Published in final edited form as:

Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2009 September ; 93(3): 248–257. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2008.08.025.

Increased Impulsivity and Disrupted Attention Induced by Repeated Phencyclidine are not Attenuated by Chronic Quetiapine Treatment

Nurith Amitai and Athina Markou

Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

Abstract

Atypical antipsychotic medications differ in how effectively they attenuate cognitive and other deficits in schizophrenia. The present study aimed to explore whether quetiapine, an atypical antipsychotic medication, would reverse disruptions of performance in the 5-choice serial reaction time task (5-CSRTT), a test of attention and impulsivity, induced by repeated administration of the psychotomimetic phencyclidine (PCP). In confirmation of previous findings, repeated PCP administration (2 mg/kg, s.c., 30 min before behavioral testing, for two consecutive days, followed by a 2-week PCP-free period and then five consecutive days of PCP treatment) increased premature responding (impulsivity), decreased accuracy (attention), and increased response latencies (processing speed) and timeout responding (impulsivity/cognitive inflexibility). Chronic quetiapine (5 or 10 mg/kg/day, s.c.) did not attenuate these PCP-induced disruptions in performance, while at the highest dose used, quetiapine disrupted 5-CSRTT performance in the absence of PCP treatment and tended to exacerbate the PCP-induced increase in premature responding. Considering that clozapine, another atypical antipsychotic, was shown previously to reverse PCP-induced deficits in the same task (Amitai et al. 2007), the present findings demonstrate differences between clozapine and quetiapine in their effectiveness on schizophrenia-like cognitive deficits and impulsivity that may be attributable to their different receptor affinity profiles.

Keywords

schizophrenia; phencyclidine; impulsivity; attention; atypical antipsychotic medications; 5-CSRTT; quetiapine; animal model; cognition

1. Introduction

Schizophrenia is a devastating mental disorder that affects 1% of the population (Regier et al., 1993). The symptoms of schizophrenia include impulsivity and severe cognitive deficits that affect a wide range of cognitive modalities. Increased impulsivity in schizophrenia patients is reflected in disinhibition of inappropriate responding in cognitive tests, such as increased errors of commission in Go/No Go tasks (Kiehl et al., 2000; Weisbrod et al., 2000; Wykes et al., 2000; Badcock et al., 2002; Chan et al., 2006). Among cognitive deficits, attentional impairments are prominent (Nuechterlein and Dawson, 1984; Laurent et al., 1999). Additional aspects of cognition that are characteristically disrupted in schizophrenia patients include speed of processing (Nelson et al., 1990), cognitive flexibility (Goldberg et al., 1988; Morice,

1990), and memory (Tamlyn et al., 1992; Kuperberg and Heckers, 2000). Cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia is highly correlated with functional impairment and is a major predictor of long-term disability (McGurk and Meltzer, 2000; Sharma and Antonova, 2003; Green et al., 2004). Typical neuroleptic medications do not ameliorate cognitive schizophrenia deficits, and in some cases have been found to worsen them (Bilder et al., 1992; Mortimer, 1997). Some studies have found enhancement of cognition in schizophrenia with the newer atypical antipsychotics (Meltzer and McGurk, 1999; Bilder et al., 2002; Bender et al., 2005), but improvement remains only partial and falls well short of restoring normal functioning (Sharma and Antonova, 2003; Keefe et al., 2004).

Interestingly, various atypical antipsychotic medications differ in their effects on impulsivity and cognition in schizophrenia. Specifically, differential effects on different cognitive modalities have been observed. For example, clozapine has been reported to improve attentional function but to have less or no effect on working memory (Sharma and Mockler, 1998; Meltzer and McGurk, 1999). The clinical record is still incomplete and confusing, however. For example, some studies indicate effectiveness of quetiapine at improving attention, but not cognitive flexibility (Velligan et al., 2002), while other studies have found enhancement of cognitive flexibility with quetiapine (Kivircik Akdede et al., 2005; Kopala et al., 2006). Similarly, some studies report amelioration of impulsivity in schizophrenia with clozapine (Spivak et al., 1997; Dursun et al., 2000), while other studies find clozapine to be ineffective (Strous et al., 2006). Olanzapine, but not risperidone, may also improve impulsivity in schizophrenia (Strous et al., 2006). Quetiapine has been found to beneficially affect impulsivity in borderline personality disorder, antisocial personality disorder, and bipolar disorder with co-occurring disruptive behavior disorders (Barzman et al., 2006; Hilger et al., 2003; Walker et al., 2003; Villeneuve and Lemelin, 2005), but little is known about its effects on impulsivity in schizophrenia.

Given the limitations and unavoidable confounds of clinical studies in humans, translational animal models of schizophrenia-like cognitive deficits may offer a more controllable means of exploring the differential effects of different atypical antipsychotic medications on cognition. Because the differences in effectiveness are likely related to the different receptor profiles of these medications, such studies are apt to generate new insight into the roles of various receptors and neurotransmitter systems in impulsivity and cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia and its possible amelioration. This information then could guide the development of novel, more effective treatments for these schizophrenia symptoms.

In previous studies, we established a model of cognitive deficits and increased impulsivity in schizophrenia using repeated administration of phencyclidine (PCP) as the inducing condition that disrupts performance in the 5-choice serial reaction time test (5-CSRTT) as the dependent variable (Amitai et al., 2007). PCP is a dissociative anesthetic that acts as a noncompetitive antagonist at *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) glutamate receptors. PCP intoxication produces a psychosis-like state in healthy humans that comprises both positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia (Luby et al., 1959; Bakker and Amini, 1961; Allen and Young, 1978; Castellani et al., 1982; Javitt, 1987; Steinpreis, 1996). As a result, the effects of PCP on various behaviors have found wide recognition as models of different aspects of schizophrenia (Javitt, 1987; Sams-Dodd, 1996; Steinpreis, 1996; Jentsch and Roth, 1999). Most relevant to the topic of the present study is the fact that PCP exposure disrupts cognition both in humans (Rosenbaum et al., 1959; Yesavage and Freeman, 1979; Pearlson, 1981; Pradhan, 1984) and animals (Handelmann et al., 1987; Stefani and Moghaddam, 2005; Rodefer et al., 2005, 2007; Idris et al., 2003, 2005; Abdul-Monim et al., 2003, 2006, 2007; Depoortère et al., 2007; Didriksen et al., 2007) and increases impulsive responding in experimental animal models (Balster & Baird 1979; Sanger and Jackson, 1989; Compton et al., 2001; Jentsch &

Anzivino 2004; Amitai et al., 2007), indicating the usefulness of PCP in inducing impulsivity and cognitive dysfunction with relevance to schizophrenia.

The 5-CSRTT was developed originally as a test of attentional performance (for review, Robbins, 2002). In addition, this task allows assessment of disinhibition of inappropriate responding and has become recognized as a means to gauge impulsivity (Puumala et al., 1996; Puumala and Sirviö, 1998; Evenden et al., 1999; Talpos et al., 2006). Furthermore, the 5-CSRTT provides measures of processing speed and cognitive flexibility (Robbins, 2002). Repeated administration of PCP increases impulsivity and disrupts cognitive performance in the 5-CSRTT. The profile of deficits induced by PCP in the 5-CSRTT includes a profound increase in impulsive-type responding in PCP-treated animals. Repeated PCP administration also disrupts attentional performance in the task and decreases processing speed and cognitive flexibility (Amitai et al., 2007). Chronic clozapine treatment has been shown to significantly attenuate the increased impulsivity induced by repeated PCP. Moreover, it partially ameliorates the PCP-induced attentional disruption, but does not alter the effects of repeated PCP on processing speed and cognitive flexibility (Amitai et al., 2007).

In the present study, we investigated how chronic treatment with another atypical antipsychotic, quetiapine, affects the increased impulsivity and cognitive performance impairments induced in the 5-CSRTT by repeated PCP administration. Repeated injections of PCP were used because previous studies showed that a single injection of PCP produced a general, nonspecific response suppression that partially or completely occluded specific cognitive and other deficits with relevance to schizophrenia. For example, premature responses tended to be decreased after a single injection of PCP (as did all types of responding), whereas repeated administration of PCP led to a profound increase in premature responses, revealing the increased impulsivity induced by PCP (Amitai et al., 2007). While several studies explore cognitive function after subchronic PCP administration followed by a significant washout period, when animals are no longer under the direct influence of PCP (Jentsch et al., 1997; Jentsch and Taylor, 2001; Rodefer et al., 2005, 2007; Abdul-Monim et al., 2006, 2007), it should be noted that the schizophrenia-like state evoked by PCP in humans is present during PCP intoxication, not during PCP withdrawal or during prolonged post-PCP abstinence (Pradhan, 1984). Therefore, we assessed impulsivity and cognitive performance during acute PCP re-challenges after repeated PCP exposures. A dose of 2 mg/kg was chosen because the higher PCP doses commonly used in subchronic studies that test behavior during the drug-free state (Jentsch et al., 1997; Jentsch and Taylor, 2001; Rodefer et al., 2005, 2007) profoundly disrupt 5-CSRTT responding and overall behavior during acute PCP intoxication.

Previous investigations in our laboratory have shown that acute quetiapine, given at a range from 2.5 to 7.5 mg/kg, did not affect performance of the 5-CSRTT under baseline conditions (i.e., in the absence of PCP administration), with the exception of a slight decrease in percent correct responses at the highest dose. Attentional accuracy and impulsive responding were not altered by any dose of quetiapine used (Amitai et al., 2007). While both clozapine and quetiapine are atypical antipsychotic medications commonly used to treat schizophrenia (McEvoy et al., 1999), quetiapine's receptor profile differs from that of clozapine in several ways (see Table 1). Quetiapine exhibits a smaller ratio of serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptor antagonism to dopamine D₂ receptor antagonism than clozapine, and also lacks the significant antagonism of serotonin 5-HT_{2C}, 5-HT₆, and 5-HT₇ receptors expressed by clozapine (Bymaster et al., 1996; Schmidt et al., 2001). Thus, if the effects of chronic quetiapine on a model of schizophrenia-like impulsivity and cognitive deficits differ from those of clozapine, these disparities may provide clues to the importance of actions at different receptors in the effectiveness of atypical antipsychotics in decreasing impulsivity and improving cognition in schizophrenia.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Forty-one male Wistar rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were housed two per cage on a 12 h:12 h reversed light-dark cycle (lights off at 6:00 am). All behavioral testing was conducted during the animals' dark cycle. Rats were allowed to reach a body weight of at least 275 g before being restricted to 20 g of food per day (in addition to the food pellets earned during testing) and initiation of behavioral training. Water was available *ad libitum* at all times except during testing. Animals were treated in accordance with the guidelines of the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and the National Research Council's *Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*. All experiments were approved by the University of California, San Diego, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

2.2. Drugs

D-phencyclidine hydrochloride (PCP) was obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Bethesda, MD, USA). Quetiapine was provided as a generous gift by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals (Newark, DE, USA). PCP was dissolved in 0.9% saline solution and administered by subcutaneous (s.c.) injection in a volume of 2 ml/kg. Quetiapine was dissolved in HCl 0.133 N and diluted with saline (approximately half of the volume) according to the rat's body weight. Matched vehicle consisted of saline containing the same amounts of HCl 0.133 N. Quetiapine was administered via s.c. osmotic minipumps.

2.3. Apparatus

Training and testing were conducted in operant testing chambers enclosed in sound-attenuating chambers (Med Associates, St. Albans, VT, USA). Each testing chamber contained a curved rear wall with nine contiguous apertures. Metal inserts covered every alternate hole, leaving open holes 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9. A photocell beam located at the entrance of each aperture detected nosepoke responses, and a 3 W stimulus light was located at the rear of each aperture. Food pellets could be delivered automatically into a magazine located in the opposite wall via a food dispenser; a photocell beam detected head entries into the magazine. A computer running MedPC software (Med Associates, St. Albans, VT, USA) controlled the apparatus.

2.4. 5-choice serial reaction time task procedure

Rats were trained on the 5-CSRTT using a procedure based on the one established by Carli et al. (1983). Following the training methodology described in detail in Amitai et al. (2007), animals were trained to initiate each trial by a head entry into the food magazine, which started a 5 s intertrial interval, followed by presentation of a 1 s light stimulus in one of the response apertures at a pseudorandom location. A nosepoke in this aperture within a 5 s limited hold period (correct response) resulted in the delivery of a food pellet into the magazine. Nosepokes in a wrong aperture (incorrect responses) or failures to respond within the limited hold period (omissions) were punished by a 5 s timeout, marked by extinction of the house light and no delivery of food reward. Nosepokes in any aperture made before presentation of the target stimulus (premature responses) or further responses into the apertures after the performance of a correct response, but before reward retrieval from the magazine/initiation of a new trial (perseverative responses) likewise resulted in a timeout and no food reward. Nosepokes during the timeout period (timeout responses) reset the timeout period. An initial noncontingent food pellet was delivered into the magazine at the start of each session to facilitate initiation of the first trial. Each session lasted 30 min or until 100 trials had been completed, whichever occurred first. Rats were trained until they had achieved criterion performance (>70% accuracy and <20 omissions) and stable baselines (<10% variation in accuracy over 5 consecutive days). On average, 40 sessions were required for rats to attain criterion performance. New cohorts of rats

were trained for each experiment. The following measures were recorded to assess task performance:

Accuracy: the number of correct responses divided by the sum of correct and incorrect responses: $[\# \text{ correct responses} / (\# \text{ correct responses} + \# \text{ incorrect responses})] \times 100$. Accuracy was only computed if correct + incorrect responses totaled 10 or more.

Percent Correct Responses: $(\text{total } \# \text{ correct responses} / \text{total } \# \text{ of trials}) \times 100$.

Percent Incorrect Responses: $(\text{total } \# \text{ incorrect responses} / \text{total } \# \text{ of trials}) \times 100$.

Percent Omissions: $(\text{total } \# \text{ omissions} / \text{total } \# \text{ of trials}) \times 100$.

Premature responses: total # of responses performed during the ITI, before presentation of the light stimulus.

Timeout responses: total # of responses performed during a timeout period.

Latency to correct response: time from the onset of the light stimulus to the performance of a correct nosepoke response.

Latency to incorrect response: time from the onset of the light stimulus to the performance of a correct nosepoke response. This latency measure was assessed in all experiments but is not reported here because it exhibited essentially the same drug effects as latency to correct response.

Latency to reward retrieval: time from the performance of a correct response to the retrieval of the food reward from the magazine.

Perseverative responses: nosepoke responses performed after a correct response but before collection of the reward. These responses were assessed in all experiments but are not reported here because they did not show any systematic changes in any of the experiments.

Total trials: total # of trials initiated during the session.

2.5. Osmotic minipump implantation and removal

Rats were anesthetized with a 1–3% isoflurane/oxygen vapor mixture, and an osmotic minipump (Alzet model 2ML1 7 day pump, Alza Corporation, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was inserted subcutaneously on the back of the animal parallel to the spine, with the flow moderator directed posteriorly. The wound was stapled, and an antibacterial ointment was applied to the incision area. On day 7, the first minipump was removed, and a second minipump was inserted contralateral to the first minipump under anesthesia. On day 14, the second minipump was removed. For pump removal, an incision was made under anesthesia, the pump was removed, the wound was closed with surgical staples, and an antibacterial ointment was applied.

2.6. Experimental design

2.6.1. Experiment 1: Effects of 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine and repeated PCP on 5-CSRTT performance—After establishment of stable performance in the 5-CSRTT (<10% variation in accuracy over 5 consecutive days), rats received two initial s.c. injections of 2 mg/kg PCP, 24 h apart. The rats then were assigned to two groups that did not differ in accuracy, correct responses, incorrect responses, and premature responses both under baseline conditions and during this initial PCP exposure. This group-matching procedure was necessitated by the considerable variability in responsiveness to performance disruption with PCP, which cannot be predicted from an animal's baseline performance. Balancing the groups based on both their performance in the absence of PCP and during PCP exposure ensured that differential responsiveness to PCP would not confound the results after antipsychotic treatment. One group

($n = 10$) was prepared with two consecutive 7-day osmotic minipumps delivering 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (salt); the other group ($n = 10$) received pumps containing vehicle. This dose of quetiapine was chosen based on our previous observation that 5 mg/kg was the highest acute bolus dose that did not induce disruption in 5-CSRTT performance by itself (Amitai et al., 2007), and on previous experience in converting acute bolus doses of atypical antipsychotics to chronic daily doses infused continuously. Our previous data with clozapine indicated that a cumulative daily dose approximately twice as large as the highest acute bolus dose that does not induce disruption in 5-CSRTT performance was successful in attenuating repeated PCP effects without affecting 5-CSRTT performance by itself (Amitai et al., 2007). The limited solubility of quetiapine at the concentration required to deliver 10 mg/kg/day in the maximal volume that could be contained in the minipumps prevented the use of a single 14-day osmotic minipump. After three initial days of quetiapine/vehicle exposure, all rats received five consecutive daily saline injections s.c. 30 min before 5-CSRTT testing, followed by five consecutive daily injections with 2 mg/kg PCP, s.c., 30 min before 5-CSRTT testing. Overall, this design allowed for the comparison of the effects of quetiapine versus vehicle (between-subjects factor) as well as the effects of PCP versus those of saline (within-subjects factor), with all factorial combinations (vehicle/saline, vehicle/PCP, quetiapine/saline, quetiapine/PCP) explored. The mixed within/between-subjects design was necessitated by the long training times required by the task, and the large numbers of animals needed in each group to reduce the considerable variation in the behavioral effects of PCP that is commonly observed. Previous studies in our laboratory using repeated saline injections have demonstrated that the repeated injection procedure alone does not induce changes in any of the task parameters (Amitai et al., 2007).

Pumps were removed on the 14th day of quetiapine/vehicle exposure, and rats were tested daily in the 5-CSRTT for 10 additional days to assess the return of performance to baseline levels (see Figure 1 for a diagram of the experimental design). This design examining the potential of an atypical antipsychotic medication to prevent PCP effects parallels the prevention of recurrence of a psychotic episode in schizophrenia by antipsychotic treatment. PCP was administered at a concentration of 2 ml/kg because irritation at the injection site has been observed with repeated PCP injections at 1 ml/kg, even when varying the injection site.

2.6.2. Experiment 2: Effects of 5 mg/kg/day quetiapine and repeated PCP on 5-CSRTT performance—Because disruptive effects of quetiapine were observed at the 10 mg/kg/day dose, the experiment was repeated with a new cohort of rats that received a single 14-day osmotic minipump delivering either 5 mg/kg/day quetiapine ($n = 11$) or vehicle ($n = 10$).

2.7. Data analyses

Data were analyzed using two-way mixed-design analysis of variance (ANOVA). To assess the effects of chronic quetiapine by itself, average values from the 5 days preceding any drug treatment (“baseline days”) were compared with average values obtained during the 9 days of PCP-free pump treatment. Pump Content (quetiapine/vehicle) was the between-subjects factor, and Presence of Pump (before pump implantation/during pump exposure) was the within-subjects factor. To assess the effects of chronic quetiapine on performance disruption induced by repeated PCP administration, average values from the 5 days of saline injection during pump treatment were compared with average values from the 5 days of PCP administration during pump treatment. Pump Content (quetiapine/vehicle) was the between-subjects factor, and Drug Challenge (PCP/saline) was the within-subjects factor. Where statistically significant effects were found in the ANOVAs, *post hoc* comparisons among means were conducted using Bonferroni tests. The level of significance was set at 0.05. Data were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism statistical package (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Effects of chronic quetiapine on 5-CSRTT under baseline conditions (i.e., in the absence of PCP treatment; see Table 2 for summary of results and comparison with the effects of chronic clozapine)

In Experiment 1, premature responses were unaltered by pump treatment (Figure 2a). However, ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of Presence of Pump on accuracy [$F(1,18) = 8.77$, $p < 0.01$; Figure 2b], reflecting a decrease in accuracy after pump implantation. A significant main effect of Presence of Pump on percent correct responses [$F(1,18) = 16.18$, $p < 0.001$] and a significant Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction [$F(1,18) = 5.05$, $p < 0.05$] indicated that percent correct responses was reduced in rats exposed to 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (Figure 2c). A significant Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction was also found for percent incorrect responses [$F(1,18) = 6.13$, $p < 0.05$], reflecting higher levels of incorrect responding in rats treated with 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (data not shown) than in the vehicle-treated control group. Percent omissions was significantly increased by Presence of Pump [$F(1,18) = 7.08$, $p < 0.05$; Figure 2d], but no main effect of Pump Content or Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction was observed. Quetiapine at 10 mg/kg/day also significantly increased latency to correct response, demonstrated by a significant main effect of Presence of Pump [$F(1,18) = 50.32$, $p < 0.0001$], a significant Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction [$F(1,18) = 7.30$, $p < 0.05$], and a trend toward a main effect of Pump Content [$F(1,18) = 3.15$, $p = 0.09$; Figure 2e]. When applying a mixed-design ANOVA to compare latency to correct response separately on each day of pump treatment, a significant effect of Pump Content was found [$F(1,126) = 5.029$, $p < 0.05$], further supporting the finding that this dose of quetiapine disrupted this measure. A main effect of Presence of Pump on reward latency [$F(1,18) = 10.62$, $p < 0.01$] and a significant Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction [$F(1,18) = 4.69$, $p < 0.05$] appeared to be caused by a faster latency to reward retrieval during baseline in the group of rats later treated with 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine; this difference was lost during pump treatment (data not shown). No effects on timeout responses (Figure 2f) and total trials (data not shown) were seen. Average trials completed per group were 100 ± 0.00 during baseline and 99.27 ± 0.26 during pump exposure for vehicle-treated rats, and 100 ± 0 during baseline and pump exposure for quetiapine-treated rats.

None of the measures were affected by implantation of pumps delivering 5 mg/kg/day vehicle in Experiment 2 (Figure 3), except for a main effect of Presence of Pump on percent omissions [$F(1,19) = 6.15$, $p < 0.05$], reflecting a slight increase in omissions after pump implantation (Figure 3d). No main effect of Pump Content on percent omissions and no Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction were found. Average trials completed per group were 99.75 ± 0.17 during baseline and 99.79 ± 0.22 during pump exposure for vehicle-treated rats, and 99.82 ± 0.19 during baseline and 99.88 ± 0.13 during pump exposure for quetiapine-treated rats.

3.2. Effects of chronic quetiapine and repeated PCP administration on 5-CSRTT performance; see Table 2 for summary of results and comparison with the effects of chronic clozapine)

In Experiment 1, a significant main effect of Drug Challenge indicated that repeated PCP increased premature responses [$F(1,18) = 37.64$, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 2a]. While no main effect of quetiapine was observed, a strong trend toward a PCP $\times$ quetiapine interaction was found [$F(1,18) = 4.192$, $p = 0.056$], reflecting even higher levels of premature responding during some days of repeated PCP administration in rats treated with 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine. Moreover, a strong trend toward a decrease in accuracy during repeated PCP administration was detected by ANOVA [$F(1,18) = 4.27$, $p = 0.053$; Figure 2b]. Repeated PCP also decreased percent correct responses [$F(1,18) = 19.18$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 2c] and total trials [$F(1,18) = 43.20$, $p < 0.0001$; data not shown], and increased percent omissions [$F(1,18) = 23.86$, $p <$

0.001; Figure 2d], latency to correct response [$F(1,18) = 19.07, p < 0.001$; Figure 2e], and timeout responses [$F(1,18) = 20.94, p < 0.001$; Figure 2f]. On all of these measures, no main effect of quetiapine and no PCP $\times$ quetiapine interaction were found. No effects on percent incorrect responses or reward latency were observed (data not shown). Average trials completed per group were 99.6 ± 0.42 during saline administration and 79.32 ± 4.85 during PCP administration for vehicle-treated rats, and 100 ± 0.00 during saline administration and 82.4 ± 3.92 during PCP administration for quetiapine-treated rats. The minimum # of trials completed by any rat at any point was 32.

A similar strong tendency toward increased premature responses was observed with repeated PCP administration in Experiment 2 [$F(1,19) = 4.071, p = 0.058$; Figure 3a]. A mixed-design ANOVA analyzing average premature responding during saline administration versus each separate day of repeated PCP exposure revealed a significant main effect of PCP [$F(1,95) = 1.70, p < 0.01$]. A strong tendency toward reduced accuracy with repeated PCP administration was also found [$F(1,19) = 4.16, p = 0.056$; Figure 3b]. Again, a mixed-design ANOVA analyzing accuracy during saline administration versus each separate day of repeated PCP exposure detected a significant main effect of PCP [$F(1,95) = 2.49, p < 0.05$]. Further, repeated PCP again decreased percent correct responses [$F(1,19) = 32.52, p < 0.0001$; Figure 3c] and total trials [$F(1,19) = 15.61, p < 0.001$; data not shown], and increased percent omissions [$F(1,19) = 46.47, p < 0.0001$; Figure 3d], latency to correct response [$F(1,19) = 34.54, p < 0.0001$; Figure 3e], and timeout responses [$F(1,19) = 19.97, p < 0.001$; Figure 3f]. Repeated PCP did not affect reward latency or percent incorrect responses (data not shown). Treatment with 5 mg/kg/day quetiapine showed no main effect and no interaction with PCP on any measure. Average trials completed per group were 100 ± 0.00 during saline administration and 82.2 ± 5.76 during PCP administration for vehicle-treated rats, and 99.78 ± 0.23 during saline administration and 86.65 ± 5.83 during PCP administration for quetiapine-treated rats. The minimum # of trials completed by any rat at any point was 34.

4. Discussion

The results indicated robust schizophrenia-like impulsivity and cognitive disruptions induced by repeated PCP administration but no attenuation of these deficits by chronic quetiapine treatment. As in previous studies (Amitai et al., 2007), repeated PCP exposure led to significant impairment of 5-CSRTT performance. The deficit profile included increased impulsivity, revealed by an increase in premature responses (Figures 2a, 3a). Repeated PCP administration also disrupted attention, indicated by a decrease in accuracy (Figures 2b, 3b) and percent correct responses (Figures 2c, 3c) and an increase in percent omissions (Figures 2d, 3d). Repeated PCP also slowed processing speed, denoted by an increase in latency to correct response (Figures 2e, 3e). The fact that latency to reward retrieval remained unaffected by repeated PCP administration confirms that the increased latency to correct response is not caused by an overall locomotor impairment, and likely reflects a decrease in cognitive processing speed. Finally, the number of timeout responses was increased by repeated PCP administration (Figure 2f, 3f). Timeout responses have not been as thoroughly studied to date as other 5-CSRTT measures, and no consensus has yet emerged regarding which behavioral construct they may model. Because timeout responses involve the continuation of a behavioral response past the point when the response is no longer rewarded, they can be considered to be an expression of compulsivity, a type of cognitive inflexibility. Moreover, timeout responses constitute the failure of inhibition of an inappropriate response, and may therefore be another reflection of impulsivity. Overall, the profile of disruptions produced by PCP in our study corresponds to the cognitive deficits present in schizophrenia, which are characterized by increased impulsivity, impaired attention, slowed processing speed, and cognitive inflexibility (Nuechterlein and Dawson, 1984; Goldberg et al., 1988; Morice, 1990; Nelson et al., 1990;

Laurent et al., 1999; Kiehl et al., 2000; Weisbrod et al., 2000; Wykes et al., 2000; Badcock et al., 2002; Chan et al., 2006).

Chronic treatment with quetiapine at two different doses did not attenuate any of the PCP-induced cognitive disruptions. This lack of efficacy of quetiapine is unlikely to be due to the use of insufficiently high doses of quetiapine. The higher of the two doses of quetiapine actually led to disruption of 5-CSRTT performance by itself, and also tended to further elevate premature responding in the presence of repeated PCP administration (Figure 2).

These findings contrast with the results of an earlier study that showed that chronic treatment with a different atypical antipsychotic, clozapine, ameliorated 5-CSRTT deficits induced by repeated PCP administration (Amitai et al., 2007). Specifically, clozapine significantly attenuated the profound increase in premature responding seen during repeated PCP exposure, indicating a beneficial effect on PCP-induced impulsivity. Furthermore, chronic clozapine partially attenuated the decrease in accuracy during PCP exposure, suggesting an improvement of PCP-induced attentional impairment. These differences in the effects of clozapine and quetiapine demonstrate that atypical antipsychotics differ in their effectiveness against schizophrenia-like cognitive deficits. Such differences are also suggested by the results of a number of clinical trials (see Introduction).

The differences in effectiveness between quetiapine and clozapine on the 5-CSRTT performance disruptions induced by repeated PCP are likely due to somewhat dissimilar receptor profiles of the two medications (see Table 1 for a summary of receptor affinities). Quetiapine and clozapine share actions at a number of receptors. Both compounds are antagonists at dopamine D₂ receptors (Bymaster et al., 1996; Schmidt et al., 2001). Quetiapine and clozapine also both dissociate rapidly from D₂ receptors, setting them apart from typical antipsychotic medications, as well as from certain atypical antipsychotics, such as risperidone (Seeman and Tellerico, 1999). In addition, both compounds have strong affinity for histamine H₁ receptors, where they act as antagonists (Schotte et al., 1996; Schmidt et al., 2001). Antagonism of D₂ receptors, rapid dissociation from D₂ receptors, and histamine antagonism have all been implicated in the antipsychotic activity and overall behavioral profiles of atypical antipsychotics (Kapur et al., 2000; Kapur and Seeman, 2001; Akhtar et al., 2006; Pani et al., 2007). However, as these receptor actions, as well as others (e.g. antagonism of muscarinic and noradrenergic receptors) are shared by both clozapine and quetiapine, they are unlikely to underlie the differences in their effectiveness on cognitive deficits in the present study, as well as in clinical applications.

Much interest has focused on the actions of atypical antipsychotics at serotonin receptors. The serotonergic actions of atypical antipsychotics have been proposed to be central to their “atypical” profile and their beneficial effects on a range of schizophrenia symptoms, including negative and cognitive symptoms (Meltzer et al., 2003). In this context, it is notable that serotonin neurotransmission has been suggested to play a critical role in impulsivity and in the 5-CSRTT measure of impulsivity, premature responding (Harrison et al., 1997; Winstanley et al., 2003), and that the beneficial actions of clozapine on 5-CSRTT deficits induced by repeated PCP were particularly pronounced for the case of premature responding (Amitai et al., 2007). Particular emphasis has been placed on the serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptor. Selective 5-HT_{2A} antagonists have been found to decrease premature responses in the 5-CSRTT both under baseline conditions or when premature responding had been increased by the NMDA antagonist dizocilpine or by lengthening the intertrial interval (Higgins et al., 2003; Fletcher et al., 2007). While both quetiapine and clozapine are 5-HT_{2A} antagonists (Bymaster et al., 1996; Schmidt et al., 2001), the ratio of 5-HT_{2A} antagonism to D₂ antagonism is significantly smaller with quetiapine than with clozapine. While clozapine binds to 5-HT_{2A} receptors (K_i = 8.9 nM) with over 10-fold greater affinity than to D₂ receptors (K_i = 130 nM), the affinity of

quetiapine for 5-HT_{2A} receptors ($K_i = 220$ nM) is slightly less than that of its affinity for D₂ receptors ($K_i = 180$ nM) (Schmidt et al., 2001). Clozapine increases dopamine release in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Moghaddam and Bunney, 1990), an effect that can be reproduced by concomitant administration of a 5-HT_{2A} antagonist and a D₂ antagonist (Ichikawa et al., 2001; Liegeois et al., 2002). Quetiapine, in contrast, does not elevate prefrontal dopamine levels (Volonté et al., 1997). Studies suggest that cognitive impairment in schizophrenia is associated with hypofunction of the PFC (Weinberger et al., 1986; Goldberg et al. 1988; Andreasen et al., 1992) and that this “hypofrontality” may include reduced dopaminergic activity in the PFC (Weinberger et al., 1988; Davis et al., 1991; Goldman-Rakic et al., 2004). Decreased dopamine neurotransmission in the PFC can disrupt cognition (Brozoski et al., 1979; Sawaguchi and Goldman-Rakic, 1991; Castner et al., 2004; Goldman-Rakic et al., 2004). A high ratio of 5-HT_{2A} antagonism to D₂ antagonism may therefore allow clozapine to overcome a hypodopaminergic state in schizophrenia patients by elevating prefrontal dopamine levels, and thus improving cognitive schizophrenia symptoms. This high 5-HT_{2A}/D₂ antagonism ratio may also explain why clozapine succeeded in attenuating deficits in response inhibition/impulsivity and attention induced by repeated PCP treatment, while quetiapine did not. Studies examining how 5-CSRTT performance disruption induced by repeated PCP is affected by atypical antipsychotics with a high ratio of 5-HT_{2A} antagonism to D₂ antagonism that *lack* some of the other antagonist properties of clozapine (e.g. at 5-HT_{2C}, 5-HT₆, and 5-HT₇ receptors; see below) may further clarify the importance of these receptor mechanisms.

Clozapine also strongly antagonizes 5-HT_{2C} receptors ($K_i = 17$ nM), while quetiapine has no strong affinity for this receptor ($K_i = 1400$ nM) (Schmidt et al., 2001), suggesting that quetiapine may have been less effective at attenuating PCP-induced cognitive deficits due to a lack of action on the 5-HT_{2C} receptor. However, selective 5-HT_{2C} antagonists did not ameliorate dizocilpine-induced increases in 5-CSRTT premature responding, and increased premature responses by themselves (Higgins et al., 2003; Fletcher et al., 2007). This pattern of results suggests that clozapine's 5-HT_{2C} receptor antagonist properties may not underlie its beneficial effects on impulsive responding.

An additional serotonin receptor that has recently garnered attention is the 5-HT₆ receptor. Clozapine exhibits significant 5-HT₆ receptor antagonism, while quetiapine does not interact significantly with this receptor. Some studies have found altered 5-HT₆ mRNA expression in schizophrenia patients (East et al., 2002), and a 5-HT₆ polymorphism has been reported to be a risk factor for schizophrenia (Tsai et al., 1999; but see Shinkai et al., 1999; Ohmori et al., 2001) and to affect the therapeutic response to clozapine treatment (Yu et al., 1999; Huez-Diaz et al., 2001). Selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists have been found to improve performance in a range of cognitive tasks in adult (Rogers and Hagan, 2001; Woolley et al., 2001; Hatcher et al., 2002; Hirst et al., 2003; King et al., 2004; but see Lindner et al., 2003) and aged rats (Foley et al., 2004; Kwon et al., 2004; Hirst et al., 2006), and attenuate memory impairment induced by anticholinergic drugs (Meneses, 2001; Szczepanski et al., 2002; Hirst et al., 2003, 2006; Woolley et al., 2003). In contrast, selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists were ineffective at reversing disruptions of prepulse inhibition, latent inhibition, social interaction or memory induced by NMDA receptor antagonists (Leng et al., 2003; Pouzet et al., 2002a; Woolley et al., 2004). However, a PCP-induced deficit in set-shifting was successfully reversed by a selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist (Rodefer et al., 2007). Disruption of some cognitive modalities by NMDA receptor antagonists may therefore depend more on 5-HT₆ receptor mechanisms than other cognitive modalities. 5-HT₆ receptor antagonism may be another mechanism underlying the different patterns of results observed with quetiapine and clozapine. Future studies exploring the effect of selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists on 5-CSRTT performance, both under baseline conditions and after disruption by repeated PCP administration, would be of interest.

Finally, clozapine, but not quetiapine, exerts significant antagonism at the 5-HT₇ receptor (Schmidt et al., 2001), another receptors for which altered mRNA expression levels have been reported in schizophrenia patients (East et al., 2002). Selective 5-HT₇ receptor antagonists attenuated PCP-induced disruption of prepulse inhibition in rats, although disruption of social interaction induced by PCP was unaffected (Pouzet et al., 2002b). Moreover, while PCP-induced disruption of prepulse inhibition in mice is unaltered by selective 5-HT₇ receptor antagonists, mice lacking the 5-HT₇ receptor exhibit reduced disruption of prepulse inhibition in response to PCP (Semenova et al., 2008). Actions at the 5-HT₇ receptor may therefore also mediate the different effects of quetiapine and clozapine on impulsivity and cognitive disruption after repeated PCP administration, as well as their different profiles in treating schizophrenia symptoms.

While quetiapine did not improve the PCP-induced cognitive deficits observed in the 5-CSRTT, this medication may prove to be effective in models of deficits in other cognitive modalities or behavioral aspects known to be disrupted in schizophrenia, such as various forms of memory impairment. One study found improvement of PCP-induced deficits in spatial reference memory with subchronic quetiapine treatment (He et al., 2006). Investigations of pre-attentional sensory gating also found attenuation of PCP-induced disruption of prepulse inhibition by acute quetiapine administration (Swerdlow et al., 1996; Martinez et al., 2002). Future studies exploring the effects of quetiapine treatment on PCP-induced disruptions of tasks assessing other aspects of memory, such as working memory, or other cognitive modalities such as set shifting, would be of considerable interest. Attenuation of deficits in these cognitive modalities may not depend as heavily on the receptor actions that are specific to clozapine, and may involve neurotransmitter systems successfully targeted by quetiapine. Moreover, investigation of other atypical antipsychotics with subtly different receptor profiles, such as olanzapine or ziprasidone, or new antipsychotics hypothesized to act predominantly through partial D₂ agonism, such as aripiprazole, may yield further insights into the role of different receptor actions in impulsivity and cognitive deficits characterizing schizophrenia, as well as how these deficits may be ameliorated.

In summary, the present results indicated that chronic quetiapine treatment does not reduce PCP-induced disruption of 5-CSRTT performance. As this model is sensitive to attenuation with chronic clozapine treatment, these findings demonstrate a difference between two atypical antipsychotic medications in their effectiveness at treating schizophrenia-like cognitive deficits. These results emphasize the importance of examining and comparing the effects of different existing antipsychotics on various cognitive measures, and of carefully assessing the full cognitive profile of putative novel antipsychotic medications.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIMH grant MH062527 to AM. NA was supported by Individual Predoctoral Fellowship 15DT-0048 from the Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program (TRDRP) of the State of California. The authors would like to thank Dr. Svetlana Semenova for intellectual input to this work, Mrs. Jessica Benedict and Ms. Chelsea Onifer for technical assistance, Mr. Pete Sharp for excellent assistance with electronics and computer software, and Mr. Mike Arends for outstanding editorial assistance. Finally, the authors wish to thank Dr. Jeffrey Goldstein from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals for providing us with quetiapine.

References

- Abdul-Monim Z, Neill JC, Reynolds GP. Sub-chronic psychotomimetic phencyclidine induces deficits in reversal learning and alterations in parvalbumin-immunoreactive expression in the rat. *J Psychopharmacol* 2007;21:198–205. [PubMed: 17329300]
- Abdul-Monim Z, Reynolds GP, Neill JC. The atypical antipsychotic ziprasidone, but not haloperidol, improves phencyclidine-induced cognitive deficits in a reversal learning task in the rat. *J Psychopharmacol* 2003;17:57–66. [PubMed: 12680740]

- Abdul-Monim Z, Reynolds GP, Neill JC. The effect of atypical and classical antipsychotics on sub-chronic PCP-induced cognitive deficits in a reversal-learning paradigm. *Behav Brain Res* 2006;169:263–73. [PubMed: 16500717]
- Akhtar M, Uma Devi P, Ali A, Pillai KK, Vohora D. Antipsychotic-like profile of thioperamide, a selective H3-receptor antagonist in mice. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2006;20:373–8. [PubMed: 16867021]
- Allen RM, Young SJ. Phencyclidine-induced psychosis. *Am J Psychiatry* 1978;135:1081–4. [PubMed: 696930]
- Amitai N, Semenova S, Markou A. Cognitive-disruptive effects of the psychotomimetic phencyclidine and attenuation by atypical antipsychotic medications in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2007;193:521–37. [PubMed: 17497138]
- Andreasen NC, Rezaei K, Alliger R, Swayze VW 2nd, Flaum M, Kirchner P, Cohen G, O'Leary DS. Hypofrontality in neuroleptic-naïve patients and in patients with chronic schizophrenia. Assessment with xenon 133 single-photon emission computed tomography and the Tower of London. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992;49:943–58. [PubMed: 1360199]
- Badcock JC, Michie PT, Johnson L, Combrinck J. Acts of control in schizophrenia: dissociating the components of inhibition. *Psychol Med* 2002;32:287–97. [PubMed: 11866323]
- Bakker CB, Amini FB. Observations on the psychotomimetic effects of Sernyl. *Compr Psychiatry* 1961;2:269–80. [PubMed: 13864199]
- Balster RL, Baird JB. Effects of phencyclidine, d-amphetamine and pentobarbital on spaced responding in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1979;11:617–23. [PubMed: 538050]
- Barzman DH, DelBello MP, Adler CM, Stanford KE, Strakowski SM. The efficacy and tolerability of quetiapine versus divalproex for the treatment of impulsivity and reactive aggression in adolescents with co-occurring bipolar disorder and disruptive behavior disorder(s). *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2006;16:665–70. [PubMed: 17201610]
- Bender S, Dittmann-Balcar A, Schall U, Wolstein J, Klimke A, Riedel M, et al. Influence of atypical neuroleptics on executive functioning in patients with schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind comparison of olanzapine vs. clozapine. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2005;9:135–45. [PubMed: 16174427]
- Bilder RM, Goldman RS, Volavka J, Czobor P, Hoptman M, Sheitman B, et al. Neurocognitive effects of clozapine, olanzapine, risperidone, and haloperidol in patients with chronic schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159:1018–28. [PubMed: 12042192]
- Bilder RM, Lieberman JA, Kim Y, Alvir JM, Reiter G. Methylphenidate and neuroleptic effects on oral word production in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol* 1992;5:262–71.
- Brozoski TJ, Brown RM, Rosvold HE, Goldman PS. Cognitive deficit caused by regional depletion of dopamine in prefrontal cortex of rhesus monkey. *Science* 1979 Aug 31;205(4409):929–32. [PubMed: 112679]
- Bymaster FP, Calligaro DO, Falcone JF, Marsh RD, Moore NA, Tye NC, et al. Radioreceptor binding profile of the atypical antipsychotic olanzapine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996;14:87–96. [PubMed: 8822531]
- Carli M, Robbins TW, Evenden JL, Everitt BJ. Effects of lesions to ascending noradrenergic neurones on performance of a 5-choice serial reaction task in rats; implications for theories of dorsal noradrenergic bundle function based on selective attention and arousal. *Behav Brain Res* 1983;9:361–80. [PubMed: 6639741]
- Castellani S, Giannini AJ, Boeringa JA, Adams PM. Phencyclidine intoxication: assessment of possible antidotes. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1982;19:313–9. [PubMed: 7131617]
- Castner SA, Goldman-Rakic PS, Williams GV. Animal models of working memory: insights for targeting cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2004;174:111–25. [PubMed: 15205882]
- Chan RC, Chen EY, Cheung EF, Chen RY, Cheung HK. The components of executive functioning in a cohort of patients with chronic schizophrenia: a multiple single-case study design. *Schizophr Res* 2006;81:173–89. [PubMed: 16188430]

- Compton AD, Slemmer JE, Drew MR, Hyman JM, Golden KM, Balster RL, et al. Combinations of clozapine and phencyclidine: effects on drug discrimination and behavioral inhibition in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 2001;40:289–97. [PubMed: 11114408]
- Davis KL, Kahn RS, Ko G, Davidson M. Dopamine in schizophrenia: a review and reconceptualization. *Am J Psychiatry* 1991;148:1474–86. [PubMed: 1681750]
- Depoortère R, Auclair AL, Bardin L, Bruins Slot L, Kleven MS, Colpaert F, et al. F15063, a compound with D2/D3 antagonist, 5-HT1A agonist and D4 partial agonist properties: (III) Activity in models of cognition and negative symptoms. *Br J Pharmacol* 2007;151:266–77. [PubMed: 17375085]
- Didriksen M, Skarsfeldt T, Arnt J. Reversal of PCP-induced learning and memory deficits in the Morris' water maze by sertindole and other antipsychotics. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2007;193:225–33. [PubMed: 17406859]
- Dursun SM, Szemis A, Andrews H, Whitaker P, Reveley MA. Effects of clozapine and typical antipsychotic drugs on plasma 5-HT turnover and impulsivity in patients with schizophrenia: a cross-sectional study. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2000;25:347–52. [PubMed: 11022399]
- East SZ, Burnet PW, Kerwin RW, Harrison PJ. An RT-PCR study of 5-HT(6) and 5-HT(7) receptor mRNAs in the hippocampal formation and prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2002;57:15–26. [PubMed: 12165372]
- Evenden JL, Turpin M, Oliver L, Jennings C. Caffeine and nicotine improve visual tracking by rats: a comparison with amphetamine, cocaine and apomorphine. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1993;110:169–76. [PubMed: 7870879]
- Fletcher PJ, Tampakeras M, Sinyard J, Higgins GA. Opposing effects of 5-HT(2A) and 5-HT(2C) receptor antagonists in the rat and mouse on premature responding in the five-choice serial reaction time test. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2007;195:223–34. [PubMed: 17673981]
- Foley AG, Murphy KJ, Hirst WD, Gallagher HC, Hagan JJ, Upton N, et al. The 5-HT(6) receptor antagonist SB-271046 reverses scopolamine-disrupted consolidation of a passive avoidance task and ameliorates spatial task deficits in aged rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004;29:93–100. [PubMed: 14571256]
- Goldberg TE, Kelsoe JR, Weinberger DR, Pliskin NH, Kirwin PD, Berman KF. Performance of schizophrenic patients on putative neuropsychological tests of frontal lobe function. *Int J Neurosci* 1988;42:51–8. [PubMed: 3209372]
- Goldman-Rakic PS, Castner SA, Svensson TH, Siever LJ, Williams GV. Targeting the dopamine D1 receptor in schizophrenia: insights for cognitive dysfunction. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2004;174:3–16. [PubMed: 15118803]
- Green MF, Kern RS, Heaton RK. Longitudinal studies of cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: implications for MATRICS. *Schizophr Res* 2004;72:41–51. [PubMed: 15531406]
- Handelmann GE, Contreras PC, O'Donohue TL. Selective memory impairment by phencyclidine in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1987;140:69–73. [PubMed: 3622624]
- Harrison AA, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. Central 5-HT depletion enhances impulsive responding without affecting the accuracy of attentional performance: interactions with dopaminergic mechanisms. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1997;133:329–42. [PubMed: 9372531]
- Hatcher PD, Brown VJ, Tait DS, Bate S, Overend P, Hagan JJ, Jones DN. 5-HT6 receptor antagonists improve performance in an attentional set shifting task in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2005;181:253–9. [PubMed: 15846482]
- He J, Xu H, Yang Y, Rajakumar D, Li X, Li XM. The effects of chronic administration of quetiapine on the phencyclidine-induced reference memory impairment and decrease of Bcl-XL/Bax ratio in the posterior cingulate cortex in rats. *Behav Brain Res* 2006;168:236–42. [PubMed: 16360889]
- Higgins GA, Enderlin M, Haman M, Fletcher PJ. The 5-HT2A receptor antagonist M100,907 attenuates motor and impulsive-type behaviours produced by NMDA receptor antagonism. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2003;170:309–19. [PubMed: 12904968]
- Hilger E, Barnas C, Kasper S. Quetiapine in the treatment of borderline personality disorder. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2003;4:42–4. [PubMed: 12582977]
- Hirst WD, Abrahamsen B, Blaney FE, Calver AR, Aloj L, Price GW, et al. Differences in the central nervous system distribution and pharmacology of the mouse 5-hydroxytryptamine-6 receptor

- compared with rat and human receptors investigated by radioligand binding, site-directed mutagenesis, and molecular modeling. *Mol Pharmacol* 2003;64:1295–308. [PubMed: 14645659]
- Hirst WD, Stean TO, Rogers DC, Sunter D, Pugh P, Moss SF, et al. SB-399885 is a potent, selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist with cognitive enhancing properties in aged rat water maze and novel object recognition models. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2006;553:109–19. [PubMed: 17069795]
- Huezo-Diaz P, Staddon S, Dempster D, Gutierrez B, Munro J, Osborne S, et al. Serotonin-6 (5-HT₆) receptor variant association study with clozapine response [Abstract]. *Schizophr Res* 2001;49:71.
- Ichikawa J, Ishii H, Bonaccorso S, Fowler WL, O'Laughlin IA, Meltzer HY. 5-HT(2A) and D(2) receptor blockade increases cortical DA release via 5-HT(1A) receptor activation: a possible mechanism of atypical antipsychotic-induced cortical dopamine release. *J Neurochem* 2001;76:1521–31. [PubMed: 11238736]
- Idris, NF.; Large, CH.; Reynolds, GP.; Neill, JC. Lamotrigine and clozapine reverse an established cognitive deficit induced by sub-chronic PCP (phencyclidine) in the rat; *Br J Pharmacol Proceedings Supplement* . 2003. p. 056P(<http://www.pa2online.org/>)
- Idris NF, Repeto P, Neill JC, Large CH. Investigation of the effects of lamotrigine and clozapine in improving reversal-learning impairments induced by acute phencyclidine and D-amphetamine in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2005;179:336–48. [PubMed: 15645224]
- Javitt DC. Negative schizophrenic symptomatology and the PCP (phencyclidine) model of schizophrenia. *Hillside J Clin Psychiatry* 1987;9:12–35. [PubMed: 2820854]
- Jentsch JD, Tran A, Le D, Youngren KD, Roth RH. Subchronic phencyclidine administration reduces mesoprefrontal dopamine utilization and impairs cortical-dependent cognition in the rat. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1997;17:92–9. [PubMed: 9252984]
- Jentsch JD, Anzivilo LA. A low dose of the alpha₂ agonist clonidine ameliorates the visual attention and spatial working memory deficits produced by phencyclidine administration to rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2004;175:76–83. [PubMed: 15007531]
- Jentsch JD, Roth RH. The neuropsychopharmacology of phencyclidine: from NMDA receptor hypofunction to the dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1999;20:201–25. [PubMed: 10063482]
- Jentsch JD, Taylor JR. Impaired inhibition of conditioned responses produced by subchronic administration of phencyclidine to rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2001;24:66–74. [PubMed: 11106877]
- Kapur S, Seeman P. Does fast dissociation from the dopamine d(2) receptor explain the action of atypical antipsychotics?: A new hypothesis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001;158:360–9. [PubMed: 11229973]
- Kapur S, Zipursky R, Jones C, Remington G, Houle S. Relationship between dopamine D(2) occupancy, clinical response, and side effects: a double-blind PET study of first-episode schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000;157:514–20. [PubMed: 10739409]
- Keefe RS, Seidman LJ, Christensen BK, Hamer RM, Sharma T, Sitskoorn MM, et al. Comparative effect of atypical and conventional antipsychotic drugs on neurocognition in first-episode psychosis: a randomized, double-blind trial of olanzapine versus low doses of haloperidol. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;161:985–95. [PubMed: 15169686]
- Kiehl KA, Smith AM, Hare RD, Liddle PF. An event-related potential investigation of response inhibition in schizophrenia and psychopathy. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000;48:210–21. [PubMed: 10924664]
- King MV, Sleight AL, Woolley ML, Topham IA, Marsden CA, Fone KC. 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists reverse delay-dependent deficits in novel object discrimination by enhancing consolidation—an effect sensitive to NMDA receptor antagonism. *Neuropharmacology* 2004;47:195–204. [PubMed: 15223298]
- Kivircik Akdede BB, Alptekin K, Kiti A, Arkar H, Akvardar Y. Effects of quetiapine on cognitive functions in schizophrenia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2005;29:233–8. [PubMed: 15694229]
- Kopala LC, Good KP, Milliken H, Buiteman C, Woodley H, Rui Q, et al. Treatment of a first episode of psychotic illness with quetiapine: an analysis of 2 year outcomes. *Schizophr Res* 2006;81:29–39. [PubMed: 16263246]
- Kuperberg G, Heckers S. Schizophrenia and cognitive function. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2000;10:205–10. [PubMed: 10753790]

- Kwon M, Fischer F, Matthisson M, Herrling P. List of drugs in development for neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurodegener Dis* 2004;1:113–52. [PubMed: 16908983]
- Laurent A, Saoud M, Bougerol T, d'Amato T, Anchisi AM, Biloa-Tang M, et al. Attentional deficits in patients with schizophrenia and in their non-psychotic first-degree relatives. *Psychiatry Res* 1999;89:147–59. [PubMed: 10708262]
- Leng A, Ouagazzal A, Feldon J, Higgins GA. Effect of the 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists Ro04-6790 and Ro65-7199 on latent inhibition and prepulse inhibition in the rat: comparison to clozapine. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2003;75:281–8. [PubMed: 12873617]
- Liégeois JF, Ichikawa J, Meltzer HY. 5-HT(2A) receptor antagonism potentiates haloperidol-induced dopamine release in rat medial prefrontal cortex and inhibits that in the nucleus accumbens in a dose-dependent manner. *Brain Res* 2002;947:157–65. [PubMed: 12176156]
- Lindner MD, Hodges DB Jr, Hogan JB, Orie AF, Corsa JA, Barten DM, et al. An assessment of the effects of serotonin 6 (5-HT₆) receptor antagonists in rodent models of learning. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2003;307:682–91. [PubMed: 12975483]
- Luby ED, Cohen BD, Rosenbaum G, Gottlieb JS, Kelley R. Study of a new schizophrenomimetic drug: sernyl. *AMA Arch Neurol Psychiatry* 1959;81:363–9.
- Martinez ZA, Platten A, Pollack E, Shoemaker J, Ro H, Pitcher L, et al. “Typical” but not “atypical” antipsychotic effects on startle gating deficits in prepubertal rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2002;161:38–46. [PubMed: 11967629]
- McEvoy JP, Scheifler PL, Frances A. The expert consensus guideline series: treatment of schizophrenia 1999. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1999;60(Suppl 11):3–80.
- McGurk SR, Meltzer HY. The role of cognition in vocational functioning in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2000;45:175–84. [PubMed: 11042435]
- Meltzer HY, McGurk SR. The effects of clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine on cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 1999;25:233–55. [PubMed: 10416729]
- Meltzer HY, Li Z, Kaneda Y, Ichikawa J. Serotonin receptors: their key role in drugs to treat schizophrenia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2003;27:1159–72. [PubMed: 14642974]
- Meneses A. Role of 5-HT₆ receptors in memory formation. *Drug News Perspect* 2001;14:396–400. [PubMed: 12813582]
- Moghaddam B, Bunney BS. Acute effects of typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs on the release of dopamine from prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens, and striatum of the rat: an in vivo microdialysis study. *J Neurochem* 1990;54:1755–60. [PubMed: 1969939]
- Morice R. Cognitive inflexibility and pre-frontal dysfunction in schizophrenia and mania. *Br J Psychiatry* 1990;157:50–4. [PubMed: 2397362]
- Mortimer AM. Cognitive function in schizophrenia: do neuroleptics make a difference? *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1997;56:789–95. [PubMed: 9130306]
- Nelson HE, Pantelis C, Carruthers K, Speller J, Baxendale S, Barnes TRE. Cognitive functioning and symptomatology in chronic schizophrenia. *Psychol Med* 1990;20:357–65. [PubMed: 2356261]
- Nuechterlein KH, Dawson ME. Information processing and attentional functioning in the developmental course of schizophrenic disorders. *Schizophr Bull* 1984;10:160–203. [PubMed: 6729409]
- Ohmori O, Shinkai T, Hori H, Nakamura J. Novel polymorphism in the 5'-upstream region of the human 5-HT₆ receptor gene and schizophrenia. *Neurosci Lett* 2001;310:17–20. [PubMed: 11524147]
- Pani L, Pira L, Marchese G. Antipsychotic efficacy: relationship to optimal D₂-receptor occupancy. *Eur Psychiatry* 2007;22:267–75. [PubMed: 17419008]
- Pearlson GD. Psychiatric and medical syndromes associated with phencyclidine (PCP) abuse. *Johns Hopkins Med J* 1981;148:25–33. [PubMed: 6109037]
- Pouzet B, Didriksen M, Arnt J. Effects of the 5-HT(6) receptor antagonist, SB-271046, in animal models for schizophrenia. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2002a;71:635–43. [PubMed: 11888555]
- Pouzet B, Didriksen M, Arnt J. Effects of the 5-HT(7) receptor antagonist SB-258741 in animal models for schizophrenia. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2002b;71:655–65. [PubMed: 11888557]
- Pradhan SN. Phencyclidine (PCP): some human studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 1984;8:493–501. [PubMed: 6514253]

- Puumala T, Sirviö J. Changes in activities of dopamine and serotonin systems in the frontal cortex underlie poor choice accuracy and impulsivity of rats in an attention task. *Neuroscience* 1998;83:489–99. [PubMed: 9460757]
- Puumala T, Ruotsalainen S, Jäkälä P, Koivisto E, Riekkinen P Jr, Sirviö J. Behavioral and pharmacological studies on the validation of a new animal model for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 1996;66:198–211. [PubMed: 8946412]
- Regier DA, Narrow WE, Rae DS, Manderscheid RW, Locke BZ, Goodwin FK. The de facto US mental and addictive disorders service system: epidemiologic catchment area prospective 1-year prevalence rates of disorders and services. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1993;50:85–94. [PubMed: 8427558]
- Robbins TW. The 5-choice serial reaction time task: behavioural pharmacology and functional neurochemistry. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2002;163:362–80. [PubMed: 12373437]
- Rodefer JS, Murphy ER, Baxter MG. PDE10A inhibition reverses subchronic PCP-induced deficits in attentional set-shifting in rats. *Eur J Neurosci* 2005;21:1070–6. [PubMed: 15787711]
- Rodefer JS, Nguyen TN, Karlsson JJ, Arnt J. Reversal of Subchronic PCP-Induced Deficits in Attentional Set Shifting in Rats by Sertindole and a 5-HT(6) Receptor Antagonist: Comparison Among Antipsychotics. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2007[Epub ahead of print]
- Rogers DC, Hagan JJ. 5-HT6 receptor antagonists enhance retention of a water maze task in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2001;158:114–119. [PubMed: 11702084]
- Rosenbaum G, Cohen BD, Luby ED, Gottlieb JS, Yelen D. Comparison of sernyl with other drugs: simulation of schizophrenic performance with sernyl, LSD-25, and amobarbital (amytal) sodium; I. attention, motor function, and proprioception. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1959;1:651–6. [PubMed: 14438905]
- Sams-Dodd F. Phencyclidine-induced stereotyped behaviour and social isolation in rats: a possible animal model of schizophrenia. *Behav Pharmacol* 1996;7:3–23. [PubMed: 11224390]
- Sanger DJ, Jackson A. Effects of phencyclidine and other N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonists on the schedule-controlled behavior of rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1989;248:1215–21. [PubMed: 2649656]
- Sawaguchi T, Goldman-Rakic PS. D1 dopamine receptors in prefrontal cortex: involvement in working memory. *Science* 1991;251:947–50. [PubMed: 1825731]
- Schmidt AW, Lebel LA, Howard HR Jr, Zorn SH. Ziprasidone: a novel antipsychotic agent with a unique human receptor binding profile. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2001;425:197–201. [PubMed: 11513838]
- Schotte A, Janssen PFM, Gommeren W, Luyten WHML, Van Gompel P, Lesage AS, De Loore K, Leysen JE. Risperidone compared with new and reference antipsychotic drugs: in vitro and in vivo receptor binding. *Psychopharmacology* 1996;124:57–73. [PubMed: 8935801]
- Seeman P, Tallerico T. Rapid release of antipsychotic drugs from dopamine D2 receptors: an explanation for low receptor occupancy and early clinical relapse upon withdrawal of clozapine or quetiapine. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999;156:876–84. [PubMed: 10360126]
- Semenova S, Geyer MA, Sutcliffe JG, Markou A, Hedlund PB. Inactivation of the 5-HT(7) receptor partially blocks phencyclidine-induced disruption of prepulse inhibition. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;63:98–105. [PubMed: 17531208]
- Sharma T, Antonova L. Cognitive function in schizophrenia: deficits, functional consequences, and future treatment. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2003;26:25–40. [PubMed: 12683258]
- Sharma T, Mockler D. The cognitive efficacy of atypical antipsychotics in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1998;18(2 Suppl 1):12S–9S. [PubMed: 9555611]
- Shinkai T, Ohmori O, Kojima H, Terao T, Suzuki T, Abe K. Association study of the 5-HT6 receptor gene in schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet* 1999;88:120–22. [PubMed: 10206228]
- Spivak B, Mester R, Wittenberg N, Maman Z, Weizman A. Reduction of aggressiveness and impulsiveness during clozapine treatment in chronic neuroleptic-resistant schizophrenic patients. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 1997;20:442–6. [PubMed: 9331521]
- Stefani MR, Moghaddam B. Systemic and prefrontal cortical NMDA receptor blockade differentially affect discrimination learning and set-shift ability in rats. *Behav Neurosci* 2005;119:420–8. [PubMed: 15839788]
- Steinpreis RE. The behavioral and neurochemical effects of phenylclidine in humans and animals: some implications for modeling psychosis. *Behav Brain Res* 1996;74:45–55. [PubMed: 8851914]

- Strous RD, Kupchik M, Roitman S, Schwartz S, Gonen N, Mester R, et al. Comparison between risperidone, olanzapine, and clozapine in the management of chronic schizophrenia: a naturalistic prospective 12-week observational study. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2006;21:235–43. [PubMed: 16783815]
- Swerdlow NR, Bakshi V, Geyer MA. Seroquel restores sensorimotor gating in phencyclidine-treated rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1996;279:1290–9. [PubMed: 8968353]
- Szczepanski KV, Vivian JA, Dorsch K, Blokland A, Hedley L, Lieben CK, et al. Procognitive effects of the 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist Ro 4368554 in rats. *Soc Neurosci Meet* 2002:290.20.
- Talpos JC, Wilkinson LS, Robbins TW. A comparison of multiple 5-HT receptors in two tasks measuring impulsivity. *J Psychopharmacol* 2006;20:47–58. [PubMed: 16204332]
- Tamlyn D, McKenna PJ, Mortimer AM, Lund CE, Hammond S, Baddeley AD. Memory impairment in schizophrenia: Its extent, affiliations and neuropsychological character. *Psychol Med* 1992;22:101–15. [PubMed: 1349439]
- Tsai SJ, Chiu HJ, Wang YC, Hong CJ. Association study of serotonin-6 receptor variant (C267T) with schizophrenia and aggressive behavior. *Neurosci Lett* 1999;271:135–7. [PubMed: 10477121]
- Velligan DI, Newcomer J, Pultz J, Csernansky J, Hoff AL, Mahurin R, et al. Does cognitive function improve with quetiapine in comparison to haloperidol? *Schizophr Res* 2002;53:239–48. [PubMed: 11738537]
- Villeneuve E, Lemelin S. Open-label study of atypical neuroleptic quetiapine for treatment of borderline personality disorder: impulsivity as main target. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66:1298–303. [PubMed: 16259544]
- Volonté M, Monferini E, Cerutti M, Fodritto F, Borsini F. BIMG 80, a novel potential antipsychotic drug: evidence for multireceptor actions and preferential release of dopamine in prefrontal cortex. *J Neurochem* 1997;69:182–90. [PubMed: 9202309]
- Walker C, Thomas J, Allen TS. Treating impulsivity, irritability, and aggression of antisocial personality disorder with quetiapine. *Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol* 2003;47:556–67. [PubMed: 14526596]
- Weinberger DR, Berman KF, Zec RF. Physiologic dysfunction of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. I. Regional cerebral blood flow evidence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1986;43:114–24. [PubMed: 3947207]
- Weinberger DR, Berman KF, Illowsky BP. Physiological dysfunction of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. III. A new cohort and evidence for a monoaminergic mechanism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1988;45:609–15. [PubMed: 3382320]
- Weisbrod M, Kiefer M, Marzinzik F, Spitzer M. Executive control is disturbed in schizophrenia: evidence from event-related potentials in a Go/NoGo task. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000;47:51–60. [PubMed: 10650449]
- Winstanley CA, Chudasama Y, Dalley JW, Theobald DE, Glennon JC, Robbins TW. Intra-prefrontal 8-OH-DPAT and M100907 improve visuospatial attention and decrease impulsivity on the five-choice serial reaction time task in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2003;167:304–14. [PubMed: 12677356]
- Woolley ML, Bentley JC, Sleight AL, Marsden CA, Fone KC. A role for 5-HT₆ receptors in retention of spatial learning in the Morris water maze. *Neuropharmacology* 2001;41:210–219. [PubMed: 11489457]
- Woolley ML, Marsden CA, Sleight AJ, Fone KC. Reversal of a cholinergic-induced deficit in a rodent model of recognition memory by the selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist, Ro 04-6790. *Psychopharmacology* 2003;170:358–67. [PubMed: 13680084]
- Woolley ML, Marsden CA, Fone KC. 5-HT₆ receptors. *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord* 2004;3:59–79. [PubMed: 14965245]
- Wykes T, Reeder C, Corner J. The prevalence and stability of an executive processing deficit, response inhibition, in people with chronic schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2000;46:241–53. [PubMed: 11120436]
- Yesavage J, Freeman AM III. Letter. *Am J Psychiatry* 1979;136:235–6. [PubMed: 760562]
- Yu YW, Tsai SJ, Lin CH, Hsu CP, Yang KH, Hong CJ. Serotonin-6 receptor variant (C267T) and clinical response to clozapine. *Neuroreport* 1999;10:1231–3. [PubMed: 10363930]

Experimental Design

Figure 1.
Diagram of experimental design.

10 mg/kg/day Quetiapine and Repeated PCP

Figure 2.

Effects of 10 mg/kg/day quetiapine treatment on 5-CSRTT performance under baseline conditions and during repeated PCP administration ($n = 10$ per group). Premature responses (A), accuracy (B), percent correct responses (C), percent omissions (D), latency to correct response (E), and timeout responses (F) are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared with baseline performance (* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$). Dagger indicates a statistically significant Presence of Pump $\times$ Pump Content interaction ($^{\dagger}p < 0.05$). Dollar sign indicates a statistically significant difference from rats treated with vehicle-containing minipumps ($^{\$}p < 0.05$). Hash signs indicate a statistically significant difference compared with performance after saline injections (### $p < 0.001$). “At”

sign indicates a trend toward a significant difference compared with performance after saline injections ($^{\textcircled{a}}p < 0.06$). Shaded areas indicate the period of pump treatment. ↑ denotes a PCP injection.

5 mg/kg/day Quetiapine and Repeated PCP

Figure 3.

Effects of 5 mg/kg/day quetiapine treatment on 5-CSRTT performance under baseline conditions and during repeated PCP administration ($n = 10-11$ per group). Premature responses (A), accuracy (B), percent correct responses (C), percent omissions (D), latency to correct response (E), and timeout responses (F) are shown as mean + SEM. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared with baseline performance ($*p < 0.05$). Hash signs indicate a statistically significant difference compared with performance after saline injections (## $p < 0.01$; ### $p < 0.001$). Shaded areas indicate the period of pump treatment. $\uparrow$ denotes a PCP injection.

Table 1

Receptor affinities of quetiapine and clozapine.

receptor	quetiapine	clozapine
D2	180 nM	130 nM
5-HT _{2A}	220 nM	8.9 nM
5-HT _{2A} :D ₂ affinity ratio	0.82	14.6
5-HT _{2C}	1400 nM	17 nM
5-HT ₆	4100 nM	11 nM
5-HT ₇	1800 nM	66 nM
Histamine H ₁	8.7 nM	1.8 nM

Data are presented as K_i values and based on Schmidt et al. (2001).

ditions, chronic quetiapine effects on PCP-induced

	5 mg/kg/ day quetiapine (baseline)	5 mg/kg/ day quetiapine + PCP	10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)	10 mg/kg/ day quetiapine + PCP	clozapine (baseline)	clozapine + PCP attenuate
	no effect

	5 mg/kg/ day quetiapine (baseline)	5 mg/kg/ day quetiapine + PCP	10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)	10 mg/kg/ day quetiapine + PCP	clozapine (baseline)	clozapine + PCP
	➡	no effect	↕	no effect	➡	attenuate
	➡	no effect	↕	no effect	➡	no effect

	clozapine (baseline)	clozapine + PCP
	no effect	
10 mg/kg/day quetiapine + PCP		no effect	
10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)
5 mg/kg/day quetiapine + PCP		no effect	
5 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)		<i>Pharmacol Biochem Behav.</i> Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 1.

	clozapine (baseline)	clozapine + PCP
	no effect	
10 mg/kg/day quetiapine + PCP	no effect		
10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)
5 mg/kg/day quetiapine + PCP	no effect		
5 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)
			<i>Pharmacol Biochem Behav.</i> Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 1.

	clozapine (baseline)	clozapine + PCP							
	➡								
10 mg/kg/day quetiapine + PCP	no effect								
10 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)	➡								
5 mg/kg/day quetiapine + PCP	no effect								
5 mg/kg/day quetiapine (baseline)	➡	<i>Pharmacol Biochem Behav.</i> Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 1.							