Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem A. 2009 July 9; 113(27): 7888–7891. doi:10.1021/jp900561z.

Metal Ion Binding to High-Energy $N_{12}C_4$

Kasha Casey, Jessica Thomas, Zamyra Lambert, and Douglas L. Strout

Department of Physical Sciences, Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL 36101

Abstract

Carbon-nitrogen compounds are of interest for their potential as high-energy materials. One major issue in determining the structures of high-energy materials is molecular stability; a more stable energetic compound is more likely to be useful in a wider variety of applications. In this study, binding energies are calculated for a high-energy $N_{12}C_4$ structure with a series of metal ions to determine preferred binding sites. A metal ion bound to the molecule at a preferred site may stabilize the molecule and render it more potentially useful. The results are calculated on using the PBE1PBE density functional method with the cc-pVDZ basis set of Dunning. Trends in binding energies are calculated and discussed, with respect to both the identity of the ion and the various binding sites on the $N_{12}C_4$ molecule.

Introduction

Nitrogen molecules have been the subjects of many recent studies because of their potential as high energy density materials (HEDM). An all-nitrogen molecule N_x can undergo the reaction $N_x \rightarrow (x/2) N_2$, a reaction that can be exothermic by 50 kcal/mol or more per nitrogen atom^{1,2}. To be a practical energy source, however, a molecule N_x would have to resist dissociation well enough to be a stable fuel. Theoretical studies^{3–7} have shown that numerous N_x molecules are not sufficiently stable to be practical HEDM, including cyclic and acyclic isomers with eight to twelve atoms. Cage isomers of N_8 and N_{12} have also been shown^{7–10} by theoretical calculations to be unstable. Experimental progress in the synthesis of nitrogen molecules has been very encouraging, with the N_5^+ and N_5^- ions having been recently produced^{11,12} in the laboratory. More recently, a network polymer of nitrogen has been produced¹³ under very high pressure conditions. Experimental successes have sparked theoretical studies^{1,14,15} on other potential all-nitrogen molecules. More recent developments include the experimental synthesis of high energy molecules consisting predominantly of nitrogen, including azides^{16,17} of various molecules and polyazides^{18,19} of atoms and molecules, such as 1,3,5-triazine. Future developments in experiment and theory will further broaden the horizons of high energy nitrogen research.

The stability properties of N_x molecules have also been extensively studied in a computational survey²⁰ of various structural forms with up to 20 atoms. Cyclic, acyclic, and cage isomers have been examined to determine the bonding properties and energetics over a wide range of molecules. A more recent computational study²¹ of cage isomers of N_{12} examined the specific structural features that lead to the most stable molecules among the three-coordinate nitrogen cages. Those results showed that molecules with the most pentagons in the nitrogen network tend to be the most stable, with a secondary stabilizing effect due to triangles in the cage structure. A recent study²² of larger nitrogen molecules N_{24} , N_{30} , and N_{36} showed significant deviations from the pentagon-favoring trend. Each of these molecule sizes has fullerene-like cages consisting solely of pentagons and hexagons, but a large stability advantage was found

for molecules with fewer pentagons, more triangles, and an overall structure more cylindrical than spheroidal. Studies^{23,24} of intermediate-sized molecules N_{14} , N_{16} , and N_{18} also showed that the cage isomer with the most pentagons was not the most stable cage, even when compared to isomer(s) containing triangles (which have 60° angles that should have significant angle strain). For each of these molecule sizes, spheroidally-shaped molecules proved to be less stable than elongated, cylindrical ones.

However, while it is possible to identify in relative terms which nitrogen cages are the most stable, it has been shown⁷ in the case of N_{12} that even the most stable N_{12} cage is unstable with respect to dissociation. The number of studies demonstrating the instability of various all-nitrogen molecules has resulted in considerable attention toward compounds that are predominantly nitrogen but contain heteroatoms that stabilize the structure. In addition to the experimental studies^{16–18} cited above, theoretical studies have been carried out that show, for example, that nitrogen cages can be stabilized by oxygen insertion^{25,26} or phosphorus substitution²⁷.

Carbon-nitrogen cages have also been extensively studied^{28–31}, including their interactions with metal ions.³² Cage isomers of $N_{10}C_{10}H_{10}$ and $N_{12}C_{12}H_{12}$ were optimized and compared based on both their structural properties and their ability to accommodate endohedral metal cations. The impact of the ions on cage stability was found to depend on two factors: (1) The shape of the interior cavity of the cage, and (2) the proximity of negatively-polarized nitrogen atoms to the body center occupied by the cation. A previous study³³ of cyclic carbon-nitrogen molecules demonstrated the stability of a cyclic N_6C_2 molecule with two nitrogen atoms bonded to an N_4C_2 hexagon. In the current study, a three-dimensional dimer ($N_{12}C_4$) of this molecule is studied to determine its stability properties. The structure of this molecule is analyzed in detail, and the molecule's ability to bind small metal ions is also calculated and discussed. Binding energies for Li^+ , Be^{2+} , Na^+ , and Mg^{2+} for various binding sites on the $N_{12}C_4$ structure are calculated to determine preferred binding for each ion.

Computational Methods

The PBE1PBE and B3LYP density functional methods^{34,35} are used for geometry optimizations of $N_{12}C_4$ and $MN_{12}C_4$ (M =metal ion). The correlation-consistent basis sets³⁶ of Dunning are used. The Gaussian03 computational chemistry software³⁷ (along with Windows counterpart Gaussian03W) is used for all calculations in this study. Counterpoise calculations are carried out for all $MN_{12}C_4$ systems to determine the magnitude of basis set superposition error (BSSE).

Results and Discussion

The structure of $N_{12}C_4$ is shown in Figure 1. The molecule is a dimer of the previously-studied³¹ N_6C_2 , and the $N_{12}C_4$ has four symmetry-independent bonds in D_{2h} point group symmetry. The four bonds are labeled NN1, NN2, CN1, and CN2, and their PBE1PBE/cc-pVDZ bond lengths are shown in Table 1 along with bond lengths from small model molecules chosen to give representative bond lengths for the C-N single bond, C-N double bond, and N-N double bond. The bond lengths shed light on the bonding properties of the $N_{12}C_4$ structure. The bonds of the hexagons, namely CN2 and NN2, have bond lengths indicative of an aromatic ring. CN2 has a bond length, 1.335 Å, roughly halfway between single bond and double bond length. The NN2 bond is much longer than the representative N-N double bond. This ring is highly delocalized and therefore likely to be very stable. Outside the hexagons, the NN1 bond is slightly lengthened relative to the model N=N double bond, and the CN1 bond is slightly shortened relative to the model C-N single bond. This likely indicates that a degree of delocalization is likely stabilizing the chains connecting the hexagons, as well. Electron

delocalization in the $N_{12}C_4$ molecule stabilizes the structure, and this stability would enhance the potential usefulness of the molecule as a high-energy material.

Metal ion binding

Because the metal ions in this study are positively charged and the nitrogen atom in $N_{12}C_4$ are polarized negative by carbon, the metal ion binding sites in this study all involve binding to nitrogen atoms. Three binding sites are shown in Figure 2: (a) the “top” site, at which the metal binds to a nitrogen pair from each hexagon, (b) the “side” site, at which the metal binds to four nitrogen atoms from the same hexagon, and (c) the “end” site, at which the metal ion binds to a nitrogen pair connecting the two hexagons. Other binding sites, including the body center of the $N_{12}C_4$ structure, were shown not to be local minima of the potential energy surface. The body center is not a minimum because the interior cavity is too small to fit the metal ions. Hence, the binding sites in this study all involve binding to the exterior of the structure. The results of the binding studies for the three sites are shown in Table 2.

Which binding site is preferred? Table 2 shows that the “top” binding site is preferred in all cases. This binding site has two advantages that allow for stronger cation binding. First, the site offers four negatively-polarized nitrogen atoms, a rich site of negative charge suitable for metal ion binding. By contrast, the “end” binding site only offers two nitrogen atoms for binding. Secondly, the advantage the “top” site has over the “side” binding site is that of geometric freedom. While each site has four nitrogen atoms, the “top” site has more freedom to optimize the metal-nitrogen distance by rotating the hexagons to bring the nitrogen pairs closer to the metal ion. Conversely, the “side” binding site consists of four nitrogen atoms from a rigid aromatic hexagon, which requires that either the nitrogen atoms remain farther from the metal ions or that the aromatic ring is bent to allow closer metal-nitrogen approach. The bending of the ring, however, leads to an energetic penalty and corresponding reduction in binding energy. Binding energy at the “side” site for the +1 cations is essentially nonexistent, resulting primarily as an artifact of basis set superposition error (BSSE). In fact, for sodium, the binding energy is entirely the result of BSSE, and the sodium ion does not bind to that site.

Metal-nitrogen distances are shown in Table 3. In all cases, the metal-nitrogen distances are shortest at the “end” binding site because this site offers complete geometric freedom to the metal ion, since the ion can position itself anywhere along the long axis of the molecule without interacting with any atoms other than the nitrogen pair at the “end” site. The “top” site has somewhat longer metal-nitrogen distances because the freedom of the hexagons to optimize the binding site is not unlimited. For lithium, sodium, and magnesium, the metal-nitrogen distances are much longer for “side” binding than for the other two sites. This is because of the rigidity of the aromatic hexagon. Beryllium is exceptional in that the metal-nitrogen distances are about the same for the “top” and “side” binding sites. In beryllium’s case, the combination of high electric charge and small ionic radius allows for a bending of the aromatic hexagon because the close approach of the small cation to the nitrogen provides sufficient binding energy to overcome the penalty for bending the ring. Nevertheless, even for beryllium, the “side” binding site is the least favorable.

Conclusions

The $N_{12}C_4$ molecule has structure properties that should render the molecule stable for high-energy applications. If additional stability can be conferred by binding a metal ion to the structure, then it is important to understand which binding sites are preferred energetically. Two factors have been shown to contribute to stability: (1) Maximizing the number of negatively-polarized nitrogen atoms at the binding site, and (2) maximizing the geometric flexibility at the site to accommodate the metal ion. Structures such as $N_{12}C_4$ and their ion-

bound counterparts may, if successfully synthesized, find broad application as high-energy sources.

Acknowledgments

The Alabama Supercomputer Authority is gratefully acknowledged for a grant of computer time on the SGI Altix in Huntsville, AL. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF/HBCU-UP grant 0505872). KC and JT are supported by NSF/HBCU-UP as undergraduate scholars. ZL is supported by NSF/HBCU-UP through the High School Summer Science Apprentice Program. This work was also supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH/NCMHD 1P20MD000547-01) and the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society (PRF 43798-B6). The taxpayers of the state of Alabama in particular and the United States in general are gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Fau S, Bartlett RJ. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2001;105:4096.
2. Tian A, Ding F, Zhang L, Xie Y, Schaefer HF III. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 1997;101:1946.
3. Chung G, Schmidt MW, Gordon MS. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2000;104:5647.
4. Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2002;106:816.
5. Thompson MD, Bledson TM, Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2002;106:6880.
6. Li QS, Liu YD. *Chem. Phys. Lett* 2002;353:204. Li QS, Qu H, Zhu HS. *Chin. Sci. Bull* 1996;41:1184.
7. Li QS, Zhao JF. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2002;106:5367. Qu H, Li QS, Zhu HS. *Chin. Sci. Bull* 1997;42:462.
8. Gagliardi L, Evangelisti S, Widmark PO, Roos BO. *Theor. Chem. Acc* 1997;97:136.
9. Gagliardi L, Evangelisti S, Bernhardsson A, Lindh R, Roos BO. *Int. J. Quantum Chem* 2000;77:311.
10. Schmidt MW, Gordon MS, Boatz JA. *Int. J. Quantum Chem* 2000;76:434.
11. Christe KO, Wilson WW, Sheehy JA, Boatz JA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1999;38:2004.
12. Vij A, Pavlovich JG, Wilson WW, Vij V, Christe KO. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2002;41:3051. Butler RN, Stephens JC, Burke LA. *Chem. Commun* 2003;8:1016.
13. Eremets MI, Gavriluk AG, Trojan IA, Dzivenko DA, Boehler R. *Nature Materials* 2004;3:558.
14. Fau S, Wilson KJ, Bartlett RJ. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2002;106:4639.
15. Dixon DA, Feller D, Christe KO, Wilson WW, Vij A, Vij V, Jenkins HDB, Olson RM, Gordon MS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:834. [PubMed: 14733558]
16. Knapp C, Passmore J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:4834.
17. Haiges R, Schneider S, Schroer T, Christe KO. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:4919.
18. Huynh MV, Hiskey MA, Hartline EL, Montoya DP, Gilardi R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:4924.
19. Klapotke TM, Schulz A, McNamara J. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans* 1996:2985. Klapotke TM, Noth H, Schutt T, Warchhold M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2000;39:2108. Klapotke TM, Krumm R, Mayer P, Schwab I. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2003;42:5843.
20. Glukhovtsev MN, Jiao H, Schleyer PvR. *Inorg. Chem* 1996;35:7124. [PubMed: 11666896]
21. Bruney LY, Bledson TM, Strout DL. *Inorg. Chem* 2003;42:8117. [PubMed: 14632534]
22. Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2004;108:2555.
23. Sturdivant SE, Nelson FA, Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2004;108:7087.
24. Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2004;108:10911.
25. Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2003;107:1647.
26. Sturdivant SE, Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2004;108:4773.
27. Strout DL. *J. Chem. Theory Comput* 2005;1:561.
28. Colvin KD, Cottrell R, Strout DL. *J. Chem. Theory Comput* 2006;2:25.
29. Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2006;110:7228. [PubMed: 16737273]
30. Cottrell R, McAdory D, Jones J, Gilchrist A, Shields D, Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2006;110:13889. [PubMed: 17181349]
31. Pinkston A, McAdory D, Jones J, Shields D, Langham R, Casey K, Strout DL. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2008;112:1090. [PubMed: 18193851]

32. McAdory D, Jones J, Gilchrist A, Shields D, Langham R, Casey K, Strout DL. *J. Chem. Theory Comput* 2007;3:2176.
33. Casey K, Thomas J, Fairman K, Strout DL. *J. Und. Chem. Res* 2008;7:137.
34. Perdew JP, Ernzerhof M. *J. Chem. Phys* 1996;105:9982. Ernzerhof M, Scuseria GE. *J. Chem. Phys* 1999;110:5029. Adamo C, Barone V. *J. Chem. Phys* 1999;110:6158.
35. Becke AD. *J. Chem. Phys* 1993;98:5648. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG. *Phys. Rev. B* 1988;37:785.
36. Dunning TH Jr. *J. Chem. Phys* 1989;90:1007.
37. Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, JA., Jr; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, AD.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, JA. *Gaussian 03*, Revision C.01. Wallingford CT: Gaussian, Inc.; 2004.

Figure 1.
 $N_{12}C_4$ molecule (D_{2h} symmetry point group). Symmetry-independent bonds are labeled.
Carbon atoms are shown in black, and nitrogen atoms are shown in yellow.

FIGURE 2(a)

FIGURE 2(b)

FIGURE 2(c) **Figure 2.**

Metal ion binding to $N_{12}C_4$: (a) “TOP” binding (b) “SIDE” binding (c) “END” binding. Carbon atoms are shown in black, nitrogen atoms are shown in yellow, and the metal ion is shown in red.

TABLE 1Bond lengths of N_{12}C_4 , calculated with PBE1PBE/cc-pVDZ.

Bond	Length
CN1	1.433 Å
CN2	1.335 Å
NN1	1.249 Å
NN2	1.315 Å
C-N bond of CH_3NH_2	1.454 Å
C=N bond of CH_2NH	1.268 Å
N=N bond of N_2H_2	1.238 Å

TABLE 2

Binding energies (BE) of metal ions to the various binding sites on the N₁₂C₄ molecule, calculated with PBE1PBE/cc-pVDZ and B3LYP/cc-pVDZ. PBE1PBE counterpoise corrections to binding energies are included. (Energies shown in kcal/mol)

Ion	Binding site	BE(B3LYP)	BE(PBE1PBE)	BSSE	Corrected BE
Li ⁺	top	36.1	34.3	3.7	30.6
	end	30.5	28.6	2.5	26.1
	side	6.3	5.1	2.9	2.2
Be ²⁺	top	230.0	226.0	4.4	221.6
	end	203.0	194.5	3.2	191.3
	side	165.2	163.3	5.1	158.2
Na ⁺	top	26.0	24.6	3.5	21.1
	end	21.0	19.8	2.3	17.5
	side	3.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
Mg ²⁺	top	126.8	123.0	4.0	119.0
	end	103.5	98.1	2.6	95.5
	side	68.7	65.3	3.5	61.8

TABLE 3

Metal-nitrogen distances in the MN_{12}C_4 molecules, calculated with PBE1PBE/cc-pVDZ (distances in angstroms). Note that all ion-bound molecules have C_{2v} point group symmetry and therefore have only one symmetry-independent M-N distance.

Ion	Binding site	M-N distance
Li^+	top	2.22
	end	2.06
	side	2.52
Be^{2+}	top	1.74
	end	1.57
	side	1.77
Na^+	top	2.58
	end	2.43
	side	2.99
Mg^{2+}	top	2.17
	end	2.05
	side	2.31