Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem. 2008 December 1; 16(23): 10022–10028. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2008.10.024.

Discovery of New Pyridoacridine Alkaloids from *Lissoclinum* cf. *badium* that Inhibit the Ubiquitin Ligase Activity of Hdm2 and Stabilize p53

Jason A. Clement^{a,†,‡}, Jirouta Kitagaki^{b,†,§}, Yili Yang^{b,§}, Carrie J. Saucedo^c, Barry R. O'Keefe^a, Allan M. Weissman^{b,*}, Tawnya C. McKee^{a,*}, and James B. McMahon^a

^aMolecular Targets Development Program, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute-Frederick, National Institutes of Health, , Frederick, Maryland 21702-1201, USA.

^bLaboratory of Protein Dynamics and Signaling, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute-Frederick, National Institutes of Health, Frederick, Maryland 21702-1201, USA.

^cSAIC-Frederick, Inc., National Cancer Institute-Frederick, National Institutes of Health, Frederick, Maryland 21702-1201, USA.

Abstract

Compounds that stabilize p53 could suppress tumors providing a additional tool to fight cancer. Mdm2, and the human ortholog, Hdm2 serve as ubiquitin E3 ligases and target p53 for ubiquitylation and degradation. Inhibition of Hdm2 stabilizes p53, inhibits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis. Using HTS to discover inhibitors, we identified three new alkaloids, isolissoclinotoxin B, diplamine B, and lissoclinidine B from *Lissoclinum* cf. *badium*. Lissoclinidine B inhibited ubiquitylation and degradation of p53, and selectively killed transformed cells harboring wild-type p53, suggesting this compound could be used to develop new treatments.

1. Introduction

The tumor suppressor p53 plays a central role in protecting cells from tumor development by inducing cell cycle arrest, senescence, and apoptotic cell death in response to diverse stresses, such as DNA damage or oncogene activation.^{1,2,3,4} Within normal cells, p53 is maintained at low levels in normal cells by ongoing ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation. Ubiquitylation occurs as a result of the sequential action of three enzymes, E1 (ubiquitin-activating enzyme), E2 (ubiquitin conjugating enzyme), and E3 (ubiquitin ligase). Recognition of ubiquitylated proteins by the proteasome requires the formation of polyubiquitin chains, which are linked through Lys 48 of ubiquitin (K48). E3s, which interact with E2, recognize and target specific protein substrates like p53 for ubiquitylation. The largest class of E3s

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding authors. Tel: +1 (301) 846 1943; Fax: +1 (301) 846 6919 (TCM); Tel: +1 (301) 846 1222; Fax: +1 (301) 846 1666 (AMW). E-mail addresses: E-mail: mckee@ncifcrf.gov (TCM) or E-mail: amw@nih.gov (AMW).

†These scientists contributed equally to this work.

‡Current address: Department of Chemistry and Physics, Natural Science Building Rm 231, Western Carolina University, Cullowhee, NC 28723.

§Current address: Cancer and Developmental Biology Laboratory, CCR, NCI-Frederick.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

identified contains a specific zinc binding protein fold known as a RING finger (RF) motif. These RF-E3s mediate the direct transfer of ubiquitin from the E2 to the protein substrate, and in some cases they have also been shown to regulate their own stability through auto-ubiquitylation.⁵

Mouse double minute 2 (*mdm2*), whose human ortholog is *Hdm2*, is one of the principal cellular regulators responsible for targeting the degradation of p53, and maintaining low p53 levels during normal growth and development.^{6,7,8,9} *Hdm2* belongs to the RF-E3 family and targets both p53 and itself for proteasomal degradation through ubiquitylation.^{1–4} The importance of *Hdm2* in regulating p53 is illustrated by the observation that deletion of *Mdm2* is embryonic lethal only in mice retaining expression of functional p53. Mice containing a simultaneous deletion of both *Mdm2* and p53 develop normally, suggesting that *Hdm2* is essential for negative regulation of the growth-inhibitory activities of p53 during development.^{10,11} Under normal conditions, p53 is tightly controlled by *Hdm2* through an auto-regulatory feedback loop. First, cellular stress causes an increase in active p53, which in turn, activates the transcription of *Hdm2* and thereby increases the level of *Hdm2*. Next *Hdm2* diminishes p53's ability to transactivate target genes by binding to p53 and inhibiting its translocation to the nucleus. There is evidence that a significant percentage of those tumors that retain wild type p53 exhibit *Hdm2* amplification or disrupt the pathways that control the stabilization and activation of p53 through other means.¹² Therefore, restoration or enhancement of p53 function through inhibiting *Hdm2*'s E3 activity represents a potentially efficacious approach for inducing apoptotic cell death in human tumors containing wild-type p53.

For this reason, we developed a high throughput screen to identify inhibitors of the *Hdm2* E3 activity.¹³ Using this assay to screen the NCI natural product extract library, we identified an extract of the ascidian *Lissoclinum* cf. *badium* collected off the coast of Papua New Guinea that inhibited the E3 activity of *Hdm2*. Ascidians of the genus *Lissoclinum* have yielded many different classes of natural products, including cyclic peptides,^{14–16} diterpenoids,¹⁷ pyridoacridine alkaloids,^{18–20} polyethers,^{21,22} benzopentathiepins,^{23,24} and dimerized aromatic amines.^{20,25,26} In this study, we describe the isolation and characterization of five alkaloids (**1–5**). Three of these compounds are new and two, diplamine B (**4**) and lissoclinidine B (**5**) stabilize *Hdm2* and p53 in cells. Moreover, **5** selectively kills transformed cells expressing wild-type p53, suggesting that **5** could form the basis for the development of therapeutically useful compounds.

2. Chemistry

Compound **1** was isolated as yellow amorphous solid. High resolution ESI-TOF MS analysis suggested a molecular formula of C₁₁H₁₅NO₂S₅. The ¹H NMR spectrum (CD₃OD) of **1** was uncomplicated, with an aromatic singlet at δ_H 7.00 (1H), methyl singlets at δ_H 3.96 (3H) and 2.98 (6H), and multiplets at δ_H 3.29 (2H), 3.30 (1H), and 3.32 (1H). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1** contained ten signals, six of which appeared to arise from aromatic carbons. Although our data did not exactly match that for any known compound, the NMR data for **1** corresponded well to that of the synthetic benzopentathiepin derivative isolissoclinotoxin A (**6**).²⁷ The obvious differences were the appearances of the six-proton signal at δ_H 2.98 and a carbon signal at δ_C 43.58 found in **1** that were not seen in **6**. The HMBC spectrum of **1** displayed correlations from the signal at δ_H 7.00 to the quaternary carbon signals at δ_C 133.94, 150.18, 151.58, and 136.52, which was consistent with the presence of a pentasubstituted benzene ring containing two oxygenated carbons. An HMBC correlation between the methoxyl proton signal at δ_H 3.96 and the signal at δ_C 151.58 proved that the methoxyl group was attached to the aromatic ring. Other HMBC correlations (shown in Figure 1) were consistent with the presence of a (dimethylamino)ethyl moiety in **1**. Placement of substituents around the aromatic ring plus the

presence of five sulfur atoms in the molecular formula suggested **1** belonged to the benzopentathiepin family previously isolated from *Lissoclinum*.^{27,28} The confirmation of the sulfur ring system in **1** was supported by the presence of the peak for $[M-S_2+H]^+$ in the high resolution ESI mass spectrum (m/z 290.0356, calcd 290.0343).²⁷ To account for the remaining oxygen atom, acetylation of **1** using acetic anhydride and pyridine yielded the acetate ester **7**, suggesting the presence of a hydroxyl group in **1**. ROESY correlations (Figure 1) supported the assignment of the substitution pattern for **1**. Thus, **1** was identified as a dimethylamino analogue of **6**, and we have given it the name isolissoclinotoxin B. Compounds **2** and **3** were isolated from Hdm2-inhibitory fractions but were found to have very little activity in our assay. These compounds (**2–3**) were identified as varacin (**2**) and *N,N*-dimethyl-5-methylvaracin (**3**) by comparison of our NMR data with those found in the literature.^{19,28,29}

Compound **4** was isolated as an orange film. HRESI-TOFMS analysis indicated **4** had a molecular formula of $C_{18}H_{15}N_3OS$. The 1H NMR spectrum of **4** in CD_3OD consisted of nine signals, six of which corresponded to protons attached to aromatic carbons. The three-proton singlet at δ_H 2.68 suggested the presence of a thiomethyl group in **4**. The remaining two signals corresponded to a pair of coupled methylenes at δ_H 3.37 and 3.89. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum displayed eighteen signals, fifteen of which appeared to arise from aromatic carbons. Of the aromatic carbons, nine were quaternary, including one that was oxygenated (δ_C 181.05). COSY analysis of **4** indicated that the six downfield protons formed two isolated spin systems: a 1,4-butadienyl moiety, and a pair of vinylic protons. A single ROESY correlation indicated that two protons at δ_H 8.80 and 8.90 (H-4 and H-5), which did not show a COSY correlation, were in close proximity to each other. This information suggested that **4** was a member of the diplamine class of pyridoacridine alkaloids, which have previously been reported from other members of the genus *Lissoclinum*.

A comparison of 1H and ^{13}C NMR data for **4** with that of diplamine (**8**)²⁹ and lissoclin B (**9**)²⁰ suggested all three were closely related. The chemical shifts for the aromatic carbons of **4** differed from the corresponding literature values for **8** and **9** by no more than 3%. HMBC correlations observed for **4** (Figure 1) were completely consistent with the proposed skeleton, although HMBC correlations to all quaternary carbons were not detected. The spectrum clearly lacked signals for the acetyl group reported in **8**. Therefore, **4** was assigned the structure of a deacetylated analogue of diplamine, which we have named diplamine B.

Compound **5** was isolated as a purple film. HRESI-TOFMS analysis indicated that **5**, like **4**, had a molecular formula of $C_{18}H_{15}N_3OS$. The 1H NMR spectrum of **5** contained eight signals (a ninth signal was observed by HSQC analysis), but the spectrum had several differences compared to that of **4**. The most significant change was the disappearance of a SCH_3 singlet observed in **4** that was replaced by a methylene singlet at δ_H 6.11 in **5**. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **5** consisted of eighteen signals, with fifteen apparent aromatic carbon signals. COSY and ROESY analysis elucidated two spin systems in close proximity, as was found in **4**. From HMBC analysis of **5**, the two-proton singlet at δ_H 6.11 showed correlations to two sp^2 carbons (δ_C 124.67 and 143.95). This information and the HMBC analysis (Figure 1) suggested that **5** was deacetylated lissoclinidine (**10**), characterized by a five-member benzoxathiole ring.^{19,20} We have named this compound lissoclinidine B.

Given the ability of pyridoacridine alkaloids containing a quinone carbonyl at C-8 and a thiomethyl group at C-9 to rearrange to form lissoclinidine-type isomers,²⁰ the possibility arose that **5** was a chromatographic artifact arising from the purification process. In our initial isolation of **5**, 1H NMR signals characteristic of **5** were observed in fractions from the earliest stage of the isolation process, and we ultimately isolated more than 4 mg of material. In a repeated isolation procedure, additional care was taken to avoid prolonged exposure of fractions to acidic conditions and ambient light. The yield of **5** was lower (2 mg), but it was

still detectable by LC-MS and NMR from the beginning of the isolation. We proposed that **5** is therefore, in our case, both a natural product as well as a product of the rearrangement of **4**. This was consistent with the results of Appleton et al.,¹⁹ who provided strong evidence that **10** is a component of living samples of *Lissoclinum notti*.

3. Biological Characterization of Compounds

Compounds **1–5** were tested in the Hdm2 electrochemiluminescence assay, and these data are summarized in Table 2. Compound **1** was shown to have an IC₅₀ of 58.6 μ M, while **4** and **5** had IC₅₀'s of 101.3 and 98.1 μ M, respectively. Compound **3** was weakly active with an IC₅₀ of 120.8 μ M, while **2** was essentially inactive (IC₅₀ >295 μ M). The presence of a phenol at C-3 of **1** appears to improve the activity of **1** over that of **2–3**. The dimethylamine moiety found in **1** and **3** also appears to increase Hdm2 inhibition of the benzopentathiepins. Since **4** and **5** are equally active, this suggests that the functionality required for their activity lies in the aromatic ring system and sidechain, and may not be affected by substituent differences at C-8, C-9, or N-11.

To determine whether the activity observed *in vitro* is also found in cells, we tested compounds **1–5** for effects on cellular p53 and Hdm2. Tert-immortalized human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells were incubated with compounds **1–5** and cellular p53 and Hdm2 levels were determined by immunoblotting.

Compounds **2** and **3** had no discernable cellular effects (data not shown). Compound **1** was toxic to RPE cells even at 10 μ M while at 1 μ M did not increase p53 levels (data not shown). On the other hand, compounds **4** and **5** increased p53 and Hdm2 in a dose-dependent manner and at 10 μ M exhibited greatest increase in p53 and Hdm2 similar to 50 μ M of the proteasome inhibitor *N*-acetyl-leucyl-leucyl-norleucinal (ALLN) (Figure 2). It is interesting to note that **4** and **5** displayed more potent activity in cells (10 μ M) than they did in the cell free assay system (101.3 and 98.1 μ M, resp.). This enhanced activity in cells was also seen in the subsequent cell-based studies that follow.

To ensure that the increase in Hdm2 was not the result of p53-dependent transcriptional activation, *p53*^{-/-}*mdm2*^{-/-} mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) were transiently transfected with plasmid encoding Hdm2 under the control of a p53- independent CMV promoter. After treatment with 10 μ M **4** or **5** for 8 h, cellular Hdm2 was determined. Both **4** and **5** increased Hdm2 in MEFs (Figure 3), demonstrating that **4** and **5** function at least in part by stabilizing Hdm2. In conducting these experiments it became apparent that compound **4** was fairly unstable and degraded over time. However, since the effects and structures of the two compounds are quite similar, **5** was selected for further evaluation. To directly investigate whether **5** blocks Hdm2-mediated p53 ubiquitylation, U2OS (a human osteosarcoma) cells were transiently co-transfected with plasmids encoding p53 and Hdm2 prior to incubation with ALLN or **5**. Co-transfection with p53 and Hdm2 induced loss of p53 (Figure 4, compare lanes 2 and 3). Consistent with its activity as a general proteasome inhibitor, ALLN resulted in the accumulation of p53, including higher molecular weight forms of the protein indicative of ubiquitylation (Figure 4, lanes 3 and 4). Incubation with **5** similarly blocked p53 degradation in a dose-dependent manner. Strikingly, however, ubiquitylated forms of p53 were absent in **5**-treated cells (Figure 4, lanes 5–8). This result was confirmed in an additional experiment with HCT116 colon carcinoma cells expressing wild-type p53, which also showed that **5** prevented the accumulation of ALLN-induced ubiquitylated p53 following treatment with both **5** and ALLN (data not shown). This result indicates that **5** inhibits Hdm2-mediated ubiquitylation and degradation of p53.

The capacity of p53 to induce cell cycle arrest or apoptosis is dependent on its transcriptional activity.² To examine whether **5** activates p53-dependent transactivation, U2OS-pG13 cells

that stably express endogenous wild-type p53 and a p53-responsive luciferase reporter (reporter pG13)^{30,31} were employed. Treatment with **5** led to a dose-dependent increase in luciferase activity (Figure 5). This data suggests that the stabilization of p53 by **5** results in activation of p53-dependent transcription.

Previous studies have shown that oncogenic transformation can sensitize cells to p53-induced apoptosis.³² To determine whether **5** selectively kills transformed cells, we compared RPE (retinal pigment epithelial) cells with RPE cells transformed with adenovirus E1A (RPE-E1A) which interacts with the retinoblastoma (Rb) tumor suppressor gene product but not p53.³³ While **5** had no effect on parental RPE cells, it resulted in a clear dose-dependent increase in cell death in the RPE-E1A cells (Figure 6). Based on our previous work,¹³ we would predict that p53-expressing cells will be differentially susceptible to apoptosis in response to agents that inhibit the E3 activity of Hdm2. A well-established system for measuring p53-dependent cell death is to use MEFs (mouse embryo fibroblasts) transformed with adenovirus E1A and activated Ha-ras.³³ To evaluate whether **5** induces cell apoptosis in a p53-dependent manner, we used p53-deficient (A9) and wild-type p53 MEFs (C8). After incubation with **5** for 20 h, a marked increase in cell death in C8 cells was noted while p53-deficient A9 cells were relatively resistant (Figure 7A). Consistent with apoptotic cell death, cleavage of the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) was observed in response to **5** specifically in the p53-expressing C8 cells but not A9 cells (Figure 7B). Similarly, p53-dependent killing was found when HCT116-p53⁺ cells were compared to HCT116-p53⁻ cells (data not shown). Consistent with a primary role in inhibiting Hdm2 and thereby activating p53, these data indicate that **5** induces cell apoptosis in a p53-dependent manner.

4. Discussion

We report here the isolation of five compounds and the biological characterization of one new alkaloid **5** as an inhibitor of Hdm2 E3 activity in a cell free system. In cells, **5** is more potent which suggests that it does more than inhibit the E3 function of Hdm2 and indeed, may act on other pathways. Within the Hdm2 pathway, this compound increases cellular p53 and Hdm2 levels, inhibits auto-ubiquitylation of Hdm2 in cells, and inhibits Hdm2-mediated ubiquitylation of p53. Most importantly, **5** selectively induces apoptotic cell death in transformed cells and cells harboring wild-type p53.

p53 is the most frequently inactivated tumor suppressor gene in human malignancies. The RFE3 Hdm2 plays a major role in modulating p53 activity by targeting it for ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation.³⁴ Therefore restoring wild-type p53 activity through inhibiting Hdm2 E3 activity is an attractive approach to treating malignancies that retain wild-type p53. Several different approaches aimed at inhibiting the activity of Hdm2 have been attempted. One approach is to inhibit *Hdm2* gene expression through the use of antisense oligonucleotides.³⁵ These reagents specific for Hdm2 have shown anti-tumor ability both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.³⁶ A second approach employed by several groups to inhibit the binding of Hdm2 to p53. Early experiments have shown that p53-derived peptides inhibit the Hdm2-p53 interaction.³⁷ More recently, several chemical nonpeptide compounds have been identified, which include Nutlin,³⁸ RITA,³⁹ MI-63,⁴⁰ and Syl-155.⁴¹ These compounds show promise in the treatment of tumors harboring a wild-type p53 and with amplified cellular Hdm2 levels. We have taken a different approach, in which we have sought to identify small molecule inhibitors of Hdm2's catalytic activity in order to inhibit Hdm2-mediated p53 degradation.^{13, 40,42} Diplamine B (**4**) and lissoclinidine B (**5**) are new inhibitors of Hdm2 auto-ubiquitylation and clearly stabilize both p53 and Hdm2 in cells at low micromolar concentrations.

More than half of the current clinically used chemotherapeutic agents are derived from natural products.⁴³ In an analysis of the 79 new chemical entities approved for cancer therapy by the

FDA between 1981 and 2002, sixty-five (82%) were identified as originating from small organic molecules and 48 of 65 (74%) were natural products or natural product derivatives.⁴³ At least three classes of natural products, chlorofusin,⁴⁴ polycyclic compounds,⁴⁵ and chalcone derivatives⁴⁶ have been reported to efficiently inhibit the formation of the Hdm2-p53 complex. More recently, our group identified natural product sempervirine inhibits auto-ubiquitylation of Hdm2 and stabilizes p53 and Hdm2 in cells.¹³ Here we report lissoclinidine B (**5**) clearly inhibits auto-ubiquitylation of Hdm2, stabilizes p53 and Hdm2, and selectively kills transformed cells and cells harboring wild-type p53. Further studies will be needed to understand the mechanistic details of this compound's effect on Hdm2.

5. Experimental

5.1. General Experimental Procedures

UV spectra were recorded using a Beckman DU-600 spectrophotometer. IR spectra were recorded for neat samples with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 2000 FT-IR spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Inova 500 spectrometer operating at 500.2 MHz for ¹H and 125.8 MHz for ¹³C. High resolution mass spectra were recorded with a Applied Biosystems QSTAR hybrid triple-quad time-of-flight mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization source. The calibration solution used was Renin substrate, calibrated on masses 110.0713 and 879.9723, both within 5 ppm. Low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard Series 1100 MSD LC-MS with an electrospray ionization source. Electrochemiluminescence plates, cell lysates, and reagents for the Hdm2 assay were purchased from MesoScale Discovery.

5.2. Reagents and antibodies

The proteasome inhibitor *N*-acetyl-leucyl-leucyl-norleucinal (ALLN) was purchased from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA). Adriamycin and the anti-β-actin antibody were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Antibodies recognizing Hdm2 (Ab-1 (OP46) and Ab-2 (OP115)) were purchased from Oncogene (Boston, MA). Antibodies recognizing p53 (sc-126) and poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) (sc-7150) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA).

5.3. Cell lines and plasmids

Human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE), RPE-E1A, *p53*^{-/-}*mdm2*^{-/-} mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs), U2OS, U2OS-pG13, C8, A9 cells were as described previously.³⁰ Plasmids encoding *Hdm2* and *p53* genes have been described previously.^{7, 47}

5.4. Marine Sample Extraction

The ascidian sample, used in this work, was collected for the NCI, by Pat Colin of the Coral Reef Research Foundation in the Coral Sea, 90 miles southwest of Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea, on October 22, 1993. The taxonomist was Françoise Monniot and a voucher is at the Smithsonian Division of Worms under the collector number 0CDN1811. The frozen sample was pulverized at the National Cancer Institute in dry ice by use of a worm-fed grinder (hamburger mill), the powder produced was allowed to stand at -30 °C until the CO₂ sublimed, and the mass was then extracted at 4 °C with deionized water (1 L) by stirring (30 rpm) for 30 min. The mixture was centrifuged at room temperature and the supernatant was separated and then lyophilized to give the aqueous extract. The insoluble portion from the centrifugation was lyophilized and then statically extracted overnight at room temperature with 1 L of 1:1 MeOH-CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was filtered, the pellet was washed with a 10% volume of fresh MeOH, and the combined organic phase was concentrated to dryness at <35 °C by rotary

evaporation and then finally dried under vacuum at room temperature to give the organic extract as a gum.

5.5. Isolation of Compounds

The crude extract (2.02 g), which showed activity in our initial Hdm2 screening assay (IC_{50} of 71 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), was fractionated first using wide-pore C_4 -bonded silica gel with a step gradient of aqueous methanol to afford six fractions, one of which (33% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$, 248 mg) had activity better than that of the crude extract. This fraction was fractionated further by LH-20 with 1:1 $\text{MeOH}:\text{MeCN}$ as a mobile phase, which yielded seven fractions (fractions A–G), three of which had improved activity (fractions B–D).

Fraction B (72 mg) was subjected to flash chromatography using a step gradient (20%–100% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ with 0.05% TFA) to afford eight fractions, three of which had significant activity (fractions B-2, B-5, and B-6; 6.8, 29.1, and 17.0 mg, respectively). Fraction C (30 mg) was separated by this same flash chromatography method to afford eight fractions, five of which had good activity in the Hdm2 assay (fractions C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, and C-6; 1.8, 2.7, 3.7, 9.9, and 12.0 mg, respectively). Fraction D (10 mg) was fractionated by use of C_{18} SPE using a step gradient (50–100% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ with 0.05% TFA) to afford three fractions, one of which (the 50% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ wash) was purified further by reversed-phase C_{18} HPLC (gradient, 2 mL/min, 10%–50% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ with 0.05% TFA over 20 min) to afford 5 (15.9 min, 2.2 mg).

Compounds **1** and **2** (4.8 mg and 2.3 mg, respectively) were purified by reversed phase C_{18} HPLC purification of 15.7 mg of fraction B-6 (gradient, 2 mL/min, 40%–80% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ with 0.05% TFA over 30 min; **1**: 20.0 min; **2**: 27.0 min). Compound **1** was also obtained from fraction B-5. Fraction B-2 consisted of a complicated mixture of several compounds related to **1**, and these fractions were not purified further due to lack of material. Fraction B-7 (50% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ wash, 4 mg), which was 3 times less active than **1** in the Hdm2 assay, was shown to contain **3** along with several minor impurities, based on LC-MS and ^1H NMR analysis. Compound **4** (5.4 mg) was isolated from fractions C-5 and C-6 by reversed phase C_{18} HPLC (gradient, 2 mL/min, 45%–62% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ with 0.05% TFA over 26 min, 14.5 min) followed by C_4 HPLC (gradient, 2 mL/min, 45%–62% $\text{MeOH}_{(aq)}$ with 0.05% TFA over 30 min, 25.5 min). Fraction C-3 consisted mainly of **5**, which appeared to be responsible for the activity of this fraction. Fractions C-2 and C-4 consisted of complicated mixtures of several compounds related to **2** and **4**, and these fractions were not purified further due to lack of material.

5.5.1. Isolissoclinotoxin B (1)—Light yellow foam; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 356 (3.39), 218 (4.09); IR (neat film) ν_{max} 3324, 2919, 2851, 1675, 1476, 1201, 1066, 721 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (CD_3OD), See Table 1; ^{13}C NMR (CD_3OD), See Table 1; HRES-TOFMS (positive ion) m/z 353.9781 ($[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_5$: 353.9785), 290.0356 ($[\text{M}-\text{S}_2+\text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_5$: 290.0343).

5.5.2. Diplamine B (4)—Dark orange film; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 208 (4.39), 265 (3.70), 379 (3.05); IR (neat film) ν_{max} 2924, 2853, 1675, 1202, 1131, 723 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (CD_3OD), See Table 1; ^{13}C NMR (CD_3OD), See Table 1; HRES-TOFMS (positive ion) m/z 322.1005 ($[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_3\text{OS}$: 322.1014).

5.5.3. Lissoclinidine B (5)—Purple film; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 214 (4.37), 265 (3.98), 298 (3.81), 309 (3.78), 389 (3.15), 477 (3.10); IR (neat film) ν_{max} 2923, 2852, 1681, 1204, 1135, 723 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (CD_3OD), See Table 1; ^{13}C NMR (CD_3OD), See Table 1; HRES-TOFMS (positive ion) m/z 322.1003 ($[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_3\text{OS}$: 322.1014).

5.5.4. Preparation of O-acetyl-isolissoclinotoxin B (7)—To a 4 mL screw-cap vial was added 0.5 mg **1**, 0.2 mL pyridine, and 0.2 mL acetic anhydride. This mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature, after which 1.0 mL of MeOH was added and the solution dried under a stream of nitrogen without further purification to afford **7** (0.5 mg): yellow-green film; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD), δ 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 2.86 (s, 6H, H-11 and H-12), 3.18 (m, 2H, H-8), 3.91 (s, 3H, H-10); 7.20 (s, 1H, H-6); LRESIMS (positive ion) *m/z* 396.0 ([M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₈NO₃S₅: 396.0).

5.6. Biological Evaluation of Compounds

5.6.1. Hdm2 biochemical assay procedure—The Hdm2 assay was performed as described previously.¹³ Briefly, the activity of Hdm2 was measured by detecting polyubiquitylated Hdm2 by electrochemiluminescence using a ruthenium-labeled polyubiquitin antibody. Cell lysates containing a GST-Hdm2 fusion protein were added to glutathione-coated 96-well plates (MesoScale Discovery, Gaithersburg, MD) and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Separately, cell lysates containing rabbit E1 (MesoScale Discovery) and E2 (UbcH5B; MesoScale Discovery) were incubated with a solution containing ubiquitin, phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS), ATP, and DTT for 30 min to pre-charge the E2 ligases for ubiquitin transfer.

Assay samples and the pre-charged E1/E2 solution were then simultaneously added to the plate wells containing Hdm2 and allowed to react for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was then stopped by washing the plate with PBS. A ruthenium labeled poly-ubiquitin antibody in a Tris-buffered solution of tripropylamine and a surfactant was then added, and the plate was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The luminescence of the wells was recorded using a MesoScale Discovery SECTOR™ PR100 plate reader. On each plate, the ubiquitylation reaction was performed in the absence of added inhibitors to give a 0% inhibition threshold, while the reaction was also performed in the presence of EDTA to establish a 100% inhibition threshold; luminescence data collected were normalized based on this scale for each plate. The compounds were assayed at concentrations of 100, 20, 4, 0.8, and 0.02 µg/mL. Each purified compound was assayed in quadruplicate.

5.6.2. Western blot—Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 10 mM iodoacetamide and Complete Mini (Roche, Mannheim, Germany)) and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Equal amount of post-nuclear supernatants were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and immunoblotted with specific antibodies using standard procedures.³⁰ Bands corresponding to specific proteins were visualized with horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies and chemiluminescence agents (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

5.6.3. Luciferase assay—U2OS-pG13 cells were lysed with reporter lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI). The resultant lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 g for 20 min. Luciferase activity in the supernatant was determined according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega).

5.6.4. Trypan blue exclusion—Staining was performed by 1 min bath perfusion of 0.4% Trypan Blue Stain (Cambrex Bio Science, Walkersville, MD). Under a microscope, non-viable cells are stained and viable cells excluded the stain.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Abbreviations

NCI, National Cancer Institute
 CCR, Center for Cancer Research
 Mdm2, mouse double minute 2
 Hdm2, human ortholog of Mdm2
Mdm2, Mdm2 gene
Hdm2, Hdm2 gene
 E1, ubiquitin-activating enzyme
 E2, ubiquitin conjugating enzyme
 E3, ubiquitin ligase
 p53, tumor suppressor
p53, p53 gene
 RF, ring finger, a structural motif that characterizes one class of E3 enzymes
 ESI-TOF MS, electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry
 HRESI-TOF MS, high resolution, electrospray ionization time-off-flight mass spectrometry
 RPE, retinal pigment epithelial cells
 ALLN, *N*-acetyl-leucyl-leucyl-norleucinal
 MEF, mouse embryo fibroblast
 CMV, cytomegalovirus
 U2OS, human osteosarcoma cell line
 HCT116, human colon carcinoma cell line
 U2OS-pG13, U2OS cell line with stably expressed p53 responsive luciferase reporter pG13
 Adr, adriamycin
 RLU, relative light units
 RPE-EIA, RPE cells transformed with the adenovirus EIA
 Ha-ras, ras gene from Harvey murine sarcoma
 PARP, poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase
 A9, *p53* deficient mouse embryo fibroblast
 C8, mouse embryo fibroblast with wild- type *p53*
 SPE, solid phase extraction
 PBS, phosphate buffered saline
 GFP, green fluorescent protein
 GST, glutathione S-transferase
 DTT, dithiothreitol
 EDTA, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
 SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel
 PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride
 Rb, retinoblastoma

Acknowledgement

We thank Cheryl Thomas, and Tanya Johnson (MTDP) for performing the Hdm2 assay, John Lloyd (NIDDK, NIH) for collecting MS data, Dave Newman (Natural Products Branch, NCI) and Pat Colin (Coral Reef Research Foundation) for collections, Tom McCloud (SAIC-Frederick) for extraction of the animal material, and Kentaro Takada (MTDP) for helpful discussions. We are also grateful to the NIH Fellows Editorial Board for editorial assistance. This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research. J.K. is a fellow of Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science. This project has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under contract N01-CO-12400. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

References

1. Aylon Y, Oren M. *Cell* 2007;130:597. [PubMed: 17719538]
2. Levine AJ, Hu W, Feng Z. *Cell Death Differ* 2006;13:1027. [PubMed: 16557269]
3. Vousden KH, Lane DP. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol* 2007;8:275. [PubMed: 17380161]
4. Yang Y, Li CC, Weissman AM. *Oncogene* 2004;23:2096. [PubMed: 15021897]
5. Fang S, Weissman AM. *Cell Mol. Life Sci* 2004;61:1546. [PubMed: 15224180]
6. Haupt Y, Maya R, Kazaz A, Oren M. *Nature* 1997;387:296. [PubMed: 9153395]
7. Kubbutat MH, Jones SN, Vousden KH. *Nature* 1997;387:299. [PubMed: 9153396]
8. Honda R, Tanaka H, Yasuda H. *FEBS Lett* 1997;420:25. [PubMed: 9450543]
9. Fang S, Jensen JP, Ludwig RL, Vousden KH, Weissman AM. *J. Biol. Chem* 2000;275:8945. [PubMed: 10722742]
10. Jones SN, Roe AE, Donehower LA, Bradley A. *Nature* 1995;378:206. [PubMed: 7477327]
11. Montes de Oca Luna R, Wagner DS, Lozano G. *Nature* 1995;378:203. [PubMed: 7477326]
12. Momand J, Jung D, Wilczynski S, Niland J. *Nucleic Acid Res* 1998;26:3453. [PubMed: 9671804]
13. Sasiela CA, Stewart DH, Kitagaki J, Safiran YJ, Yang Y, Weissman AM, Oberoi P, Davydov IV, Goncharova E, Beutler JA, McMahon JB, O'Keefe BR. *J. Biomol. Screen* 2008;13:229. [PubMed: 18270365]
14. Ireland C, Scheuer PJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1980;102:5688.
15. Ireland CM, Durso AR Jr, Newman RA, Hacker MP. *J. Org. Chem* 1982;47:1807.
16. Perez LJ, Faulkner DJ. *J. Nat. Prod* 2003;66:247. [PubMed: 12608858]
17. Uddin MJ, Kokubo S, Ueda K, Suenaga K, Uemura D. *J. Nat. Prod* 2001;64:1169. [PubMed: 11575950]
18. Molinski TF, Ireland CM. *J. Org. Chem* 1989;54:4256.
19. Appleton DR, Pearce AN, Lambert G, Babcock RC, Copp BR. *Tetrahedron* 2002;58:9779.
20. Searle PA, Molinski TF. *J. Org. Chem* 1994;59:6600.
21. Biard J-F, Roussakis C, Kornprobst J-M, Gouiffes-Barbin D, Verbist J-F, Cotellet P, Foster MP, Ireland CM, Debitus C. *J. Nat. Prod* 1994;57:1336. [PubMed: 7807120]
22. Degnan BM, Hawkins CJ, Lavin MF, McCaffrey EJ, Parry DL, Watters DJ. *J. Med. Chem* 1989;32:1354. [PubMed: 2724306]
23. Davidson BS, Molinski TF, Barrows LR, Ireland CM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1991;113:4709.
24. Compagnone RS, Faulkner DJ, Carte BK, Chan G, Freyer A, Hemling MA, Hofmann GA, Mattern MR. *Tetrahedron* 1994;50:12785.
25. Davis RA, Sandoval IT, Concepcion GP, da Rocha RM, Ireland CM. *Tetrahedron* 2003;59:2855.
26. Liu H, Fujiwara T, Nishikawa T, Mishima Y, Nagai H, Shida T, Tachibana K, Kobayashi H, Mangindaan REP, Namikoshi M. *Tetrahedron* 2005;61:8611.
27. Ford PW, Narbut MR, Belli J, Davidson BS. *J. Org. Chem* 1994;59:5955.
28. Makarieva TN, Stonik VA, Dmitrenok AS, Grebnev BB, Isakov VV, Rebachyk NM. *J. Nat. Prod* 1995;58:254. [PubMed: 7769392]
29. Charyulu GA, McKee TC, Ireland CM. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1989;30:4201.
30. Yang Y, Ludwig RL, Jensen JP, Pierre SA, Medaglia MV, Davydov IV, Safiran YJ, Oberoi P, Kenten JH, Phillips AC, Weissman AM, Vousden KH. *Cancer Cell* 2005;7:547. [PubMed: 15950904]
31. Kern SE, Kinzler KW, Bruskin A, Jarosz D, Friedman P, Prives C, Vogelstein B. *Science* 1991;252:1708. [PubMed: 2047879]
32. Lowe SW, Ruley HE, Jacks T, Housman DE. *Cell* 1993;74:957. [PubMed: 8402885]
33. Blint E, Phillips AC, Kozlov S, Stewart CL, Vousden KH. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2002;99:3529. [PubMed: 11891335]
34. Poyurovsky MV, Prives C. *Genes Dev* 2006;20:125. [PubMed: 16418478]
35. Chen L, Lu W, Agrawal S, Zhou W, Zhang R, Chen J. *Mol. Med* 1999;5:21. [PubMed: 10072445]
36. Zhang R, Wang H, Agrawal S. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* 2005;5:43. [PubMed: 15720188]
37. Chene P. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2003;3(2):102–109. [PubMed: 12563309]

38. Vassilev LT, Vu BT, Graves B, Carvajal D, Podlaski F, Filipovic Z, Kong N, Kammlott U, Lukacs C, Klein C, Fotouhi N, Liu EA. *Science* 2004;303:844. [PubMed: 14704432]
39. Issaeva N, Bozko P, Enge M, Protopopova M, Verhoef LGGC, Masucci M, Pramanik A, Selivanova G. *Nat. Med* 2004;10:1321. [PubMed: 15558054]
40. Ding K, Lu Y, Nikolovska-Coleska Z, Wang G, Qiu S, Shangary S, Gao W, Qin D, Stuckey J, Krajewski K, Roller PR, Wang S. *J. Med. Chem* 2006;49:3432. [PubMed: 16759082]
41. Li WD, Wang MJ, Ding F, Yin DL, Liu ZH. *World J. Gastroenterol* 2005;11:2927. [PubMed: 15902730]
42. Davydov IV, Woods D, Safiran YJ, Oberoi P, Fearnhead HO, Fang S, Jensen JP, Weissman AM, Kenten JH, Vousden KH. *J. Biomol. Screen* 2004;9:695. [PubMed: 15634796]
43. Newman DJ, Cragg GM, Snader KM. *J. Nat. Prod* 2003;66:1022. [PubMed: 12880330]
44. Duncan SJ, Grüschow S, Williams DH, McNicholas C, Purewal R, Hajek M, Gerlitz M, Martin S, Wrigley SK, Moore M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001;123:554. [PubMed: 11456567]
45. Zhao J, Wang M, Chen J, Luo A, Wang X, Wu M, Yin D, Liu Z. *Cancer Lett* 2002;183:69. [PubMed: 12049816]
46. Stoll R, Renner C, Hansen S, Palme S, Klein C, Belling A, Zeslawski W, Kamionka M, Rehm T, Muehlhahn P, Schumacher R, Hesse F, Kaluza B, Voelter W, Engh RA, Holak TA. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:336. [PubMed: 11148027]
47. Chen J, Lin J, Levine AJ. *Mol. Med* 1995;1:142. [PubMed: 8529093]

Figure 1.
Key 2D NMR correlations of **1**, **4** and **5**.

Figure 2.

Diplamine B (**4**) and lissoclinidine B (**5**) increased p53 and Hdm2 in cells in a dose-dependent manner. RPE cells were treated with 50 μM ALLN, or 1–10 μM **4** or **5** for 8 h. Total levels of p53, Hdm2 and β-actin, which serves as an equal loading control, were assessed by immunoblotting using antibodies directed against each of these proteins.

Figure 3.

Diplamine B (**4**) and lissoclinidine B (**5**) stabilized Hdm2 in MEFs. $p53^{-/-}mdm2^{-/-}$ MEFs were transiently transfected with Hdm2 plasmid under the control of a CMV promoter. Forty-eight h after transfection, the cells were treated with 10 μ M **4** or **5** for 8 h. Cellular Hdm2 and β -actin were determined by immunoblotting.

Figure 5.

Lissoclinidine B (**5**) activates p53 transcription. U2OS-pG13 cells were incubated with 1 μ g/ml adriamycin, or 1–10 μ M **5** for 22 h. After the incubation, luciferase activity was assessed. Data represents average and standard deviation of three independent experiments. RLU: relative light unit. Adr: adriamycin.

Figure 6.

Lissoclidine B (**5**) selectively kills transformed cells. Parental RPE cells and RPE-E1A cells were incubated with 1 μ g/ml adriamycin, or 3–25 μ M **5** for 17 h and cell death was assessed by trypan blue exclusion. Data represents average and standard deviation of three independent experiments.

7A

7B

Figure 7.

A. Lissoclinidine B (**5**) selectively kills cells expressing wild-type *p53*. *p53*-deficient (A9) or wild-type *p53* (C8) MEFs were treated with 5–20 μ M **5** for 20 h. Cell death was measured by trypan blue exclusion. Data represents average and standard deviation of three independent experiments. **B.** Lissoclinidine B (**5**) induces apoptosis in C8 cells. A9 and C8 cells were incubated with 5–20 μ M **5** for 14 h. Cellular PARP and β -actin were evaluated by immunoblotting using anti-PARP and anti- β -actin specific antibodies.

Table 1

NMR Data for Compounds **1**, **4–5** (CD₃OD).

1		4		5	
Pos.	δ_C	δ_H	δ_C	δ_H	δ_C
1	133.94		132.67	8.34	119.27
2	131.54		133.32	7.99	136.45
3	150.18		131.39	7.90	125.04
4	151.58		124.93	8.80	126.16
4a			123.10		116.19
4b			139.08		150.76
5	115.37	7.00	122.01	8.90	105.08
6	136.52		150.89	9.14	143.95
7	32.06	3.30			
		3.32			
7a			147.71		124.67
8	59.56	3.29	181.05		136.60
9			144.73		139.78
10	57.02	3.96	150.21		108.43
10a			150.72		133.79
10b			118.76		120.40
11a			147.07		141.86
12	43.58	2.98	29.09	3.89	29.30
				t, 7.4, 2H	3.29*
13			40.17	3.37	38.07
				t, 7.2, 2H	3.24
14			17.87	2.68	78.88
				s, 3H	6.11
					m, 2H
					m, 2H
					s, 2H

* Signal overlapped with CD₂HOD peak; detected by HSQC analysis

Table 2Hdm2 Inhibitory Activity of **1–5**.

Compound	IC ₅₀ (μM)
1	58.6 ± 4
2	>295
3	120.8 ± 9
4	101.3 ± 4
5	98.1 ± 6