Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2009 July 29; 50(30): 4310–4313. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.05.031.

Efficient Organocatalytic α -Sulfonylation of Substituted Piperazine-2,5-diones

Ramin Dubey, Nathan W. Polaske, Gary S. Nichol, and Bogdan Olenyuk*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721

Abstract

Organocatalytic α -sulfonylation of substituted piperazine-2,5-diones is reported through the use of cinchona alkaloids as Lewis bases and electrophilic sulfur transfer reagents. 1-Phenylsulfanyl[1,2,4] triazole, a novel sulfur transfer reagent, gave excellent product yields with a number of substituted piperazine-2,5-diones under mild conditions. Catalyst loading, stoichiometry of sulfur electrophile, temperature and solvent were optimized to achieve high product yields.

Organocatalytic α -sulfonylation of carbonyl compounds is of considerable synthetic utility because it produces synthetic intermediates useful in a variety of organic transformations.¹ To date, the majority of reported organocatalytic sulfonylations utilized aldehydes,² ketones,³ lactones, lactams and β -dicarbonyl compounds⁴ as substrates. Despite their simplicity and low catalyst costs such transformations, to our knowledge, have not been reported for β -amido esters or diketopiperazines. In this communication, we report the first organocatalytic α -sulfonylation of substituted piperazine-2,5-diones.

Direct α -sulfonylation of substituted β -amido esters and diketopiperazines could facilitate synthesis of epidithiodiketopiperazines (ETPs) – an intriguing class of biologically active natural products.⁵ ETPs are cytotoxic and immunomodulatory constituents produced by the filamentous fungi *Chaetomium* and *Pithomyces* sp. They contain one or two polysulfide-bridged piperazine-2,5-dione fragments and display a broad spectrum of biological activity. Recent studies have shown that two members of this diverse family, chaetocin⁶ and chetomin⁷ (Figure 1), suppress neovascularization in solid tumors⁸ by disrupting the expression of *VEGF* gene mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α).⁹ All reported methods for the preparation of ETPs require multistep sequences for the introduction of sulfur.^{10–13} Direct, catalytic procedure for α -sulfonylation of piperazine-2,5-diones could prove valuable in the development of a concise synthetic route to ETPs.

Organocatalytic transformation of piperazine-2,5-dione ring system is more challenging as compared to aldehydes, ketones and esters due to its lower reactivity toward electrophilic sulfur reagents and greater steric bulk. Hence, the preliminary goal was to investigate the reactivity

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-520-626-0754; Fax: +1-520-621-8407; e-mail: olenyuk@email.arizona.edu.

Supplementary Data

Synthetic procedures, characterization and NMR spectra of compounds **1** and **3** are available. Supplementary data associated with this article is available with its online version at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.05.031. Crystallographic data for **3g** have been deposited into the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC accession number 721744). These data can be obtained free of charge from www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

of the substituted piperazine-2,5-diones under typical conditions of organocatalytic α -sulfenylation with cinchona alkaloids as catalysts. Initially, 1-benzylsulfanyl[1,2,4]triazole (**2a**)^{2,4} was used as the electrophilic sulfenylating reagent in attempts to convert substrates **1a–1e** to products **3** (Table 1). This reagent is known to give products in high yields with the variety of aldehydes, ketones and β -ketoesters.⁴ However, with substituted piperazine-2,5-dione **1a**, only low yields of the product **3a** were obtained. Therefore, our goal was to improve the efficiency of this reaction by varying the amount of sulfenylating reagent **2a** and changing the type of catalyst **4a–4d** (Figure 2).

In order to obtain higher yields, the loading of the sulfenylating reagent **2a** was increased (Table 1). Despite using an excess of **2a**, the product **3a** was still obtained in low yields. Using precursor **1b** without substituent at the *N*-4 position resulted in significantly improved yields. Compounds **1c** and **1d** gave product **3b** in low yields, along with the removal of the substituents at the *N*-4 position. Such a removal could be the result of an attack of the formed in the trace amounts thiolate anion on acetyl or benzoyl groups of **1c–1d**. The small quantities of the newly formed **1b** could then be sulfenylated by **2a**, producing compound **3b**. No product formation could be observed with substrate **1e**. It is believed that the low reactivity of the *N*-4 substituted piperazine-2,5-diones is attributable to the steric clash between the approaching sulfenylating reagent and the substrate, because only the compound lacking *N*-4 substituent, **1b**, gave high yields with **2a**. This limits the scope of **2a** as an electrophile in sulfenylation of substituted piperazine-2,5-diones.

In an attempt to improve the substrate scope, we also synthesized 1-(*p*-methoxybenzyl)sulfanyl [1,2,4]triazole and 1-(*p*-nitrobenzyl)sulfanyl[1,2,4]triazole. These reagents, prepared in a manner similar to **2a**, were found to be too unstable for practical application.

To improve yields, a new sulfenylating reagent 1-phenylsulfanyl[1,2,4]triazole (**2b**) was tested. This reagent gave excellent product yield with substrate **1a** and was chosen for subsequent reaction optimizations. First, we screened the reaction conditions needed to efficiently carry out the α enylation with compound **2b**. Catalysts, solvents, temperature as well as amounts of sulfenylating reagent **2b** were varied in order to improve yields (Table 2). The most sterically bulky compound **1g** was selected because its lower reactivity made it an ideal substrate for screening of sulfenylation conditions.

Gratifyingly, sulfenylating reagent **2b** gave considerably higher yields than **2a** in all reactions with lower amounts of **2b** required for efficient sulfenylation (Table 2). Different catalysts were screened, to find the most suitable candidate. The readily accessible quinine (**4c**) was found to be superior to catalysts (DHQD)₂PYR (**4a**) and (DHQD)₂PHAL (**4b**). This is probably due to the fact that the tertiary amines in both (DHQD)₂PYR (**4a**) and (DHQD)₂PHAL (**4b**) are more sterically demanding than quinine. Toluene and benzene/toluene mixture were also found to be superior to dichloromethane for obtaining high yields. The higher stability of **2b** as compared to **2a** under sulfenylation conditions has facilitated product isolation, because lesser amount of this reagent was required to obtain high yields.

Next, to test the substrate scope, the reactivity of **2b** with other substituted piperazine-2,5-diones **1f–1j** was studied. Compounds **1a** and **1f** gave excellent yields with **2b** (Table 3). Substrates **1h** and **1i** with ethyl and benzyl groups at the *N*-4 position are more sterically demanding than substrates with a methyl group at that position. Compounds **1h** and **1i** were sulfenylated using **2b** in high yields. This shows that 1-phenylsulfanyl[1,2,4]triazole (**2b**) is able to efficiently sulfenylate piperazine-2,5-dione substrates under different reaction conditions. Compound **1j** which has a benzyl substituent at the *N*-4 position and a *t*-butyl ester at the α -position, is the most sterically hindered substrate used in this study. It showed moderate yield with **2b** and quinine **4c** as the catalyst. When (DHQD)₂PYR **4a** and (DHQD)₂PHAL

4b were used, no product formation was observed. Presumably the greater steric bulk of **4a** and **4b** played a major role in reducing the reaction rate when these catalysts were used with the bulky substrates. Because quinine is the least expensive organocatalyst among all tested cinchona alkaloids, its use in combination with the readily prepared **2b**, provides an economical route to α -sulfenylated piperazine-2,5-diones.

Crystallization of product **3g** from ethanol at room temperature provided single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis.¹⁴ The molecular structure of **3g** is shown in Figure 3. The compound **3g** has crystallized in the centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group *Pbca* and the crystal packing shows efficient stacking of the phenyl and diketopiperazine rings in the solid state. It is hypothesized that this stacking may provide additional stabilization in the transition state of the sulfenylation reaction.

In summary, direct and efficient organocatalytic α -sulfenylation of substituted piperazine-2,5-diones has been developed. Various substrates, solvents and sulfur transfer reagents have been screened, resulting in high product yields under optimized reaction conditions. This methodology may be of value for future construction of the epidithiodiketopiperazine ring system in a rapid and efficient manner. We continue structural modifications of electrophilic sulfur transfer reagents in order to expand their scope to sterically bulky substrates. Further exploration of the mechanism of organocatalytic α -sulfenylation and development of its enantioselective variant are currently under investigation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support of the University of Arizona is gratefully acknowledged. The X-ray diffractometer was purchased with support of the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-9610374). We also thank the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-0748838) and the National Institutes of Health (Grant R21 CA129388) for funding.

References

1. (a) Trost BM. *Chem Rev* 1978;78:363–382. (b) Trost BM. *Acc Chem Res* 1978;11:453–461.
2. Marigo M, Wabnitz TC, Fielenbach D, Jørgensen KA. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2005;44:794–797.
3. Wang W, Li H, Wang J, Liao L. *Tet Lett* 2004;45:8229–8231.
4. Sobhani S, Fielenbach D, Marigo M, Wabnitz TC, Jørgensen KA. *Chem Eur J* 2005;11:5689–5694.
5. (a) Saito T, Suzuki Y, Koyama K, Natori S, Iitaka Y, Kinoshita T. *Chem Pharm Bull* 1988;36:1942–1956. (b) Li GY, Li BG, Yang T, Yan JF, Liu GY, Zhang GL. *J Nat Prod* 2006;69:1374–1376. [PubMed: 16989540] (c) Fujimoto H, Sumino M, Okuyama E, Ishibashi M. *J Nat Prod* 2004;67:98–102. [PubMed: 14738397] (d) Gardiner DM, Waring P, Howlett BJ. *Microbiology-(UK)* 2005;151:1021–1032.
6. Hauser D, Weber HP, Sigg HP. *Helv Chim Acta* 1970;53:1061. [PubMed: 5448218]
7. (a) Waksman SA, Bugie E. *J Bacteriol* 1944;48:527–530. [PubMed: 16560863] (b) McInnes AG, Taylor A, Walter JA. *J Am Chem Soc* 1976;98:6741. [PubMed: 972228] (c) Brewer D, McInnes AG, Smith DG, Taylor A, Walter JA, Loosli HR, Kis ZL. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans* 1978;1:1248–1251. (d) Fujimoto H, Sumino M, Okuyama E, Ishibashi M. *J Nat Prod* 2004;67:98–102. [PubMed: 14738397]
8. Kung AL, Zabudoff SD, France DS, Freedman SJ, Tanner EA, Vieira A, Cornell-Kennon S, Lee J, Wang B, Wang J, Memmert K, Naegeli HU, Petersen F, Eck MJ, Bair KW, Wood AW, Livingston DM. *Cancer Cell* 2004;6:33–43. [PubMed: 15261140]
9. Vaupel P, Thews O, Kelleher DK, Hoeckel M. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1998;454:591–602. [PubMed: 9889939]

10. (a) Williams RM, Rastetter WH. *J Org Chem* 1980;45:2625–2631. (b) Strunz GM, Kakushima M. *Experientia* 1974;30:719–720. [PubMed: 4847643]
11. Fukuyama T, Nakatsuka S, Kishi Y. *Tetrahedron* 1981;37:2045–2078.
12. (a) Kishi Y, Nakatsuka S, Fukuyama T, Havel M. *J Am Chem Soc* 1973;95:6493–6495. [PubMed: 4733402] (b) Nakatsuka S, Fukuyama T, Kishi Y. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1974:1549–1552.
13. Overman LE, Sato T. *Org Lett* 2007;9:5267–5270. [PubMed: 18001051]
14. Crystal data for 3g: $C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_4S$, 350.43 g.mol⁻¹, colorless crystal 0.72 × 0.47 × 0.33 mm³, 220 (2) K, Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer with a graphite monochromator (thin-slice ω scans, θ range from 2.2 to 27.1°), MoK_{α} λ = 0.71073 Å, a = 11.4784(15) Å, b = 16.628(2) Å, c = 18.778 (2) Å, V = 3584.1(8) Å³, Z = 8, ρ_{calc} = 1.299 g.cm⁻³, μ = 0.203 mm⁻¹, $2\theta_{max}$ = 27.1° 99.9% complete, reflections collected/unique = 28216/3954 (R_{int} = 0.0321), $R1$ = 0.0399, $wR2$ = 0.0928 for 2960 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. See Supplementary data for complete X-ray crystallographic information.

Figure 1.
Epidithiodiketopiperazine fungal metabolites.

4a: (DHQD)₂PYP **4b:** (DHQD)₂PHAL **4c:** R = CH₂=CH, R' = H, Quinine**4d:** R = Et, R' = H, Dihydroquinine

Figure 2.
Cinchona alkaloids used as catalysts.

Figure 3.
The molecular structure of **3g** with anisotropic displacement parameters at 30% probability level.

Table 1

Organocatalytic α -sulfenylation of **1a–1e** with 1-benzylsulfanyl[1,2,4]triazole **2a** and Lewis bases **4a–4d**.

1a: R = Me

1b: R = H

1c: R = CH₃CO

1d: R = PhCO

1e: R = Ts

3a: R = Me

3b: R = H

substrate	solvent	temp., °C	time, hr	catalyst	eq. of 2a	yield, ^a %
1a	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	120	4a	5.0	46
1a	Toluene	rt	120	4a	5.0	44
1a	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	120	4d	10.0	55
1b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	48	4d	2.0	93
1b	Toluene	rt	24	4c	2.0	95
1b	Toluene	-10	24	4c	1.5	90
1b	Toluene	-78	24	4c	1.5	20
1b	Toluene	-10	24	4a	2.0	94
1b	Toluene	-10	24	4b	2.0	91
1c^b	Toluene	rt	72	4a	2.6	10
1c^b	Toluene	-10	72	4a	2.6	10
1d^b	Toluene	rt	72	4a	2.6	10
1e	Toluene	rt	72	4a	2.6	c

^aYield of purified product after chromatographic separation.

^b**3b** was obtained as the product.

^cNo product was formed, as observed by ¹H NMR.

Table 2

Optimization of reaction conditions of sulfonylation of **1g** with 1-phenylsulfanyl[1,2,4]triazole **2b**.

entry	solvent	temp, °C	catalyst	eq. of 2b	yield, ^a %
1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	4c	2.0	79
2	Toluene	rt	4a	2.0	60
3	Toluene	rt	4b	2.0	65
4	Toluene	rt	4c	2.0	91
6	Toluene	0	4c	3.0	97
5	PhH/PhMe (3:1)	-10	4c	3.0	96

^aYield of purified product after chromatographic separation.

Table 3

Scope of α -sulfenylation of trisubstituted piperazine-2,5-diones with reagent **2b** and 10 mol % of cinchona alkaloids **4a–4c**.

entry	substrate	R ¹	R ²	R ³	solvent	temp, °C	catalyst	eq. of 2b	time, h	product	yield, ^a %
1	1f	Me	Me	Me	PhH/PhMe (3:1)	-10	4c	3.0	18	3f	99
2	1a	Me	Me	Et	PhH/PhMe (3:1)	-10	4c	3.0	30	3k	99
3	1g	Me	Me	t-Bu	PhH/PhMe (3:1)	-10	4c	3.0	48	3g	96
4	1h	Et	Et	Et	Toluene	rt	4c	3.0	60	3h	77
5	1h	Et	Et	Et	Toluene	rt	4c^b	8.0	48	3h	94
6	1i	Bn	Bn	Et	Toluene	rt	4c	3.0	60	3i	75
7	1i	Bn	Bn	Et	Toluene	rt	4c^b	8.0	48	3i	95
8	1b	H	Me	Et	Toluene	rt	4c	3.0	16	3l	81
9	1j	Bn	Bn	t-Bu	Toluene	rt	4c^b	10.0	72	3j	52
10	1j	Bn	Bn	t-Bu	Toluene	rt	4a	2.0	60	3j	5
11	1j	Bn	Bn	t-Bu	Toluene	rt	4b	2.0	60	3j	5

^aYield of purified product after chromatographic separation.

^b20 mol% catalyst used.