Published in final edited form as:

Acta Biomater. 2009 October ; 5(8): 3045–3056. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2009.04.013.

Role of Prism Decussation on Fatigue Crack Growth and Fracture of Human Enamel

Devendra Bajaj¹ and Dwayne Arola^{1,2,✱}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Maryland Baltimore County Baltimore, MD 21250

²Department of Endodontics, Prosthodontics, and Operative Dentistry Baltimore College of Dental Surgery University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21201

Abstract

The role of prism decussation on the crack growth resistance of human enamel is evaluated. Miniature inset Compact Tension (CT) specimens embodying a section of cuspal enamel were subjected to Mode I cyclic or monotonic loads. Cracks were grown in either the forward (from outer enamel inwards) or reverse (from inner enamel outwards) direction and the responses were compared quantitatively. Results showed that the outer enamel exhibits lower resistance to the inception and growth of cracks. Regardless of the growth direction, the near threshold region of cyclic extension was typical of ‘short crack’ behavior (i.e. deceleration of growth with an increase in crack length). Cyclic crack growth was more stable in the forward direction and occurred over twice the spatial distance achieved in the reverse direction. In response to the monotonic loads, a rising R-curve response was exhibited by growth in the forward direction only. The total energy absorbed in fracture for the forward direction was more than three times that in the reverse. The rise in crack growth resistance was largely attributed to a combination of mechanisms that included crack bridging, crack bifurcation and crack curving, which were induced by decussation in the inner enamel. An analysis of the responses distinguished that the microstructure of enamel appears optimized for resisting crack growth initiating from damage at the tooth’s surface.

Keywords

decussation; DEJ; enamel; fatigue crack growth; fracture; toughening mechanisms

INTRODUCTION

Cyclic loading can cause the inception and growth of damage that reduces the “life” of engineering and biological materials [1]. The accumulation of cyclic damage often results in the generation of well defined cracks that undergo subsequent growth and ultimately cause fracture under adequate driving forces and/or crack length [2-4]. Human teeth are susceptible to such fractures in the enamel crown [5], particularly in regions of the tooth that have been restored [6]. While in tissues such as bone and cartilage the generation of microdamage and

✱Corresponding Author, Dwayne D. Arola, Ph.D., Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250 USA, darola@umbc.edu, (410) 455-3310 (v), (410) 455-1052 (f).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

small cracks can be repaired by physiological processes [7], tissues of the tooth cannot undergo remodeling. They have been designed by nature to minimize the sensitivity to such defects and cracks [8,9]. In general, these materials achieve resistance to crack growth by the arrangement of their organic and inorganic constituents into densely packed hierarchical structures.

Human tooth enamel (Fig. 1(a)) is the most highly mineralized (96% by weight) hard tissue of the body and is comprised of a comparatively low degree of organic matter (1% by weight) and bound water (3% by weight) [10]. It combines nanocrystals (~25 nm thick, ~100 nm wide and 500-1000 nm long) to form “keyhole” shaped structures known as prisms (~4-8 μm in diameter). These prisms extend roughly perpendicular from the dentin-enamel junction (DEJ) towards the surface of the tooth where they line up in a parallel fashion essentially with their long axis perpendicular to the occlusal plane. The spaces between these tightly packed prisms are comprised of a non-collagenous organic matrix. It is the arrangement of these prisms that is responsible for the structural and the mechanical anisotropy in enamel, imparting larger toughness perpendicular to their longitudinal axis [11]. Natural cracks that develop in the enamel of human teeth are oriented along the long axis of the prisms [12], i.e. the direction with weakest resistance to extension [11]. That has raised questions concerning the microstructure of enamel and its role in achieving the necessary resistance to cracks extending from the tooth’s surface inwards as well as those extending from the inner surface outwards.

There is presently little knowledge concerning the process of cyclic and monotonic crack growth in human enamel in comparison to that in bone (e.g. [13-17]) and dentin (e.g. [18-21]). While hydroxyapatite offers minimal resistance to crack growth [22], the crossing of hydroxyapatite prism bundles in enamel imparts appreciable toughening [23,24]. Such features (Fig. 1(b-d)) known as decussation, are mostly seen in the inner regions of cuspal enamel [25]. Decussation has been identified in the enamel of many species [26,27] and the extent has been correlated with the magnitude of stresses that develop during mastication [28]. The high degree of decussation at or near the cusps results in greater surface area of prisms per unit volume [25], better crushing ability [29] and also might increase the resistance to fracture [24] by offering an ‘easy’ (along the prisms) and a ‘difficult’ (across the prisms) path for cracks to traverse. However, the contribution of this unique structure of enamel towards its crack growth resistance has not been explored in detail. Therefore, an evaluation of cyclic and monotonic crack growth in human enamel was conducted. Specifically, the role of decussation on crack growth resistance was examined by growing cracks in both forward and reverse directions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen Preparation

Unrestored third molars ($17 \leq \text{age} \leq 27$ years) were acquired from participating dental practices in Maryland according to an approved protocol by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Maryland Baltimore County. Small sections of enamel ($2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$) were obtained from the cuspal region (Fig. 1(a)) using a diamond impregnated slicer (K.O. Lee Model S3818EL, Aberdeen, SD, USA). Inset compact tension (CT) specimens (Fig. 2) were prepared by molding the enamel sections within a resin composite (Vit-I-escence, Ultradent Products, Inc., South Jordan, UT, USA). A detailed description of bonding and placement of the inset has been described elsewhere [30, 31]. All specimens were prepared to grow cracks parallel to the long axis of the prisms. The specimens were polished using particle suspension of sizes 9, 3 and $0.04 \mu\text{m}$ (Beuhler) with a standard cloth wheel. A back channel (1 mm deep) was introduced to avoid crack curving and two holes were drilled and counter-bored to enable Mode I cyclic loading (Fig. 2). Lastly, a chevron notch was prepared using a razor blade and diamond paste. Ten specimens were prepared to achieve crack growth from the outer enamel inwards (cyclic = 7, monotonic = 3), which is termed the “forward” path of crack extension.

Cracks were also grown from the inner enamel outwards in ten specimens (cyclic = 7, monotonic = 3), which is regarded as the “reverse” direction of extension.

Fatigue Crack Growth

All specimens were subjected to cyclic loading using an Enduratec Model ELF 3200 universal testing system. Crack initiation was achieved using a stress ratio (R) of 0.1 and frequency of 5 Hz with maximum load ranging from 3 to 6 Newton. Cyclic crack growth was then continued using the same protocol. The incremental crack growth rates (da/dN) were computed by dividing the measured crack extension (Δa) over increments of growth (ΔN). Crack length measurements were achieved using a digital microscope (Navitar IEEE 1394) at a magnification of 42X. The stress intensity range (ΔK) was calculated using solutions obtained from a numerical model in the form of

$$\Delta K = \frac{\Delta P}{B \sqrt{W}} \sqrt{\frac{B^*+1}{B+1}} (1.69 - 8.01\alpha + 12.53\alpha^2) [\text{MPa} \cdot \text{m}^{0.5}] \quad (1)$$

where ΔP is the load amplitude, α is the ratio of a and W , B is the specimen thickness, and B^* is the specimen thickness within the back channel. Equation (1) is valid for $2.0 \leq \alpha \leq 3.6$, where the crack length (a) is measured from the crack tip to the center of the load line as shown in Figure 2. The cumulative experimental responses obtained for each group were used in estimating the mean and standard deviation of specific growth parameters. The growth response was examined in terms of the absolute crack length from the notch (a_n).

Monotonic Crack Growth

Quasi-static loading of the selected precracked specimens was performed using a specially designed universal testing system complemented with a microscopic imaging system [30]. Prior to quasi-static loading the specimen surfaces were coated with a very thin layer (approx 5 μm thick) of diluted correction fluid (used to erase typing errors) mixed with toner powder for application of micro Digital Image Correlation (DIC). A detailed description of DIC and its application is given elsewhere [32]. Hydration of the samples during loading was achieved through a saturated cotton swab “cradle” that was nestled beneath the specimen and maintained moist with an eyedropper of HBSS.

Opening mode loads were applied in increments of 1 Newton and less until the onset of crack extension was identified from decay in the load response. Thereafter, loading was continued in displacement control in 0.1 mm/min increments, followed by a dwell after each extension, and then followed by partial unloading and reloading. Digital images were acquired at the onset of loading, at the peak load, and at the end of the load decay to document the crack growth process. These procedures were followed until the crack reached a point of instability. Digital Image Correlation (DIC) was then used to determine the full-field displacement distribution and to identify the crack tip from the location of zero opening displacement.

The opening mode stress intensity (K_I) distribution with crack extension was calculated according to Eqn. (1). Resistance curves were obtained by plotting K_I and G_I^* as function of crack increments (Δa). Statistical differences within a group were calculated using the Grubbs test whereas those between the groups were evaluated using a students t-test. Significant differences were identified by $p \leq 0.05$.

*The strain energy release rate for monotonic crack growth can be estimated as $G_I = K_I^2/E'$, where E' is the elastic modulus. E' for enamel is a function of distance from the DEJ and was obtained from Park et al. [33].

Fracture surfaces of all specimens were examined using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM, Model JSM 5600, JEOL Inc., Peabody, MA) in secondary electron imaging (SEI) mode. Cyclic crack extension was stopped prior to fracture in one specimen from each group; the crack face was etched using 34% phosphoric acid for 5 seconds and then coated with gold palladium. Structural evaluation of these specimens was conducted using the SEM under backscatter imaging (BSI) mode to identify the influence of microstructure of enamel on the crack growth behavior.

RESULTS

Fatigue Crack Growth

A representative fatigue crack growth response in the forward direction is shown in Figure 3. Crack arrest occurred frequently during the initial onset of growth and required an increase in the cyclic load to sustain cyclic extension. Such periodic acceleration and deceleration of a crack is characteristic of a “short” crack[†] response as denoted by the dotted line in Figure 3. Further propagation lead to development of steady state “long” crack[‡] behavior as represented by the solid line in this figure. Short crack growth behavior was also noted in the responses for fatigue crack growth in the reverse direction. However, subsequent growth in this orientation resulted in unstable cracking, and generally without development of long crack behavior. Cyclic crack growth is generally characterized using the Paris Law, and has recently been used in quantifying fatigue crack growth in enamel [22]. However, the Paris Law is not applicable for characterizing non linear cyclic extension in the short crack regime of the forward direction or for the reverse direction. In turn, simple quantitative descriptions of the growth behavior in the two directions were used and results are listed in Table 1 and 2, respectively.

Cumulative fatigue crack growth responses for the forward and reverse directions are shown as a function of crack length and stress intensity range in Figure 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. Crack initiation in the outer enamel occurred over a lower stress intensity range ($\Delta K_o = 0.39 \pm 0.09 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$) compared to that in the inner enamel ($\Delta K_o = 0.53 \pm 0.12 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$). Stable crack growth in the forward direction extended more than 1.2 mm in length over a growth rate ranging from $1.7\text{E-}07$ to $6.2\text{E-}5 \text{ mm/cycle}$ and over an average ΔK ranging from 0.39 ± 0.09 to $0.65 \pm 0.14 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$. In the short crack regime, cyclic growth occurred over an average ΔK of 0.39 ± 0.09 to $0.49 \pm 0.11 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$ with corresponding growth rates in the range of $1.7\text{E-}07$ to $1.5\text{E-}05 \text{ mm/cycle}$. Subsequent growth resulted in a clear transition from short to long crack behavior and the corresponding ΔK was defined as the transition stress intensity range (ΔK_t). For the forward direction the average ΔK_t is $0.49 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$. Steady state crack growth in the long crack regime occurred over an average ΔK of 0.49 ± 0.11 to $0.65 \pm 0.14 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$ with rates in the range of $1.0\text{E-}06$ to $6.2\text{E-}05 \text{ mm/cycle}$.

In the reverse direction stable crack extension occurred over less than 0.7 mm and proceeded at growth rates ranging from $6.7\text{E-}08$ to $3.8\text{E-}05 \text{ mm/cycle}$, and over an average ΔK ranging from 0.53 ± 0.12 to $0.68 \pm 0.14 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$ (Fig. 4(b)). The responses primarily exhibited short crack behavior. The apparent ΔK_t for this orientation ($0.67 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$) was equivalent to stress intensity range at crack instability ($\Delta K_c = 0.68 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$). Although, crack growth in the forward direction transitioned from short crack to long crack behavior, cyclic crack growth in

[†]Short cracks are defined as ones that are (i) comparable to the microstructural dimension of the material, (ii) smaller in length than the size of the plastic zone or (iii) when they are comparable to the extent of the zone of crack-tip shielding behind the crack tip [34]. In the present study the increment of crack extension is comparable to a few prism dimensions and is typically less than or comparable to the apparent shielding zone.

[‡]Long cracks have been traditionally described as those having a fully developed shielding zone and can be characterized by using Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) [34]. In the present study the cracks that exhibit a continuous and steady increase in growth rate over an applied stress intensity range as shown in Figure 3 are defined as long cracks.

the reverse direction occurred exclusively in the short crack regime with subsequent growth resulting in unstable fracture.

Resistance-curve behavior

A typical load vs load-line displacement curve for quasi-static crack growth in the two directions is shown in Figure 5, which highlights pre-loading (Region I) and incremental crack extension (Region II). For growth in the forward direction, crack initiation typically occurred between 4 and 5 Newtons. However, further crack extension required a steady increase in the driving force until reaching the point of instability. In comparison to fatigue crack growth, monotonic growth in the forward direction occurred over longer length of extension up to 1.6 mm. The resulting stress intensity distribution for growth in the forward direction (i.e. R-curve) exhibited a rise with crack extension (Fig. 6). The parameters used for quantifying the R-curves in the forward direction are listed in Table 3. The average initiation (K_{I0}) and fracture (K_{Ic}) toughness was $0.55 \pm 0.06 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$ and $2.11 \pm 0.20 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$, respectively. For crack growth in this configuration the strain energy release rate (G_I) (Fig. 6(b)) increased from near 4 J/m^2 at initiation to over 60 J/m^2 at fracture.

Crack initiation in the reverse direction required higher loads than in forward crack growth, typically between 6 and 8 Newton. Stable crack growth could not be reached in this configuration as the driving forces were large enough to cause unstable fracture. The growth parameters are listed in Table 4. There was no significant ($p > 0.05$) increase in toughness from initiation ($K_{II0} = 0.87 \pm 0.13 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$, $G_{II0} = 10.0 \pm 2.1 \text{ J/m}^2$) to fracture ($K_{IIc} = 1.17 \pm 0.13 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$, $G_{IIc} = 18.6 \pm 6.7 \text{ J/m}^2$).

Crack growth observations

A typical path of crack extension within an enamel inset in the forward direction is shown in Figure 7. The crack morphology (Figure 7(a)) exhibits two distinct characteristics. In the outer enamel crack growth occurs over a relatively straight path, whereas in the inner enamel the path is rather torturous in nature. At higher magnification the crack path revealed strong influence of the microstructure. Crack extension in the outer enamel occurred almost entirely between and parallel to the prisms (Fig. 7(b)). Growth at the transition from the outer to the inner enamel resulted in crack bifurcation (Fig. 7(c)), whereas growth within the complex microstructure of (decussation) the inner enamel was accompanied by a number of toughening mechanisms including bridging induced by unbroken ligaments of the tissue (Fig. 7(d)) and crack deflection (Fig. 7(e)). In addition, crack growth in the decussated region was accompanied by microcracking (Fig. 8(a)) about the primary crack and ligaments of organic matter bridging the crack (Fig. 8(b)). Several small broken tethers of organic material were evident on the fracture surface in the inner enamel (Fig. 8(c)). All these mechanisms were also active during crack extension in the reverse direction (Fig. 9). However, extrinsic mechanisms in the reverse direction evolved only for growth within the inner enamel and were not evident for growth in the outer enamel. When compared to growth in the forward direction, the potency of these toughening mechanisms was graded in the opposite direction as evident from the incremental crack growth responses in Figure 4 and Figure 6.

DISCUSSION

A comparison of responses for fatigue crack growth showed that the inner enamel exhibited significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) resistance ($\Delta K_{II0} = 0.53 \pm 0.12 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$) to the initiation of cyclic extension than the outer enamel ($\Delta K_{II0} = 0.39 \pm 0.09 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$). Following initiation, crack growth in both directions exhibited short crack behavior where small cracks equivalent to a few prism dimensions underwent retardation. Cyclic extension in the short crack regime for the reverse direction occurred over lower growth rates in comparison to the forward direction.

However, a transition from short to long crack cyclic extension resulted in stable growth in the forward direction only. Overall, stable crack growth extended over longer crack lengths in the forward direction (Fig. 4(a), Table 1) and over a ΔK approximately twice that in the reverse direction (Fig. 4(b), Table 2).

Results from the monotonic crack growth experiments also showed that the initiation toughness of the inner enamel ($K_{I0} = 0.87 \pm 0.13 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$) was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than that of the outer enamel ($K_{I0} = 0.55 \pm 0.06 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$). However, crack growth in the forward direction was significantly more stable than in the reverse direction as distinguished from the rising R-curve responses (Fig. 6). Crack growth in the forward direction resulted in a 300% increase in toughness from initiation to fracture. The rise in toughness was primarily attributed to mechanisms identified within the inner enamel that consumed fracture energy. The resulting energy at fracture for forward crack growth ($G_c = 66.8 \pm 6.1 \text{ J/m}^2$) was more than 15 times higher than that at initiation ($G_0 = 4.0 \pm 0.9 \text{ J/m}^2$). In comparison, crack growth in the reverse direction was far less stable and resulted in approximately 30% increase in K_{Ic} over the total growth path. The total energy consumed in fracture of enamel in the reverse direction ($G_c = 18.6 \text{ J/m}^2$) is less than a third of that consumed in the forward direction.

Both the fatigue and monotonic crack growth responses of the enamel were most stable in the forward direction. This behavior can be directly linked to the microstructural arrangement of prisms. For instance, cyclic crack growth through the straight prisms of the outer enamel underwent retardation when the crack reached the complex crossing of the prisms in the decussated region (Fig. 7). Initial stability is often achieved by crack bifurcation in the order of tens of micrometers resulting at the transition of the outer and the inner enamel (Fig. 7(c)). The stability of cracks growing towards the DEJ is attributed to a combination of extrinsic mechanisms such as crack deflection and crack bridging that develops and evolves with crack extension throughout the decussated enamel (Fig. 7). While unbroken ligaments of enamel are formed by cracks propagating through the complex intertwined prisms (Fig. 7(d)), crack deflection results from a relative change in prism orientation (Fig. 7(e)). A combination of these mechanisms resulted in a substantial increase in toughness via crack closure and reduction in the local stress intensity at the crack tip. In the reverse direction these mechanisms also contribute to crack growth resistance in the decussated enamel. For example, cracks extending from near the DEJ experienced curving along the weak interprismatic boundaries of the oblique prisms (Fig. 9(b)) and crack bridging in the decussated enamel (Fig. 9(d)), thereby causing deceleration of the crack. However, subsequent growth towards the outer enamel resulted in no further evolution of such toughening mechanisms due to relatively straight prism orientation and a reduction in contribution from the existing ones. With reduction of these energy dissipating mechanisms the crack extension towards the outer enamel results in higher growth rates over the applied stress intensity range and reaches instability at shorter crack lengths.

In addition to the toughening mechanisms documented in Figures 7 and 9, crack growth retardation was partially achieved by microcracking/loosening of the interprismatic boundaries in the K dominant zone. Evidence of such interprismatic loosening was noted by the ingress and egress of fluid in fatigue (Fig. 8(a)) around the main crack, and was most prominent in the inner enamel. Similar observations have been reported for crack growth in dentin [35] and result in an inelastic zone around the crack tip. A first order estimate of the microcracking zone in enamel can be obtained from the observations of fluid movement (Fig. 8(a)), which spanned a distance of approximately $50 \mu\text{m}$ about the crack, resulting in an inelastic zone height of $\sim 25 \mu\text{m}$. Note that the extent of interprismatic loosening is expected to scale according to the volume fraction of organic matter within the K dominant region. Therefore, with an increase in the organic content in the proximity of the DEJ [10] the toughening associated with non-linear processes would be expected to increase. An estimate of the organic content can be obtained by examining the gradient of elastic modulus from the outer to the inner enamel. According to

Gao et al. [36], the elastic modulus of a biocomposite is a function of its mineral constituent embedded in the organic matrix and can be estimated from

$$\frac{1}{E} = \frac{4(1-\phi)}{\mu_p \phi^2 \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\phi E_m} \quad (2)$$

where E is the effective Young's modulus, ϕ is the volume fraction of mineral, μ_p is the shear modulus of protein (~ 0.1 GPa [37]), ρ is the aspect ratio of mineral particles (~ 30 -50 for enamel [38,39]) and E_m is the Young's modulus of the mineral (~ 114 GPa for hydroxyapatite [37, 40]). Substituting for these values in Eq. (2) and considering the gradient in elastic modulus from outer ($E = 90$ GPa) to inner enamel ($E = 70$ GPa) [33] results in an approximate volume fraction increase in the organic component from 4% in the outer enamel to 10% near the DEJ. Therefore, the expected degree of interprismatic loosening about the crack in the inner enamel would be over two times that contributing to crack tip shielding in the outer enamel.

In addition to the aforementioned contribution of the organic matrix, proteins have been shown to impart backcreep [41], promote anti-fatigue [42] and contribute to crack arrest [40]. When a crack traverses along the prism boundaries the interprismatic protein resists the separation of the prisms by forming secondary tethers (Fig. 8(b)). Bridging imposed by the organic matrix promotes closure stresses over the extent of unfolding, which can be active for relatively large crack opening displacements (on the order of a few micrometers) [43]. Energy dissipation promoted by unfolding and rupture of these sacrificial bonds (Fig. 8(c)) increases the driving force required for a crack to propagate, thereby increasing the crack growth resistance and the apparent toughness of enamel. Such bonding and development of tethers can occur at even smaller length scales, i.e. between the individual hydroxyapatite nanocrystals [37]. A simple estimate of fracture energy (J_c) of a biocomposite (such as enamel) consumed by these tethers can be obtained from [44]

$$J_c = \xi(1-\phi)L\varepsilon_p\tau_p \quad (3)$$

where L is the length of the mineral crystal, ε_p is the effective strain of protein before fracture, ξ is a geometric factor (≥ 1 [44]) and the quantity $(1-\phi)$, represents the volume fraction of organic material. Assuming ξ , L , ε_p and τ_p to be constant throughout the enamel thickness, the increase in organic content with depth from enamel (computed from Eq. (2)) would promote an increase in the fracture energy dissipated due to protein domain unfolding by over a factor of two. Though the energy consumed due to protein bridging is expected to be less than 10% of the total energy required for fracture, deformation of the protein serves as a precursor for microcracking and crack bridging the two major energy dissipating mechanisms. Thus, the increase in protein content with proximity to the DEJ serves an important role in the toughening behavior particularly in the forward direction.

Results from the present experimental evaluation showed that the arrangement of prisms and the organic matrix in enamel is critically important to the crack growth resistance of this highly mineralized tissue. Crack growth in the forward direction is guided by the prisms towards the less brittle inner enamel, where they experience increasing growth resistance due to host of mechanisms operating from the meso- to the nano-scale. The evolution of such processes is facilitated (at least in part) by the higher organic content in the inner enamel (as shown by Eq. (2) and (3)). From a mechanistic point of view, the results justify nature's choice of incorporating the complex structure only in the inner enamel as contact damage can be guided

to prevent deflection back to the tooth's surface thereby preventing the development of deleterious chips.

Contact induced cracking of enamel is not limited to initiation from the occlusal surface. Radial cracks may develop at the DEJ and propagate from the inner enamel outwards [46]. While the highly graded microstructure of the inner enamel will promote higher initiation resistance and result in lower cyclic growth rates of these radial cracks, they will reach instability after less than half the length of extension, and with only one third of the total energy[§] required for fracture of cracks extending in the forward direction. This means that human enamel is far less resistant to fracture from “internal” radial cracks, similar to that in the core of all ceramic dental crowns. Results from the present study suggest that the structure of human enamel is most optimized for resisting and arresting crack growth in the forward direction. The “unidirectional anisotropy” in crack growth resistance exhibited by enamel is novel and presently not exhibited in the mechanical behavior of engineered materials. As such, the guided crack growth and arrest characteristics presented in this paper can add new insight towards the development of tougher dental ceramics and multifunctional hybrid materials.

CONCLUSIONS

An evaluation of fatigue and monotonic crack growth in human enamel was performed using an inset CT specimen. Cracks were grown in both forward and reverse directions to examine the importance of microstructural variations on the crack growth resistance. The following conclusions were drawn:

1. The fatigue crack growth responses exhibited short crack behavior in both directions. However, steady state long crack behavior was exhibited by cracks extending in the forward direction only. The resulting extent of stable crack growth in the forward direction was approximately twice that achieved for the reverse direction, and also occurred over a stress intensity range twice that in the reverse direction.
2. Monotonic crack growth in the forward direction resulted in a rising R-curve with a 300% increase in toughness and an increase in G from near 4 J/m^2 at initiation to over 60 J/m^2 at fracture. The rise in toughness with crack extension was most significant in the inner enamel. Crack growth in the reverse direction was less stable, resulting in about 30% increase in toughness and an insignificant rise in G from initiation (10 J/m^2) to fracture (18.6 J/m^2). Overall, the total energy absorbed during crack growth in the forward direction was over three times higher than that in the reverse direction.
3. Crack growth toughening occurred in both directions and was promoted by a combination of crack bridging, crack deflection and crack bifurcation. The organic matter at the prism boundaries was found to play a unique role in imparting crack growth retardation through the formation of several unbroken tethers and microcracking induced by loosening of the prisms. The potency of such mechanisms was shown to increase in the forward direction due to a corresponding increase in the organic content.
4. The microstructure of enamel is functionally optimized to guide cracks from the more brittle outer enamel inwards where they experience higher growth resistance and are prevented from causing fracture and chipping.

[§]Note that in the present study fracture energy is represented in the form of energy release rate (G) and is deduced from K obtained using linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM). Since the contributions due to inelastic deformation would increase in the inner enamel, the use of J , which is the energy associated with inelastic deformation, would be more appropriate [45].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the NIDCR (DE016904) and the NSF (BES0521467). The authors would like to thank Ultradent Products Inc for supplying the Vit-l-escence resin composite and Dr. Judith Porter of the University of Maryland, Baltimore for bonding supplies.

Support for the following investigation was provided by the NSF (BES0521467) and the NIDCR (DE016904)

References

1. Ellyin, F. Fatigue Damage, Crack Growth and Life Prediction. Chapman and Hall; 1997.
2. Frost HM. Transient-steady state phenomenon in microdamage physiology: a proposed algorithm for lamellar bone. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1989;44:367–381. [PubMed: 2504449]
3. Burr DB. Bone, exercise and stress fractures. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 1997;25:171–194. [PubMed: 9213092]
4. Taylor, D. Failure processes in hard and soft tissues. In: Milne, I.; Ritchie, RO.; Karihaloo, B., editors. *Comprehensive Structural Integrity: Fracture of Materials from Nano to Macro*. Vol. 9. Elsevier Science; Oxford, UK: 2003. p. 35-95.
5. Kaewsuriyathumrong C, Soma K. Stress of tooth and PDL structure created by bite force. *Bull Tokyo Med Dent Univ* 1993;40:217–232. [PubMed: 8275547]
6. Burke FJT. Tooth fracture in vivo and in vitro. *J Dent* 1992;20:131–139. [PubMed: 1624616]
7. Taylor D. Fracture and repair of bone: a multiscale problem. *J Mater Sci* 2007;42:8911–8918.
8. Curry JD. The design of mineralized hard tissues for their mechanical functions. *J Exp Biol* 1999;202:3285–3294. [PubMed: 10562511]
9. Popowicz TE, Rensberger JM, Herring SW. Enamel microstructure and microstrain in the fracture of human and pig molar cusps. *Arch Oral Biol* 2004;49:595–605. [PubMed: 15196977]
10. Ten Cate, AR. Oral histology: Development, structure and function. Vol. 5. St. Louis: Mosby; 1998.
11. White SN, Luo W, Paine ML, Fong H, Sarikaya M, Snead ML. Biological organization of hydroxyapatite crystallites into a fibrous continuum toughens and controls anisotropy in human enamel. *J Dent Res* 2001;80:321–326. [PubMed: 11269723]
12. Rensberger, JM. Pathway to functional differentiation in mammalian enamel. In: Teaford, MF.; Smith, MM.; Ferguson, MWJ., editors. *Development, function and evolution of teeth*. Cambridge University Press; 2000.
13. Vashishth D, Behiri J, Bonfield J. Crack growth resistance in cortical bone: Concept of microcrack toughening. *J Biomech* 1997;30:763–769. [PubMed: 9239560]
14. Akkus O, Rimnac CM. Cortical bone tissue resists fatigue fracture by deceleration and arrest of microcrack growth. *J Biomech* 2001;34:757–764. [PubMed: 11470113]
15. Nalla RK, Kruzic JJ, Kinney JH, Ritchie RO. Mechanistic aspects of fracture and R-curve behavior in human cortical bone. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:217–231. [PubMed: 15207469]
16. O'Brien FJ, Taylor D, Clive Lee T. The effect of bone microstructure on the initiation and growth of microcracks. *J Orthop Res* 2005;23:575–480.
17. Koester KJ, Ager JW 3rd, Ritchie RO. The true toughness of human cortical bone measured with realistically short cracks. *Nat Mater* 2008;7:672–677. [PubMed: 18587403]
18. Kruzic JJ, Nalla RK, Kinney JH, Ritchie RO. Mechanistic aspects of in vitro fatigue-crack growth in dentin. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:1195–1204. [PubMed: 15451639]
19. Bajaj D, Sundaram N, Nazari A, Arola D. Age, dehydration and fatigue crack growth in dentin. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:2507–2517. [PubMed: 16338002]
20. Arola D, Reid J, Cox ME, Bajaj D, Sundaram N, Romberg E. Transition behavior in fatigue of human dentin: Structure and anisotropy. *Biomaterials* 2007;28:3867–3875. [PubMed: 17553559]
21. Nazari A, Bajaj D, Zhang D, Romberg E, Arola D. Aging and the reduction in fracture toughness of dentin. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. 2009;10.1016/j.jmbbm.2009.01.008
22. Bajaj D, Nazari A, Eidelman N, Arola D. A comparison of fatigue crack growth in human enamel and hydroxyapatite. *Biomaterials* 2008;29:4847–4854. [PubMed: 18804277]

23. Rasmussen ST, Patchin RE. Fracture properties of human enamel in an aqueous environment. *J Dent Res* 1984;63:1362–1368. [PubMed: 6595288]
24. Bajaj D, Arola D. On the R-curve behavior of human tooth enamel. *Biomaterials*. in review
25. Skobe Z, Stern S. The pathway of enamel rods at the base of cusps of human teeth. *J Dent Res* 1980;59:1026–1032. [PubMed: 6929287]
26. Rensberger JM, Koenigswald WV. Functional and phylogenetic interpretation of enamel microstructure in rhinoceroses. *Paleobiology* 1980;6:477–495.
27. Fortelius M. Ungulate cheek teeth: developmental, functional, and evolutionary interrelations. *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 1985;180:1–76.
28. Rensberger, JM. Adaptation of enamel microstructure to differences in stress intensity in the Eocene perissodactyl Hyracotherium. In: Kobayashi, I.; Mutvie, H.; Sahani, A., editors. *Structure, Formation and Evolution of Fossil Hard Tissues*. Tokyo: Tokai University Press; 1993. p. 131-145.
29. Curry, JD. *Bone: Structure and mechanics*. Princeton University Press; Princeton, New Jersey: 2002.
30. Zhang D, Nazari A, Soappman M, Bajaj D, Arola D. Methods for examining the fatigue and fracture behavior of hard tissues. *Exp Mech* 2007;47:325–336.
31. Soappman M, Nazari A, Porter JA, Arola D. A comparison of fatigue crack growth in resin composite, dentin and the interface. *Dent Mater* 2007;23:608–614. [PubMed: 16806452]
32. Zhang D, Arola D. Application of digital image correlation to biological tissues. *J Biomed Opt* 2004;9:691–699. [PubMed: 15250755]
33. Park S, Wang DH, Zhang D, Romberg E, Arola D. Mechanical properties of human enamel as a function of age and location in the tooth. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 2008;19:2317–2324. [PubMed: 18157510]
34. Suresh S, Ritchie RO. Propagation of short fatigue cracks. *Int Met Rev* 1984;29:445–476.
35. Kahler B, Swain MV, Moule A. Fracture-toughening mechanisms responsible for differences in work to fracture of hydrated and dehydrated dentine. *J Biomech* 2003;36:229–237. [PubMed: 12547360]
36. Gao H, Ji B, Jäger IL, Arzt E, Fratzl P. Materials become insensitive to flaws at nanoscale: lessons from nature. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003;100:5597–5600. [PubMed: 12732735]
37. Xie Z, Swain M, Munroe P, Hoffman D. On the critical parameters that regulate the deformation behavior of tooth enamel. *Biomaterials* 2008;29:2697–2703. [PubMed: 18359075]
38. Daculsi G, Menanteau J, Jerebek LM, Mitre D. Length and shape of enamel crystals. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1984;36:550–555. [PubMed: 6441627]
39. Kirkham J, Brookes SJ, Shore RC, Bonass WA, Smith DA, Wallwork ML, Robinson C. Atomic force microscopy studies of crystal surface topology during enamel development. *Connect Tissue Res* 1998;38:91–100. [PubMed: 11063018]
40. Ji B, Gao H. Mechanical properties of nanostructure of biological materials. *J Mech Phys Solids* 2004;52:1963–1990.
41. He LH, Swain MV. Influence of environment on the mechanical behaviour of mature human enamel. *Biomaterials* 2007;28:4512–4520. [PubMed: 17659336]
42. He LH, Swain MV. Enamel – A “metallic-like” deformable biocomposites. *J Dent* 2007;35:431–437. [PubMed: 17270335]
43. Rief M, Gautel M, Oesterhelt F, Fernandez J, Gaub H. Reversible unfolding of individual titin immunoglobulin domains by AFM. *Science* 1997;276:1109–1112. [PubMed: 9148804]
44. Gao H, Ji B, Buehler MJ, Yao H. Flaw tolerant bulk and surface nanostructures of biological systems. *Mech Chem Biosyst* 2004;1:37–52. [PubMed: 16783945]
45. Anderson, TL. *Fracture Mechanics: Fundamentals and Applications*. Vol. 3. CRC press; 2005.
46. Lawn BR, Lee JJ-W, Constantino PJ, Lucas PW. Predicting failure in mammalian enamel. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater* 2009;2:33–42. [PubMed: 19627805]

Figure 1.

Details pertaining to the microstructural arrangement of prisms in enamel. (a) A section of tooth and possible inset of enamel. (b) Distinction between the outer (OE) and the inner enamel (IE). Prisms are mostly oriented in a straight fashion in the outer enamel. Decussation, which is caused by crossing of prism bundles is mostly seen in the inner regions of the cuspal enamel and is comprised of bundles of prisms known as the parazone (P) and diazone (D) shown in (c) and (d), respectively.

Figure 2. Final geometry of the inset CT specimen (all dimensions in mm) and the two directions of crack extension.

Figure 3.

Fatigue crack growth response in the forward direction. Initial crack growth resulted in periodic acceleration and deceleration and is representative of short crack behavior (dotted line).

Subsequent growth resulted in steady state “long” crack responses (solid line). A transition stress intensity range (ΔK_t) was defined for transition from the short to long crack regime.

Figure 4.

Fatigue crack growth responses for the forward and reverse directions. Dotted lines indicate short cracks and solid lines indicate long cracks. (a) Incremental crack growth rate (da/dN) as a function of crack length (a_n). Note that stable crack growth in the forward direction occurred over a much longer length than in the reverse direction. (b) Incremental crack growth rate (da/dN) as a function of stress intensity range (ΔK). Note that crack growth in the reverse direction occurred mostly in the short crack regime with no stable transition to long crack behavior, whereas growth in the forward direction transitioned from short crack to long crack behavior with stable extension.

Figure 5.

A load vs. load-line displacement distribution for forward and reverse growth obtained during stable crack extension within enamel. Region I denotes preloading and Region II distinguishes the portion of response associated with incremental crack extension. Crack growth in the reverse direction resulted in unstable fracture, whereas stable crack growth in the forward direction required incremental increases in the driving force.

Figure 6.

Crack growth resistance curves for growth in the forward and reverse directions. (a) Stress intensity (K_I) plotted as a function of crack extension (Δa). (b) Strain energy release rate (G_I) plotted as a function of Δa . Crack growth in the forward direction resulted in rising R-curve behavior and a significant increase in G whereas, that in the reverse direction resulted in a small rise in toughness with unstable cracking.

Figure 7.

Observations of crack growth in the forward direction. Direction of crack growth is from left to right indicated by white arrow. (a) Optical micrograph of crack extension showing straight crack growth in the enamel. Crack growth in the inner enamel was accompanied by various mechanisms of toughening. (b) Straight crack path in the outer enamel is attributed to the relatively straight prism orientation. The microstructure guides the crack towards the inner enamel. (c) Crack bifurcation occurred while transitioning from the outer to the inner enamel. (d) Crack extension through the decussated zone resulted in formation of unbroken ligament bridges. (e) Crack growth in the proximity of the DEJ underwent deflection and stall due to change in prism orientation. Note that the data for this specimen was corrected for crack deflection in the outer enamel.

Figure 8.

Observations of microcracking and organic bridging. (a) Optical micrograph of HBSS droplets developed around the crack during cyclic loading. The larger bubbles in the figure show fluid pushed out of the main crack whereas the smaller droplets result from loosening of interprismatic spaces adjacent to the crack. (b) Crack growth in the decussated enamel resulted in the formation of ligament bridges (white arrowheads) of organic material. (c) Evidence of fractured organic ligaments on the fracture surface. Such observations were commonly made in the enamel near the proximity of the DEJ.

Figure 9.

Observations of crack growth in the reverse direction. Direction of crack growth is from left to right. (a) An optical micrograph of crack extension from inner to outer enamel. (b) Cyclic crack growth within the inner enamel resulted in crack curving (white arrowheads) along the interprismatic boundary of oblique prisms in the decussated zone, (c) followed by crack deflection due to change in prism orientation. (d) Crack growth in the decussated enamel was also accompanied by formation of few unbroken ligaments of tissue bridging the crack. (e) Crack growth in the outer enamel resulted in unsteady growth due to relatively straight prism orientation and no further evolution of toughening mechanisms.

Summary of crack growth in the forward direction. The crack lengths are defined here from the notch (a_n) and include the length at initiation (a_o), at the transition from the short to the long crack regime (a_t) and at instability (a_c). The corresponding values of stress intensity range (ΔK_o , ΔK_t , ΔK_c) are also presented.

Table 1

Age/Gender	Initiation		Growth			
	a_o (μm)	ΔK_o $\text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$	Short Crack		Long crack	
			a_t (μm)	ΔK_t $\text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$	a_c (μm)	ΔK_c $\text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$
27/F	450	0.47	650	0.52	1100	0.78
27/F	580	0.48	650	0.52	920	0.63
17/M	400	0.29	960	0.47	1220	0.56
18/F	680	0.40	890	0.50	1200	0.61
18/F	300	0.24	480	0.34	1220	0.63
19/M	600	0.37	-	-	950	0.45
23/F	440	0.47	490	0.68	880	0.87
Avg=21 $\pm$ 4	493 $\pm$ 132	0.39 $\pm$ 0.09	687 $\pm$ 200	0.49 $\pm$ 0.11	1070 $\pm$ 150	0.65 $\pm$ 0.14

Table 2

Summary of crack growth in the reverse direction.

Age/Gender	Initiation		Growth			
	a_o (μm)	ΔK_o $\text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$	Short Crack		Long crack	
			a_l (μm)	ΔK_l $\text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$	a_c (μm)	ΔK_c $\text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{0.5}$
22/F	260	0.45	670	0.60	-	0.60
18/M	140	0.51	344	0.57	-	0.57
22/F	140	0.37	580	0.51	-	0.51
18/M	95	0.46	205	0.63	297	0.65
22/F	171	0.59	320	0.75	-	0.75
18/M	97	0.68	600	0.86	-	0.86
18/M	114	0.68	511	0.85	-	0.85
Avg=20 $\pm$ 2	145 $\pm$ 57	0.53 $\pm$ 0.12	461 $\pm$ 173	0.67 $\pm$ 0.15	-	0.68 $\pm$ 0.14

Table 3
Crack growth resistance parameters for the forward direction.

Gender/ Age	Stress Intensity Range (MPa·m ^{0.5})		Strain Energy Release Rate (J/m ²)	
	Initiation K _o	Fracture K _c	Initiation G _o	Fracture G _c
M/18	0.60	2.30	4.9	72.9
M/21	0.57	1.90	3.8	60.7
M/18	0.48	2.13	3.2	66.9
Avg	0.55±0.06	2.11±0.20	4.0±0.9	66.8±6.1

Table 4
Crack growth resistance parameters for the reverse direction.

Gender/ Age	Stress Intensity Range (MPa·m ^{0.5})		Strain Energy Release Rate (J/m ²)	
	Initiation K _o	Fracture K _c	Initiation G _o	Fracture G _c
M/19	0.89	1.30	10.4	21.7
F/16	0.98	1.27	11.8	23.2
F/18	0.73	0.95	7.7	11.0
Avg	0.87±0.13	1.17±0.13	10.0±2.1	18.6±6.7