H, Shimozato S, Iwase H, Suglyama S, Usuda N. Structural analyses of O-glycan sugar chains on IgA1 hinge region using SELDI-TOFMS with various lectins. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 2006;350:580–587. [PubMed: 17022936]

18. Takegawa Y, Ito H, Keira T, Deguchi K, Nakagawa H, Nishimura S. Profiling of N- and O-glycopeptides of erythropoietin by capillary zwitterionic type of hydrophilic interaction chromatography/electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J Sep Sci* 2008;31:1585–1593. [PubMed: 18435510]
19. Wuhler M, Balog CIA, Koeleman CAM, Deelder AM, Hokke CH. New features of site-specific horseradish peroxidase (HRP) glycosylation uncovered by nano-LC-MS with repeated ion-isolation/fragmentation cycles. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-General Subjects* 2005;1723:229–239.
20. Wuhler M, Catalina MI, Deelder AM, Hokke CH. Glycoproteomics based on tandem mass spectrometry of glycopeptides. *Journal of Chromatography B-Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences* 2007;849:115–128. A thorough review of contemporary glycopeptide fragmentation knowledge
21. Wuhler M, de Boer AR, Deelder AM. Structural glycomics using hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) with mass spectrometry. *Mass Spectrom Rev* 2009;28:192–206. [PubMed: 18979527]
22. Fan XL, She YM, Bagshaw RD, Callahan JW, Schachter H, Mahuran DJ. A method for proteomic identification of membrane-bound proteins containing Asn-linked oligosaccharides. *Analytical Biochemistry* 2004;332:178–186. [PubMed: 15301963]
23. Bunkenborg J, Pilch BJ, Podtelejnikov AV, Wisniewski JR. Screening for N-glycosylated proteins by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. *Proteomics* 2004;4:454–465. [PubMed: 14760718]
24. Uematsu R, Furukawa J, Nakagawa H, Shinohara Y, Deguchi K, Monde K, Nishimura SI. High throughput quantitative glycomics and glycoform-focused proteomics of murine dermis and epidermis. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 2005;4:1977–1989. [PubMed: 16170054]
25. Calvano CD, Zamboni CG, Jensen ON. Assessment of lectin and HILIC based enrichment protocols for characterization of serum glycoproteins by mass spectrometry. *Journal of Proteomics* 2008;71:304–317. [PubMed: 18638581]
26. An HJ, Peavy TR, Hedrick JL, Lebrilla CB. Determination of N-glycosylation sites and site heterogeneity in glycoproteins. *Anal Chem* 2003;75:5628–5637. [PubMed: 14710847] A systematic approach based on a combination of nonspecific proteolysis, deglycosylation, and mass spectrometry analysis for the characterization of glycosylation sites and site heterogeneity in glycoproteins
27. Juhasz P, Martin SA. The utility of nonspecific proteases in the characterization of glycoproteins by high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 1997;169:217–230. The first report of the utility of nonspecific protease to determine the glycosylation site and glycan heterogeneity
28. Dodds ED, Seipert RR, Clowers BH, German JB, Lebrilla CB. Analytical Performance of Immobilized Pronase for Glycopeptide Footprinting and Implications for Surpassing Reductionist Glycoproteomics. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2009;8:502–512. [PubMed: 19072223]
29. Seipert RR, Dodds ED, Lebrilla CB. Exploiting Differential Dissociation Chemistries of O-Linked Glycopeptide Ions for the Localization of Mucin-Type Protein Glycosylation. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2009;8:493–501. [PubMed: 19067536]
30. Clowers BH, Dodds ED, Seipert RR, Lebrilla CB. Site determination of protein glycosylation based on digestion with immobilized nonspecific proteases and Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2007;6:4032–4040. [PubMed: 17824634]
31. Seipert RR, Dodds ED, Clowers BH, Beecroft SM, German JB, Lebrilla CB. Factors that influence fragmentation behavior of N-linked glycopeptide ions. *Analytical Chemistry* 2008;80:3684–3692. [PubMed: 18363335] A systematic study that explores the fragmentation behavior of a variety of nontryptic N-linked glycopeptides, and elucidates the significant role that charge carrier plays in determining that behavior
32. Hakansson K, Chalmers MJ, Quinn JP, McFarland MA, Hendrickson CL, Marshall AG. Combined electron capture and infrared multiphoton dissociation for multistage MS/MS in a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer. *Analytical Chemistry* 2003;75:3256–3262. [PubMed: 12964777]
33. Deguchi K, Ito H, Baba T, Hirabayashi A, Nakagawa H, Fumoto M, Hinou H, Nishimura SI. Structural analysis of O-glycopeptides employing negative- and positive-ion multistage mass spectra obtained by collision-induced and electron-capture dissociations in linear ion trap time-of-flight mass

- spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2007;21:691–698. [PubMed: 17279605]
34. Greis KD, Hayes BK, Comer FI, Kirk M, Barnes S, Lowary TL, Hart GW. Selective detection and site-analysis of O-GlcNAc-modified glycopeptides by beta-elimination and tandem electrospray mass spectrometry. *Analytical Biochemistry* 1996;234:38–49. [PubMed: 8742080]
35. Catalina MI, Koeleman CAM, Deelder AM, Wuhler M. Electron transfer dissociation of N-glycopeptides: loss of the entire N-glycosylated asparagine side chain. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2007;21:1053–1061. [PubMed: 17311219]
36. Hakansson K, Cooper HJ, Emmett MR, Costello CE, Marshall AG, Nilsson CL. Electron capture dissociation and infrared multiphoton dissociation MS/MS of an N-glycosylated tryptic peptide to yield complementary sequence information. *Analytical Chemistry* 2001;73:4530–4536. [PubMed: 11575803]
37. Medzihradszky KF, Besman MJ, Burlingame AL. Structural characterization of site-specific N-glycosylation of recombinant human factor VIII by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 1997;69:3986–3994. [PubMed: 9322435]
38. Jebanathirajah J, Steen H, Roepstorff P. Using optimized collision energies and high resolution, high accuracy fragment ion selection to improve glycopeptide detection by precursor ion scanning. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 2003;14:777–784. [PubMed: 12837600]
39. Ritchie MA, Gill AC, Deery MJ, Lilley K. Precursor ion scanning for detection and structural characterization of heterogeneous glycopeptide mixtures. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 2002;13:1065–1077. [PubMed: 12322954]
40. Perez S, Mulloy B. Prospects for glycoinformatics. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 2005;15:517–524. [PubMed: 16143513] An overview of status, methods, requirements and perspectives on the application of bioinformatics to the field of glycosciences. It provides a list of web tools and databases used in glycoinformatics field
41. Atwood JA, Sahoo SS, Alvarez-Manilla G, Weatherly DB, Kolli K, Orlando R, York WS. Simple modification of a protein database for mass spectral identification of N-linked glycopeptides. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2005;19:3002–3006. [PubMed: 16196021]
42. Cooper CA, Gasteiger E, Packer NH. GlycoMod—a software tool for determining glycosylation compositions from mass spectrometric data. *Proteomics* 2001;1:340–349. [PubMed: 11680880]
43. Goldberg D, Bern M, Parry S, Sutton-Smith M, Panico M, Morris HR, Dell A. Automated N-glycopeptide identification using a combination of single- and tandem-MS. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2007;6:3995–4005. [PubMed: 17727280]
44. Ozohanics O, Krenyacz J, Ludanyi K, Pollreis F, Vekey K, Drahos L. GlycoMiner: a new software tool to elucidate glycopeptide composition. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 2008;22:3245–3254. [PubMed: 18803335]
45. Joenvaara S, Ritamo I, Peltoniemi H, Renkonen R. N-Glycoproteomics - An automated workflow approach. *Glycobiology* 2008;18:339–349. [PubMed: 18272656]
46. Ozohanics O, Krenyacz J, Ludanyi K, Pollreis F, Vekey K, Drahos L. GlycoMiner: a new software tool to elucidate glycopeptide composition. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2008;22:3245–3254. [PubMed: 18803335]
47. Peltoniemi H, Joenvaara S, Renkonen R. De novo glycan structure search with the CID MS/MS spectra of native N-glycopeptides. *Glycobiology* 2009;19:707–714. [PubMed: 19270074]
48. An HJ, Tillinghast JS, Woodruff DL, Rocke DM, Lebrilla CB. A new computer program (GlycoX) to determine simultaneously the glycosylation sites and oligosaccharide heterogeneity of glycoproteins. *Journal of Proteome Research* 2006;5:2800–2808. [PubMed: 17022651]

Figure 1.

The standard approaches to determine site-specific glycosylation employing the specific enzymatic proteolysis. Depending on the nature of the samples used, some of the steps were not always needed (marked with an asterisk (*)). The order of procedures had some variability as well.

Figure 2.

The experimental strategy for the determination of glycosylation sites and site-specific heterogeneity using non-specific proteolysis. Glycan information (on the right) is often used to eliminate false positive assignment of specific glycopeptides.