Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2007 October 26; 72(22): 8539–8542. doi:10.1021/jo701331m.

Pd-Catalyzed, Cu(I)-Mediated Cross-Couplings of Bisarylthiocyclobutenediones with Boronic Acids and Organostannanes

Angélica Aguilar-Aguilar[†], Lanny S. Liebeskind[‡], and Eduardo Peña-Cabrera[†]

Facultad de Química, Universidad de Guanajuato, Col. Noria Alta S/N, Guanajuato, Gto. 36050 Mexico, and Department of Chemistry, Emory University, 1515 Dickey Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

Abstract

Bisarylthiocyclobutenedione **7** reacted smoothly with a variety of both organostannanes and (hetero) aryl boronic acids in the presence of a catalytic amount of Pd and a stoichiometric amount of CuTC, to produce symmetrical disubstituted cyclobutenediones in yields that range from 37 to 94% (18 examples).

Over the last three decades, various research groups have demonstrated the remarkable versatility of cyclobutenedione **1** and squaric acid ester derivatives **2** in the synthesis of organic compounds. Particularly, the groups of Liebeskind,¹ Moore,² Paquette,³ and Danheiser⁴ have been very active in this area.

Starting from either **1** or **2**, a wide variety of different compounds have been prepared, including triquinanes **3**,^{3a} angularly-fused aromatic systems **4**,⁵ bisquaryls **5**,⁶ and 2-pyrones **6**⁷ just to mention a few (Figure 1).

Squaric acid derivatives have also been used as ion sensors,⁸ ligands,⁹ and to prepare cyclo [n]carbons.¹⁰

E-mail: eduardop@quijote.ugto.mx.

[†]Universidad de Guanajuato

[‡]Emory University

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and compound characterization data, copies of the NMR spectra of the compounds prepared. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Accordingly, the need for new protocols that allow for a practical functionalization of the 3 and 4 positions becomes evident.

To date, there are several reports in the literature describing the introduction of simple alkyl, aryl, heteroaryl, alkynyl, and alkenyl¹¹ functional groups in those positions of cyclobutenedione. However, they require the use of highly-reactive Grignard or organolithium derivatives which limits the functional groups that can withstand these reaction conditions. Few milder transition metal-mediated methods to prepare such derivatives have been reported. Namely, Knochel et al. reported the reaction of 3,4-dichlorocyclobutenedione and zinc-copper reagents.¹² Likewise, Liebeskind disclosed an efficient synthesis of 3-(tri-*n*-butylstannyl)-3-cyclobutene-1,2-dione. This stannylcyclobutenedione underwent cross-couplings with organic iodides and triflates catalyzed by the $\text{PhCH}_2\text{ClPd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2/\text{CuI}$ system.^{1k,6,13} The same group reported the Stille couplings of monohalocyclobutenediones with organostannanes.¹⁴

Against this background, we decided to explore the use of the palladium-catalyzed, copper-mediated cross-couplings of thioorganics with both organostannanes and boronic acids¹⁵ to develop a mild protocol to functionalize the vinyl positions of cyclobutenedione with both aryl and heteroaryl groups.

Bisthiocyclobutenediones **7-9** were prepared in order to study the influence of the substituent on sulfur in the cross-couplings. Thus, the corresponding thiols were reacted with 3,4-dichlorocyclobutene-1,2-dione¹⁶ **10** in the presence of triethylamine (Scheme 1).

Thiocyclobutenediones **7-9** were obtained in a straightforward manner as stable yellow powders which could be handled in air with no evident signs of decomposition even after several weeks. With **7-9** in hand, their reactivity was assessed with phenylboronic acid and with tributylphenylstannane as illustrated in Table 1. Since thiocyclobutenediones **7-9** may be regarded as vinylogous thiol esters, the initial reactions conditions chosen were based upon those reported for the cross-couplings of thiol esters with organostannanes and boronic acids.^{15b, 15i}

Aromatic substituents on sulfur gave the best results presumably because once the oxidative addition takes place, the negative charge on sulfur may be better stabilized onto the aromatic ring. Due to its ease of formation, excellent shelf-life, and higher reactivity in the cross-couplings, thiocyclobutenedione **7** was chosen as the starting material for the reaction with boronic acids and organostannanes.

Even though the aim of this report is to emphasize the scope of the cross-coupling reaction with boronic acids by virtue of their availability and non-toxicity, a few organostannanes were surveyed (Scheme 2).

It was necessary to use a two-fold excess of the stannane to compensate for the formation of the homocoupled biaryl byproduct present in all of these reactions. The yield for electron-rich substituents (OMe, Me) were moderate. The *p*-Cl derivative resulted somewhat unstable to aq. sat. KF treatment¹⁷ to eliminate the tin by-products, hence its low yield. As in the majority of cross-couplings reactions where organostannanes are involved, the removal of tin by-products was tedious and time-consuming. Thus, the need for a better and more practical procedure became clear.

We then turned our attention to explore the scope of the reaction with boronic acids. The reaction was first optimized by varying the reaction conditions as shown in Table 2. The Pd-sources and external ligands used are shown below.

Initial testing with a slight excess of the boronic acid only produced incomplete conversions and rather complex crude mixtures (entries 1-5) using a variety of Pd sources and external ligands in THF at 55 °C. The formation of **16** was never avoided even with rigorous deoxygenation and slow addition of the boronic acid. Addition of an increased amount of boronic acid to compensate for the formation of the homocoupled by-product **16** showed improvement only when used in the presence of $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ in THF (entry 7). Complete conversion and a 69% yield of **14** were obtained. Finally, similar results were observed with either $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ /TFP or $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ in THF when 4 equivalents of the boronic acid were added (entries 8 and 9). In light of the slightly higher efficiency displayed (77% vs. 75%) and better shelf-life, the combination $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ /TFP was chosen to study the scope and limitations of the reaction. The results are summarized in Table 3.

In general, the reaction furnished the desired products with boronic acids containing strong electron-donating groups (entries 3, 6 and 7) in moderate to good yields. Arylboronic acids with milder electron-donors (entries 1, 4, 5 and 10) gave the corresponding disubstituted cyclobutenediones in moderate yields. Boronic acids with electron-withdrawing substituents (entries 2, 11, 12 and 14) also reacted smoothly to give the desired products in acceptable yields. The heteroaryl boronic acids evaluated in this study displayed variable behavior. For reasons that are not clear at the moment, 3-pyridylboronic failed to react under the standard conditions. Variations that included the use of $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ and the addition of an additive ($\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$) failed as well.¹⁵ⁱ Dibenzofurylboronic acid (entry 15) produced the expected product albeit in low yield. The fact that in this case all of the starting material is consumed, suggests a degree of instability of the final product. 3-Thienylboronic acid (entry 13) gave the product in excellent yield. Ferrocenylboronic acid showed good reactivity and gave the product in 71% yield (entry 7).

It is worth mentioning products of entries 9 and 12, since bearing either a vinyl group or a Br atom in the *para* position of the aromatic ring respectively, they can participate in further Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions thereby yielding more elaborate derivatives. As an example, bis-(*p*-styryl)cyclobutenedione was reacted with *p*-iodoanisole under the Heck conditions¹⁸ to give monofunctionalized product **17** in 77% (eq. 1).

Finally, our efforts focused on the possibility of synthesizing non-symmetric cyclobutenediones. Attempts of cross-coupling **7** with one equivalent of phenylboronic acid to introduce only one phenyl group failed, giving the disubstituted product and unreacted **7** (eq. 2).

In conclusion, we have developed a mild and efficient method to incorporate aryl, heteroaryl, and organometallic functional groups at the vinylic positions of cyclobutenedione under mild and non-basic reaction conditions. Some of these derivatives would be difficult to obtain using the reported methods to functionalize cyclobutenediones. Even though Knochel's organocuprate method allows the introduction of two different groups at the vinyl positions, the methodology presented here uses readily available reagents and catalysts that are air-stable. Bithiocylobutenedione **7** reacts smoothly with both arylstannanes and (hetero)arylboronic acids to furnish the corresponding symmetric cyclobutenediones in modest to excellent yields.¹⁹ Limitations of this methodology include the failed reaction with pyridylboronic acid and the inability to synthesize nonsymmetrical derivatives. Further studies of the reactivity of **7** toward alkylboronic acids and other unsaturated boronic acids and organostannanes (vinyl, alkynyl, allyl, etc.), as well as with organozinc, organocopper and organomagnesium derivatives are being currently pursued in our laboratory and will be reported in due course.

Experimental Section

3,4-Bis-(*p*-methoxythiophenoxy)-3-cyclobuten-1,2-dione **7**

To a cool (0 °C) THF (10 mL) solution of dichlorodione **10** (250 mg, 1.6 mmol) was added *p*-methoxythiophenol (0.4 mL, 3.3 mmol) dropwise via syringe under N₂, followed by the addition of triethylamine (0.43 mL, 3.3 mmol). The reaction mixture gradually reached 25 °C

and after 6 h was quenched with an equivalent volume of aq. NH_4Cl . Then, it was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×30 mL), dried (anhyd. MgSO_4), and filtered. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* to give a yellow solid. The remaining solid was triturated in hexanes and used directly in the following reactions (515 mg, 90%). For characterization purposes, the product was crystallized from hexane/EtOAc. TLC (R_f = 0.3, silica gel, 20% EtOAc/hexane); mp 133 °C; IR (KBr): 2939 (m), 2840 (m), 2366 (m), 1787 (s), 1807 (m), 1761 (s), 1740 (m), 1590 (s), 1571 (s). ^1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.50 (d, J = 8.94 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.89 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, J = 1.8 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): 188.2, 186.7, 161.7, 136.4, 115.0, 66.1, 55.7. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_4\text{S}_2$: C, 60.32; H, 3.94; S, 17.89. Found: C, 59.99; H, 3.92; S, 17.58.

Typical experimental procedure for the cross-coupling reactions with organostannanes. Synthesis of dione 14

A 50 mL Schlenk flask under N_2 was charged with **7** (25.0 mg, 0.07 mmol, 1 equiv.), tributyl-*p*-tolylstannane (106.0 mg, 0.28 mmol, 4 equiv.) and anhyd. THF (5 mL). The resulting solution was deoxygenated by bubbling N_2 for 10 min. Then $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ (1.6 mg, 1.7×10^{-3} mmol), trifurylphosphine (1.2 mg, 5.2×10^{-3} mmol), and CuTC (66.6 mg, 0.35 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C for 18 h. The heating bath was removed and the volatiles were eliminated *in vacuo*. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (SiO_2 gel, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient) to give the products as yellow solids. The remaining tin impurities were removed by partitioning the product between MeCN (2 mL) and hexanes (2 mL) and washing the MeCN phase with fresh hexanes (5×2 mL). The MeCN was removed *in vacuo* to give the pure product (yellow solid, 12.0 mg, 65%). TLC (30% EtOAc/hexanes, R_f = 0.75), ^1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.00 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 196.6, 186.8, 144.6, 130.2, 128.5, 125.9, 22.2; MS (EI) m/z calcd 262, found 262.²¹

Typical experimental procedure for the cross-coupling reactions with boronic acids. Synthesis of 3,4-bis-(3-thienyl)-3-cyclobuten-1,2-dione

A 50 mL Schlenk flask under N_2 was charged with **7** (35 mg, 0.098 mmol, 1 equiv.), 3-thienylboronic acid (50 mg, 0.4 mmol, 4 equiv.) and anhyd. THF (5 mL) and the resulting yellow solution was deoxygenated by bubbling N_2 for 5 min. Then, CuTC (93 mg, 0.5 mmol, 5 equiv.), $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ (2.3 mg, 2.4×10^{-3} mmol), and trifurylphosphine (1.8 mg, 7.3×10^{-3} mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to 55 °C for 18 h, the heating bath was removed and the volatiles were eliminated *in vacuo*. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (SiO_2 gel, ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient) to give the product as a yellow solid (22.6 mg, 94%). TLC (30 % EtOAc/hexanes, R_f = 0.7); Mp = 149-150 °C; IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 1754.8 (s), 1569.3 (s), 1514.8 (m), 1437.8 (m), 1221.1 (m), 1124.0 (m). ^1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.58 (dd, 1.4 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 1.0 Hz, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 195.8, 178.4, 132.6, 129.6, 128.0, 126.3. HRMS ($\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_6\text{O}_2\text{S}_2$) calcd. 245.9809, found 245.9813.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was generously supported by CONCyTEG (grant GTO-2003-C02-11921), CONACyT (CIAM program grant 2005-C02-51833/A-1) and NIH (grant GM066153). A. A-A wishes to thank CONACyT for a graduate scholarship.

REFERENCES

- (1). (a) Liebeskind LS, Jewell CF Jr. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1985;285:305. (b) Jewell CF Jr, Liebeskind LS, Williamson M. J. *Am. Chem. Soc* 1985;107:6715. (c) Liebeskind LS, Baysdon SL, South MS, Iyer S, Leeds JP. *Tetrahedron* 1985;41:5839. (d) Liebeskind LS, Iyer S, Jewell CF Jr. *J. Org. Chem* 1986;51:3065. (e) Liebeskind LS, Foster BS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1987;109:7908. (f) Liebeskind LS. *Tetrahedron* 1989;45:3053. (g) Liebeskind LS, Bombrum A. *J. Org. Chem* 1994;59:6856. (h) Zhang S, Liebeskind LS. *J. Org. Chem* 1999;64:4042. (i) Mingo P, Zhang S, Liebeskind LS. *J. Org. Chem* 1999;64:2145. [PubMed: 11674317] (j) Shi X, Amin R, Liebeskind L, S. *J. Org. Chem* 2000;65:1650. [PubMed: 10750489] (k) Shi X, Liebeskind L, S. *J. Org. Chem* 2000;65:1665. [PubMed: 10750490] (l) Peña-Cabrera E, Liebeskind L, S. *J. Org. Chem* 2002;67:1689. [PubMed: 11871905]
- (2). (a) Karlsson JO, Nguyen NV, Foland LD, Moore HW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1985;107:3392. (b) Moore HW, Decker OH. *Chem. Rev* 1986;86:821. (c) Lee KH, Moore HW. *J. Org. Chem* 1995;60:735. (d) Taing M, Moore HW. *J. Org. Chem* 1996;61:329. (e) Tiedemann R, Turnbull P, Moore HW. *J. Org. Chem* 1999;64:4030.
- (3). (a) Negri JT, Morwick T, Doyon J, Wilson PD, Hickey ER, Paquette LA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993;115:12189. (b) Paquette LA, Morwick TM, Negri JT, Rogers RD. *Tetrahedron* 1996;52:3075. (c) Paquette LA, Morwick TM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1997;119:1230. (d) Paquette LA, Kuo LH, Tae J. *J. Org. Chem* 1998;63:2010. (e) Geng F, Liu J, Paquette LA. *Org. Lett* 2002;4:71. [PubMed: 11772093]
- (4). (a) Danheiser RL, Gee SK, Sard H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1982;104:7670. (b) Danheiser RL, Gee SK. *J. Org. Chem* 1984;49:1672. (c) Danheiser RL, Gee SK, Perez JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1986;108:806.
- (5). Koo S, Liebeskind LS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995;117:3389.
- (6). Liebeskind LS, Yu MS, Yu RH, Wang J, Hagen K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993;115:9048.
- (7). Liebeskind LS, Wang J. *Tetrahedron* 1993;49(25):5461.
- (8). Frontera A, Orell M, Garau C, Quiñero D, Molins E, Mata I, Morey. *J. Org. Lett* 2005;7(8):1347.
- (9). Murakami H, Minami T, Osawa F. *J. Org. Chem* 2004;69:4482. [PubMed: 15202905]
- (10). Rubin Y, Knobler CB, Diederich F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1990;112(4):1607.
- (11). Mukkanti A, Periasami M. *ARKIVOC* 2005;(xi):48 and references therein
- (12). Sidduri A, Budries N, Laine RM, Knochel P. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1992;33:7515.
- (13). (a) Liebeskind LS, Zhang J. *J. Org. Chem* 1991;56:6379. (b) Liebeskind LS, Yu MS, Fengl RW, Hagen KS. *J. Org. Chem* 1993;58:3543.
- (14). Liebeskind LS, Wang J. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1990;31:4293.
- (15). (a) Srogl J, Liu W, Marshall D, Liebeskind LS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1999;121:9449. (b) Srogl J, Liebeskind LS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000;122:11260. (c) Savarin C, Liebeskind LS. *Org. Lett* 2001;3(14):2149. [PubMed: 11440566] (d) Savarin C, Srogl J, Liebeskind LS. *Org. Lett* 2001;3(1):91. [PubMed: 11429881] (e) Liebeskind LS, Srogl J, Savarin C, Polanco C. *Pure App. Chem* 2002;74(1):115. (f) Srogl J, Liebeskind LS. *Org. Lett* 2002;4(6):979. [PubMed: 11893201] (g) Kusturin CL, Liebeskind LS, Newman WL. *Org. Lett* 2002;4(6):983. [PubMed: 11893202] (h) Kusturin C, Liebeskind LS, Rahman H, Sample K, Schweitzer B, Srogl J, Neumann. *Org. Lett* 2003;5(23):4349. [PubMed: 14601997] (i) Egi M, Wittenberg R, Srogl J, Liebeskind LS. *Org. Lett* 2003;5:3033. [PubMed: 12916974] (j) Egi M, Liebeskind LS. *Org. Lett* 2003;5:801. [PubMed: 12633075] (k) Alphonse F-A, Suzenet F, Keromnes A, Lebrete B, Guillaumet G. *Org. Lett* 2003;5:803. [PubMed: 12633076] (l) Aguilar-Aguilar A, Peña-Cabrera E, Liebeskind LS. *ARKIVOC* 2004;(i):156. (m) Yu Y, Liebeskind LS. *J. Org. Chem* 2004;69(10):3554. [PubMed: 15132570]
- (16). Yamamoto Y, Shirasaki Y, Eguchi S. *J. Chem. Soc* 1993;263
- (17). Farina V, Krishnan B, Marshall DR, Roth GP. *J. Org. Chem* 1993;58:5434.
- (18). Reiser O, Reichow S, de Meijere A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng* 1987;26:1277.
- (19). After a referee's suggestion, dichlorocyclobutenedione 10 was reacted with p-tolylboronic acid under the Suzuki conditions (1% Pd(OAc)₂, 2.5% SPhos, 2 equiv. K₃PO₄ toluene, 90 °C)20 However, only traces of 18 were observed.
- (20). Walter SD, Barder TE, Martinelli JR, Buchwald SL. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:1871–1876.

- (21). Yang, Nam Choul; Jeong, Jae Kyun; Choi, Sung Jae; Rhee, Tae Hyung; Suh, Dong Hack. *Macromolecular Rapid Comm* 1999;20(11):586–590.

Figure 1.
Examples of organic compounds prepared from either 1 or 2.

Scheme 1.
Synthesis of thiocyclobutenediones **7-9**.

Scheme 2.

Short survey of organostannanes in the Liebeskind-Srögl cross-coupling.

Table 1

Reactivity of thiocyclobutenediones 7-9 in the Liebeskind-Srögl Cross-Coupling.

Entry	M	R	% yield of 11 ^a
1	B(OH) ₂	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	70
2	B(OH) ₂	<i>p</i> -MeC ₆ H ₄	62
3	B(OH) ₂	<i>n</i> -Bu	Trace amnt.
4	SnBu ₃	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	61
5	SnBu ₃	<i>p</i> -MeC ₆ H ₄	51
6	SnBu ₃	<i>n</i> -Bu	Trace amnt.

^a Isolated yield

Table 2Optimization of the Cross-coupling of **7** with MeC₆H₄B(OH)₂.

Entry	%Pd	%PR ₃	Equiv RB(OH) ₂ ^a	Result ^b
1	2.5% A	7.5% E	2.5	Similar amounts of 7 , 14 , 15 and 16 were observed
2	2.5% D	-	2.5	As in entry 1
3	5% B	-	2.5	1:1 (7 : 14)
4	2.5% C	5% F	2.5	Similar amounts of 7 , 14 , and 15
5	5% A	5% G	2.5	Only 7 and 16 were observed
6	5% D	-	3.0	Complex mixture
7	5% B	-	3.0	14 (69%) + traces of 16
8	2.5% A	7.5% E	4.0	14 (77%) + traces of 16
9	5% B	-	4.0	14 (75%) + traces of 16

^a A 25% excess of CuTC was used with respect to the boronic acid^b The results were obtained from the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude material

Table 3

Scope and Limitations of the Liebeskind-Srögl Cross-coupling.

Entry	Hetero(Aryl)	% yield
1	C_6H_5-	70
2		66
3		76
4	<i>p</i> -tolyl-	77
5	<i>m</i> -tolyl-	56
6		84
7	Ferrocenyl-	71
8	3-pyridyl-	n.r.

Entry	Hetero(Aryl)	% y
9		44
10		42
11		58
12		61

Entry	Hetero(Aryl)	% y
-------	--------------	-----

13

94

14

76

Entry	Hetero(Aryl)	% yield
15		37

^a Isolated yield after column chromatography