Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem A. 2009 March 26; 113(12): 2926–2934. doi:10.1021/jp8095715.

Quantum-Mechanical Calculations of Resonance Raman Intensities: The Weighted-Gradient Approximation

Andrzej A. Jarzęcki

Department of Chemistry, Brooklyn College and the Graduate School of the City University of New York, Brooklyn, NY 11210 e-mail address: jarzecki@brooklyn.cuny.edu

Abstract

A framework of the weighted-gradient approach is developed for effective quantum-mechanical modeling of resonance Raman (RR) intensities with a view towards rationalizing enhancement patterns observed for histidine and tryptophan side chains. Unlike the single-state gradient approach, this new procedure utilizes the vertical gradients obtained for all computed excited states to produce an effective gradient and the RR intensity patterns for a particular frequency of the excitation photon. The dramatic spectral changes observed for the histidine ring upon its protonation, deprotonation or deuterium substitution of exchangeable protons is well reproduced by this model. Spectral comparison for the tryptophan ring clearly demonstrated improved quality of the weighted-gradient over the single-state gradient approach. Computed spectra exemplify the potential application of this model to support vibrational studies of electronic and structural interactions of chromophores in proteins.

INTRODUCTION

Among the various analytical probes used to investigate a wide range of important biological or environmental processes, resonance Raman [RR] spectroscopy is capable of providing particularly useful data because of the sensitivity of vibrational frequencies to molecular structure and because of the electronic sensitivity conferred by resonance enhancement. This sensitivity makes it possible to do small molecule spectroscopy inside large molecules, and to extract structural details for key molecular fragments at biologically active sites or to detect dynamics of the surrounding macromolecules^{1,2}. For instance, the analytical utility of resonance Raman excitations in the far ultraviolet region becomes a significant source of spectroscopic information on the conformation, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interaction, metal coordination and so forth of protein main chains and aromatic amino acid side chains². Among aromatic amino acids, histidine and tryptophan are particularly important protein residues. Tryptophan gives the strongest RR signals³ which were successfully applied to study protein environments. The histidine side chain is frequently involved in catalysis and in ligation of essential metal ions, but the UVRR enhancement of its vibrations is weak⁴. Nevertheless, when supported by computational and experimental spectra-structure correlations, certain signals of histidine could be detectable in proteins⁵⁻⁷.

The sensitive spectroscopic data available via resonance Raman for small molecular fragments of macromolecules naturally presents a unique possibility to employ state-of-the-art advanced computational methods to predict and support these experiments with theoretical insights, and to calibrate or test the accuracy of existing or newly developed theoretical methods. Quantum-mechanical calculations of IR and non-resonance Raman spectra have been remarkably successful in prediction of vibrational frequencies and intensities, greatly reducing ambiguities in mode assignments for compounds of the size of metalloporphyrins⁸ or larger. However, a reliable prediction of resonance Raman intensity patterns proved to be computationally more

demanding because modeling of the enhancement is subject to accurate assessment of propagation of the ground state wave function on the potential energy surface of the resonant excited state or states.

Most quantum-mechanical methods capable of predicting RR intensity patterns for biologically significant chromophores cannot use the “traditional”, computationally demanding, sum-over-states formalism⁹. Instead, the calculations utilize approximations that originate from the single-state time-dependent formalism developed by Heller and co-workers¹⁰ often in combination with transform methods for empirical corrections such as the Kramers-Kronig transform of an experimental absorption spectrum¹¹. The most commonly used methods, the displacement¹² and the gradient¹³ approximations, were moderately successful but their general success in predicting observed intensity patterns was sometimes questionable. Most recent developments to improve on the reproducibility of experimental RR intensities and excitation profiles focus on derivations of new relations to evaluate the time-dependent wave packet overlap in case of frequency changes between the ground and excited states, incorporation of inhomogeneous broadening effects¹⁴ and solvent effects¹⁵. Other electronic parameters such as Duschinsky rotations¹⁶, anharmonicities, overtones and nonadiabatic effects of electronic transitions were also investigated. Interestingly, Schatz and co-workers¹⁷ developed an alternative approach which effectively evaluates resonance Raman scattering from the geometrical derivatives of the frequency-dependent polarizability by including a finite lifetime of electronic excited states using TD-DFT. This method could be considered as an attractive option for large molecules, although inherently limited by many of the same approximations as used in the excited-state gradient approximation method.

In general, the key shortcomings of successful prediction of RR spectra using the current time-dependent formalism always recognize effects of close-lying excited states and the necessity to single out the state which is most likely in resonance with a particular laser excitation. Therefore, development of efficient procedures to predict which excited state or states might be most appropriate to obtain accurate enhancements for a particular laser excitation and at the same time to make knowledgeable negligence of other electronic excitations could present opportunity to study not only specific enhancements of molecular vibrations but also complexity and specificity of resonance enhancement, and extend a conventional view point of the state specific approach to the photon specific multi-state approach.

Here, we present the refinement methodology to improve on the generally accepted gradient approximation of a propagation of the ground state wave function on the resonant excited state that is generalized to look out for effects of close-lying excited states. Unlike the single state gradient approximation, the refinement procedure utilizes a manifold of computed excitations in the UV spectral region to produce the weighted gradient which could be effectively evaluated for a particular excitation wavelength in the computed spectral region. Hence, in principle, it provides the quantum-mechanical means to compute not only RR intensity patterns but also the excitation profiles of vibrational bands. In addition, illustrative calculations of RR spectra for biologically relevant chromophores; a histidine residue with its various side chain forms and a tryptophan side chain are presented here to demonstrate accuracy of the model and to exemplify its potential application for molecular systems.

METHODOLOGY

The Scattering Tensor and UVRR Enhancement Mechanisms

The theory of the resonance Raman scattering differentiates two major intensity enhancement mechanisms that play a dominant role in the RR spectra: (1) the Franck-Condon (F-C) scattering and (2) the Herzberg-Teller (H-T) vibronic coupling. The Franck-Condon mechanism is most pronounced for strongly allowed electronic transitions with large values

of dipole moments (μ_0^e) and substantial magnitudes of the Franck-Condon integrals upon electronic excitation, such as electric-dipole allowed $\sigma - \sigma^*$, $\pi - \pi^*$, and charge-transfer transitions. Excitations into a weak electronic transition such as forbidden $\pi - \pi^*$, ligand field $d - d$, and spin forbidden transitions, will not produce significant Franck-Condon scattering. On the other hand, Herzberg-Teller scattering is most pronounced when the exciting frequency is tuned to a weakly allowed electronic transition that is mixed vibronically with a nearby strong one. The Franck-Condon scattering mechanism is commonly expected and observed in RR spectra while the Herzberg-Teller scattering becomes significant and observed for specific molecular circumstances¹⁸.

Starting from the Kramers-Heisenberg dispersion equation and a Taylor series expansion of the transition dipole moment, μ_e , along a vibrational normal coordinate, Q_k , in the adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer approximation both F-C and H-T mechanisms in resonance Raman scattering yield the following expressions for the components of the transition tensor⁹:

$$[\alpha_{FC}]^{g \rightarrow f} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_e |\mu_e^0|^2 \sum_k \left[\frac{\langle f^j | e^k \rangle \langle e^k | g^i \rangle}{\nu^{e,k} - \nu^{g,i} - \nu_0 + i\Gamma^e} \right] \quad (\text{eq. 1})$$

$$[\alpha_{HT}]^{g \rightarrow f} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_e \mu_e^0 \left(\frac{\partial \mu_e}{\partial Q_k} \right)_0 \sum_k \left[\frac{\langle f^j | Q_k | e^k \rangle \langle e^k | g^i \rangle + \langle f^j | e^k \rangle \langle e^k | Q_k | g^i \rangle}{\nu^{e,k} - \nu^{g,i} - \nu_0 + i\Gamma^e} \right] \quad (\text{eq. 2})$$

Hence, the quantum-mechanical prediction of the intensity patterns in the RR spectra resulting from the F-C mechanism under conditions of resonance ($\nu^{s,k} - \nu^{g,i} \cong \nu_0$) that is modulated by a damping factor ($i\Gamma^e$) relies on accurate evaluation of the dipole moment of the electronic transition, μ_0^e and the Franck-Condon overlap integrals between the vibrational wave functions of the vibrational levels of the resonant excited state $|e^k\rangle$ with the initial $|g^i\rangle$ and the final $|f^j\rangle$ vibrational levels. For the fundamental Raman transition, the vibrational levels $|f^j\rangle$ and $|g^i\rangle$ are simply $|g^j\rangle$ and $|g^0\rangle$ of the ground electronic state. Unfortunately, explicit computation of the wave function propagation and the F-C integrals for most systems of chemical and biochemical interests exceeds a practical limit due to the size of the problem. Nevertheless, within the harmonic oscillator approximation and following Manneback's recursion formula¹⁹ it can be shown that the F-C integrals become nonzero for transitions between electronic states if there is a shift ΔQ_k in the excited state potential along the vibrational coordinate k ,

$$\langle f^j | e^k \rangle \langle e^k | g^i \rangle = \Delta_k^e = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \mu_k}{h} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\nu_k^e \nu_k^g}{\nu_k^e + \nu_k^g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta Q_k \quad (\text{eq. 3})$$

where μ_k is an effective mass, and ν_k^e and ν_k^g are vibrational frequencies of the k -th vibrational mode for the resonant and ground electronic states. In this expression the evaluation of the F-C component of the transition tensor becomes accessible for more realistic molecular systems. Furthermore, assuming the displaced harmonic oscillator model²⁰ to approximate the resonant state potential by a shifted potential of the ground state, $\nu_k^e \cong \nu_k^g$, the equation for the Δ_k^e shift simplifies, and the structural change along the normal mode ΔQ_k upon excitation of the molecule within Heller's time-dependent formulation^{10,13} is easily linked to the gradient computed at the relevant resonant state or states in the Franck-Condon region,

$$\Delta_k^e = \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{h} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k} \right) / \sqrt{\mu_k v_k^g} \right\} \quad (\text{eq. 4})$$

where the resonant state gradient, $(\partial E_e / \partial Q_k)$, vibrational force field of the ground state and the electronic transition dipole moment μ_e^0 is sufficient to compute the F-C component of the transition tensor, $[\alpha_{FC}]^{g \rightarrow f}$.

Raman Intensities

The RR intensity is proportional to the product of the transition polarizability tensor with its complex conjugate which for the F-C transition collected at $\pi/2$ angle, where each vibrational mode k is treated as an independent oscillator and the F-C overlap is evaluated within the gradient approximation, leads to

$$I_{k,FC}^{g \rightarrow f} \propto (v_0 - v_k^g)^3 v_0 \left| \Sigma_e |\mu_e^0|^2 \Sigma_k \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k} \right) / \sqrt{\mu_k \cdot v_k^g} \right\} \left\{ \frac{v_0 - v_k^g}{(v_0 - v_k^g)^2 + \Gamma^2} + \frac{i\Gamma}{(v_0 - v_k^g)^2 + \Gamma^2} \right\} \right|^2 \quad (\text{eq. 5})$$

With a typical assumption of the short-time propagation^{10a} of the ground state vibrational wave function on the single, non-degenerate resonant state potential energy surface, equation 5 for RR intensity simplifies to^{21,22}

$$I_{k,FC}^{g \rightarrow f} \propto (v_0 - v_k^g)^3 v_0 |\mu_e^0|^4 \left(\frac{v_k^g}{\mu_k} \right) \left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k} \right)^2 \quad (\text{eq. 6})$$

This gradient formulation of resonance Raman phenomena provides an attractive physical basis for the Raman scattering by connecting excited wave packet motion on the resonant state to the force at the vertical excitation. However, the limits of this single-state gradient formulation are clear when the resonant states of interest are degenerate or nearly-degenerate. In these cases, the RR intensities are subject to interferences between closely spaced electronic components and their resonant contributions add or subtract at the amplitude level, significantly alternating the intensity patterns.

Weighted-Gradient Correction

In principle the limitation of the single-state gradient formulation briefly described above can be easily corrected and extended to all computed states of the electronic absorption spectrum by introducing the weighted-gradient approximation, where each computed excited state gradient is weighted by the appropriate $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma,e}$ factor at the amplitude level as shown in the equation 7.

$$I_{k,FC}^{g \rightarrow f} \propto (v_0 - v_k^g)^3 v_0 \left(\frac{v_k^g}{\mu_k} \right) \Sigma_e \left| \left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma,e} \right|^2 \quad (\text{eq. 7})$$

The equation distinguishes two key factors that modulate a gradient contribution at the amplitude level for a particular frequency of the excitation photon: (1) a square of an electronic transition dipole moment $|\mu_e^0|^2$ (oscillator strength of an electronic transition) and (2) newly

introduced weighting factors $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma,e}$ which depend on frequency of the incident light, ω_0 and the energy offset Γ_e for a particular excited state. A natural choice for evaluating weighting factors $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma,e}$ might be a Gaussian or a Lorentzian profile or a combination of these (a Voigt function). In the present study, a Lorentzian profile was chosen to compute the weighting factors according to the formula

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma,e} = \frac{|\mu_e^0|^2}{N} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_e^2}{\Gamma_e^2 + (\nu_0 - (\nu^{e,k} - \nu^{g,i}))^2} \right\} \quad (\text{eq. 8})$$

where a particular electronic transition $\nu^{e,k} - \nu^{g,i}$ is characterized by an empirically determined energy offset (damping parameter Γ_e) and a proportionality constant N , normalizes equation 8 so a full spectrum of computed weighting factors sum up to unity. Note that the equation is normalized at the amplitude level, therefore, the gradient amplitude of a particular excited state, along a vibrational mode k contributing to its RR intensity is directly expressed as the fraction of the weighted gradient. Satisfactory demonstration of a correction for RR intensities that arise from near-degenerate resonant states were earlier demonstrated for free-base porphyrins using the “effective force” approximation for the B excited states by weighting the computed closely spaced B_x and B_y state gradients by two adjustable factors^{13b}, which is formally the case of a simple two-state weighted-gradient approximation.

Unlike the single-state gradient approximation, a new formulation of weighted gradients spans all frequencies of the computed electronic absorption and provides a quantum-mechanical insight into the variation of resonance Raman intensity of the vibrational modes with excitation wavelength, a plot of which is known as an excitation profile^{10b,23}. Such a plot tracks the absorption spectrum (electronic excitations) in a general way, but differs from it in detail, often revealing more structure. The excitation profile emphasizes electronic factors that are particularly sensitive to the mode under consideration, while the absorption shows no such selectivity. Consequently, the excitation profile of the vibrational modes can provide information about the excited state that may be hidden in the absorption spectrum²³. The quantitative analysis of excitation profiles and RR intensities are of considerable general interest, however experimentally they are limited to specific or relatively narrow frequency regions because of the constrained capacity to change laser excitations. In principle, computational modeling of the excitation profiles within the weighted-gradient approximation provides an effective tactic for quantum-mechanical exploration of electronic excitations.

Accuracy and reliability of computed RR spectra and excitation profiles within the weighted gradient approximation relies on precision of predicted molecular properties such as: ground state geometry, a ground state vibrational force field, excited state gradients, their oscillator strengths, and damping parameters, Γ characterizing spectral profiles.

Ground State Geometry and Force Field

Illustrative model calculations of the ground state geometries, harmonic force constants and frequencies were performed using density functional theory with the B3LYP nonlocal hybrid functional and moderate 6-31G* basis sets as implemented the Gaussian 03 suite of programs²⁴. To improve the quality of the computed frequencies and normal modes, the computed models were imbedded in aqueous environment mimicked by a polarizable cavity, i.e., the polarizable continuum model (PCM)²⁵ as implemented in Gaussian 03. It is important to point out that in this model the nature of solute-solvent interactions is purely electrostatic and any specific molecular interactions such as a possible formation of hydrogen bonds is excluded from calculations. Nevertheless, the PCM treatment has been successfully applied in the computation of numerous molecular properties including molecular geometries and

harmonic force fields in various solvents, giving confidence in the predicted ground state vibrational modes. When desired, even further refinement of PCM DFT force constants and frequencies might be easily accessible by employing the scaling procedures such as the scaled quantum-mechanical (SQM) with transferable scaling factors²⁶ (see below). The line shapes for all computed vibrational spectra were simulated with 5-cm⁻¹ half-bandwidth Lorentzian profiles.

Excited State Gradients

RR intensity prediction requires accurate estimation of excited state gradients in the Franck-Condon region, although the excitation energies themselves need not be accurately computed. Good efficiency of prediction of the RR intensities was earlier demonstrated for Ni porphyrins using the semiempirical ZINDO approximation²⁷, and for imidazole and N-methylmesoporphyrin using the CIS (configuration interaction with single excitations)²⁸ and CASSCF²⁹ (multi-reference wave function) methods for selected electronic excited states. Recently developed, time-dependent DFT methods³⁰ are dramatically increasing in popularity due to improved quality in computed excitation energies¹³. TD-DFT methods are an engaging choice to explore the accuracy of density functionals in predicting RR intensity patterns, however fast analytical evaluation of TD-DFT excited state gradients is not yet commonly implemented and these gradients need to be calculated by numerical differentiation that increases the computational cost and could contain a certain amount of numerical noise resulting from finite convergence or other cutoffs. If analytical gradients are available, the calculations become particularly efficient since gradients are obtained at the computational cost of a single excited-state calculation. The efficiency that might be particularly significant when a large number of excited-state gradients need to be evaluated. Therefore, previously tested^{13a,b} competence and easy access to analytically computed gradients as implemented in commonly available quantum chemical packages makes the CIS approximation the most appealing choice for prediction of resonance Raman spectra for presented studies at this moment.

All model ultraviolet absorption spectra presented here were calculated based on evaluation of 60 lowest electronic excitations from the computed PCM DFT ground state geometry employing the CIS level of theory and 6-31G* basis sets. In addition, the PCM procedure was employed for all evaluated excitations and excited state gradients. Line shapes of computed UV spectra were simulated based on computed oscillator strengths and adoption of a Lorentzian band profile with a 0.350-eV half-bandwidth for each computed singlet-singlet excitation.

Ground State Gradient Correction

A requirement for gradient correction in the similarly outlined calculations of RR intensities was already demonstrated for N-methylmesoporphyrin^{13b} and similar correction was employed to shift excited-state displacements relative to the ground state equilibrium geometry¹⁴. When the excited state gradients or their displacements are evaluated on different levels of theory than the equilibrium ground state geometry (optimized gradients), the difference in the evaluated potentials introduces residue gradients or deterioration of displacements for which the excited states or displacements need to be corrected. In the RR calculations outlined here, the PCM CIS calculations involve excitations from a PCM HF reference potential, which does not produce the same ground state equilibrium geometry as the PCM DFT potential. The corrected gradients are obtained according to

$$\left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k}\right)_{corr} = \left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k}\right)_{CIS} - \left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k}\right)_{HF} \quad (\text{eq. 9})$$

where subscripts “CIS” and “HF” denote the computed PCM CIS and PCM HF gradients on the corresponding potential energy surfaces along a normal coordinate k at the PCM DFT ground state equilibrium geometry and the subscript “corr” indicates the corrected gradients. This correction is essential and has large effects on the computed intensities especially for low-frequency modes.

Oscillator strengths and damping parameters

Generally, the line shape of the simulated absorption spectra is produced based on the computed oscillator strengths of the electronic transitions and an empirically adjusted damping parameter or parameters that are function of the resonance distribution profiles for these transitions. Usually the applied spectral line profiles are either Gaussian, Lorentzian or Voigt functions but the choice might vary and be adjusted empirically. In the present studies, we applied a default strategy where oscillator strengths are computed at the PCM CIS level of theory and a Lorentzian spectral profile is parameterized by a single half-bandwidth $\Gamma = 0.350$ eV, collective for all computed excitations. This strategy was also used to simulate the illustrative electronic absorption spectra and to evaluate the weighting factors $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma,e}$ to produce weighted gradients. In principle, the procedure might be improved further by introducing new or larger sets of damping parameters or applying more accurate evaluation of oscillator strengths via quantum-mechanical or empirical adjustments.

ILLUSTRATIVE CALCULATIONS

Both histidine and tryptophan side chains have been chosen to illustrate the computational effectiveness of the weighted-gradient approximation to predict resonance Raman intensity patterns. Examples of the imidazole ring and histidine are especially important since they provide a unique opportunity to test the computational power to predict the dramatic spectroscopic changes of RR signals observed when the ring is protonated or if the exchangeable protons are replaced by deuterium^{4,5,12a,31}. Also a successful detection of the histidine environment by UVRR spectroscopy might greatly increase its applications to study enzyme active sites in which the histidine often plays a key role in catalytic activity.

The models of tryptophan and histidine in this study were built by cutting the residues at their back-bone and replacing the C_α atoms with a methyl $-CH_3$ group (Scheme 1). The models are chosen to avoid complications associated with rotational orientation of the side chain with respect to the plane of a peptide bond. This rotation is known to modulate some vibrational frequencies, such as the W_3 mode of tryptophan³¹ but these variations are not a focus of the present study.

UV Absorption Spectra

PCM CIS computed UV absorption spectral lines of tryptophan and various protonation states of the histidine ring in comparison with experimental data^{3,4} at shown in Figure 1. As expected the computed spectra are shifted towards higher frequency due to the set of approximations within a chosen level of theory. Nevertheless, as it was pointed out above, the errors of computed excitation energies do not propagate to the computed RR intensities. Careful examination of computed electronic transitions and direct comparison with experimental UV spectra indicates that errors are quite systematic and are easily corrected by a constant shift applied to a computed wavelength. It has been found that a 65-nm shift recalibrates the computed excitation wavelengths and places computed bands and patterns of tryptophan and histidine side chains giving quite good agreement with experimental spectra. This simple correction implies that the experimental excitation at 229 nm used to measure imidazole and histidine UVRR spectra, corresponds to a computed excitation at 164 nm, and the 218 nm excitation applied for tryptophan experimental spectra corresponds to a 153 nm computed

wavelength, as indicated in Figure 1. It is worth mentioning that additional validation for the chosen calibration constant was achieved by examining the computed excitation profiles (not shown) for the characteristic vibrational bands of histidine and tryptophan models. These excitation profiles show maximal UVRR enhancements for histidine models around 164 nm and for a tryptophan model around 153 nm.

UVRR spectra of ImH and ImH₂⁺

Understanding the nature of imidazole (ImH) and imidazolium cation (ImH₂⁺) RR bands, two biologically important forms of the side-chain of histidine, has played a key role in searching for characteristic UVRR patterns of histidine in proteins. Experimental UVRR spectra with 229 nm excitation in aqueous solution for ImH and ImH₂⁺ are compared with computed spectra in Figure 2. The intensity patterns show enhancement of in-plane ring modes consistent with resonance with the allowed π - π^* electronic transition as a dominant factor. A characteristic pattern of decaying intensities of five bands for imidazole ring deformations in the 1200-1600 cm⁻¹ region is well reproduced in the computed spectrum. Starting with the most intense peak observed at 1259 cm⁻¹ and computed at 1283 cm⁻¹, the pattern ends with the weakest band observed at 1534 cm⁻¹ and computed at 1562 cm⁻¹. An enhancement pattern for the remaining bands observed in the lower frequency region, 900-1200 cm⁻¹, is also well reproduced.

Protonation of the imidazole ring produces the cationic imidazolium ring and a dramatic perturbation of RR spectrum. Only two strong bands now appear, observed at around 1211 cm⁻¹ and 1456 cm⁻¹. This dramatic simplification of the spectra is also well reproduced in the computed spectrum with two strong bands predicted at 1226 cm⁻¹ and 1473 cm⁻¹. A few weaker features of the spectrum predicted at around 915, 1108 and 1123 cm⁻¹ are observed at 929, 1102, and 1130 cm⁻¹. The overall agreement of predicted patterns with experiments is quite satisfactory and all enhanced fundamentals might be easily assigned to observed bands. Computed frequencies are found to be slightly higher, which is expected due to limitation of the theory and the anharmonicity of vibrational modes. In principle, however, this minimal discrepancy could be easily adjusted empirically and by scaling the DFT force field²⁶.

UVRR spectra of His, His⁺, His⁻

When the imidazole model of histidine side chain is extended to 4-ethylimidazole, four different protonation forms result: the two neutral tautomers in which either of the two nitrogen atoms are protonated, the imidazolium form and the imidazolate form that are protonated and deprotonated at both nitrogen sites (scheme 1). The absorption spectra for these forms show only minor changes but their UVRR patterns are distinctly different. In addition, if exchangeable protons are replaced by deuterium, the intensity patterns undergo dramatic changes. In principle, these changes might be utilized for spectroscopic identification of tautomeric or protonated forms of histidine side chains in proteins. Figures 3, 4 and 5 shows computed enhancement spectra of the four protonation forms of histidine in H₂O and D₂O compared to their experimental spectra with 229 nm excitation^{7,31}. The comparison demonstrates quite good agreement of model spectra with experiment allowing for unambiguous vibrational band assignments and identification of tautomer markers for neutral histidine (Figure 3). Some pairs of RR bands characteristic for the τ and π tautomer are observed experimentally at 1587/1573, 1287/1265 and 1007/988 cm⁻¹ in H₂O which have been previously identified by Ashikawa and Itoh³² and are now confirmed in our modeling. However, their specific assignment of observed signals to specific tautomers is in disagreement with our models which argue that the higher frequency in the pairs should be assigned to the τ tautomer and not to the π tautomer as previously suggested³¹. This disagreement needs to be investigated more but nevertheless, the overall agreement allows for full spectral assignment of the bands and the spectra-structure correlation. Interestingly, the deuterium substitution of the exchangeable protons in neutral histidine predicts quite different intensity patterns for these

tautomers especially around 1250-1350 cm^{-1} . The τ tautomer shows only one intense band which is assigned to the observed band at 1374 cm^{-1} , while the π tautomer gives two strong signals both of which are likely to contribute to the broad band observed at 1324 cm^{-1} . Also, the band observed at 1107 cm^{-1} is assigned to the π tautomer while the band observed at around 1482 cm^{-1} is dominated by the τ tautomer.

Full protonation of 4-ethylimidazole reduces the number of isomers to one and as expected, the 229-nm enhancement pattern are quite similar to those found for the imidazolium cation but the spectra are slightly more structured due to the presence of the ethyl group. Again computed spectra are able to reproduce the characteristic two strong signals observed at 1200 cm^{-1} and 1493 cm^{-1} with weaker features observed at 1634, 1441, 1269, 1095, 997 and 926 cm^{-1} .

Upon substitution of exchangeable protons by deuterium, the spectrum of the imidazolium changes to give one prominent signal observed at around 1408 cm^{-1} that is reproduced in calculations along with other observed weakly enhanced signals (see Figure 4). The UVRR spectrum of fully deprotonated 4-ethylimidazole is also well reproduced in calculations with characteristic intense structure of four fundamentals observed at around 1224, 1233, 1257 and 1262 cm^{-1} and the band at 1528 cm^{-1} (see Figure 5).

UVRR spectra of Trp

It has been demonstrated that the RR enhancement of tryptophan is a very responsive probe of hydrophobic and hydrogen bonding interactions, and a sensitive conformational marker in proteins. Therefore, successful modeling of intensity patterns of tryptophan upon resonant excitation could serve as an important benchmark for our study. A direct comparison of experimental spectra to computed spectra is presented in Figure 6. In this case, the DFT ground state vibrational force field for the ethyl-indole model has been refined by the scaled quantum-mechanical (SQM) procedure²⁶ to demonstrate the effects of scaling. The agreement of a predicted spectrum using the weighted gradient approximation in combination with the SQM procedure with an experimental spectrum with 218 nm excitation³¹ is excellent. All observed bands and their intensity patterns are well reproduced, with well resolved signals of W_3 , W_{16} and W_{18} bands which play a key role in probing the protein environment.

Figure 6 demonstrates the effects of the weighted-gradient approximation vs. a standard single-state gradient approximation showing results when only a particular single state is taken into account. There are four excited states that have the largest contribution for the weighted gradient approach computed at 153 nm; (root=3; HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1) a transition computed at 163 nm with 32%, (root=4; HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1) a transition computed at 162 nm with 18%, (root=5; HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+2) a transition computed at 155 nm with 27% and (root=6; HOMO-2 $\rightarrow$ LUMO) a transition computed at 143 nm with 11% contribution. The remaining 12% comes from all the other excitations but with significantly smaller individual contributions to the weighted gradient. Nevertheless, when each of the four key resonant states is singled out to compute the resonance Raman spectral pattern, the experimentally observed pattern is not reproduced so well. Even though the excitation at 163 nm (root=3) has the largest contribution at the amplitude level, the computed UVRR spectrum resulting from this state show a large overestimation of intensity for W_1 , W_7 , W_{10} bands and underestimation for W_2 , W_3 , W_{16} , as well as activation of other modes that are not prominent in the observed spectrum. In fact, none of the spectra computed with a single state gradient approximation is capable of reproducing the experimental pattern as successfully as the weighted-gradient approach. This comparison clearly illustrates that the weighted-gradient approximation could easily correct for many common shortcomings and problems associated with prediction of resonance enhancements using a single state gradient approach. Additionally, the gradients of key electronic states at 153 nm excitation along selected vibrational modes of tryptophan model are listed in Table 1.

The table illustrates the effect of the gradient mixing at the amplitude level at which the resonant gradient contribution might add to enhance the intensity or subtract to weaken the intensity of a particular vibrational mode. RR intensities for most of selected modes of tryptophan are governed by gradients of four main resonant states with matching signs leading to gradient addition and increased intensities. However, gradients along W_1 , W_2 , W_7 and W_{17} modes indicate alternation of sign leading to a gradient subtraction and reduced intensities. For instance, the intensity for W_7 mode shows mainly gradient contributions with a positive sign where the largest contribution is from the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 electronic transition (root=3). However, the effective intensity of the mode is weak since it is reduced by a negative gradient contribution from the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+2 electronic transition (root=5). The same electronic transitions are key components for modulating the intensity for W_{17} mode, however in this case, most of the gradient contributions indicate a negative sign at the amplitude level which is counterweighted by a positive contribution from root=5. Similarly, the intensity for W_2 mode is reduced due to a gradient sign alternation calculated for the HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 resonant transition (root=4) and intensity of W_1 mode is reduced due to a sign alternation of the gradient predicted for the HOMO-2 $\rightarrow$ LUMO transition (root=6).

CONCLUSIONS

The present study provides a basis for evaluating the electronic and vibrational factors which govern the Franck-Condon enhancement mechanism of resonance Raman active vibrations. The methodology utilizes the vertical gradients obtained from a manifold of computed electronic excitations to produce an effective weighted-gradient that is unique for a particular excitation wavelength. Therefore, unlike the specific state gradient approach, this new approach allows for the effective quantum-mechanical estimation of spectral changes due to the excitation wavelength or the excitation profiles for particular vibrational modes. The efficiency of this procedure has been illustrated for biologically relevant chromophores and has shown good agreement between observed and calculated RR spectra. Resonant enhancements resulting from the allowed π - π^* electronic transitions were demonstrated by comparison of experimental with calculated UVRR spectra of histidine and tryptophan side chains. Interestingly, the controlled accessibility of the manifold of excited states by a resonant photon in the weighted-gradient approach provides an interesting assessment of enhancement conditions and an easy comparison to the single-state treatment. Table 2 lists the normalized distribution of weighting factors for key electronic transitions that resonate with a particularly chosen excitation wavelength; 164 nm for histidine models and 153 nm for a tryptophan model. The distribution demonstrates that for the ImH and ImH₂⁺ models a single electronic transition (around 60% for the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transition) strongly dominates and controls the calculated RR spectra, and indeed previous single-state CIS prediction^{13a} produced quite satisfactory results for these models. Nevertheless, the single-state approach was not as adequate for other molecular systems presented here. As shown in Table 2, the Im⁻ model requires as many as four key electronic transitions, all with significant contributions; (1) HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO, (2) HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1, (3) HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO and (4) HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1. Similarly, "His" models demonstrate significant contribution from at least two or three excited states except for the His(π) tautomer which shows as much as 50% from the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transition, and the tryptophan model requires at least four transitions (see above). As it could be expected, a sum of normalized contributions from the main electronic transitions is averaging quite high; around 78% for histidine models (at 164 nm excitation wavelength) and 88% for tryptophan (at 153 nm excitation wavelength). Hence, failures to predict RR spectra for these systems are likely due to insufficient single-state description to satisfy the requirement of inclusion of multiple states under these enhancement conditions.

The potential application of the weighted-gradient model to predict, identify and analyze RR intensity patterns of metalloproteins, including toxic metals is our immediate interest. In

principle however, it could provide a means to quantitatively and effectively analyze RR intensities and identify electronic and structural interactions occurring within the protein environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by NIH grant S06 GM076168.

REFERENCES

- (1). Carey, PR. Biochemical Applications of Raman and Resonance Raman Spectroscopies. Academic; New York: 1982. Parker, FS. Applications of Infrared, Raman and Resonance Raman Spectroscopy in Biochemistry. Plenum; New York: 1983. Spiro, TG. Biological Applications of Raman Spectroscopy. Vol. Vols. 1-3. Wiley-Interscience; New York: 1988.
- (2). Harada, I.; Takeuchi. Spectroscopy of Biological Systems. Clark, RJH.; Hestler, RE., editors. John Wiley & Sons Ltd; Chichester, U.K.: 1986. p. 113-175.
- (3). Rava RP, Spiro TG. J. Phys. Chem 1985;89:1856.
- (4). Caswell DS, Spiro TG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1986;108:6470.
- (5). (a) Zhao X, Wang XJ, Spiro TG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998;120:8517. (b) Zhao X, Wang XJ, Spiro TG. Inorg. Chem 1998;37:5414. [PubMed: 11670681]
- (6). Vargok M, Zhao X, Lai ZH, McLendon GL, Spiro TG. Inorg. Chem 1999;38:1372.
- (7). Wang D, Zhao X, Vargok M, Spiro TG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000;122:2193.
- (8). (a) Kozlowski PM, Jarzecki AA, Pulay P. J. Phys. Chem 1996;100:7007. (b) Kozlowski PM, Jarzecki AA, Pulay P, Li X-Y, Zgierski MZ. J. Phys. Chem 1996;100:13985. (c) Jarzecki AA, Kozlowski PM, Pulay P, Ye B-H, Li X-Y. Spectrochimica Acta A 1997;53:1195. (d) Kozlowski PM, Rush TS III, Jarzecki AA, Zgierski MZ, Chase B, Piffat C, Ye B-H, Li X-Y, Pulay P, Spiro TG. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999;103:1357.
- (9). (a) Kramers HA, Heisenberg W. Z. Phys 1925;31:681. (b) Dirac PAM. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 1927;114:710. (c) Albrecht AC. J. Chem. Phys 1961;34:1476.
- (10). (a) Lee S-Y, Heller E. J. Chem. Phys 1979;71:4777. (b) Meyers AB, Mathies RA, Tannor DJ, Heller EJ. J. Chem. Phys 1982;77:3857. Meyers, AB.; Mathies, RA. Biological Applications of Raman Spectroscopy. Vol. Chapter 1. Spiro, TG., editor. Vol. Vol 2. Wiley-Interscience; New York: 1988. p. 1-58. (d) Myers AB. J. Raman Spectrosc 1997;28:389.
- (11). (a) Hizhnyakov V, Tehver I. Phys. Status Solidi 1967;21:755. (b) Tonks DL, Page JB. Chem. Phys. Lett 1979;66:449. (c) Page JB, Tongs DL. J. Chem. Phys 1981;75:5694. (d) Tongs DL, Page JB. J. Chem. Phys 1982;76:5820.
- (12). (a) Markham LM, Mayne LC, Hudson BS, Zgierski MZ. J. Phys. Chem 1993;97:10319. (b) Rush T III, Kumble R, Mukherjee A, Blackwood ME Jr, Spiro TG. J. Phys. Chem 1996;100:12076. (c) Billingham BE, Loppnow GR. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006;110:2353. [PubMed: 16480294]
- (13). (a) Jarzecki AA, Spiro TG. J. Raman Spectrosc 2001;32:599. (b) Jarzecki AA, Spiro TG. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005;109:421. [PubMed: 16833362] (c) Neugebauer J, Baerends EJ, Efremov EV, Ariese F, Gooijer C. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005;109:2100. [PubMed: 16838980] (d) Jensen L, Schatz GC. J. Phys. Chem A 2006;110:5973. [PubMed: 16671663] (e) Herrmann C, Neugebauer J, Presselt M, Uhlemann U, Schmitt M, Rau S, Popp J, Reiher M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007;111:6078. [PubMed: 17489631]
- (14). Petrenko T, Neese F. J. Chem. Phys 2007;127:164319. [PubMed: 17979350]
- (15). (a) Guthmuller J, Champagne B. J. Chem. Phys 2007;127:164507. [PubMed: 17979360] (b) Menncci B, Cappelli C, Cammi R, Tomasi J. Theor. Chem. Acc 2007;117:1029.
- (16). Duschinsky F. Acta Physicochim. URSS 1937;7:551.
- (17). Jensen L, Zhao LL, Autschbach J, Schatz GC. J. Chem. Phys 2005;123:174110. [PubMed: 16375520]
- (18). Herzberg G, Teller E. Z. Physik. Chem 1933;B21:410.
- (19). Manneback C. Physica 1951;XVII:1001.

- (20). Van Labeke D, Jacon M. Chem. Phys. Lett 1975;34:160.
- (21). Savin FA. Opt. Spect 1965;19:555.
- (22). Warshel A, Dauber P. J. Chem. Phys 1977;66:5477.
- (23). Champion, PM. Biological Applications of Raman Spectroscopy. Spiro, TG., editor. Vol. Vol. 3. Wiley-Interscience; New York: 1986. p. 249-292.
- (24). Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, J,JA.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, AD.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, JA. Gaussian 03, Revision C.02. Gaussian, Inc.; Wallingford, CT: 2004.
- (25). Cossi M, Scalmani G, Rega N, Barone V. J. Chem. Phys 2002;117:43–54.
- (26). (a) Rauhut G, Pulay P. J. Phys. Chem 1995;99:3093.J. Phys. Chem 1995;99:14572.erratum (b) Baker J, Jarzecki AA, Pulay P. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998;102:1412.
- (27). Zerner, MC. Reviews of Computational Chemistry. Lipkowitz, KB.; Boyd, DB., editors. Vol. Vol. 2. VCH; New York: 1991. p. 313
- (28). Foresman JB, Head-Gordon M, Pople JA, Frisch MJ. J. Phys. Chem 1992;96:135.
- (29). Roos, BO. Ab Initio Methods in Quantum Chemistry. Wiley; New York, NY: 1987.
- (30). Marques, MAL.; Nogueira, F.; Rubio, A.; Burke, K.; Ullrich, CA.; Gross, EKV. Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory. Springer; Berlin: 2006.
- (31). Takeuchi H. Biopolymers (Biospectroscopy) 2003;72:305. [PubMed: 12949821]
- (32). Ashikawa I, Itoh K. Biopolymers 1979;18:1859.

Scheme 1.

Structural diagrams for the imidazole and histidine derivatives, and tryptophan included in the computational studies.

Figure 1. Calculated and experimental UV absorption spectra for tryptophan and histidine amino acids. Calculated spectra show four different forms of the histidine ring; His (τ -isomer -red, π -isomer - magenta), His^+ (blue) and His^- (green).

Figure 2. Calculated (red) by the weighted-gradient approximation and experimental (black) UVRR spectra for neutral imidazole (top two) and the cationic imidazolium form (bottom two).

Figure 3.

Calculated by the weighted-gradient approximation (τ isomer in red and π isomer in blue) and experimental UVR spectra for the neutral histidine side chain (black) in H₂O (top three) and in D₂O (bottom three).

Figure 4. Calculated (red) by the weighted-gradient approximation and experimental (black) UVR spectra for the imidazolium form of the histidine side chain in H_2O (top two) and in D_2O (bottom two).

Figure 5. Calculated (red) by the weighted-gradient approximation and experimental (black) UVR spectra for the imidazolate form of the histidine side chain.

Figure 6.

UVRR spectra calculated by the weighted-gradient approximation (red) and by the gradient approximation (blue) within the particular single resonant state for the tryptophan side chain model and experimental (black) UVRR spectrum for tryptophan amino acid.

Table 1

Selected vibrational frequencies for tryptophan model, their reduced mass and effective force constants, along with normalized weighted gradient contributions $\left(\frac{\partial E_e}{\partial Q_k}\right)W_{\Gamma,e}$ of particular electronic excitations.

gradient (mdyn) contributions to WG at 153 nm												
FREQ	m (amu)	k (mdyn/Å)	single states			sum of states			complete	relative RR int	In-plane W Assign	
			root=3	root=4	root=5	root=6	main	remaining				
758.2	2.9537	1.0004	-0.0175	-0.0120	-0.0147	-0.0054	-0.0496	-0.0036	-0.0532	1835.1	W18	
867.4	4.4101	1.9547	0.0210	0.0085	-0.0034	0.0030	0.0291	0.0012	0.0303	347.2	W17	
987.4	1.4918	0.8569	0.0121	0.0059	0.0122	0.0077	0.0380	0.0041	0.0421	1747.2	W16	
996.5	2.1372	1.2503	0.0138	0.0143	0.0094	0.0038	0.0414	0.0023	0.0437	1300.8	W15	
1179.0	1.2391	1.0147	0.0115	0.0032	0.0067	0.0038	0.0253	0.0029	0.0282	787.4	-	
1234.3	1.6473	1.4786	0.0251	0.0082	0.0078	0.0022	0.0434	0.0058	0.0492	1728.7	W10	
1324.7	2.3380	2.4173	-0.0312	-0.0055	0.0020	-0.0094	-0.0441	-0.0010	-0.0451	953.2	W7	
1542.4	5.1503	7.2185	-0.0281	-0.0267	-0.0459	-0.0174	-0.1180	-0.0130	-0.1310	3133.6	W3	
1565.6	5.5736	8.0489	-0.0210	0.0137	-0.0415	-0.0085	-0.0573	-0.0165	-0.0738	904.4	W2	
1612.6	6.0419	9.2567	-0.0468	-0.0002	-0.0089	0.0053	-0.0505	-0.0081	-0.0586	511.4	W1	

Table 2

Normalized weighting factors (percentage) for four main electronic transitions at a particular excitation wavelength for various protonation forms of imidazole, histidine, and tryptophane models.

at 164 nm	ImH	ImH ₂ ⁺	Im ⁺	His(τ)	His(π)	His ⁺	His ⁺	at 153 nm	root	Trp
<i>HOMO</i> → <i>LUMO</i>	60.4	61.6	28.8	39.6	50.2	45.0	39.3	<i>HOMO</i> → <i>LUMO</i> +1	3	32.4
<i>HOMO</i> → <i>LUMO</i> +1	4.2	11.0	20.5	16.9	8.8	26.0	21.7	<i>HOMO</i> -1 → <i>LUMO</i> +1	4	18.1
<i>HOMO</i> -1 → <i>LUMO</i>	12.4	8.6	15.0	15.1	9.3	8.5	6.0	<i>HOMO</i> → <i>LUMO</i> +2	5	26.7
<i>HOMO</i> -1 → <i>LUMO</i> +1	3.6	2.6	11.7	2.8	2.8	1.9	9.9	<i>HOMO</i> -2 → <i>LUMO</i>	6	11.1
Sum of contributions								Sum of contributions		
main	80.6	83.8	76.0	74.4	71.1	81.4	76.9	main		88.3
remaining	19.4	16.2	24.0	25.6	28.9	18.6	23.1	remaining		11.7