Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2008 August 15; 18(16): 4474–4476. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.07.054.

Synthesis and Pharmacological Evaluation of Hydrophobic Esters and Ethers of Butorphanol at Opioid Receptors

Brian S. Fulton^a, Brian I. Knapp^b, Jean M. Bidlack^b, and John L. Neumeyer^a

^aAlcohol and Drug Abuse Research Center, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 115 Mill Street, Belmont, MA 02478, USA.

^bDepartment of Pharmacology and Physiology, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14642, USA.

Abstract

We synthesized several hydrophobic esters and ethers of butorphanol and assessed their affinities at opioid receptors in CHO cell membranes. Tested compounds displayed moderate to high affinities to the μ and κ receptors. The findings accord with previous evidence of a lipophilic binding pocket in the opioid receptors that can be accessed to afford good binding affinity without the need for a phenolic hydrogen-bond donor group. The most potent ($K_i = 61$ pM at μ and 48 pM at κ) novel agent was (-)-N-cyclobutylmorphinan-3-yl-14-ol phenoxyacetate (**4d**).

It is generally accepted that two sites within the morphinan skeleton (**1**), the basic nitrogen at position 17 and the A-ring phenol moiety at position 3, are necessary for binding to the μ , δ , and κ opioid receptors and induction of the narcotic analgesic affect.¹⁻² The phenolic hydroxyl group has been recognized as requisite for the formation of a hydrogen bond with a dipolar site on the receptor and for good antinociceptive activity.²⁻³ Recent studies however call this into question. It was reported that a series of aryl 8-carboxamidocyclazocine (e.g. **2**) have good nM binding affinity to the opiate receptors.⁴ This was rationalized as the aryl group (e.g. biphenyl) interacting with a hydrophobic binding pocket in the opioid receptor to such an extent

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

that the increase in hydrophobic binding energy offsets that due to the loss of hydrogen-bonding binding energy.

Reports from our laboratories indicated that bivalent morphinan analogs of butorphan (**3a**) connected by a diacid linker spacer of varying lengths had potent binding affinity to the μ and κ opioid receptors.⁵ When the phenol group of these compounds is reacted with the linker group to form an ester, the phenolic group of the resultant compounds loses its ability to act as a hydrogen-bond donor.

Conversion of the phenol group of butorphan into carbamates also retains excellent binding affinity to the opioid receptors.⁶ Within the butorphan carbamate series it was found that the phenyl carbamate analogue retained the same high affinity at the κ opioid receptor and had a 2-fold increase in binding affinity at the μ opioid receptor. A butorphan benzyl carbamate had lower affinity at the μ and κ opioid receptors though the binding affinity was still subnanomolar ($K_i \mu = 0.70$ nM, $\kappa = 0.30$ nM).

To gain greater insight into the design of bivalent opioid ligands and to further explore the importance of the phenolic group in the binding of morphinans to the opioid receptors, a series of butorphanol esters and ethers were prepared in which the phenol moiety is converted into a hydrophobic ester or ether. The goal was to determine if the binding affinity and selectivity of butorphanol could be maintained when the ability of the 3-OH group to participate as a hydrogen bond donor is removed.

Butorphanol⁷ (**3b**) is an opioid μ partial agonist/ κ agonist used commercially as an analgesic in humans (Stadol®) and in animals (Torbugesic®). The analgesic potency of butorphanol in humans is 4 – 8 times that of morphine, 30 – 40 times that of meperidine, and 16 – 24 times that of pentazocine.⁸ Butorphanol has been shown to decrease cocaine self-administration in rhesus monkeys without producing a significant change in food-maintained responding.⁹

Esters of butorphanol (**4a-f**) were prepared by reacting acid chlorides or carboxylic acids with butorphanol under suitable condensation conditions as shown in Scheme 1. Ethers of butorphanol (**5a-f**) were prepared by reacting alkyl halides with the sodium salt of butorphanol generated in situ using sodium hydride. Spectral (¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR) data and combustion analysis for the target compounds were consistent with their proposed structures.¹⁰

All new compounds were evaluated as their hydrochloride salts for their binding affinity at all three opioid receptors (μ , κ , δ) (Table 1) using a previously reported procedure.¹¹

Introduction of hydrophobic groups onto the phenol oxygen maintained binding affinity to the μ and κ opioid receptors. In general the binding affinities were lower than butorphanol, especially in the ether series. Affinity to the δ receptor was reduced, as much as by a factor of 16. The energy of interaction of these compounds with the receptors appears to be driven by hydrophobic forces as the introduction of hydrogen bond donor and acceptor groups onto the phenol oxygen (**5a**, **5b**) reduced the binding. All the compounds maintained the μ , κ , δ selectivity profile as seen with the parent compound butorphanol. The increase in hydrophobicity tended to increase κ selectivity relative to μ opioid receptor.

There is an inverse linear correlation between hydrophobicity (CLogP) and pK_i for the ester series (**4a-f**) at the μ opioid receptor (Figure 1) and κ opioid receptor. Within this series, as the hydrophobicity of the compound increases the binding potency decreases.

The most potent compound (**4d**) is more hydrophobic than butorphanol by a factor of 140. Despite the loss of the 3-OH group in contributing to intermolecular noncovalent bonding as a hydrogen-bond donor, compound **4d** is about two-fold more potent binding at the μ receptor

and is a four-fold more potent binder at the κ receptor than butorphanol. It would appear that the loss in hydrogen bond donor binding energy from masking of the phenol oxygen is compensated, in part, by the change in hydrophobicity by addition of a phenyl group. The logarithmic relationship of affinity and partition coefficient indicates that the binding affinity is very sensitive to the hydrophobicity of the compound. The ester series is stable to hydrolysis under the assay conditions used. The stability of **4b** and **4c** was studied at pH 7.4 in 25 mM phosphate buffer at 37°C by HPLC.¹³ No hydrolysis of the esters were observed after 24 hours.

The binding affinity of the ether series tended to be much less than with butorphanol though the affinity of two, **5d** and **5f**, are still less than 10 nM at both μ and κ opioid receptors. Within the ether series a similar pK_i /CLogP relationship is observed at the μ and κ opioid receptors for compounds **5c-f**. Compounds **5a** and **5b**, containing more polar substituents, are of approximately the same hydrophilicity as butorphanol yet are much less potent. This indicates that hydrogen bond donor capability in-of-itself is not necessary for binding.

The reasons for the differences in binding affinities measured between the ester and ether series are unknown. It is clear that hydrophobicity can not be the sole determinate variable. The phenethyl ether **5d** is similar in hydrophobicity to the phenoxyacetate ester **4d** yet **5d** has 164 fold less binding affinity at the μ opioid receptor than **4d**. The esters will have more rotational degrees of freedom than the ethers. It is possible that the more flexible ester linkage allows a conformer to be obtained that maximizes hydrophobic interactions of the ester side chain with hydrophobic groups in the binding pocket of the opioid receptors. It has been suggested that Phe152, Phe237, and Phe241 in the μ opioid receptor may be able to create a hydrophobic pocket complementary to the ester/ether aryl substituents at position 3 of butorphanol.⁴

Acknowledgements

Financial Support: This work was supported by grants KO5-DA00360 (JMB), RO1-DA14251 (JLN), and T32 DA00725 (BSF) from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Butorphanol tartrate was generously donated by Mallinckrodt Inc.

References and Notes

- (1a). Shiotani S, Kometani T, Iitaka Y, Itai A. X-ray analytical reexamination of correlation of nitrogen lone-pair orientation with analgetic activity. *J. Med. Chem* 1978;21:153. [PubMed: 621711] (b) Portoghese PS. A New Concept on the Mode of Interaction of Narcotic Analgesics with Receptors. *J. Med. Chem* 1965;8:609. [PubMed: 5867942]
- (2). Fries, DS. In *Principles of Medicinal Chemistry*. Vol. 4th ed. Foye, WO.; Lemke, TL.; Willams, DA., editors. Willams and Wilkins; Media, PA: 1995. Chapter 14
- (3). Katsuura K, Yamaguchi K, Sakai S, Mitsuhashi K. Thiazole Analogs of Benzomorphan. II. Synthesis of Novel Thiazolo[5,4-*f*]morphans. *Chem. Pharm. Bull* 1983;31:1518.
- (4). Wentland MP, VanAlstine M, Kucejko R, Lou R, Cohen DJ, Parkhill AL, Bidlack JM. Redefining the structure-activity relationships of 2,6-methano-3-benzazocines. 4. Opioid receptor binding properties of 8-[N-(4'-phenyl)-phenethyl]carboxamido] analogues of cyclazocine and ethylketocyclazocine. *J. Med. Chem* 2006;49:5635. [PubMed: 16942039]
- (5a). Neumeyer JL, Zhang A, Xiong W, Gu XH, Hilbert JE, et al. Design and synthesis of novel dimeric morphinan ligands for kappa and mu opioid receptors. *J Med Chem* 2003;46:5162. [PubMed: 14613319] (b) Peng X, Knapp BI, Bidlack JM, Neumeyer JL. Synthesis and preliminary in vitro investigation of bivalent ligands containing homo- and heterodimeric pharmacophores at mu, delta, and kappa opioid receptors. *J Med Chem* 2006;49:256. [PubMed: 16392810] (c) Peng X, Knapp BI, Bidlack JM, Neumeyer JL. Pharmacological properties of bivalent ligands containing butorphan linked to nalbuphine, naltrexone, and naloxone at mu, delta, and kappa opioid receptors. *J Med Chem* 2007;50:2254. [PubMed: 17407276]
- (6). Peng X, Knapp BI, Bidlack JM, Neumeyer JL. High-affinity carbamate analogues of morphinan at opioid receptors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2007;17:1508. [PubMed: 17276685]

- (7). Monkovic I, Conway TT, Wong H, Perron YG, Pachter IJ, Belleau B. Total Synthesis and Pharmacological Activities of N-Substituted 3,14-Dihydroxymorphinans I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1973;95:7910. [PubMed: 4202383]
- (8). Gillis JC, Benfield P, Goa KL. *Drugs* 1995;50:157. [PubMed: 7588085]
- (9). Negus SS, Mello NK. Effects of mu-opioid agonists on cocaine- and food-maintained responding and cocaine discrimination in rhesus monkeys: role of mu-agonist efficacy. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002;300:1111. [PubMed: 11861822]
- (10). Phenoxyacetyl chloride (49 mg, 0.29 mmol) in 1 mL of dichloromethane was added to a solution of butorphanol (80 mg, 0.24 mmol) and triethylamine (50 μ L, 0.37 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloromethane at room temperature. The resultant solution was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature under nitrogen. The reaction was quenched with water, extracted twice with dichloromethane, the organic layers were combined and washed twice with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (1:1:0.1 ethyl acetate/hexane/triethylamine) gave 99 mg (89% yield) of the pure ester 4d as a colorless oil. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 300 MHz) δ 7.36 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.1 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.05 – 6.96 (m, 4H), 6.89 (dd, J = 2.6, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 3.03 (d, J = 18.3 Hz, 1H), 2.74, (dd, J = 6.6, 18 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (m, 3H), 2.34 (dd, J = 2, 11.7 Hz, 1H) 2.14 – 1.8 (m, 9H), 1.67 (m, 2H), 1.52 – 1.37 (m, 5H), 0.98 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 75 MHz) δ 167.7, 157.7, 148.7, 143.2, 134.3, 129.6, 128.4, 122.0, 118.4, 118.1, 114.7, 69.3, 65.4, 61.1, 60.5, 44.5, 41.6, 36.9, 33.8, 31.6, 30.1, 26.9, 26.7, 25.2, 21.6, 18.7; Anal ($\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{35}\text{NO}_4 \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$) C, H, N.
- (11). Zhang A, Li F, Ding C, Yao Q, Knapp BI, Bidlack JM, Neumeyer JL. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of 6,7-indolo/thiazolo-morphinans--further SAR of levorphanol. *J. Med. Chem* 2007;50:2747. [PubMed: 17488103]
- (12). CLog P was calculated using the ChemProp feature in CambridgeSoft ChemDraw Ultra, version 9.0.1
- (13). Hydrolysis was determined by the procedure described in Mathews JL, Fulton BS, Negus SS, Neumeyer JL, Bidlack JM. In Vivo Characterization of (-)(-)MCL-144 and (+)(-)MCL-193: Isomeric, Bivalent Ligands with Mu/Kappa Agonist Properties. *Neurochemical Research*. 2008 DOI 10.1007/s11064-008-9752-3.

1

2

Image 1.

3a R = H butorphan (MCL-101)
3b R = OH butorphanol

Image 2.

Scheme 1.

*reagents and conditions: (a) ROCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (b) RCOOH, DCC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (c) RBr, NaH, DMF, rt

4a-f

- 4a R = 4-C₆H₅C₆H₄CH₂-
4b R = C₆H₅CH₂-
4c R = 4-(CH₃)C₆H₄CH(CH₃)-
4d R = C₆H₅OCH₂-
4e R = 4-C₆H₅C₆H₄-
4f R = 4-MeO-C₆H₄CH₂-

5a-e

- 5a R = NH₂COCH₂-
5b R = HO(CH₂)₃-
5c. R = C₆H₅CH₂-
5d. R = C₆H₅CH₂CH₂-
5e. R = 4-NO₂-C₆H₄-
5f. R = 4-NH₂-C₆H₄-

Image 4.

Figure 1. Relationship between hydrophobicity of esters 4a-4f and binding affinity at the μ opioid receptor in comparison with butorphanol (3b).

Table 1
K_i Values for the Inhibition of μ , δ , κ Opioid Binding to CHO membranes

COMPOUNDS	K _i ± SEM (nM)		δ([³ H]Naltindole)	κ ([³ H]U69,593)	Selectivity μ/δ/κ	CL _{LogP} ¹²
	μ([³ H]DAMGO)					
1 ^{5a} (levorphanol)	0.21 ± 0.017		4.2 ± 0.45	2.3 ± 0.26	1/20/11	3.5
2 ⁴	6.7 ± 1.7		12 ± 2.4	11 ± 0.44	1/1.8/1.6	7.0
3a ^{5a} (MCL-101)	0.23 ± 0.01		5.9 ± 0.55	0.079 ± 0.003	3/75/1	4.9
3b (butorphanol)	0.22 ± 0.012		12 ± 1.1	0.12 ± 0.12	2/100/1	3.7
4a (MCL-474)	2.7 ± 0.36		190 ± 12	0.87 ± 0.042	3.1:218:1	7.5
4b (MCL-488)	0.32 ± 0.019		63 ± 1.4	0.48 ± 0.10	1:197:1.5	5.6
4c (MCL-489)	2.3 ± 0.12		96 ± 10	1.3 ± 0.21	1.9:74:1	6.4
4d (MCL-603)	0.061 ± 0.006		22 ± 1.8	0.048 ± 0.003	1.3:458:1	5.2
4e (MCL-601)	15 ± 1.6		690 ± 16	18 ± 1.2	1:46:1	7.8
4f (MCL-602)	0.22 ± 0.003		23 ± 1.7	0.21 ± 0.009	1:110:1	5.5
5a (MCL-486)	55 ± 3.4		760 ± 5.3	67 ± 7.7	1:11:1.2	4.0
5b (MCL-485)	18 ± 1.6		490 ± 13	8.6 ± 1.2	2.1:57:1	3.8
5c (MCL-499)	21		670	28	1:32:1.3	6.1
5d (MCL-600)	10 ± 1.1		500 ± 27	9.0 ± 0.76	1:56:1	5.5
5e (MCL-604)	16		1500	28	1:94:1.8	6.23
5f. (MCL-605)	3.9		930	5.2	1:240:1.3	5.26