Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2009 February 24; 48(7): 1439–1441. doi:10.1021/bi8021679.

Coordinating the Two Protomer Active Sites of Human Topoisomerase II α : Nicks as Topoisomerase II Poisons[†]

Joseph E. Deweese[†] and Neil Osheroff^{‡,¶,*}

Departments of Biochemistry and Medicine (Hematology/Oncology), Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232-0146

Abstract

Topoisomerase II modulates DNA topology by generating double-stranded breaks in DNA. Results of the current study indicate that the presence of a nick at one scissile bond dramatically increases the rate of cleavage by human topoisomerase II α at the scissile bond on the opposite strand. We propose that this enhanced activity at the second strand coordinates the two protomer subunits of topoisomerase II and allows the enzyme to create double-stranded breaks. Finally, the presence of a nick on one strand induces cleavage on the opposite strand. Thus, nicks are topoisomerase II poisons that generate novel sites of DNA cleavage.

Type II topoisomerases are ubiquitous enzymes that play essential roles in fundamental nuclear processes, such as replication, transcription, recombination, and mitosis (1). These enzymes help regulate DNA under- and over-winding, and remove knots and tangles from the genome (1-3). While lower eukaryotes have only a single type II enzyme, topoisomerase II, humans and other vertebrates encode two isoforms, topoisomerase II α and II β (2,3). Topoisomerase II α is the isoform that is required for cell survival, is expressed at high levels in proliferating cells, and is required for replicative processes and mitosis (1,3).

Type II topoisomerases alter the topological state of DNA by generating transient breaks on both strands of the double helix (2-4). The two scissile bonds are located across the major groove from one another and are staggered by four bp (5,6). Each bond is cleaved by an individual tyrosine residue that resides in a separate protomer subunit of the homodimeric protein (2-4,7). During the cleavage event, these active site tyrosine residues form covalent links with the newly generated 5'-DNA termini (2-4). This linkage preserves the energy of the sugar-phosphate backbone of DNA and maintains genomic integrity.

Beyond their critical cellular roles, topoisomerase II α and II β are the targets for some of the most successful anticancer agents in clinical use (3,8,9). Topoisomerase II-targeted drugs, such as etoposide and doxorubicin, kill cells by increasing levels of enzyme-associated DNA breaks (3,8,9). Anticancer drugs and other compounds that enhance enzyme-mediated DNA cleavage are called topoisomerase II poisons to distinguish them from agents that act by inhibiting overall catalytic activity (3).

[†]This work was supported by NIH research grants GM33944 and GM53960. JED was a trainee under grant T32CA09592 from the NIH.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: tel, 615-322-4338; fax, 615-343-1166; e-mail, E-mail: neil.osheroff@vanderbilt.edu..

[‡]Department of Biochemistry.

[¶]Department of Medicine (Hematology/Oncology).

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Detailed methods, figures depicting plasmid cleavage, time courses for the cleavage of DNA containing site-specific nicks, and the generation of novel DNA cleavage sites by the presence of nicks are available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Given the central nature of the DNA cleavage reaction to the physiological and pharmacological functions of topoisomerase II, it is important to understand the mechanistic details of this process. In this regard, it has long been known that type II topoisomerases generate two “coordinated” nicks on the opposite strands of the double helix as opposed to one “unified” double-stranded DNA break. Evidence against a unified mechanism stems from the findings that levels of scission on the two strands of a cleavage site are often non-equal (6), and that the enzyme religates the two strands of cleaved molecules in an independent fashion (7). At equilibrium, ~0.5–1% of human topoisomerase II α in a scission reaction exists as a covalent enzyme-cleaved DNA complex (10) (Supplemental Figure S1). Approximately half of these complexes contain double-stranded DNA breaks with the remainder containing single-stranded breaks. This finding suggests that every time the enzyme cuts one strand of the double helix, it goes on to cut the second strand a high proportion of the time. If the enzyme cut the two strands of DNA in an un-coordinated fashion, virtually no double-stranded breaks would be generated (1% cleavage of the first strand $\times$ 1% cleavage of the second strand $\approx$ 0.01% double-stranded breaks). Thus, there must be a relatively high degree of coordination between the two protomer active sites of topoisomerase II α , even if they do not act in complete concert. Consistent with the above conclusion, once topoisomerase II cleaves the first strand, it cuts the second strand at a rate that is >10-fold faster (11,12). However, the mechanism that underlies the enhanced cleavage of the second strand is not known.

It is notable that the DNA substrates for first and second strand cleavage are not equivalent. Unlike the former substrate, which is an intact duplex, the latter contains a nick at one of the scissile bonds. Consequently, it has been proposed that human topoisomerase II α generates a high proportion of double-stranded DNA breaks because nicked molecules are a more efficient substrate for the enzyme (12). To this point, equilibrium levels of cleavage on one strand of an oligonucleotide that contains a nick at the scissile bond on the opposite strand are >20-fold higher than those generated by the enzyme with the equivalent intact duplex (see Supplemental Figure S2) (12).

Therefore, to more fully assess the potential of nicks to coordinate the two protomer active sites of topoisomerase II α during DNA scission, the kinetics of cleavage of an intact substrate and an equivalent oligonucleotide that contained a nick at one of the scissile bonds were studied by rapid chemical quench. Reactions followed cleavage of the strand opposite the nick over a time course from 5 ms to 3 s. (Figure 1A). Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺ was used as the required divalent cation in these experiments. Although Mg²⁺ likely represents the physiological divalent metal ion, higher levels of cleavage are observed in the presence of Mn²⁺ (13). Initial velocities for cleavage of the nicked and duplex substrates in the presence of Mg²⁺ were 110 ± 5.6 and 7.8 ± 2.4 s⁻¹, respectively, and in the presence of Mn²⁺ were 230 ± 12 and 9.4 ± 1.3 s⁻¹, respectively. Taken together, these data suggest that the rate of cleavage increases dramatically (~15– to 25-fold) in the presence of a nick.

Levels of topoisomerase II-generated DNA strand breaks can be raised by increasing the forward rate of scission or by inhibiting the backward rate of ligation (3,9). Most clinically relevant topoisomerase II-targeted drugs act by the latter mechanism (3). However, if DNA nicks are being used to coordinate the two protomer active sites of human topoisomerase II α during the cleavage reaction, they should act primarily on the forward rate of scission. To determine whether this is the case, the effect of a nicked scissile bond on the rate of enzyme-mediated DNA ligation of the opposite strand was assessed. As seen in Figure 1B, the nick had no effect on the time course for ligation. The apparent first order rate constants for the duplex and nicked substrates were 0.031 ± 0.0079 and 0.036 ± 0.0074 s⁻¹, respectively. Together with the kinetic data discussed above, these results indicate that the introduction of a nick at one scissile bond greatly stimulates the forward rate of scission of the second strand by topoisomerase II α .

Why does topoisomerase II α preferentially cleave nicked DNA? Structural studies provide insight into this important mechanistic question. Recently, the crystal structure of the catalytic core of yeast topoisomerase II complexed with DNA was solved (14). The complex was non-covalent in nature, and the substrate contained nicks at both scissile bonds. Surprisingly, DNA in the active site of the enzyme was markedly reshaped, with its trajectory being bent by $\sim 150^\circ$ (14). Considerable distortion was observed within the double helix, such that the bases between the scissile bonds existed as A-form rather than B-form DNA. Strain was observed over ~ 16 base pairs of the DNA cleavage site, and the highest degree of strain, as determined by the degree of deviation of twist from B-form DNA, occurred at the scissile bonds on each strand of the double helix.

It is reasonable to assume that the acute bending of the double helix seen in the crystal structure approximates the transition state of the DNA during cleavage by topoisomerase II. Given the high degree of distortion and strain required for the transition state, it is difficult for intact duplex DNA to attain this structure. Therefore, we propose that once the enzyme has cut the first strand of the double helix, the increased conformational flexibility that results from the nick greatly facilitates conversion to the cleavage transition state. As a result, topoisomerase II-mediated scission of the second strand occurs with a much faster rate, effectively coordinating the two enzyme protomer subunits.

Consistent with this proposal, DNA lesions (such as abasic sites or alkylated bases) that distort, bend, or increase the flexibility of the double helix are highly effective topoisomerase II poisons when located between the scissile bonds. Lesions often increase enzyme-mediated DNA cleavage >10 -fold, and stimulate the forward rate of scission without inhibiting ligation (3, 15,16).

If the hypothesis discussed above is correct, the presence of a nick in the vicinity of the scissile bonds should enhance the flexibility of the double helix and promote DNA cleavage by topoisomerase II α . However, since DNA strain is greatest at the scissile bonds, placement of a nick precisely at the point of cleavage on one strand should have the largest effect on cleavage of the opposite strand. Consequently, the relationship between the position of the nick and levels of DNA scission by topoisomerase II α was determined. As seen in Figure 2, the presence of a nick in the vicinity of the scissile bond increased equilibrium levels of cleavage at the opposite scissile bond ~ 2 - to 6 - fold. Moreover, the presence of a nick at the scissile bond ($-1/+1$ position) increased cleavage at the opposite scissile bond ~ 25 -fold (see Supplemental Figure S2 for DNA cleavage time courses). These findings demonstrate that increased DNA flexibility enhances the ability of topoisomerase II α to cleave its substrate. The fact that the increase in cleavage was dramatically higher when the nick was placed directly at the scissile bond further supports the proposal that coordination of the two protomer subunits of topoisomerase II results from an enhanced DNA conformational flexibility that follows scission of the first strand.

In addition to stimulating cleavage at the “native” site, the presence of a nick at a position other than that of the scissile bond always generated a novel DNA cleavage band on the opposite strand that was 4 base pairs away from the nick. Cleavage levels at these novel sites were at least as high as that seen at the native site, and in some cases were as much as 6 -fold higher (see Supplemental Figure S3).

To further investigate the ability of nicks to induce enzyme-mediated scission at novel DNA sites, a 50-mer substrate was used that was not cleaved by topoisomerase II α in the absence of anticancer drugs (see Supporting Information). Nicks were introduced at single sites on one strand of the double helix and cleavage was characterized on the opposite strand (Figure 3). In all cases, a novel cleavage site located 4 bases away from the nick was generated. These novel

sites had different sequences, with nicks 1, 2, and 3 inducing cleavage between GA, TC, and CG, respectively. Levels of DNA cleavage were at least comparable to that seen with the native site used in Figure 2 (dashed line) and ranged as much as 6-fold higher.

These findings demonstrate that nicks, like other DNA lesions, are topoisomerase II poisons. However, nicks differ from these lesions in a significant fashion. Abasic sites and modified bases are site-specific poisons that stimulate cleavage only when they are located between the two scissile bonds of a pre-existing site of enzyme action (3,15,16). Furthermore, while they enhance scission at the native site, they do not generate novel sites of cleavage (3,15,16). In contrast, the actions of nicks are not constrained to pre-existing sites of topoisomerase II action. The mere presence of a nick in duplex DNA is sufficient to create a novel cleavage site for human topoisomerase II α .

In summary, nicks have a profound effect on the DNA cleavage activity of topoisomerase II α . The presence of a nick at one scissile bond dramatically increases the rate of cleavage at the scissile bond on the opposite strand of the double helix. We propose that nicks trigger faster rates of scission by introducing flexibility in the DNA that allows it to attain the acutely bent transition state that is required for efficient cleavage. This enhanced activity at the second strand coordinates the two protomer subunits of topoisomerase II during the DNA cleavage reaction and allows the enzyme to create double-stranded breaks in the genetic material. Finally, nicks can be added to the list of DNA lesions that poison topoisomerase II. This finding may have implications for the actions of type II enzymes during apoptosis. Moreover, it suggests a mechanism whereby topoisomerase II may impact the physiological effects of endogenous, environmental, or pharmaceutical compounds that damage the genetic material.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are most grateful to Dr. James M. Berger for stimulating discussions regarding the structure of the non-covalent topoisomerase II-DNA complex. We thank Dr. F. Peter Guengerich and Dr. Robert Eoff for use of the rapid quench apparatus and helpful discussions, and Amanda Gentry for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Wang JC. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol 2002;3:430–440. [PubMed: 12042765]
2. Champoux JJ. Annu. Rev. Biochem 2001;70:369–413. [PubMed: 11395412]
3. McClendon AK, Osheroff N. Mutat. Res 2007;623:83–97. [PubMed: 17681352]
4. Schoeffler AJ, Berger JM. Biochem. Soc. Trans 2005;33:1465–1470. [PubMed: 16246147]
5. Sander M, Hsieh T. J. Biol. Chem 1983;258:8421–8428. [PubMed: 6305984]
6. Liu LF, Rowe TC, Yang L, Tewey KM, Chen GL. J. Biol. Chem 1983;258:15365–15370. [PubMed: 6317692]
7. Zechiedrich EL, Christiansen K, Andersen AH, Westergaard O, Osheroff N. Biochemistry 1989;28:6229–6236. [PubMed: 2551367]
8. Pommier Y, Marchand C. Curr. Med. Chem. Anticancer Agents 2005;5:421–429. [PubMed: 16101492]
9. Baldwin EL, Osheroff N. Curr. Med. Chem. Anticancer Agents 2005;5:363–372. [PubMed: 16101488]
10. Dickey JS, Osheroff N. Biochemistry 2005;44:11546–11554. [PubMed: 16114891]
11. Mueller-Planitz F, Herschlag D. J. Biol. Chem 2008;283:17463–17476. [PubMed: 18403371]
12. Deweese JE, Burgin AB, Osheroff N. Biochemistry 2008;47:4129–4140. [PubMed: 18318502]
13. Deweese JE, Burgin AB, Osheroff N. Nucleic Acids Res 2008;36:4883–4893. [PubMed: 18653531]
14. Dong KC, Berger JM. Nature 2007;450:1201–1205. [PubMed: 18097402]

15. Sabourin M, Osheroff N. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000;28:1947–1954. [PubMed: 10756196]
16. Velez-Cruz R, Riggins JN, Daniels JS, Cai H, Guengerich FP, Marnett LJ, Osheroff N. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:3972–3981. [PubMed: 15751973]

Figure 1.

A nick at the scissile bond enhances the forward rate of DNA cleavage by topoisomerase II α . (A) Rapid time courses for cleavage were followed up to 3 s (plots are shown to 2 s) with un-nicked duplex (wild-type, WT; red) or scissile bond nicked ($-1/+1$ position, blue) substrate in the presence of 5 mM Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+} . Data were best fitted by double exponential curves (see Supporting Information). (B) Topoisomerase II α -mediated ligation is shown for un-nicked duplex (wild-type, WT; red) or scissile bond nicked (blue) substrate. DNA cleavage was set to 100% at time zero. Error bars are the SEM of 2 independent experiments or the SD of ≥ 3 independent experiments.

Figure 2.

A nick at one scissile bond greatly enhances topoisomerase II α -mediated DNA cleavage at the scissile bond on the opposite strand. The partial sequence of the 50-mer cleavage substrate and the positions of the scissile bonds (arrows) are shown at top. Levels of DNA cleavage at the native scissile bond on the bottom strand are shown for the un-nicked 50-mer (wild-type, WT) and for substrates containing a nick at the -2/-3, -1/-2, -1/+1, +1/+2, +2/+3, or +4/+5 position on the top strand. Cleavage for the scissile bond nicked (-1/+1) substrate is in black. Error bars are the SD of ≥ 3 independent experiments.

Figure 3.

Nicks poison topoisomerase II α . Nicks were incorporated at position 1, 2, or 3 on the top strand of a 50-mer (partially shown at top) that was not cleaved by topoisomerase II α in the absence of anticancer drugs. Scission was monitored on the bottom strand. Cleavage at the corresponding sites in the un-nicked (wild-type, WT) substrate is shown for comparison. The dashed line represents the cleavage level generated at a typical un-nicked native site (0.29%, see Figure 2). Error bars are the SD of ≥ 3 independent experiments.