Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2009 July 1; 19(13): 3546–3549. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.04.142.

Antimalarial activity of thiosemicarbazones and purine derived nitriles

Jeremy P. Mallari^{a,b}, Wendyam A. Guiguemde^b, and R. Kiplin Guy^{b,*}

^a Graduate Program in Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University of California, San Francisco CA 94143-2280

^b Department of Chemical Biology and Therapeutics, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis TN, 38105

Abstract

Malaria is a devastating illness caused by multiple species of the *Plasmodium* genus. The parasite's food vacuole of falcipain proteases have been extensively studied as potential drug targets. Here we report the testing of two established cysteine protease inhibitor scaffolds against both chloroquine sensitive and chloroquine resistant parasites. A subset of purine derived nitriles killed the parasite with moderate potency, and these inhibitors do not seem to exert their antiproliferative effects as cysteine protease inhibitors. Compound potency was determined to be similar against both parasite strains, indicating a low probability of cross resistance with chloroquine. These compounds represent a novel antimalarial scaffold, and a potential starting point for the development new inhibitors.

Malaria infects 250 million and kills nearly one million people every year, with most fatalities occurring in children.¹ The protozoan parasite *P. falciparum* causes the most deadly form of malaria, and the cost of and developing resistance to currently available drugs requires the development of new therapies. Hemoglobin degradation in the parasite's food vacuole is an essential function for parasite survival, and *P. falciparum* depends on hemoglobin degradation by the partially redundant proteolytic activities of the falcipains^{2,3} and plasmepsins.^{4,5} Three members of the falcipain family of cysteine proteases (FP-2, FP-2', and FP-3) are known to be involved in hemoglobin catabolism.^{6–8} Significant effort has been directed towards developing inhibitors of these proteases to discover new antimalarials. These studies have resulted in potent falcipain inhibitors with promising antimalarial activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.^{9–17} The thiosemicarbazone^{18–20} and purine nitrile^{21,22} scaffolds have well established activity against both mammalian and protozoan cathepsins. In addition, the thiosemicarbazones have been reported to have antimalarial activity^{19,23–26}, though they may act through alternative targets. We have previously developed series of both classes for use as anti-trypansomal agents.^{20, 22,27,28}

It is known that cysteine protease inhibitors display marked synergy with pepstatin A, a potent inhibitor of plasmepsin activity, in inhibiting parasite growth.^{29–31} Although pepstatin A is only weakly potent in inhibiting parasite growth, it does produce a shift of approximately 200 fold in the EC₅₀ of the broad spectrum cysteine protease inhibitor e64D. We sought to determine whether antimalarial activity of these inhibitors was due to cysteine protease

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (901) 495-5714. Fax: (901) 495-5715. kip.guy@stjude.org.

Supplementary data

Full data set of antimalarial inhibitor potencies. Experimental details of malaria assay.

inhibition. To explore this hypothesis, all inhibitors were screened in both the presence and absence of 10 μ M pepstatin A against cultured parasites.

Chloroquine has had a central role as both a frontline treatment and prophylactic since the mid-1940's. Its heavy usage led to the development of resistance starting in the 1960's,^{32–34} presumably due to decreased drug accumulation in the parasite food vacuole.^{35–37} Increased clinical resistance to this drug has contributed to the need for the development of new antimalarials that are not cross resistant with chloroquine. To identify inhibitors with this activity profile, all compounds in this study were tested against both chloroquine sensitive (3D7) and resistant (K1) parasites.

Parasites were grown in presence of fresh group O-positive erythrocytes in Petri dishes at a hematocrit of 4–6% in RPMI 1640 (pH 7.3) supplemented with 0.5% AlbuMAX II, 25 mM HEPES, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 100 μ g/mL hypoxanthine, and 5 μ g/mL gentamycin.

Cultures were incubated at 37°C with 5% O₂ and 5% CO₂. For EC₅₀ determinations, 20 μ l of RPMI 1640 was plated per well in a 384 well assay plate. Compounds were prepared as either 5 mM or 10 mM stock solutions in DMSO and transferred to the assay plate by a 384 head pintool. 20 μ l of a synchronized culture suspension (1% rings, 10% hematocrit) was added to each well to make a final hematocrit and parasitemia of 5% and 1% respectively. The final DMSO concentration was 0.65%. For synergy experiments, pepstatin A was added to the cell suspension prior to plating. Assay plates were incubated for 72 hours and parasitemia was determined using a previously reported DNA stain based assay.³⁸

A series of nearly 100 inhibitors from the three scaffolds was tested for antimalarial activity in both parasite strains in the presence and absence of 10 μ M pepstatin A (fig. 1, table 1). Predicted cell permeability of a subset of these compounds was previously tested in a parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA) and most are predicted to have good cellular availability.^{20,28} Additionally, the inhibitors in this test set have also been previously shown to be relatively non-toxic in a panel of mammalian cell lines.^{20,22,28}

Although the majority of the inhibitors tested did not have significant activity at the highest activity tested (32.5 μ M), these studies identified a subset of inhibitors with good predicted membrane permeability as well as modest growth inhibitory potency against cultured parasites (fig. 2). Weakly active inhibitors were identified from all three scaffolds, with scaffold 2 giving the most active compounds. Scaffolds 1 and 3 did not yield any inhibitors with potencies below 25 μ M against either strain, and there is no clearly discernable SAR for either scaffold.

The three most active inhibitors (**2w**, **2z**, and **2aa**) displayed potencies in the 10 μ M range. **2w** was the most active against both parasite strains with EC₅₀ values of 6 ± 3 μ M and 15 ± 3 μ M against K1 and 3D7 in the presence of 10 μ M pepstatin. Moving the acetilamino substituent of **2w** from the 3' to the 4' position (**2j**) on the distal phenyl ring resulted in a 3–5 fold decrease in potency against the K1 parasite. It is notable that for scaffold 2, aryl substitutions at the Y position appear to be more effective than at the X position. In the cases of **2z** and **2aa**, moving the aryl substituent from the Y position to the X position resulted in a 2–3 fold decrease in potency.

Only minor shifts in antimalarial potency were observed in the presence of pepstatin A for any of the active inhibitors against either the K1 or 3D7 parasites. In contrast, the EC₅₀ of the falcipain inhibitor e64D shifted 200–300 fold when combined with pepstatin A. These data suggest that cysteine protease inhibition is not likely to be a significant mediator of antimalarial activity for this inhibitor series.

There does not seem to be a significant difference in inhibitor potency between the K1 and 3D7 parasites. Some inhibitors show minor differences in potency between strains, although overall there is a similar activity profile across all three scaffolds, indicating a low chance of chloroquine cross resistance.

The expected membrane permeability of a subset of inhibitors from all three scaffolds was previously tested by PAMPA. Although a wide range of permeability values was observed, there was no clear structure-property relationship between permeability and antimalarial activity.

We have reported the evaluation of a series of inhibitors based on the purine nitrile and thiosemicarbazone scaffolds for activity against two strains of cultured *P. falciparum*. There were no significant differences in potency between the two strains, indicating that chloroquine cross resistance is unlikely. Antimalarial activity of the series was modest, although inhibitors were identified with moderate potency against both K1 and 3D7 parasites. Active compounds did not act synergistically with the aspartyl protease pepstatin A, suggesting that they do not exert their antiproliferative effects by cysteine protease inhibition. Previous experiments with other cysteine protease inhibitors including peptidyl aziridines, phenyl urenyl chalcones, and vinyl sulfone derivatives suggest these scaffolds also act at targets other than the falcipains.^{15–17} This is in contrast to studies of this inhibitor series with *Trypanosoma brucei*, in which a clear relationship between trypanocidal activity and potency against the target protease was observed.^{22,28}

Although the mechanism of action of these compounds in *P. falciparum* is unclear, the combination of low cytotoxicity, good membrane permeability, and lack of chloroquine cross resistance suggest the purine nitriles may be a useful starting point for the development of new antimalarials. The thiosemicarbazones tested were generally inactive against the parasite, and do not represent promising antimalarial leads.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC) and St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, NIH grant AI35707.

References and notes

1. WHO 2009.
2. Rosenthal PJ, McKerrow JH, Aikawa M, Nagasawa H, Leech JH. J Clin Invest 1988;82:1560. [PubMed: 3053784]
3. Salas F, Fichmann J, Lee GK, Scott MD, Rosenthal PJ. Infect Immun 1995;63:2120. [PubMed: 7768590]
4. Francis SE, Gluzman IY, Oksman A, Knickerbocker A, Mueller R, Bryant ML, Sherman DR, Russell DG, Goldberg DE. Embo J 1994;13:306. [PubMed: 8313875]
5. Goldberg DE, Slater AF, Beavis R, Chait B, Cerami A, Henderson GB. J Exp Med 1991;173:961. [PubMed: 2007860]
6. Shenai BR, Sijwali PS, Singh A, Rosenthal PJ. J Biol Chem 2000;275:29000. [PubMed: 10887194]
7. Sijwali PS, Rosenthal PJ. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:4384. [PubMed: 15070727]
8. Sijwali PS, Shenai BR, Gut J, Singh A, Rosenthal PJ. Biochem J 2001;360:481. [PubMed: 11716777]
9. Chiyanzu I, Clarkson C, Smith PJ, Lehman J, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, Chibale K. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13:3249. [PubMed: 15809160]

10. Chiyanzu I, Hansell E, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, McKerrow JH, Chibale K. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2003;13:3527. [PubMed: 14505663]
11. Micale N, Kozikowski AP, Ettari R, Grasso S, Zappala M, Jeong JJ, Kumar A, Hanspal M, Chishti AH. *J Med Chem* 2006;49:3064. [PubMed: 16722625]
12. Musonda CC, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, Yardley V, Carvalho de Souza RC, Chibale K. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2006;14:5605. [PubMed: 16690319]
13. Rosenthal PJ, Olson JE, Lee GK, Palmer JT, Klaus JL, Rasnick D. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1996;40:1600. [PubMed: 8807047]
14. Sabnis YA, Desai PV, Rosenthal PJ, Avery MA. *Protein Sci* 2003;12:501. [PubMed: 12592020]
15. Schulz F, Gelhaus C, Degel B, Vicik R, Heppner S, Breuning A, Leippe M, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, Schirmeister T. *Chem Med Chem* 2007;2:1214. [PubMed: 17562535]
16. Shenai BR, Lee BJ, Alvarez-Hernandez A, Chong PY, Emal CD, Neitz RJ, Roush WR, Rosenthal PJ. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003;47:154. [PubMed: 12499184]
17. Dominguez JN, Leon C, Rodrigues J, Gamboa de Dominguez N, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ. *J Med Chem* 2005;48:3654. [PubMed: 15887974]
18. Du X, Guo C, Hansell E, Doyle PS, Caffrey CR, Holler TP, McKerrow JH, Cohen FE. *J Med Chem* 2002;45:2695. [PubMed: 12061873]
19. Greenbaum DC, Mackey Z, Hansell E, Doyle P, Gut J, Caffrey CR, Lehrman J, Rosenthal PJ, McKerrow JH, Chibale K. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:3212. [PubMed: 15163200]
20. Mallari JP, Shelat A, Kosinski A, Caffrey CR, Connelly M, Zhu F, McKerrow JH, Guy RK. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2008;18:2883. [PubMed: 18420405]
21. Altmann E, Cowan-Jacob SW, Missbach M. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:5833. [PubMed: 15537340]
22. Mallari JP, Shelat AA, Obrien T, Caffrey CR, Kosinski A, Connelly M, Harbut M, Greenbaum D, McKerrow JH, Guy RK. *J Med Chem* 2008;51:545. [PubMed: 18173229]
23. Klayman DL, Acton N, Scovill JP. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1986;36:10. [PubMed: 3513773]
24. Klayman DL, Bartosevich JF, Griffin TS, Mason CJ, Scovill JP. *J Med Chem* 1979;22:855. [PubMed: 376848]
25. Lambros C, Childs GE, Notsch JD, Scovill JP, Klayman DL, Davidson DE Jr. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1982;22:981. [PubMed: 6760808]
26. Walcourt A, Loyevsky M, Lovejoy DB, Gordeuk VR, Richardson DR. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2004;36:401. [PubMed: 14687919]
27. Fujii N, Mallari JP, Hansell EJ, Mackey Z, Doyle P, Zhou YM, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, McKerrow JH, Guy RK. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2005;15:121. [PubMed: 15582423]
28. Mallari JP, Hansell EJ, Mackey Z, Doyle P, Zhou YM, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, McKerrow JH, Guy RK. 2009Manuscript in preparation
29. Drew ME, Banerjee R, Uffman EW, Gilbertson S, Rosenthal PJ, Goldberg DE. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:12870. [PubMed: 18308731]
30. Liu J, Istvan ES, Gluzman IY, Gross J, Goldberg DE. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:8840. [PubMed: 16731623]
31. Semenov A, Olson JE, Rosenthal PJ. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:2254. [PubMed: 9736544]
32. Harinasuta T, Suntharasamai P, Viravan C. *Lancet* 1965;2:657. [PubMed: 4158213]
33. Montgomery R. *J R Army Med Corps* 1964;110:172-4. [PubMed: 14189963]
34. Moore DV, Lanier JE. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1961;10:5. [PubMed: 13772281]
35. Bray PG, Howells RE, Ritchie GY, Ward SA. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1992;44:1317. [PubMed: 1417955]
36. Hawley SR, Bray PG, Mungthin M, Atkinson JD, O'Neill PM, Ward SA. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:682. [PubMed: 9517951]
37. Krogstad DJ, Gluzman IY, Herwaldt BL, Schlesinger PH, Wellems TE. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1992;43:57. [PubMed: 1531176]
38. Smilkstein M, Sriwilaijaroen N, Kelly JX, Wilairat P, Riscoe M. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48:1803. [PubMed: 15105138]

39. Trager W, Jensen JB. Science 1976;193:673. [PubMed: 781840]

1a-aw **2a-ad** **3a-am**

Figure 1.
Inhibitor scaffolds

Figure 2.
Antimalarial activity

Table 1

Inhibitor structures

Compound	X	Y	Compound	X	Y
1a	hexyl	methyl cyclohexyl	1z	4-Me benzyl	benzyl
1b	hexyl	cyclopentyl	1aa	4-MeO benzyl	butyl
1c	hexyl	benzyl	1ab	4-MeO benzyl	benzyl
1d	α -methyl benzyl	butyl	1ac	4-F benzyl	butyl
1e	α -methyl benzyl	cyclopentyl	1ad	4-F benzyl	4-hydroxy butyl
1f	α -methyl benzyl	benzyl	1ae	4-F benzyl	benzyl
1g	4-Cl benzyl	butyl	1af	benzyl	3-CF ₃ benzyl
1h	4-Cl benzyl	methyl cyclohexyl	1ag	4-Cl benzyl	4-hydroxy butyl
1i	4-Cl benzyl	cyclopentyl	1ah	4-Cl benzyl	3-CF ₃ benzyl
1j	4-Cl benzyl	benzyl	1ai	4-Cl benzyl	4-methoxy benzyl
1k	3-Me benzyl	butyl	1aj	4-Br benzyl	butyl
1l	3-Me benzyl	cyclopentyl	1ak	4-Br benzyl	benzyl
1m	4-MeO benzyl	cyclopentyl	1al	4-Br benzyl	phenylpropyl
1n	2-methyl butyl	cyclopentyl	1am	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	butyl
1o	2-methyl butyl	benzyl	1an	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	3-hydroxypropyl
1p	benzyl	benzyl	1ao	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	benzyl
1q	benzyl	butyl	1ap	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	2-hydroxypropyl
1r	phenylethyl	butyl	1aq	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	5-hydroxypropyl
1s	phenylethyl	benzyl	1ar	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	2,3-dihydroxypropyl
1t	phenylethyl	3-pyridinyl	1as	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	2-methoxymethoxy ethyl
1u	benzyl	3-hydroxypropyl	1at	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	3-methoxypropyl
1v	benzyl	4-methoxy benzyl	1au	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	3,3 dimethoxypropyl
1w	benzyl	2-tetrahydropyran	1av	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	3-carboxypropyl
1x	4-Me benzyl	3-hydroxypropyl	1aw	3,4-Cl ₂ benzyl	3-CF ₃ benzyl
1y	4-Me benzyl	butyl			

Compound	X	Y	Compound	X	Y
2a	3,5-Cl ₂ phenyl	H	2p	pyridin-3-yl	H
2b	3,4-Cl ₂ phenyl	H	2q	2,4-(MeO) ₂ pyridyn-5-yl	H

Compound	X	Y	Compound	X	Y
2c	3-Cl benzyl	H	2r	indol-6-yl	H
2d	3-dimethylamino phenyl	H	2s	phenylsulfonyl-1-indol-2-yl	H
2e	4-Cl phenyl	H	2t	phenylsulfonyl-1-indol-3-yl	H
2f	3-methoxycarbonyl phenyl	H	2u	2-isopropoxy, 3-Br phenyl	H
2g	4-methoxy phenyl	H	2v	phenyl	H
2h	3-vinyl phenyl	H	2w	3-acetyl amino	H
2i	4-vinyl phenyl	H	2x	2-Me phenyl	H
2j	4-acetyl amino	H	2y	2-isopropoxy phenyl	H
2k	naphylen-2-yl	H	2z	H	furan-2-yl
2l	furan-2-yl	H	2aa	H	3-Cl phenyl
2m	furan-3-yl	H	2ab	H	thiophen-2-yl
2n	thiophen-2-yl	H	2ac	H	pyridin-3-yl
2o	thiophen-3-yl	H	2ad	H	thiophen-3-yl

Compound	X	Y	Compound	X	Y
3a	3,4 Cl ₂ phenyl	butyl	3h	phenylethylene	3-CF ₃ phenyl
3b	4-Me phenyl	phenyl	3i	4-Me phenyl	3,5 Cl ₂ phenyl
3c	3-Me phenyl	3-F phenyl	3j	phenyl	3,5 Cl ₂ phenyl
3d	4-Me phenyl	3-CF ₃ phenyl	3k	thiophen-2-yl	3,5 Cl ₂ phenyl
3e	phenylethyl	phenyl	3l	4-Me phenyl	3,5 CF ₃ phenyl
3f	phenylethyl	3-Cl phenyl	3m	phenylethyl	3,5 CF ₃ phenyl